

APPENDIX 5-B, ATTACHMENT C: BIENNIAL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE OUTREACH REPORTS



**SAN JOSE TO MERCED PROJECT SECTION
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE OUTREACH SUMMARY
April 16 – October 15, 2016**

Overview

The following report summarizes environmental justiceⁱ outreach activities conducted for the California High-Speed Rail Authority San Jose to Merced Project Section between April 16 and October 15, 2016. These activities are consistent with the overall approach and specific strategies outlined in the San Jose to Merced Environmental Justice Outreach Plan and the High-Speed Rail Project Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement Environmental Methodology Guidelines, Version 5 (or, EMGv5).

Similar to the San Jose to Merced Environmental Justice Outreach Plan, the activities in this report are organized into the following outreach categories:

- Public meetings
- Organizational stakeholder contact
- Stakeholder group meetings
- Local stakeholder contact

I. Public Meetings

During the reporting period, the Authority conducted four public meetings in the San Jose to Merced Project Section, including:

Date	City	Meeting Location
May 16, 2016	Los Banos	Los Banos Community Center
May 17, 2016	San Jose	Berryessa Community Center
May 18, 2016	Gilroy	Gilroy Senior Center
June 6, 2016	San Jose	Gardner Community Center

Each of these cities includes substantial low-income and limited English-proficient (LEP) populations. For each of the public meetings, the Authority provided interpreters for languages commonly spoken (i.e., 5% or more of the population speaks the language as its first language) in each respective community (Spanish for Gilroy and Los Banos; Spanish and Vietnamese for San Jose). The meeting invitation flyer was translated and made available in the following languages: English, Spanish, Mandarin, Vietnamese and Tagalog (note: these are the five most commonly spoken languages in the San Jose to Merced Project Section). In addition, several factsheets were available in Spanish at the meetings.

Title VIIⁱⁱ reports were submitted to the Title VI Coordinator within 5 days after each public meeting.

II. Organizational Stakeholder Contact

A series of interviews was conducted in July and August of 2016 with stakeholders serving environmental justice populations along the San Jose to Merced Project Section to inform the Authority's outreach efforts to these populations. The primary objectives of the interviews were to:

- Better understand the interests and concerns of low-income and minority populations and how they relate to the High-Speed Rail project;
- Inform the Authority's strategy for meaningfully engaging low-income and/or minority stakeholders, including anticipating and responding to potential challenges; and
- Identify specific environmental justice outreach opportunities (events, meetings, neighborhood groups, etc.) and additional stakeholders with whom to partner moving forward.

The following stakeholders were interviewed for the San Jose to Merced Project Section:

Organization	Point of Contact
Asian Americans for Community Involvement	Cam Vu and Anne Marie Tran
California Environmental Protection Agency	Arsenio Mataka
City of Gilroy	David Bischoff
City of San Jose District Three	Lucila Ortiz and Heidi Sickler
Delmas Park Neighborhood Association	Andrew Tubbs and Bert Weaver
Greenbelt Alliance	Brian Schmidt
Sierra Health Foundation	Manuel Alvarado
TransForm	Chris Lepe

The following high-level themes emerged from the interviews:

- Building **credibility and trust** within an environmental justice community is essential to effective engagement. This can be accomplished by partnering with local community organizations and thought leaders to share information and co-convene meetings, and demonstrating an understanding of their interests and concerns. Environmental justice communities often have an acute mistrust of government agencies, and while this can be overcome, it will take time and commitment.
- Environmental justice communities have varying degrees of familiarity with the HSR project. To build understanding, project information should be communicated in **simple, non-technical terms and translated in-language**, and graphics and visuals should be used to explain complex topics.
- To ensure constructive interactions with environmental justice stakeholders, the Authority should provide **comfortable, convenient, and culturally relevant opportunities for stakeholder participation**. This approach includes leveraging existing community meetings and gatherings, and engaging residents where they live, shop and play.
- Environmental justice stakeholders often do not attend Authority-convened events because they have **competing, higher-priority needs**. By addressing these needs through providing food and childcare, and holding meetings at times convenient to residents, the Authority can demonstrate it values their time and wants to make the process as convenient as possible.
- When engaging environmental justice communities, the Authority should frame the project more as a **quality of life issue** than exclusively a transportation issue, which will make it more relatable to local needs and priorities. If the Authority can demonstrate that the HSR project can help improve the overall quality of their lives by alleviating current community challenges (e.g.,

poor air quality, traffic congestion, poverty) while providing tangible benefits (e.g., job creation, economic development, improved mobility and accessibility), residents of these communities are more likely to want to participate in the process.

The *Summary of Interviews with Environmental Justice Stakeholders – San Francisco to San Jose and San Jose to Merced Project Sections* report was developed to capture and summarize these interviews.

III. Group Stakeholder Meetings

The Authority convened Community Working Groups (CWGs) in the San Jose to Merced Project Section to discuss and gather input on project alternatives with community members representing a broad range of local interests. Each of the CWGs includes representatives of environmental justice communities in the project section. During the reporting period, the Authority conducted the following CWG meetings:

- April 18, 2016: Los Banos CWG
- August 9, 2016: Gilroy-Los Banos Technical Working Group (note: members of the Los Banos CWG were invited to participate as members of this group)
- August 11, 2016: Morgan Hill-Gilroy CWG
- August 17, 2016: San Jose CWG

Title VI reports were submitted to the Title VI Coordinator for each CWG meeting.

IV. Local Stakeholder Contact

In addition to hosting public meetings and CWG meetings, the Authority and/or regional consultant staff also attended community events in the San Jose to Merced Project Section to provide project information and gather stakeholder input from stakeholders in environmental justice communities, including:

- August 20, 2016: Gardner Community Flea Market (San Jose)
- September 18, 2016: VivaCalle San Jose
- October 9, 2016: Day on the Bay Multicultural Festival (Alviso)

Title VI reports were submitted to the Title VI Coordinator for each outreach event.

In addition, Authority and/or consultant staff participated in the following small group meetings and briefings with representatives of environmental justice communities:

- June 7, 2016: Meeting with San Jose District 6 neighborhood residents
- September 12, 2016: Presentation to Gilroy City Council
- September 13, 2016: Meeting with San Jose District 2 staff and City of San Jose staff to discuss outreach to residents along Monterey Highway corridor

ⁱ The Authority’s definition and application of the term “environmental justice” as it relates to the High Speed Rail project is as follows: *“Environmental Justice (EJ) is the fair treatment of people of all races, cultures, and income with respect to the development, adoption, implementation and enforcement of environmental laws and policies. Implementation of environmental justice principles in how the Authority plans, designs, and delivers the high-speed rail projects means that the Authority recognizes the potential social and environmental impacts that project activities may have on certain segments of the public.”* (HSR Authority, Title VI Report, 2013)

ⁱⁱTitle VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, states that “no person in the United States shall, on the grounds of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefit of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity that receives Federal financial assistance” (Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title VI).



**SAN JOSE TO MERCED PROJECT SECTION
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE OUTREACH SUMMARY
October 16, 2016 – April 30, 2017**

Overview

The following report summarizes environmental justiceⁱ (Title VI) public involvement activities conducted for the California High-Speed Rail San Jose to Merced Project Section between October 16, 2016 and April 30, 2017. These activities are consistent with the overall approach and specific strategies outlined in the San Jose to Merced Environmental Justice Outreach Plan and the High-Speed Rail Project Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement Environmental Methodology Guidelines, Version 5 (or, EMGv5).

Similar to the San Jose to Merced Environmental Justice Outreach Plan, the activities in this report are organized into the following outreach categories:

- Public meetings
- Stakeholder group meetings
- Local stakeholder contact

• **Public Meetings**

During the reporting period, the Authority conducted three public meetings in the San Jose to Merced Project Section, including:

Date	City	Meeting Location
April 18, 2017	Gilroy	I.F.D.E.S. Lodge-Portuguese Hall
April 20, 2017	San Jose	Southside Community Center
April 25, 2017	Los Banos	Los Banos Community Center

Each of the cities where the public meetings were held includes substantial low-income and limited English-proficient (LEP) populations. For each of the public meetings, the Authority provided interpreters for languages commonly spoken (i.e., 5% or more of the population speaks the language as its first language) in each respective community (Spanish for Gilroy and Los Banos; Spanish and Vietnamese for San Jose). The meeting invitation flyer was translated and made available in the following languages: English, Spanish, Mandarin, Vietnamese and Tagalog. In addition, several factsheets were available in Spanish at the meetings.

Kearns & West developed Title VIⁱⁱ reports for each public meeting and they are available on the California High-Speed Rail project SharePoint site. Title VI reports were submitted to the Title VI Coordinator within 5 days after each public meeting.

- **Group Stakeholder Meetings**

The Authority convened Community Working Groups (CWGs) in the San Jose to Merced Project Section to discuss and gather input on project alternatives with community members representing a broad range of local interests. Each of the CWGs includes representatives of environmental justice communities in the project section. During the reporting period, the Authority conducted the following CWG meetings:

- January 23, 2017: San Jose CWG (Edenvale Public Library)
- January 26, 2017: Morgan Hill-Gilroy CWG (I.F.D.E.S. Lodge-Portuguese Hall in Gilroy)

Kearns & West developed Title VI reports for each CWG meeting and they are available on the California High-Speed Rail project SharePoint site.

- **Local Stakeholder Contact**

In addition to hosting public meetings and CWG meetings, the Authority and/or regional consultant staff also hosted information tables at community gathering locations in the San Jose to Merced Project Section to provide project information and gather stakeholder input from stakeholders in environmental justice communities, including:

- November 29, 2016: Edenvale Public Library (San Jose)
- December 19, 2016: Gilroy Downtown Library
- April 6, 2017: Gilroy Downtown Library
- April 17, 2017: Arteaga's Market (Gilroy)

In addition, Authority and/or consultant staff participated in the following small group meetings and briefings with representatives of environmental justice communities:

- October 27, 2016: Meeting with San Jose residents at the Edenvale Public Library. The meeting was hosted by San Jose District 2 City Councilmember Ash Kalra.
- November 14, 2016: Meeting with Gilroy neighborhood representatives to discuss outreach to residents of environmental justice communities in Gilroy.
- December 7, 2016: Meeting with Edenvale Great Oaks Plan Implementation Coalition (EGOPIC) at the Edenvale Community Center in San Jose.
- February 1, 2017: Meeting with the Seven Trees Neighborhood Association at the Seven Trees Community Center in San Jose.
- February 13, 2017. Meeting with the Gardner Neighborhood Association at the Gardner Community Center in San Jose.
- March 8, 2017: Meeting with the Goodyear-Mastic and Alma Neighborhood Associations at the Alma Senior Center in San Jose.

Kearns & West developed Title VI reports for each local stakeholder outreach event and they are available on the project SharePoint site.

The following items emerged from the environmental justice outreach events:

- Questions and concern about impacts to property value and how compensation would occur

- Questions and concerns about noise impacts from train operation and construction
- Concerns about traffic impacts from train operation and construction
- Concerns about increased graffiti on aerial structures and sound walls
- Concern about urbanization in East Gilroy
- Questions and concerns about the reliability of funding for High-Speed Rail
- Concern about amount of right of way available along Monterey Corridor
- Questions about the timeline for construction
- Questions about the choice of station locations
- Questions about the cost of tickets and speed of trains

ⁱ The Authority’s definition and application of the term “environmental justice” as it relates to the High Speed Rail project is as follows: *“Environmental Justice (EJ) is the fair treatment of people of all races, cultures, and income with respect to the development, adoption, implementation and enforcement of environmental laws and policies. Implementation of environmental justice principles in how the Authority plans, designs, and delivers the high-speed rail projects means that the Authority recognizes the potential social and environmental impacts that project activities may have on certain segments of the public.”* (HSR Authority, Title VI Report, 2013)

ⁱⁱ Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, states that “no person in the United States shall, on the grounds of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefit of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity that receives Federal financial assistance” (Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title VI).



**SAN JOSE TO MERCED PROJECT SECTION
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE OUTREACH SUMMARY
May 1, 2018 – October 31, 2018**

Overview

The following report summarizes environmental justiceⁱ outreach activities conducted for the California High-Speed Rail Authority San Jose to Merced Project Section between May 1, 2018 and October 31, 2018. These activities are consistent with the overall approach and specific strategies outlined in the San Jose to Merced Environmental Justice Outreach Plan and the High-Speed Rail Project Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement Environmental Methodology Guidelines, Version 5 (or, EMGv5).

Similar to the San Jose to Merced Environmental Justice Outreach Plan, the activities in this report are organized into the following outreach categories:

- Public meetings
- Stakeholder group meetings
- Local stakeholder contact

I. Public Meetings

During the reporting period, there were no Authority-hosted public meetings in the San Jose to Merced Project Section.

II. Group Stakeholder Meetings

The Authority convened three meetings with Community Working Groups (CWGs) across the San Jose to Merced project section to discuss and gather input on the 2018 Business Plan and project alternatives with community members representing a broad range of local interests. Each of the CWGs includes representatives of environmental justice communities in the project section. During the reporting period, the Authority conducted the following CWG meeting:

- May 1: Business Plan Webinar with NorCal Community Working Groups
- May 2: San Jose CWG
- August 16: San Jose CWG

The following items emerged from the CWG meetings:

- Concern regarding impacts to existing infrastructure (e.g., Diridon Station, Monterey Highway, historic structures).
- Questions about the feasibility of tunneling and aerial approaches.
- Questions about the process/rationale for selecting a preferred alternative and which crossings will have grade separations.
- Concern regarding noise, vibration, aesthetic and pollution impacts and potential mitigations during construction and operation.
- Concern about connections to local transit.



- Concern that blended service will result in slower trains, longer travel times and increased traffic congestion.
- Concern regarding the increase in the number of trains and people in the Diridon station area.
- Concern that the community lacks awareness of the project.
- Concern about lack of coordination with other agencies and external planning efforts.

III. Local Stakeholder Contact

During the reporting period, the San Jose to Merced Project Section’s outreach consultant participated in eight local outreach events, conducted zero neighborhood canvasses and two stakeholder/service provider interviews.

Outreach Events

- June 8: Gilroy Right-of-Way Workshop
- July 2: Oak Grove Neighborhood Association Meeting
- August 14: Morgan Hill Morning Community Meeting
- August 14: Morgan Hill Evening Community Meeting
- September 20: Gilroy Small Business Workshop
- September 24: Gilroy Unified School District and City Council Meeting
- October 18: San Martin Neighborhood Alliance Meeting
- October 23: Delmas Park Neighborhood Association Meeting

Stakeholder/Service Provider Interviews

- October 29: Beatriz Sanchez (Biblioteca Latinoamericana, San Jose)
- October 31: Ky Le (Santa Clara County Office of Supportive Housing, Santa Clara County)

The following items emerged from the environmental justice outreach activities:

- Concern about impacts to local businesses during construction.
- Concern about property acquisition and receiving fair compensation.
- Concern about noise, vibration and visual impacts.
- Concern that more train crossings will increase traffic congestion and reduce parking availability.
- Concern about the project’s viability in terms of whether there is sufficient funding to see it through.
- Concern regarding coordination with other projects.
- Questions about relative cost of various alternatives.
- Concern regarding safety at crossings.

ⁱ The Authority’s definition and application of the term “environmental justice” as it relates to the High Speed Rail project is as follows: *“Environmental Justice (EJ) is the fair treatment of people of all races, cultures, and income with respect to the development, adoption, implementation and enforcement of environmental laws and policies. Implementation of environmental justice principles in how the Authority plans, designs, and delivers the high-speed rail projects means that the Authority recognizes the potential social and environmental impacts that project activities may have on certain segments of the public.”* (HSR Authority, Title VI Report, 2013)



**SAN JOSE TO MERCED PROJECT SECTION
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE OUTREACH SUMMARY
November 1, 2018 – April 30, 2019**

Overview

The following report summarizes environmental justiceⁱ outreach activities conducted for the California High-Speed Rail Authority (Authority) San Jose to Merced Project Section between November 1, 2018 and April 30, 2019. These activities are consistent with the overall approach and specific strategies outlined in the San Jose to Merced Environmental Justice Outreach Plan and the High-Speed Rail Project Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement Environmental Methodology Guidelines, Version 5 (or, EMGv5).

In keeping with the San Jose to Merced Environmental Justice Outreach Plan, the activities in this report are organized into the following outreach categories:

- Public meetings
- Stakeholder group meetings
- Local stakeholder contact

I. Public Meetings

During the reporting period, there were no Authority-hosted public meetings in the San Jose to Merced Project Section.

II. Group Stakeholder Meetings

The Authority convened five meetings with Community Working Groups (CWGs) along the San Jose to Merced project section to discuss and gather input on the 2018 Business Plan and project alternatives with community members representing a broad range of local interests. Each of the CWGs includes representatives of environmental justice communities in the project section. During the reporting period, the Authority conducted the following CWG meetings:

Meeting	Date	Location	# of Attendees	Purpose
Morgan Hill–Gilroy CWG	11/7/2018	Gilroy	20	Program Updates, San Jose to Merced Project Section, community engagement and outreach, CWG input on community resources
San Jose CWG	11/28/2018	San Jose	18	Statewide project update; review of alignment alternatives; outreach and engagement; introduction to CWG activity on community resources, community outreach, project interfaces and integration



Meeting	Date	Location	# of Attendees	Purpose
San Jose CWG	2/21/2019	San Jose	29	Rationale and process for identifying Preferred Alternative, role of the early train operator, updated flyover video, project benefits, outreach update
Morgan Hill–Gilroy CWG	3/5/2019	Morgan Hill	8	Rationale and process for identifying Preferred Alternative, role of the early train operator, updated flyover video, project benefits, outreach update
Morgan Hill–Gilroy CWG	4/22/2019	Gilroy	12	Build understanding of the safety and security characteristics of a high-speed rail system. Preview the format and types of information that will be shared about the Staff Recommended Preferred Alternative in Summer 2019. Provide an overview of the proposed process for CWG feedback on the Staff Recommended Preferred Alternative.

The following items emerged from the CWG meetings:

- Concerns regarding the relationship of the Authority to other agencies, projects and planning efforts.
 - The status of Caltrain electrification and its relation to the High-Speed Rail project.
 - Concern regarding interagency coordination and connection to other projects (e.g., Diridon Station planning).
 - Concern regarding the status of negotiations with Union Pacific and the potential impact on the selection of a preferred alternative.
 - Questions regarding the role of the Early Train Operator and its relationship to the Authority.
- Concerns regarding High-Speed Rail’s potential impacts.
 - Parking and traffic
 - Concerns regarding impacts to parking availability.
 - Concerns regarding the impact of at-grade crossings on traffic congestion, neighborhood separations, emergency vehicle access, and connectivity.
 - Property
 - Concern regarding impacts to agricultural land in San Martin (especially in Alternative 3).
 - Concern regarding potential impacts to existing infrastructure depending on which alternative is selected.
 - Concern that a viaduct would significantly impact many neighborhoods.
 - Safety
 - Concerns that more frequent trains pose a greater safety risk.
 - Concern regarding the consistency and efficacy of pedestrian crossings across the corridor.
 - Concern regarding the vulnerability of High-Speed Rail to terrorist attacks.

- Concerns regarding the design, operation and efficacy of safety features (including fencing, quad gates, Automatic Train Control, barrier skirt)
 - Noise and vibration
 - Concerns regarding methodology.
 - Concern regarding the metrics being used for evaluating impacts to buildings and agricultural land.
 - Interest in the methodology used for traffic analysis in the environmental document.
 - Concern that safety is not considered a differentiating factor in comparing alternatives.
 - Concerns regarding project viability.
 - Concern regarding levels of funding and responsibility for grade separation projects.
 - Concern about the project status given the 2019 State of the State address.
 - Concern about project schedule, delays, and funding.
 - Concerns regarding public input.
 - Recommendation to expand outreach efforts and to provide more opportunities for input (i.e., a process for providing comments on the Staff-Recommended Preferred Alternative).
 - Interest in how public input is being incorporated and concern that CWG members' opinions will not be considered.

III. Local Stakeholder Contact

During the reporting period, the San Jose to Merced Project Section's outreach consultant participated in two local outreach events, conducted two canvasses, and led 36 stakeholder/service provider interviews.

Outreach Events

Meeting	Date	Location	# of Attendees	Purpose	Resource Partners/ Advocacy Groups
VIVO In-Language Meeting	3/6/2019	San Mateo County	30	The event purpose was to engage, for the first time, the Vietnamese community in Santa Clara County, provide updates on the project, and solicit input on the alternatives.	Vietnamese Voluntary Foundation
Volta Elementary School In-language meeting	3/26/2019	Los Banos	20	Engage the Volta Elementary School and broader community in Los Banos, provide basic information and updates on the project, and solicit input on impacts to the community.	Volta Elementary School

Neighborhood Canvasses

Meeting	Date	Location	# of Attendees	Purpose
Centennial Recreation Senior Center Canvass	11/14/2018	Morgan Hill	3	Solicit input from senior citizens living in Morgan Hill on potential impacts from High-Speed Rail.
Gardner Community Center Canvass	12/3/2018	Gardner, San Jose	4	Solicit input from community members at the Gardner Community Center regarding potential impacts from High-Speed Rail.

Stakeholder/Service Provider Interviews

Meeting	Date	Location	Organization
Kathleen Rose	11/8/2018	Gilroy	Gavilan College
Oscar Hernandez	11/9/2018	Gardner, San Jose	Biblioteca Latinoamericana
Rose Barry	11/9/2018	Gilroy	Saint Mary Parish
Deborah Flores	11/9/2018	Gilroy	Gilroy Unified School District
Kathleen Cordova	11/12/2018	Gardner, San Jose	Santa Maria Urban Ministry
Debbie Vasquez	11/14/2018	Morgan Hill	City of Morgan Hill
Joe Cuevas	11/14/2018	San Martin	Santa Clara County Social Services
Leslie Little, Tiffany Brown & Edith Ramirez	11/15/2018	Morgan Hill	City of Morgan Hill
Quyem Mai	11/15/2018	San Jose	Vietnamese Voluntary Foundation (VIVO)
Cythina Sutter-Tkel	11/20/2018	San Jose	Salvation Army Family Services
Brenda Callahan-Johnson	11/27/2018	Merced	Merced County Community Action Agency
Sal Ahkter	11/29/2018	San Martin	The Cordoba Center: South Valley Islamic Community
Patricia Mondragon	12/4/2018	Gilroy	South Valley Middle School in Gilroy
Karolinne Livingston	12/4/2018	Gilroy	Rebekah Assembly
Shannon Lane	12/4/2018	Morgan Hill	Morgan Hill Community Adult School
Cindy Reeves	12/4/2018	Morgan Hill	Learning and Loving Center
Daniel Guhl	12/4/2018	San Jose	Salvation Army Emmanuel House
Matthew Thomas	12/10/2018	Los Banos	Volta Elementary School
Joe Heim	12/10/2018	Los Banos	Los Banos Community Center
Irma Torres	12/10/2018	Los Banos	Kings View
Kevin Sved	12/14/2018	Gilroy	Navigator Schools (Gilroy Prep School)
Erin O'Brien	12/18/2018	Santa Clara County	Community Solutions
Chris Rebboah	12/20/2018	Gilroy	Rebekah Children's Services
Jeff Darling	12/21/2018	Santa Clara County	San Andreas Regional Center
Sinthia Sazio	1/8/2019	Gilroy	Head Start Program

Meeting	Date	Location	Organization
Greg Dinsmore	1/29/2019	Gilroy	Hope Services
Sally Armendariz	2/7/2019	Gilroy	CARAS South County
Christine Vasquez	2/12/2019	Gilroy	Glen View Elementary
Josh Selo	2/12/2019	San Jose	West Valley Community Services
Paul Escobar	2/14/2019	San Jose	San Jose Downtown Residents Association
Jane Stevens	2/19/2019	San Martin	San Martin Lions Club
Jan Bernstein-Chargin	2/28/2019	Gilroy	Compassion Center
Milina Jovanovic	2/28/2019	Santa Clara County	Santa Clara County Office of Immigrant Relations
Marco Sanchez	3/14/2019	Gilroy	Gilroy High School
Laura Cortez	4/18/2019	Gilroy	Alexander Station
Vicki Martin	4/22/2019	Gilroy	St. Joseph's Family Center

The following items emerged from the environmental justice outreach activities:

- Potential Impacts
 - Concern regarding neighborhood separations and the separation of communities from the services upon which they rely.
 - Concern regarding noise and vibration and the aesthetic impact of sound barriers.
 - Displacement
 - Concern that High-Speed Rail will exacerbate the lack of affordable housing and further raise housing costs.
 - Concern regarding property acquisition that may be necessary depending on the alternative selected.
 - Traffic and parking
 - Interest in how the alignment will impact congestion on local roadways.
 - Safety
 - Concern regarding security at train stations and on-board trains.
 - Concern regarding safety at grade crossings (especially near schools).
 - Concern regarding the proximity of High-Speed Rail to homeless individuals in the rail right-of-way.
- Potential Community Benefits
 - Interest in more information regarding mitigation measures to lessen impacts in environmental justice communities.
 - Concern regarding the affordability of fares and whether low-income individuals will be able to make use of High-Speed Rail.
 - Optimism that High-Speed Rail will result in additional customers for local businesses (due to increased traffic at stations).
 - Optimism that High-Speed Rail will lead to improved access to jobs and higher education (shorter commutes and reduced traffic congestion).
 - Optimism that High-Speed Rail will lead to the preservation of agricultural land (depending on the alternative selected).
- Public Engagement

- Interest in varied modes of engagement because some populations have limited access to technology.
- Concern that there is a lack of awareness about the project in environmental justice communities.
- Project Costs and Operation
 - Concern that taxpayer money should be spent judiciously (especially in regard to the demolition of recently constructed buildings and infrastructure).
 - Interest in fair labor practices.
 - Concern regarding project timeline, availability of funds, and viability.
 - Concern regarding the lack of funding for grade separation projects (and the associated financial burden placed on local jurisdictions).
- Connectivity
 - Concern regarding the speed and frequency of train service and connectivity to other forms of transit.
 - Concern regarding safe bicycle and pedestrian access.

ⁱ The Authority's definition and application of the term "environmental justice" as it relates to the High Speed Rail project is as follows: *"Environmental Justice (EJ) is the fair treatment of people of all races, cultures, and income with respect to the development, adoption, implementation and enforcement of environmental laws and policies. Implementation of environmental justice principles in how the Authority plans, designs, and delivers the high-speed rail projects means that the Authority recognizes the potential social and environmental impacts that project activities may have on certain segments of the public."* (HSR Authority, Title VI Report, 2013)



**SAN JOSE TO MERCED PROJECT SECTION
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE OUTREACH SUMMARY
May 1, 2019 – October 31, 2019**

Overview

The following report summarizes environmental justiceⁱ outreach activities conducted for the California High-Speed Rail Authority (Authority) San Jose to Merced Project Section between May 1, 2019 and October 31, 2019. These activities are consistent with the overall approach and specific strategies outlined in the San Jose to Merced Environmental Justice Outreach Plan and the High-Speed Rail Project Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement Environmental Methodology Guidelines, Version 5 (or, EMGv5).

In keeping with the San Jose to Merced Environmental Justice Outreach Plan, the activities in this report are organized into the following outreach categories:

- Public meetings
- Stakeholder group meetings
- Local stakeholder contact

I. Public Meetings

During the reporting period, there were no Authority-hosted public meetings in the San Jose to Merced Project Section. The Authority hosted open houses (focused on the selection of a preferred alternative), but these are not being included as they neither focused on environmental justice nor did they target environmental justice communities.

II. Group Stakeholder Meetings

While the Authority convened several Community Working Groups (CWGs) along the San Jose to Merced Project Section during this time period, these meetings were not focused on environmental justice topics and they did not target environmental justice communities.

III. Local Stakeholder Contact

During the reporting period, the San Jose to Merced Project Section’s outreach consultant participated in 11 outreach events and also led 11 stakeholder/service provider interviews.

Outreach Events

Meeting	Date	Location	# of Attendees	Resource Partners/ Advocacy Groups
Gardner Community Meeting	5/13/2019	San Jose	17	Gardner Neighborhood Association
Gilroy Community Meeting	5/28/2019	Gilroy	4	Gilroy Unified School District

HomeFirst Homeless (Monterey Corridor)	5/31/2019	San Jose	12	
Homeless Dinner	6/13/2019	Gilroy	20	St Joseph's Family Center
PATH Homeless (Diridon)	6/14/2019	San Jose	8	
Morgan Hill Music	7/5/2019	Morgan Hill	50	Morgan Hill Chamber of Commerce
Good Morning Morgan Hill Chamber Breakfast	8/1/2019	Morgan Hill	75	Morgan Hill Chamber of Commerce
Downtown San Jose Farmers Market	8/9/2019	San Jose	60	
Gilroy Car Show	8/17/2019	Gilroy	80	
Refugee and Immigrant Forum of Santa Clara County	10/16/2019	San Jose	15	
Vietnamese Moon Festival	9/20/2019 - 9/21/2019	San Jose	90	

The following items emerged from these environmental justice outreach events:

- **Project Timeline/Sequencing/Process**
 - Interest in the project timeline (e.g., the sequencing of construction phases, coordination with local infrastructure projects, and the timing of property acquisitions) and the process for selecting a preferred alternative.
 - Concern regarding news reports of project delays, mismanagement and cost overruns.
- **Displacement/Property Impacts**
 - Interest in pinpointing the specific homes that will be affected by the project and acquired by the Authority.
 - Concern regarding potential impacts to historic buildings and public spaces (e.g., Fuller Park) and relief that the preferred alternative may minimize such displacement.
 - Concern that the project may raise housing prices.
- **Coordination with External Agencies**
 - Interest in the logistics of how the Authority will coordinate service with other transportation agencies (e.g., Union Pacific, Caltrain, Amtrak, BART, local buses)
 - Interest in how the High-Speed Rail timeline relates to the development of the Diridon Integrated Station Concept.
- **Funding**
 - Concern regarding the availability of funding to complete the project given the lack of Federal funding forthcoming.
 - Concern that additional funding will necessitate raising taxes and suggestions that support should come from technology companies in Silicon Valley (especially those providing shuttles for employees).
- **Noise**
 - Concern regarding noise impacts of train operation and interest in mitigations including quiet zones and sound walls.

- **Train Stations**
 - Interest in the location and construction timeline of train stations (including East Gilroy Station and Diridon).
 - Disappointment that there are no plans for a station in Morgan Hill.
- **Traffic**
 - Concern regarding traffic congestion at at-grade intersections, especially given the higher frequency of trains.
 - Optimism that the project may benefit all commuters by relieving traffic congestion (specifically in the Peninsula Corridor).
- **Project Benefits and Equity**
 - Concern that project benefits will not be distributed equitably and that individuals and communities with the least social/political capital will bear the greatest negative impacts.
 - Optimism regarding potential project benefits (e.g., economic opportunities for downtown Gilroy, increased commuting options especially for non-drivers, job opportunities, more investment in Gilroy, alternative means of travelling to Southern California).
- **Community Cohesion/Separation/Connectedness**
 - Optimism regarding greater access to other cities across California.
 - Concern that the project is dividing the community “both physically and spiritually.”
- **Public Awareness/Outreach/Engagement**
 - Interest in having outreach materials translated into additional languages and distributed to non-English speaking communities.
 - Widespread belief that the project had been canceled.
 - Concern that the public isn’t being sufficiently engaged in the process.
 - Concern that youth lack awareness of the project.
- **Homeless**
 - Concern regarding the use and proximity of high-speed rail infrastructure (e.g., train stations, tracks, tunnels, archways) to numerous existing homeless encampments; the difficulty of relocating homeless individuals, and the potential future development of similar encampments.
 - Concern for the safety of homeless individuals who cross the train tracks as part of their daily routine.
- **Safety**
 - Interest in safety features and other infrastructure that might mitigate risks, including pedestrian bridges, ramps, walls, gates, fences and caged entrance systems to the public (especially vulnerable populations such as children and the homeless).
 - Concern with safety impacts to at-risk youth living near tracks who may attempt to inflict self-harm (specifically regarding those alternatives going through downtown Gilroy that will be adjacent to Rebekah Children’s Services).
 - Concern regarding safety impacts from electrification and at-grade crossings.
- **Alternatives**
 - General
 - Interest in whether there will be a single alternative for the entire project section, or if elements of different alternatives can be combined.
 - Support for Alternative 4

- Belief that Alternative 4 would have the smallest impact on properties (including homes and historic buildings).
- Belief that using existing tracks is the most efficient, cost-effective solution and would cause the fewest disruptions.
- Opposition to Alternative 4
 - Concern that Alternative 4 will contribute to the Mexican community’s segregation as it will serve as a barrier from the rest of Gilroy.
 - Concern with safety issues along the alignment, especially regarding schools and their proximity to tracks.
 - Concern that Alternative 4 poses the greatest risk to the Gilroy community because of the many educational institutions along the alignment.
 - Concern regarding the speed of trains under Alternative 4.
- Opposition to Other Alternatives
 - Concern that Alternative 3 will negatively impact farmers.
 - Concern that a viaduct may disrupt traffic along Monterey Road and thereby negatively impact businesses in Gilroy and Morgan Hill.
 - Concern about the cost associated with constructing a viaduct.
- Support for Other Alternatives
 - Preference for a viaduct in the median of Highway 101 to mitigate safety concerns.

Stakeholder/Service Provider Interviews

Meeting	Date	Location	Organization
Desarie Abeyta	6/25/2019	San Jose	Bill Wilson Center
Elizabeth Gonzalez	6/26/2019	San Jose	De-Bug
Rosalinda Aguilar & Ray Moreno	10/29/2019	San Jose	Guadalupe Washington Neighborhood Association
Mags Petkewicz	10/29/2019	Gardner	Gardner Academy
Brandon Quintanilla	10/29/2019	San Jose	Better Tomorrow
Nastaran Nazarian	10/30/2019	San Jose	PARS Equality Center
Adrienne Keel	10/17/2019	San Jose	Family and Children Services of Silicon Valley (LGBTQ Youth Program)
Vy Nguyen	10/18/2019	San Jose	San Jose Environmental Services Communications Division
Susan Norris	10/2/2019	San Jose	PG&E
Quyên Vuong	10/24/2019	San Jose	International Children Assistance Network
Jody Ngo	10/4/2019	San Jose	UStar Productions

The following items emerged from these environmental justice outreach interviews:

- **Safety**
 - Concern regarding people crossing the tracks (e.g., students accessing schools, children accessing libraries, adjacent homeless encampments, and community members who do not have cars and therefore rely on walking and bicycling).

- Concern regarding adequate fencing and barriers.
- Concern regarding adequate signage and the need for a public awareness campaign to warn people of danger (especially given the substance abuse and mental health issues in the homeless community).
- **Homeless Issues**
 - Concern regarding the proximity of homeless encampments to the tracks and associated safety risks and displacement.
- **Noise**
 - Concern regarding noise impacts (both during construction and once service begins).
- **Displacement**
 - Concern about increased property values leading to community members losing their homes.
- **Air Quality**
 - Concern regarding air quality impacts during construction.
- **Access/Affordability**
 - Concern that the benefits of high-speed rail will not be equitably shared if the service does not offer an affordable mode of travel.
- **Traffic**
 - Concern regarding the impact on traffic congestion, especially during school drop-off and pick-up times.
- **Project Benefits**
 - Optimism that the project will provide constituent communities with greater access to Southern California.
- **Public Outreach**
 - Concern that local EJ populations are not aware of the project.
 - Concern that materials should be translated into additional languages (e.g., Farsi).
 - Concern that EJ communities must have a voice in the process.
 - Concern that the youth have not been adequately engaged.
 - Recommendations for how best to reach various EJ communities (including collaborating with trusted local service providers, cultural events, local in-language media outlets, and door-to-door communication).

ⁱ The Authority's definition and application of the term "environmental justice" as it relates to the high-speed rail project is as follows: *"Environmental Justice (EJ) is the fair treatment of people of all races, cultures, and income with respect to the development, adoption, implementation and enforcement of environmental laws and policies. Implementation of environmental justice principles in how the Authority plans, designs, and delivers the high-speed rail projects means that the Authority recognizes the potential social and environmental impacts that project activities may have on certain segments of the public."* (HSR Authority, Title VI Report, 2013)



**SAN JOSE TO MERCED PROJECT SECTION
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE OUTREACH SUMMARY
November 1, 2019 – April 30, 2020**

Overview

The following report summarizes environmental justiceⁱ outreach activities conducted for the California High-Speed Rail Authority (Authority) San Jose to Merced Project Section between November 1, 2019 and April 30, 2020. These activities are consistent with the overall approach and specific strategies outlined in the San Jose to Merced Environmental Justice Outreach Plan and the High-Speed Rail Project Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement Environmental Methodology Guidelines, Version 5 (or, EMGv5).

In keeping with the San Jose to Merced Environmental Justice Outreach Plan, the activities in this report are organized into the following outreach categories:

- Public meetings
- Stakeholder group meetings
- Local stakeholder contact

I. Public Meetings

During the reporting period, there were no Authority-hosted public meetings in the San Jose to Merced Project Section.

II. Group Stakeholder Meetings

While the Authority convened several Community Working Groups (CWGs) along the San Jose to Merced Project Section during this time period, these meetings were not focused on environmental justice topics and they did not target environmental justice communities.

III. Local Stakeholder Contact

During the reporting period, the San Jose to Merced Project Section’s outreach consultant participated in 15 outreach events and led 52 stakeholder/service provider interviews.

Outreach Events

Meeting	Date	Location	# of Attendees	Resource Partners/ Advocacy Groups
Gardner Elementary PTO Meeting and Community Walk	12/13/2019	San Jose	5	
Gilroy Unified School District Presentation	1/28/2020	Gilroy	4	Gilroy Unified Schools, South Valley Middle & Rebekah Children’s Services



Meeting	Date	Location	# of Attendees	Resource Partners/ Advocacy Groups
Shasta Hanchett Park Neighborhood Association Fair	2/1/2020	San Jose	60	
Meet the Black Authors and Artists Event	2/1/2020	San Jose	75	African American Community Services Agency
Santa Clara Unified School District Principals	2/6/2020	Santa Clara, San Jose	8	
Guadalupe Washington Safety Coalition Community Walk	2/20/2020	San Jose	9	
Guadalupe Washington Neighborhood Association Presentation	2/20/2020	San Jose	8	
Family Fun Friday	2/21/2020	San Jose	40	African American Community Services Agency
Emergency Assistance Network Presentation	2/24/2020	San Jose	7	
Oak Grove High School Latino Parents Meeting	3/4/2020	San Jose	14	
Franklin-McKinley School District Webinar	4/16/2020	Webinar	7	
Muslim Community Association Community Webinar	4/19/2020	Webinar	9	
Edenvale Great Oaks Plan Implementation Coalition Community Webinar	4/21/2020	Webinar	6	
Madre-A-Madre Community Webinar	4/23/2020	Webinar	10	
San Jose Word of Faith Christian Center Community Webinar	4/28/2020	Webinar	5	

The following issues were identified by participants in these environmental justice outreach events:

- **Project Timeline/Sequencing/ Process**
 - Interest in project timeline (e.g., alternative selection, implementation, and project phases).
- **Displacement/Property Impacts**
 - Concern regarding loss of homes, parks, and churches to the project.
 - Interest in understanding the property acquisition process and options that owners have.
 - Concern that displacement challenges will hit lowest income families the hardest.
 - Concern that property, owned by the Authority and neighbors, will be tagged and lead to heightened gang activity.
- **Coordination with External Agencies and Organizations**
 - Recommendation for the Authority to partner with local community-based organizations (CBOs, such as homeless response teams, Complete Streets programs) on programs.

- Recommendation for the Authority to partner with community members on beautification efforts (e.g., tree planting).
- Interest in the relationship and coordinating logistics between the Authority and other transportation providers, especially Union Pacific and Caltrain.
- **Funding**
 - Interest in understanding how the project moves forward while funding is still pending.
- **Noise/Vibration**
 - Concern regarding noise and vibration impacts of train operation and construction.
 - Interest in approaches to and effectiveness of noise mitigation.
 - Concern regarding potential repetition of past transportation projects' unfulfilled promises to produce sound walls.
- **Train Stations**
 - Concern regarding traffic congestion and availability of parking near stations.
- **Traffic**
 - Interest in potential project outcomes that lead to reduced traffic congestion.
 - Concern about increased traffic impacts on safety and accessibility.
- **Mitigation Measures**
 - Concern about effects unable to be mitigated (e.g., displacement, environmental degradation).
 - Interest in understanding mitigation timeline, requirements, and process.
 - Concern, based on reported past experience with other agencies, that Authority may plan but not deliver mitigation.
- **Environmental Enhancements**
 - Interest in enhancements projects (e.g., traffic calming features (pedestrian crossing signs, crosswalks, bike lanes), freeway ramps, fences, playgrounds, school improvements, parks, riverside paths).
 - Concern that project impacts and enhancements benefits cannot fully be understood when projects in the vicinity are still being planned.
 - Concern that there will be unintended consequences of enhancements (i.e., new fences to tag resulting in heightened gang activity).
 - Concern about criteria used to define "environmental justice" communities along the alignment.
- **Project Benefits and Equity**
 - Concern that the environmental process does not necessarily focus on prioritizing communities and protecting the interests of residents.
 - Interest in project benefits, especially improved air quality, access to lower cost housing, traffic reduction, job access, and the connection of families.
- **Community Cohesion/Separation/Connectedness**
 - Concern about community isolation.
 - Interest in project benefit of increased mobility that will keep families connected.
- **Public Awareness/Outreach Engagement**
 - Concern regarding a perceived lack of responsiveness by the Authority to community concerns.
 - Concern regarding the community's lack of awareness or limited knowledge about the project.
 - Interest in learning about commuter fares, requirements to ride (i.e., identification), and train schedules and volumes.

- Interest in the activities and logistics (e.g., open houses, access for those with technological constraints) and methods for engaging stakeholders for the Draft EIR/EIS comment period.
- **Safety**
 - Interest in collaborating with homeless response teams to abate the homeless population and activities along the tracks.
 - Concern regarding emergency vehicle response times and ensuring public safety personnel have direct access to communities.
 - Interest in developing and maintaining safety features (e.g., grade separations, pedestrian overcrossings, traffic safety, pedestrian crossing signs and lights, secure fencing around tracks, lights near new structures).
- **Alternatives**
 - Interest expressed for underground alternatives.
 - Interest in understanding costs differences and displacement effects of each alternative.

Stakeholder/Service Provider Interviews

Meeting	Date	Location	Organization
Claudia Olaciregui	11/4/2019	San Martin	San Martin/Gwinn K-8 Academy
Brandon Quintanilla	11/6/2019	San Jose	Better Tomorrow
Steve Betando	11/20/2019	Morgan Hill	Morgan Hill Unified School District
Dan Keith & Dan Rocca	11/20/2019	San Martin	Rocca's Market
Jim Di Vittorio	11/20/2019	Morgan Hill/San Martin	Maple Leaf RV Park
Kathy Robinson	12/9/2019	Santa Clara	HomeSafe/Charities Housing
Jose Rojo & Jose Serrano	12/9/2019 & 12/13/2019	Santa Clara County	Univision
Thong Vang	12/12/2019	San Jose	San Jose City College
Erick Morales & Christian de le Cruz	12/13/2019	San Jose	La Raza Radio
Kathy Robinson	12/16/2019	Santa Clara	Charities Housing
Aparna Dhoraje	12/17/2019	Santa Clara	Next Door Solutions
Tony Cuevas	12/18/2019	Gilroy	Santa Clara County Family & Children Services
Matthew Thomas	12/19/2019	San Joaquin Valley	Volta Elementary
Alvaro Meza, Guillermo Ramos & Kevin Sved	12/19/2019	Gilroy	Gilroy Unified School District
Joe Heim	12/20/2019	Los Banos	Los Banos Community Center
Sujatha Venkatraman	1/8/2020	San Jose Diridon	West Valley Community Services
Victoria Sorensen & Jessie Swift	1/15/2020	Morgan Hill	Morgan Hill Unified School District & CARE
Martin Enriquez	1/15/2020	Gilroy	Gilroy High School

Meeting	Date	Location	Organization
Rebecca Garcia, Edith Ramirez & Tiffany Brown	1/15/2020	Morgan Hill	City of Morgan Hill
Kathleen Rose & Denée Pescarmona	1/15/2020	Gilroy	Gavilan College
Stella Kemp & Jennifer Derrico	1/16/2020	Santa Clara	Santa Clara Unified School District
Deacon Ruben Solorio	1/16/2020	San Jose	Sacred Heart Nativity
Adrienne Keel	1/17/2020	South San Jose	Family & Children's Services of Silicon Valley, County of Santa Clara
Josue Fuentes & Hugo Meza	1/22/2020	Guadalupe Washington	Guadalupe-Washington Safety Coalition
Blake Balajadia	1/23/2020	San Jose	San Jose City College
Milan Balinton	1/23/2020	San Jose	African American Community Services Agency
Kristine Nguyen	1/23/2020	San Jose	Go Kids (formerly Estrella Family Services)
Ben Spielberg	1/23/2020	San Jose	San Jose Unified School District
Corrin Reynolds	1/28/2020	Gardner/San Jose Diridon/Guadalupe Washington	San Jose Unified School District
Amer Iqbal & Matthew Thomas	1/29/2020	Los Banos	Los Banos Unified School District
Janine Ramirez & Ernest Alnas	1/29/2020	Alma	Rocketship Public Schools
Chris Funk & Chris Jew	1/30/2020	San Jose	East Side Union High School District
Juan Carlos Villasenor & Mari de Solorzano	1/30/2020	San Jose	Voices Charter Academy
Yves Zsutty	2/3/2020	San Jose	City of San Jose Parks/Trails
Michelle Covert, Serge Lilavois, Frances Hernandez & Beatriz Ramos	2/6/2020	Santa Clara/San Jose	Homeless Service Providers
Jose Manzo & Laura Phan	2/6/2020	San Jose	Oak Grove Elementary School District
Maricela Alcala Franco	2/6/2020	San Jose	Washington Elementary
Aliza Kazmi	2/7/2020	Santa Clara/San Jose	Council on American Islamic Relations (CAIR)
Glenna Williams & Hezekiah Alexander	2/7/2020	San Jose	San Jose Word of Faith Christian Center Board
Quyên Vuong	2/7/2020	San Jose	ICAN Family Resource Center
Esther Peralez-Dieckmann	2/10/2020	Santa Clara	NextDoor Solutions
Daniel Peck	2/10/2020	Santa Clara	Missing College
Hermelinda Sapien	2/20/2020	San Jose	Center for Employment Training (CET)
Janine Ramirez, Ernest Alnas, Justin White, Adiagha Mbonisi, Debesay Teklemariam, Ana Velasquez & Leticia Bermudez	2/26/2020	San Jose	Rocketship Mateo Sheedy Elementary

Meeting	Date	Location	Organization
Aparna Dhoraje, Maria Rosas & Daniela Maldonado	2/26/2020	Santa Clara	Next Door Solutions/Home Safe
Frances Hernandez, Michael Freyer & Catalina Lopez	2/27/2020	Santa Clara/San Jose	HomeFirst Service Area Assessment
Athar Siddiqee	2/28/2020	Santa Clara/San Jose	South Bay Islamic Association (SBIA)
Brett Bymaster	3/10/2020	San Jose	Tamien Community Organizer
Philip Nguyen	3/12/2020	San Jose	Vietnamese American Round Table
Peter Nguyen	3/12/2020	San Jose	Vietnamese Voluntary Foundation (VIVO)

The following issues were identified by participants in these environmental justice outreach interviews:

- **Safety**
 - Concern regarding potential hazards of local tracks (e.g., children accessing school, older adults, unhoused populations, those looking to self-harm).
 - Recommendation to consult neighborhoods before adding barriers to prevent unintended consequences (i.e., gang activity could increase with new fences/walls).
 - Interest in measures to mitigate the impacts of natural hazards.
 - Concern regarding emergency vehicle response times and ensuring public safety personnel have direct access to communities.
- **Homelessness**
 - Concern regarding the displacement of homeless encampments that are currently located within close proximity of high-speed rail infrastructure (e.g., train stations, tracks, tunnels, archways).
 - Concern regarding the creation of new homeless encampments (e.g., Coyote Valley Wildlife, below bridges) and an increase in crime associated with encampments (i.e., an uptick in illicit drugs and theft).
 - Interest in the provision of housing for unhoused communities living along the corridor.
- **Noise & Vibration**
 - Concern regarding noise and vibration impacts of train operation and interest in mitigation measures.
- **Displacement/Property Impacts**
 - Interest in understanding the extent to which properties will be impacted.
 - Concern regarding gentrification and its impact on communities, especially low-income residents and students.
 - Concern regarding the potential for vandalism and graffiti as a result of the installation of barriers like sound walls.
- **Partnerships**
 - Interest in project partnerships (i.e., schools and workforce training programs that could be cosponsored by the Authority).
- **Funding**
 - Concern regarding the availability of funding to complete construction of the project.
- **Project Benefits and Equity**
 - Concern about the potential lack of social and economic investment in disadvantaged communities, especially Black and Latino communities.

- Optimism that the project will hire locally and improve quality of life through access to affordable housing.
- **Community Cohesion/Separation/Connectedness**
 - Concerns regarding potential impacts to community cohesion and access to jobs on either side of high-speed rail tracks.
 - Concern that there will be disruptions to businesses during construction.
- **Public Awareness/Outreach/Engagement**
 - Concern that Latinx communities in the Bay Area are not aware of the project.
 - Recommendations for how best to reach various EJ communities: incentivizing members and businesses to provide feedback and referrals, contracting local nonprofits for consultation services to participate in outreach, and developing materials specific to each community.
- **Access/Affordability**
 - Optimism that the project will increase access to services and businesses throughout California.
 - Interest in what the fare will be.
 - Interest in train schedules and their relationship to peak travel times.
- **Traffic & Parking**
 - Concern regarding the impact on traffic congestion during peak hours (e.g., school drop-off and pick-up times).
 - Concern regarding the availability of parking, which is already seen as limited.
 - Concern regarding disruption to bus routes, including school bus routes, during both construction and operation.
- **Alternatives**
 - General
 - Interest in the selection process for Alternative 4 as the Preferred Alternative.
 - Support for Alternative 4
 - Belief that Alternative 4 would have the lowest impact on residential properties and businesses.
 - Concern about the viaduct in other Alternatives.
 - Opposition to Alternative 4
 - Concern that Alternative 4 may negatively impact some residential areas.
 - Support for Other Alternatives
 - Preference for Alternative 1 through Morgan Hill to avoid downtown and reduce disruption of bus routes.
- **Environmental Enhancements**
 - Interest in environmental enhancements, including safety barriers, noise/vibration mitigation, public transportation improvements, active transportation infrastructure (e.g., bikes lanes, sidewalks, pedestrian crossings, lights and bridges), affordable housing for unhoused communities, better access to services (e.g., signage for emergency services, vouchers for hotel stays, mobile showers program, mobile health clinics), subsidized transit fares, training and employment opportunities, public space improvements, and property improvements.
 - Interest in the enhancements process., including types of enhancements, selection criteria, and rating system.
 - Interest in improved public spaces and investment in new spaces

ⁱ The Authority’s definition and application of the term “environmental justice” as it relates to the high-speed rail project is as follows: *“Environmental Justice (EJ) is the fair treatment of people of all races, cultures, and income with respect to the development, adoption, implementation and enforcement of environmental laws and policies. Implementation of environmental justice principles in how the Authority plans, designs, and delivers the high-speed rail projects means that the Authority recognizes the potential social and environmental impacts that project activities may have on certain segments of the public.”* (HSR Authority, Title VI Report, 2013)



**SAN JOSE TO MERCED PROJECT SECTION
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE OUTREACH SUMMARY
May 1, 2020 – October 30, 2020**

Overview

The following report summarizes environmental justiceⁱ outreach activities conducted for the California High-Speed Rail Authority (Authority) San Jose to Merced Project Section between May 1, 2020 and October 30, 2020. These activities are consistent with the overall approach and specific strategies outlined in the San Jose to Merced Environmental Justice Outreach Plan and the High-Speed Rail Project Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement Environmental Methodology Guidelines, Version 5 (or, EMGv5).

In keeping with the San Jose to Merced Environmental Justice Outreach Plan, the activities in this report are organized into the following outreach categories:

- Public meetings
- Stakeholder group meetings
- Local stakeholder contact

I. Public Meetings

While the Authority hosted three virtual public open houses and a virtual public hearing along the San Jose to Merced Project Section during this time period, these events were not focused on environmental justice topics and they did not target environmental justice communities.

II. Group Stakeholder Meetings

While the Authority convened several Community Working Groups (CWGs) along the San Jose to Merced Project Section during this time period, these meetings were not focused on environmental justice topics and they did not target environmental justice communities.

III. Local Stakeholder Contact

During the reporting period, the San Jose to Merced Project Section’s outreach consultant participated in 6 outreach events and led 16 stakeholder/service provider community enhancements engagements.

Outreach Events

Meeting	Date	Location	# of Attendees	Resource Partners/ Advocacy Groups
Franklin-McKinley School District Webinar	4/16/2020	Webinar	7	
Muslim Community Association Community Webinar	4/19/2020	Webinar	9	

Meeting	Date	Location	# of Attendees	Resource Partners/ Advocacy Groups
Edenvale Great Oaks Plan Implementation Coalition Community Webinar	4/21/2020	Webinar	6	
Madre-A-Madre Community Webinar	4/23/2020	Webinar	10	
San Jose Word of Faith Christian Center Community Webinar	4/28/2020	Webinar	9	
Santa Clara County Refugee and Immigrant Forum Announcement	6/17/2020	Webinar	N/A	

The following issues were identified by participants in these environmental justice outreach events:

- **Project Timeline/Sequencing/ Process**
 - Interest in project timeline (e.g., alternative selection, implementation, and project phases).
- **Coordination with External Agencies and Organizations**
 - Interest in the relationship between the Authority and other transportation providers, especially Union Pacific.
- **Noise/Vibration**
 - Concern regarding noise and vibration impacts of train operation and construction.
 - Interest in approaches to and effectiveness of noise mitigation such as sound walls, especially in areas around public institutions (e.g., schools and churches).
- **Traffic**
 - Concern about increased traffic impacts on safety and accessibility.
 - Concern about congestion owing to the closure of car lanes during construction.
- **Public Awareness/Outreach Engagement**
 - Interest in learning about commuter fares, requirements to ride (i.e., identification), and train schedules and volumes.
 - Interest in the activities and logistics (e.g., open houses, access for those with technological constraints) and methods for engaging stakeholders for the Draft EIR/EIS comment period.
- **Safety**
 - Concern regarding potential hazards of at-grade intersections.
 - Safety in communities adjacent to tracks.

Stakeholder/Service Provider Community Enhancements Meetings

Meeting	Date	Location	Organization
Fouad Khatib	4/10/2020	Webinar	Muslim Community Association
Steve Betando & Kirsten Perez	7/20/2020	Webinar	Morgan Hill Unified School District

Meeting	Date	Location	Organization
Tiffany Brown & Edith Ramirez	7/22/2020	Webinar	City of Morgan Hill
Antoinette Romeo & Jeremy Farr	7/23/2020	Webinar	Santa Clara County Parks & Recreation Department
Christophe Rebboah	7/24/2020	Webinar	Rebekah Children's Services
Milan Balinton	7/27/2020	Webinar	African American Community Service Agency
Kathleen Rose, Denee Pescarmona, Michael Renzi & Jan Chargin	7/27/2020	Webinar	Gavilan College
Kraig Tambornini, Julie Wyrick, Gary Heap, Girus Awoke & Cindy McCormick	7/28/2020	Webinar	City of Gilroy
Michael Liw	7/28/2020	Webinar	City of Santa Clara
Amer Iqbal, Alejandra Garibay & Matt Thomas	7/29/2020	Webinar	Los Banos Unified School District
Alvaro Mesa & Guillermo Ramos	7/29/2020	Webinar	Gilroy Unified School District
Hermelinda Sapien & Rosa Maritza Ontiveros	7/30/2020	Webinar	Center for Employment Training (CET)
Yves Zsutty, Brian Stanke, Stacey Lu & Tala Fatolahzadeh	7/30/2020	Webinar	City of San Jose & San Jose Department of Parks & Recreation
David Lovato, Jason Kim & John Sighamony	7/30/2020	Webinar	Santa Clara VTA
Beatriz Ramos & Michelle Covert	7/31/2020	Webinar	Homeless Service Providers
Ben Spielberg & Brian Stanke	7/31/2020	Webinar	San Jose Unified School District

The following issues were identified by participants in these environmental justice community enhancements engagements:

- **Safety**
 - Concern regarding potential hazards of at-grade intersections.
- **Noise & Vibration**
 - Concern regarding noise impacts of train operation.
- **Environmental Enhancements**
 - Concern regarding funding, particularly the possibility of a delay in the distribution of funds leading to a misalignment with stakeholder needs at that time.
 - Optimism about the benefits of enhancement projects on the broader community (e.g., increased access to technology and recreational space as well as improved safety).
 - Uncertainty about prioritizing feedback and defining roles, particularly for multi-agency projects.
 - Recommendations to edit sections of the enhancement profiles provided by the Authority (clarify, update and/or correct important terms, needs and requests).

ⁱ The Authority’s definition and application of the term “environmental justice” as it relates to the high-speed rail project is as follows: *“Environmental Justice (EJ) is the fair treatment of people of all races, cultures, and income with respect to the development, adoption, implementation and enforcement of environmental laws and policies. Implementation of environmental justice principles in how the Authority plans, designs, and delivers the high-speed rail projects means that the Authority recognizes the potential social and environmental impacts that project activities may have on certain segments of the public.”* (HSR Authority, Title VI Report, 2013)



**SAN JOSE TO MERCED PROJECT SECTION
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE OUTREACH SUMMARY
November 1, 2020 – April 30, 2021**

Overview

The following report summarizes environmental justiceⁱ outreach activities conducted for the California High-Speed Rail Authority (Authority) San Jose to Merced Project Section between November 1, 2020 and April 30, 2021. These activities are consistent with the overall approach and specific strategies outlined in the San Jose to Merced Environmental Justice Outreach Plan and the High-Speed Rail Project Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement Environmental Methodology Guidelines, Version 5 (or, EMGv5).

In keeping with the San Jose to Merced Environmental Justice Outreach Plan, the activities in this report are organized into the following outreach categories:

- Public meetings
- Stakeholder group meetings
- Local stakeholder contact

I. Public Meetings

During the reporting period, there were no Authority-hosted public meetings in the San Jose to Merced Project Section.

II. Group Stakeholder Meetings

While the Authority convened several Community Working Groups (CWGs) along the San Jose to Merced Project Section during this time period, these meetings were not focused on environmental justice topics, and they did not target environmental justice communities.

III. Local Stakeholder Contact

During the reporting period, the San Jose to Merced Project Section’s outreach consultant participated in 1 outreach event and led no stakeholder/service provider interviews.

Outreach Events

Meeting	Date	Location	# of Attendees	Resource Partners/ Advocacy Groups
Independence High School/Engineering Academy meeting	3/25/2021	Webinar	30	N/A

Participants in the above outreach event were given the opportunity to ask questions about California High-Speed Rail but did not raise any concerns about the project.

ⁱ The Authority’s definition and application of the term “environmental justice” as it relates to the high-speed rail project is as follows: *“Environmental Justice (EJ) is the fair treatment of people of all races, cultures, and income with respect to the development, adoption, implementation and enforcement of environmental laws and policies. Implementation of environmental justice principles in how the Authority plans, designs, and delivers the high-speed rail projects means that the Authority recognizes the potential social and environmental impacts that project activities may have on certain segments of the public.”* (HSR Authority, Title VI Report, 2013)