

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Volume I: Report

SUMMARY	S-1
S.1 Introduction and Background.....	S-3
S.1.1 Modifications Since the Draft EIR/EIS	S-6
S.2 Tiered Environmental Review: Final Statewide Program EIR/EIS and San Jose to Merced Project Section EIR/EIS.....	S-15
S.3 Issues Raised during the Scoping Process	S-16
S.4 Purpose of and Need for the HSR System and the San Jose to Merced Project Section	S-18
S.4.1 Purpose of the High-Speed Rail System	S-18
S.4.2 Purpose of the San Jose to Merced Project Section	S-18
S.4.3 CEQA Project Objectives for the High-Speed Rail System in California and in the San Jose to Merced Project Section.....	S-18
S.4.4 Statewide and Regional Need for the High-Speed Rail System Statewide and in the San Jose to Merced Project Section	S-19
S.5 Alternatives.....	S-19
S.5.1 No Project Alternative	S-20
S.5.2 San Jose to Merced Project Section Alternatives	S-20
S.5.3 Station Area Development.....	S-25
S.5.4 Maintenance Facilities	S-25
S.6 Impact Avoidance and Minimization Features	S-25
S.7 No Project Alternative Impacts	S-29
S.8 HSR Alternatives Evaluation	S-30
S.8.1 HSR Benefits	S-31
S.8.2 Adverse Effects Common to All Alternatives	S-32
S.8.3 Comparison of Impacts for the Project Alternatives.....	S-32
S.8.4 Comparison of HSR Stations.....	S-79
S.8.5 Comparison of Maintenance Facilities	S-79
S.8.6 CEQA Summary of Impacts and Mitigation	S-79
S.8.7 Capital and Operations Cost.....	S-110
S.9 Section 4(f) and Section 6(f).....	S-110
S.9.1 Section 4(f)	S-110
S.9.2 Section 6(f)	S-111
S.10 Environmental Justice	S-112
S.11 Areas of Controversy.....	S-113
S.12 Environmental Process.....	S-113
S.12.1 Identification of Preferred Alternative.....	S-114
S.13 Next Steps in the Environmental Process	S-119
S.13.1 California High-Speed Rail Authority Decision-Making.....	S-119
S.13.2 Federal Railroad Administration Decision-Making	S-119
S.13.3 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Decision-Making	S-120
S.13.4 Surface Transportation Board.....	S-120
S.13.5 Project Implementation	S-120
1 PROJECT PURPOSE, NEED, AND OBJECTIVES	1-1
1.1 Introduction.....	1-2
1.1.1 The High-Speed Rail System.....	1-2

- 1.1.2 The Decision to Develop a Statewide High-Speed Rail System..... 1-4
- 1.1.3 Implementation of the Statewide High-Speed Rail System 1-5
- 1.1.4 The San Jose to Merced Project Section 1-10
- 1.1.5 Lead Agencies, Cooperating Agencies, and Responsible Agencies 1-11
- 1.1.6 Compatibility with Federal Transportation Policy 1-12
- 1.2 Purpose of and Need for the High-Speed Rail System and the San Jose to Merced Project Section..... 1-13
 - 1.2.1 Purpose of the High-Speed Rail System 1-13
 - 1.2.2 Purpose of the San Jose to Merced Project Section 1-13
 - 1.2.3 CEQA Project Objectives of the High-Speed Rail System in California and in the San Jose to Merced Project Section Area 1-14
 - 1.2.4 Statewide and Regional Need for the High-Speed Rail System in the San Jose to Merced Project Section Area 1-14
- 1.3 Relationship to Other Agency Plans, Policies, and Programs..... 1-33
 - 1.3.1 California Transportation Plan 2040 1-33
 - 1.3.2 Plan Bay Area 2040..... 1-33
 - 1.3.3 San Francisco Bay Area Regional Rail Plan 1-34
 - 1.3.4 Authority Agreements with Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board and Other Agencies Regarding Blended Service in the Caltrain Corridor 1-34
 - 1.3.5 Caltrain Strategic Plan 2015–2024 1-36
 - 1.3.6 On the Move—San Benito Regional Transportation Plan (2018–2040) 1-36
 - 1.3.7 San Joaquin Valley Blueprint Roadmap Summary 1-36
 - 1.3.8 San Joaquin Corridor Strategic Plan 1-37
 - 1.3.9 Regional Transportation Plan and Sustainable Communities Strategy for Merced County..... 1-37
 - 1.3.10 Madera County Transportation Commission 2018 Regional Transportation Plan 1-38
 - 1.3.11 ACE Extension Lathrop to Ceres/Merced..... 1-38
 - 1.3.12 Valley Link 1-38
 - 1.3.13 Capitol Corridor 2014 Vision Plan Update 1-39
 - 1.3.14 Bay Area Rapid Transit Vision Update 1-39
 - 1.3.15 San Jose International Airport Master Plan 1-39
 - 1.3.16 Oakland International Airport..... 1-40
- 1.4 Relationship to Other Transportation Projects in the Study Area 1-40
 - 1.4.1 Caltrain Modernization Program 1-40
 - 1.4.2 Caltrain South Terminal Phases II and III 1-41
 - 1.4.3 Bay Area Rapid Transit Silicon Valley Extension 1-41
 - 1.4.4 Silicon Valley Express Lanes 1-41
 - 1.4.5 ACE Extension Lathrop to Ceres/Merced..... 1-41
 - 1.4.6 Valley Link 1-42
 - 1.4.7 San Jose International Airport Automated People Mover Connector 1-42
 - 1.4.8 Bus Rapid Transit 1-42
 - 1.4.9 Double-Track Segments of the Caltrain Line between San Jose and Gilroy..... 1-42
 - 1.4.10 Monterey County Rail Extension 1-42

- 2 ALTERNATIVES2-1
 - 2.1 Introduction.....2-2
 - 2.2 Independent Utility.....2-7
 - 2.3 Background2-7
 - 2.4 HSR System Infrastructure.....2-7
 - 2.4.1 System Design Performance, Safety, and Security2-8
 - 2.4.2 Vehicles2-9
 - 2.4.3 Stations2-11
 - 2.4.4 Infrastructure Components2-14
 - 2.4.5 Grade Separations.....2-21
 - 2.4.6 At-Grade Crossings2-25
 - 2.4.7 Traction Power Distribution.....2-27
 - 2.4.8 Network Upgrades2-31
 - 2.4.9 Signaling and Train-Control Elements2-31
 - 2.4.10 Track Structure2-32
 - 2.4.11 Maintenance Facilities2-32
 - 2.5 Alternatives Considered during Alternatives Screening Process2-33
 - 2.6 Alignments, Station Sites, and Maintenance Facilities Evaluated in this Final EIR/EIS2-40
 - 2.6.1 No Project Alternative—Planned Improvements.....2-40
 - 2.6.2 San Jose to Central Valley Wye Project Alternatives2-50
 - 2.7 Ridership2-136
 - 2.7.1 Travel Demand and Ridership Forecasts2-136
 - 2.7.2 Ridership and HSR System Design2-137
 - 2.7.3 Ridership and Environmental Impact Analysis.....2-138
 - 2.7.4 Ridership and Station Area Parking.....2-138
 - 2.8 Operations and Service Plan.....2-140
 - 2.8.1 HSR Service2-140
 - 2.8.2 Maintenance Activities2-141
 - 2.9 Additional High-Speed Rail Development Considerations2-143
 - 2.9.1 High-Speed Rail, Land Use Patterns, and Development Around High-Speed Rail Stations2-143
 - 2.9.2 Right-of-Way Acquisition for Construction, Operations, and Maintenance of High-Speed Rail2-143
 - 2.10 Construction Plan2-144
 - 2.10.1 General Approach.....2-145
 - 2.10.2 Pre-Construction Activities.....2-148
 - 2.10.3 Major Construction Activities.....2-154
 - 2.11 Permits2-165
- 3 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES, AND MITIGATION MEASURES3.1-1
 - 3.1 Introduction.....3.1-1
 - 3.1.1 Federal and State Regulatory Context.....3.1-1
 - 3.1.2 State and Regional Policy Context3.1-2
 - 3.1.3 Changes between the Draft EIR/EIS and This Final EIR/EIS3.1-3
 - 3.1.4 Chapter 3 Purpose.....3.1-3
 - 3.1.5 Chapter 3 Organization.....3.1-5
 - 3.1.6 Chapter 3 Content3.1-5
 - 3.1.7 Outreach to Local Agencies.....3.1-15
 - 3.1.8 Legal Authority to Implement Off-Site Mitigation.....3.1-15

- 3.2 Transportation 3.2-1
 - 3.2.1 Introduction 3.2-3
 - 3.2.2 Laws, Regulations, and Orders 3.2-4
 - 3.2.3 Consistency with Plans and Laws 3.2-7
 - 3.2.4 Methods for Evaluating Impacts 3.2-8
 - 3.2.5 Affected Environment 3.2-20
 - 3.2.6 Environmental Consequences 3.2-46
 - 3.2.7 Mitigation Measures 3.2-103
 - 3.2.8 Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives 3.2-117
 - 3.2.9 CEQA Significance Conclusions 3.2-130
- 3.3 Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases 3.3-1
 - 3.3.1 Introduction 3.3-2
 - 3.3.2 Laws, Regulations, and Orders 3.3-4
 - 3.3.3 Consistency with Plans and Laws 3.3-12
 - 3.3.4 Methods for Evaluating Impacts 3.3-13
 - 3.3.5 Affected Environment 3.3-31
 - 3.3.6 Environmental Consequences 3.3-48
 - 3.3.7 Mitigation Measures 3.3-102
 - 3.3.8 Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives 3.3-105
 - 3.3.9 CEQA Significance Conclusions 3.3-113
- 3.4 Noise and Vibration 3.4-1
 - 3.4.1 Introduction 3.4-2
 - 3.4.2 Laws, Regulations, and Orders 3.4-6
 - 3.4.3 Consistency with Plans and Laws 3.4-11
 - 3.4.4 Methods for Evaluating Impacts 3.4-12
 - 3.4.5 Affected Environment 3.4-28
 - 3.4.6 Environmental Consequences 3.4-37
 - 3.4.7 Mitigation Measures 3.4-90
 - 3.4.8 Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives 3.4-125
 - 3.4.9 CEQA Significance Conclusions 3.4-132
- 3.5 Electromagnetic Fields and Electromagnetic Interference 3.5-1
 - 3.5.1 Introduction 3.5-1
 - 3.5.2 Laws, Regulations, and Orders 3.5-6
 - 3.5.3 Consistency with Plans and Laws 3.5-10
 - 3.5.4 Methods for Evaluating Impacts 3.5-11
 - 3.5.5 Affected Environment 3.5-15
 - 3.5.6 Environmental Consequences 3.5-30
 - 3.5.7 Mitigation Measures 3.5-42
 - 3.5.8 Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives 3.5-42
 - 3.5.9 CEQA Significance Conclusions 3.5-47
- 3.6 Public Utilities and Energy 3.6-1
 - 3.6.1 Introduction 3.6-3
 - 3.6.2 Laws, Regulations, and Orders 3.6-5
 - 3.6.3 Consistency with Plans and Laws 3.6-10
 - 3.6.4 Methods for Evaluating Impacts 3.6-11
 - 3.6.5 Affected Environment 3.6-18
 - 3.6.6 Environmental Consequences 3.6-51
 - 3.6.7 Mitigation Measures 3.6-92
 - 3.6.8 Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives 3.6-94
 - 3.6.9 CEQA Significance Conclusions 3.6-100

- 3.7 Biological and Aquatic Resources3.7-1
 - 3.7.1 Introduction3.7-4
 - 3.7.2 Laws, Regulations, and Orders.....3.7-11
 - 3.7.3 Consistency with Plans and Laws.....3.7-16
 - 3.7.4 Consultation with Regulatory Agencies for Federal Endangered Species Act Compliance3.7-16
 - 3.7.5 Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....3.7-17
 - 3.7.6 Affected Environment3.7-35
 - 3.7.7 Environmental Consequences3.7-62
 - 3.7.8 Mitigation Measures.....3.7-151
 - 3.7.9 Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives.....3.7-206
 - 3.7.10 CEQA Significance Conclusions.....3.7-233
 - 3.7.11 Federal Endangered Species Act Findings.....3.7-278
- 3.8 Hydrology and Water Resources.....3.8-1
 - 3.8.1 Introduction3.8-3
 - 3.8.2 Laws, Regulations, and Orders.....3.8-4
 - 3.8.3 Consistency with Plans and Laws.....3.8-11
 - 3.8.4 Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....3.8-12
 - 3.8.5 Affected Environment3.8-20
 - 3.8.6 Environmental Consequences3.8-43
 - 3.8.7 Mitigation Measures.....3.8-121
 - 3.8.8 Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives.....3.8-131
 - 3.8.9 CEQA Significance Conclusions.....3.8-141
- 3.9 Geology, Soils, Seismicity and Paleontological Resources.....3.9-1
 - 3.9.1 Introduction3.9-1
 - 3.9.2 Laws, Regulations, and Orders.....3.9-2
 - 3.9.3 Consistency with Plans and Laws.....3.9-5
 - 3.9.4 Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....3.9-7
 - 3.9.5 Affected Environment3.9-16
 - 3.9.6 Environmental Consequences3.9-52
 - 3.9.7 Mitigation Measures.....3.9-66
 - 3.9.8 Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives.....3.9-67
 - 3.9.9 CEQA Significance Conclusions.....3.9-75
- 3.10 Hazardous Materials and Waste3.10-1
 - 3.10.1 Introduction3.10-1
 - 3.10.2 Laws, Regulations, and Orders.....3.10-3
 - 3.10.3 Consistency with Plans and Laws.....3.10-6
 - 3.10.4 Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....3.10-7
 - 3.10.5 Affected Environment3.10-10
 - 3.10.6 Environmental Consequences3.10-25
 - 3.10.7 Mitigation Measures.....3.10-41
 - 3.10.8 Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives.....3.10-41
 - 3.10.9 CEQA Significance Conclusions.....3.10-49
- 3.11 Safety and Security3.11-1
 - 3.11.1 Introduction3.11-2
 - 3.11.2 Laws, Regulations, and Orders.....3.11-5
 - 3.11.3 Consistency with Plans and Laws.....3.11-12
 - 3.11.4 Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....3.11-13
 - 3.11.5 Affected Environment3.11-19
 - 3.11.6 Environmental Consequences3.11-43
 - 3.11.7 Mitigation Measures.....3.11-85

- 3.11.8 Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives 3.11-90
- 3.11.9 CEQA Significance Conclusions 3.11-99
- 3.12 Socioeconomics and Communities 3.12-1
 - 3.12.1 Introduction 3.12-2
 - 3.12.2 Laws, Regulations, and Orders 3.12-4
 - 3.12.3 Consistency with Plans and Laws 3.12-7
 - 3.12.4 Methods for Evaluating Impacts 3.12-9
 - 3.12.5 Affected Environment 3.12-18
 - 3.12.6 Environmental Consequences 3.12-39
 - 3.12.7 Mitigation Measures 3.12-98
 - 3.12.8 Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives 3.12-98
 - 3.12.9 CEQA Significance Conclusions 3.12-107
- 3.13 Station Planning, Land Use, and Development 3.13-1
 - 3.13.1 Introduction 3.13-2
 - 3.13.2 Laws, Regulations, and Orders 3.13-3
 - 3.13.3 Consistency with Plans and Laws 3.13-4
 - 3.13.4 Methods for Evaluating Impacts 3.13-5
 - 3.13.5 Affected Environment 3.13-8
 - 3.13.6 Environmental Consequences 3.13-33
 - 3.13.7 Mitigation Measures 3.13-57
 - 3.13.8 Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternative 3.13-57
 - 3.13.9 CEQA Significance Conclusions 3.13-60
- 3.14 Agricultural Farmland 3.14-1
 - 3.14.1 Introduction 3.14-3
 - 3.14.2 Laws, Regulations, and Orders 3.14-6
 - 3.14.3 Consistency with Plans and Laws 3.14-8
 - 3.14.4 Methods for Evaluating Impacts 3.14-10
 - 3.14.5 Affected Environment 3.14-16
 - 3.14.6 Environmental Consequences 3.14-38
 - 3.14.7 Mitigation Measures 3.14-52
 - 3.14.8 Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives 3.14-55
 - 3.14.9 CEQA Significance Conclusions 3.14-62
- 3.15 Parks, Recreation, and Open Space 3.15-1
 - 3.15.1 Introduction 3.15-3
 - 3.15.2 Laws, Regulations, and Orders 3.15-4
 - 3.15.3 Consistency with Plans and Laws 3.15-6
 - 3.15.4 Methods for Evaluating Impacts 3.15-7
 - 3.15.5 Affected Environment 3.15-10
 - 3.15.6 Environmental Consequences 3.15-29
 - 3.15.7 Mitigation Measures 3.15-125
 - 3.15.8 Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives 3.15-129
 - 3.15.9 CEQA Significance Conclusions 3.15-136
- 3.16 Aesthetics and Visual Quality 3.16-1
 - 3.16.1 Introduction 3.16-1
 - 3.16.2 Laws, Regulations, and Orders 3.16-3
 - 3.16.3 Consistency with Plans and Laws 3.16-5
 - 3.16.4 Methods for Evaluating Impacts 3.16-6
 - 3.16.5 Affected Environment 3.16-14
 - 3.16.6 Environmental Consequences 3.16-78
 - 3.16.7 Mitigation Measures 3.16-157

3.16.8	Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives.....	3.16-160
3.16.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.16-170
3.17	Cultural Resources	3.17-1
3.17.1	Introduction	3.17-3
3.17.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders.....	3.17-4
3.17.3	Regional and Local Policy Analysis	3.17-10
3.17.4	Coordination of Section 106 Process with NEPA and CEQA Compliance	3.17-11
3.17.5	Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....	3.17-14
3.17.6	Affected Environment	3.17-21
3.17.7	Environmental Consequences	3.17-47
3.17.8	Mitigation Measures.....	3.17-139
3.17.9	Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives.....	3.17-152
3.17.10	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.17-156
3.18	Regional Growth.....	3.18-1
3.18.1	Introduction	3.18-1
3.18.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders.....	3.18-2
3.18.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws.....	3.18-6
3.18.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....	3.18-6
3.18.5	Affected Environment	3.18-10
3.18.6	Environmental Consequences	3.18-18
3.18.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.18-35
3.18.8	Impacts Summary	3.18-35
3.19	Cumulative Impacts	3.19-1
3.19.1	Introduction	3.19-2
3.19.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders.....	3.19-2
3.19.3	Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....	3.19-4
3.19.4	Cumulative Projects and Growth Forecasts.....	3.19-4
3.19.5	Organization of the Cumulative Impacts Analysis.....	3.19-7
3.19.6	Cumulative Impacts Analysis	3.19-9
3.19.7	Cumulative Impact Summary.....	3.19-107
4	FINAL SECTION 4(F)/6(F) EVALUATIONS.....	4-1
4.1	Introduction.....	4-3
4.1.1	Laws, Regulations and Orders.....	4-4
4.1.2	Resource Study Area.....	4-6
4.1.3	Section 4(f) Applicability.....	4-8
4.1.4	Section 4(f) Use Definition	4-9
4.2	Coordination	4-11
4.3	Purpose and Need.....	4-14
4.4	Alternatives.....	4-15
4.4.1	No Project Alternative	4-17
4.4.2	Alternative 1	4-18
4.4.3	Alternative 2	4-18
4.4.4	Alternative 3	4-19
4.4.5	Alternative 4	4-19
4.5	Section 4(f) Applicability Analysis.....	4-20
4.5.1	Parks, Recreation, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges	4-20
4.5.2	Cultural Resources	4-41
4.6	Section 4(f) Use Assessment	4-53
4.6.1	Parks, Recreation, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges	4-53

- 4.6.2 Cultural Resources 4-145
- 4.7 Avoidance Alternatives 4-207
 - 4.7.1 Individual Resource Avoidance Assessments 4-208
- 4.8 Measures to Minimize Harm 4-216
- 4.9 Section 4(f) Least Harm Analysis 4-220
 - 4.9.1 Least Harm Analysis for San Jose to Central Valley Wye Project Alternatives 4-221
 - 4.9.2 Net Harm to Section 4(f) Property 4-229
 - 4.9.3 Impacts on Environmental Resources Outside of Section 4(f) Uses 4-230
- 4.10 Section 6(f) 4-230
- 5 ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE 5-1
 - 5.1 Introduction 5-2
 - 5.1.1 Definition of Resources 5-3
 - 5.2 Laws, Regulations, and Orders 5-3
 - 5.2.1 Federal 5-3
 - 5.2.2 State 5-5
 - 5.2.3 Regional and Local 5-6
 - 5.3 Methods for Evaluating Effects 5-6
 - 5.3.1 Definition of Reference Community and Resources Study Area 5-6
 - 5.3.2 Methods for Effects Analysis 5-9
 - 5.4 Affected Environment 5-12
 - 5.4.1 Overview 5-12
 - 5.4.2 Low-Income Populations 5-17
 - 5.4.3 Minority Populations 5-27
 - 5.4.4 Other Sensitive Populations 5-35
 - 5.5 Environmental Justice Engagement and Documentation 5-38
 - 5.5.1 Affected Populations and Communities 5-38
 - 5.5.2 Engagement Methods 5-38
 - 5.5.3 Environmental Justice Outreach Events 5-39
 - 5.5.4 Environmental Justice Community Improvements Outreach 5-61
 - 5.5.5 Issues and Concerns 5-63
 - 5.5.6 Environmental Justice Engagement September 2021 5-67
 - 5.6 Assessment of Effects 5-71
 - 5.6.1 Overview 5-71
 - 5.6.2 No Project Alternative 5-72
 - 5.6.3 Project Alternatives 5-73
 - 5.7 Summary of Disproportionately High and Adverse Effects Prior to Consideration of Offsetting Mitigation 5-131
 - 5.8 Offsetting Mitigation Measures 5-138
 - 5.8.1 Development and Evaluation of Potential Community Improvements 5-138
 - 5.8.2 Community Improvements Engagement 5-139
 - 5.8.3 Proposed Offsetting Mitigation Measures 5-141
 - 5.8.4 Summary of Disproportionately High and Adverse Effects by Community Areas with Proposed Offsetting Mitigation Measures 5-164
 - 5.9 California High-Speed Rail Authority’s Environmental Justice Determination 5-169

6	PROJECT COSTS AND OPERATIONS	6-1
6.1	Introduction.....	6-1
6.2	Capital Costs	6-1
6.2.1	High-Speed Rail Alternatives	6-2
6.2.2	Maintenance of Way Facilities and Sidings	6-4
6.3	Operation and Maintenance Costs	6-5
6.3.1	Operating Speeds.....	6-5
6.3.2	Development of Operation and Maintenance Costs	6-5
7	OTHER CEQA/NEPA CONSIDERATIONS	7-1
7.1	Unavoidable Adverse and Significant and Unavoidable Impacts	7-1
7.1.1	Adverse Effects that Cannot Be Avoided under NEPA.....	7-1
7.1.2	Significant and Unavoidable Impacts under CEQA	7-5
7.2	Project Benefits	7-7
7.2.1	Transportation Benefits.....	7-7
7.2.2	Environmental Benefits.....	7-7
7.2.3	Economic and Employment Benefits	7-7
7.3	Relationship between Short-Term Use of the Environment and the Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity	7-7
7.4	Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes or Irretrievable Commitment of Resources	7-8
8	PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE	8-1
8.1	Introduction.....	8-2
8.2	Summary of Key Stakeholder Input.....	8-5
8.2.1	Local Communities	8-5
8.2.2	Native American Tribes	8-7
8.2.3	Agricultural Interests	8-7
8.2.4	Businesses	8-7
8.2.5	Environmental Organizations.....	8-8
8.2.6	Environmental Justice Outreach.....	8-8
8.2.7	Agency Consultation.....	8-9
8.2.8	Feedback on the Staff-Recommended Preferred Alternative	8-11
8.3	Alternatives Considered	8-12
8.4	Preferred Alternative.....	8-12
8.4.1	Review of Alternative Key Differentiators by Subsection	8-17
8.4.2	Preliminary Cost Estimate by Alternative.....	8-22
8.4.3	Additional Considerations	8-22
8.4.4	Alternative Comparison.....	8-23
8.4.5	Identification of the Preferred Alternative.....	8-24
8.5	Environmentally Superior Alternative	8-27
8.6	Environmentally Preferable Alternative	8-28
8.7	Least Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternative	8-28
9	PUBLIC AND AGENCY INVOLVEMENT.....	9-1
9.1	Environmental Justice Outreach.....	9-2
9.2	Public and Agency Scoping (2009–2010)	9-3
9.2.1	Notices of Preparation, Notices of Intent, and Public Information Materials	9-3
9.2.2	Scoping Meetings	9-3
9.2.3	Scoping Comments	9-4
9.3	Alternatives Analysis Process (2010–2016).....	9-5

- 9.3.1 Public Information Meetings and Materials during the Alternatives Analysis Process 9-1
- 9.3.2 Technical Working Group Meetings during the Alternatives Analysis Process 9-2
- 9.3.3 Environmental Resource Agency Meetings during the Alternatives Analysis Process 9-3
- 9.3.4 Tribal Coordination Meetings..... 9-3
- 9.4 Further Outreach, Consultation, and Alternatives Refinement (2016–2021) 9-3
 - 9.4.1 Outreach, Involvement, and Communications Guidance 9-4
 - 9.4.2 Agreements and Memoranda of Understanding 9-5
 - 9.4.3 Public Information Materials and Meetings..... 9-6
 - 9.4.4 Community Working Group Meetings..... 9-6
 - 9.4.5 Tribal Coordination Meetings..... 9-7
 - 9.4.6 Technical Working Group Meetings 9-7
 - 9.4.7 Agency Meetings and Consultation 9-8
 - 9.4.8 Section 106 Consultation, National Historic Preservation Act 9-9
 - 9.4.9 Section 404 Consultation, Clean Water Act 9-9
 - 9.4.10 Section 7 Consultation, Federal Endangered Species Act..... 9-10
- 9.5 Notification and Circulation of the Draft and Revised/Supplemental Draft EIR/EISs and Release of the Final EIR/EIS 9-10
- 10 DISTRIBUTION LIST 10-1
 - 10.1 Repository Locations 10-2
 - 10.2 Federal Agencies 10-2
 - 10.3 State Agencies 10-3
 - 10.4 Elected Officials..... 10-4
 - 10.4.1 Federal Elected Official 10-4
 - 10.4.2 State Elected Officials 10-5
 - 10.4.3 Regional County Boards of Supervisors..... 10-5
 - 10.4.4 Mayors..... 10-6
 - 10.4.5 City Council Members 10-6
 - 10.4.6 Agricultural Commissioners..... 10-7
 - 10.5 Regional/Local Agencies..... 10-7
 - 10.6 Organizations and Businesses..... 10-9
 - 10.6.1 Commerce 10-9
 - 10.6.2 Historical Interest Groups 10-9
 - 10.6.3 Labor 10-10
 - 10.6.4 Neighborhoods 10-10
 - 10.6.5 Public Interest/Advocacy 10-11
 - 10.6.6 Transportation 10-11
 - 10.6.7 Other..... 10-12
 - 10.7 Native American Contacts..... 10-12
 - 10.8 Schools and Districts..... 10-13
 - 10.8.1 Franklin-McKinley School District, San Jose, CA 10-13
 - 10.8.2 Gilroy Unified School District, Gilroy, CA..... 10-13
 - 10.8.3 Los Banos Unified School District, Los Banos, CA..... 10-13
 - 10.8.4 Morgan Hill Unified School District, Morgan Hill, CA 10-14
 - 10.8.5 Oak Grove School District, San Jose, CA 10-14
 - 10.8.6 San Jose Unified School District, San Jose, CA 10-14
 - 10.8.7 Santa Clara Unified School District, Santa Clara, CA..... 10-14

10.8.8 Santa Clara County Office of Education District, Santa Clara, CA 10-14

10.8.9 Private Schools 10-14

11 LIST OF PREPARERS 11-1

11.1 California High-Speed Rail Authority 11-1

11.2 Federal Railroad Administration (prior to the July 23, 2019, NEPA Assignment MOU) 11-2

11.3 Rail Delivery Partners 11-3

11.4 Regional Consultant Environmental Team 11-7

12 REFERENCES 12-1

Fact Sheet 12-2

Preface 12-2

Summary 12-2

Chapter 1, Project Purpose, Need, and Objectives 12-4

Chapter 2, Alternatives 12-10

Chapter 3, Affected Environment, Environmental Consequences, and Mitigation Measures 12-14

Section 3.1, Introduction 12-14

Section 3.2, Transportation 12-15

Section 3.3, Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases 12-18

Section 3.4, Noise and Vibration 12-22

Section 3.5, Electromagnetic Fields and Electromagnetic Interference ... 12-23

Section 3.6, Public Utilities and Energy 12-25

Section 3.7, Biological and Aquatic Resources 12-39

Section 3.8, Hydrology and Water Resources 12-50

Section 3.9, Geology, Soils, Seismicity and Paleontological Resources 12-57

Section 3.10, Hazardous Materials and Waste 12-62

Section 3.11, Safety and Security 12-63

Section 3.12, Socioeconomics and Communities 12-72

Section 3.13, Station Planning, Land Use, and Development 12-76

Section 3.14, Agricultural Farmland 12-77

Section 3.15, Parks, Recreation, and Open Space 12-82

Section 3.16, Aesthetics and Visual Quality 12-84

Section 3.17, Cultural Resources 12-85

Section 3.18, Regional Growth 12-86

Section 3.19, Cumulative Impacts 12-90

Chapter 4, Section 4(f)/6(f) Evaluation 12-94

Chapter 5, Environmental Justice 12-96

Chapter 6, Project Costs and Operations 12-98

Chapter 7, Other CEQA/NEPA Considerations 12-99

Chapter 8, Preferred Alternative 12-99

Chapter 9, Public and Agency Involvement 12-100

13 GLOSSARY OF TERMS 13-1

14 INDEX 14-1

15 ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS 15-1

Tables

Table S-1 San Jose to Central Valley Wye Design Options by Subsection.....	S-22
Table S-2 HSR Impact Avoidance and Minimization Features	S-25
Table S-3 Comparison of Construction Impacts by Alternative	S-37
Table S-4 Comparison of Operations Impacts by Alternative	S-66
Table S-5 CEQA Summary of Resources with Significant Impacts and Applicable Mitigation Measures	S-80
Table S-6 Significant and Unavoidable Impacts by Alternative	S-110
Table S-7 Capital Cost by Alternative (2021\$ millions).....	S-110
Table S-8 Comparison of Key Resource Factors by Project Alternative	S-115
Table S-9 San Jose to Merced Project Section Milestone Schedule	S-120
Table 1-1 Population Growth in California and the Counties of the San Jose to Merced Project Section	1-16
Table 1-2 Unemployment and Income in California and Santa Clara, San Benito, Merced, and Madera Counties.....	1-18
Table 1-3 Jobs/Housing Ratio 2010 and 2040.....	1-21
Table 1-4 Current and Projected Vehicle Miles Traveled	1-23
Table 1-5 Travel Growth for Intercity Highways.....	1-24
Table 1-6 Estimated Total Travel Times (Door-to-Door in Hours and Minutes) between City Pairs by Auto, Air, and Rail (Peak Conditions).....	1-27
Table 2-1 San Jose to Central Valley Wye Project Extent Subsections	2-6
Table 2-2 HSR Performance Criteria	2-8
Table 2-3 San Jose to Carlucci Road: Design Options Considered	2-34
Table 2-4 Regional Projected Population and Employment Projections, 2015 and 2040	2-40
Table 2-5 Planned Transportation Improvements.....	2-43
Table 2-6 Planned Passenger Rail Projects (Forecast Year 2040)	2-47
Table 2-7 San Jose to Central Valley Wye Design Options by Subsection	2-53
Table 2-8 Design Features of the HSR Build Alternatives	2-59
Table 2-9 Summary of Diridon Station Features.....	2-65
Table 2-10 Comparison of Alternative Gilroy Station Features.....	2-67
Table 2-11 Existing Bridges That Could Require Retrofit	2-72
Table 2-12 Impact of HSR Alternatives on Caltrans Facilities	2-73
Table 2-13 High-Speed Rail System Ridership Forecasts (in millions per year).....	2-136
Table 2-14 Total Daily Operations—San Jose to Merced Project Section	2-141
Table 2-15 Right-of-Way Acquisitions.....	2-144
Table 2-16 Overall Construction Schedule	2-146
Table 2-17 Construction Staging and Precasting Yards by Alternative	2-149
Table 2-18 Anticipated Environmental Reviews, Permits, and Approvals	2-166
Table 3.1-1 San Jose to Central Valley Wye Design Options by Subsection	3.1-4

Table 3.1-2 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases (Example).....3.1-14

Table 3.1-3 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases (Example).....3.1-15

Table 3.2-1 Definition of Transportation Resource Study Areas3.2-9

Table 3.2-2 2029 and 2040 Ridership at High-Speed Rail Stations.....3.2-11

Table 3.2-3 2029 and 2040 Passenger Trip Generation at High-Speed Rail Stations3.2-12

Table 3.2-4 2029 and 2040 Passengers per Vehicle by Mode3.2-13

Table 3.2-5 2029 and 2040 Vehicle Trip Generation at High-Speed Rail Stations3.2-13

Table 3.2-6 2029 and 2040 Vehicle Trip Generation at Maintenance of Way Facilities3.2-14

Table 3.2-7 Freeway Level of Service Definitions3.2-16

Table 3.2-8 Signalized Intersection Level of Service Definitions.....3.2-16

Table 3.2-9 Unsignalized Intersection Level of Service Definitions.....3.2-17

Table 3.2-10 Existing Rail Ridership at San Jose Diridon and Gilroy Stations3.2-33

Table 3.2-11 2029 and 2040 No Project Conditions Roadway Improvements.....3.2-48

Table 3.2-12 2029 and 2040 No Project Freeway Segment Operations.....3.2-49

Table 3.2-13 2029 and 2040 No Project Intersection Operations3.2-50

Table 3.2-14 Permanent Roadway Closures and Changes by Subsection and Alternative3.2-55

Table 3.2-15 Displacement of Parking Adjacent to San Jose Diridon Station.....3.2-71

Table 3.2-16 2029 and 2040 No Project Transit Improvements.....3.2-79

Table 3.2-17 Assumed 2029 Passenger and Freight Train Operations3.2-80

Table 3.2-18 Assumed 2040 Passenger and Freight Train Operations3.2-81

Table 3.2-19 Plus Project Bus Performance Delay Impacts from Vehicle Trips3.2-88

Table 3.2-20 Changes in Caltrain System Average Weekday Ridership, 2040 Plus Project 20403.2-89

Table 3.2-21 No Project Conditions Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements3.2-92

Table 3.2-22 Existing and Assumed Future Freight Train Operations3.2-96

Table 3.2-23 2040 Planned Rail Capacity Improvements3.2-96

Table 3.2-24 Transportation-Specific Mitigation Measures3.2-104

Table 3.2-25 Intersections with Adverse Effects after Mitigation.....3.2-114

Table 3.2-26 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Transportation.....3.2-120

Table 3.2-27 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Transportation3.2-130

Table 3.3-1 State and Federal Ambient Air Quality Standards3.3-5

Table 3.3-2 Definition of Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases Resource Study Areas3.3-15

Table 3.3-3 General Conformity Rule *de minimis* Thresholds for the Project3.3-25

Table 3.3-4 BAAQMD, MBARD, and SJVAPCD Regional Mass Emission Thresholds.....3.3-28

Table 3.3-5 BAAQMD, MBARD, and SJVAPCD Cancer and Noncancer Health Risk Thresholds 3.3-30

Table 3.3-6 Ambient Criteria Pollutant Concentrations at Air Quality Monitoring Stations along the Project Corridor 3.3-35

Table 3.3-7 Federal and State Attainment Status along the Project Corridor within the SFBAAB, NCCAB, and SJVAB 3.3-39

Table 3.3-8 Sensitive Receptor Locations within 1,000 Feet of the San Jose Diridon and Gilroy Stations and East Gilroy Maintenance of Way Facility 3.3-40

Table 3.3-9 State Implementation Plans 3.3-46

Table 3.3-10 Estimated Statewide Emissions, No Project Alternative: Medium Ridership Scenario 3.3-49

Table 3.3-11 Estimated Statewide Emissions, No Project Alternative: High Ridership Scenario 3.3-50

Table 3.3-12 Construction-Related Criteria Pollutant Emissions in the San Francisco Bay Area Air Basin 3.3-53

Table 3.3-13 Construction-Related Criteria Pollutant Emissions in the North Central Coast Air Basin by Alternative 3.3-57

Table 3.3-14 Construction-Related Criteria Pollutant Emissions in the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin 3.3-61

Table 3.3-15 Maximum Hourly and Daily CAAQS Criteria Pollutant Concentration Impacts from Project Construction ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) 3.3-65

Table 3.3-16 Maximum Hourly and Daily NAAQS Criteria Pollutant Concentration Impacts from Project Construction ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) 3.3-67

Table 3.3-17 Maximum Annual CAAQS and NAAQS Criteria Pollutant Concentration Impacts from Project Construction ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) 3.3-69

Table 3.3-18 Maximum Incremental PM_{10} and $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ Concentrations from Project Construction ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) 3.3-71

Table 3.3-19 Excess Cancer, Noncancer, and $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ Concentration Health Risks Associated with Construction of Alternatives 1, 2, 3, and 4 in the Bay Area Air Quality Management District 3.3-74

Table 3.3-20 Excess Cancer and Noncancer Health Risks Associated with Construction of Alternatives 1, 2, 3, and 4 in the Monterey Bay Air Resources District 3.3-75

Table 3.3-21 Excess Cancer and Noncancer Health Risks Associated with Construction of Alternatives 1, 2, 3, and 4 in the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District 3.3-76

Table 3.3-22 Summary of Regional Criteria Pollutant Emissions Changes from Project Operations (under the Medium and High Ridership Scenarios) Relative to the 2015 Existing Conditions (tons per year) 3.3-80

Table 3.3-23 Summary of Total Regional Criteria Pollutant Emissions Changes from Project Operations (under the Medium and High Ridership Scenarios) Relative to the 2029 No Project Alternative (tons per year) 3.3-82

Table 3.3-24 Summary of Total Regional Criteria Pollutant Emissions Changes from Project Operations (under the Medium- and High-Ridership Scenarios) Relative to the 2040 No Project Alternative (tons per year) 3.3-84

Table 3.3-25 Carbon Monoxide Modeling Concentration Results (parts per million) 3.3-89

Table 3.3-26 Summary of Changes in Cancer and Noncancer Health Risks from Freight Relocation Relative to Existing and No Project Conditions 3.3-94

Table 3.3-27 Maximum Health Risks and PM_{2.5} Concentrations from Project Station and MOWF Operations 3.3-96

Table 3.3-28 Comparison of Total Carbon Dioxide Equivalent Emissions from Construction of the Project Alternatives (metric tons) 3.3-98

Table 3.3-29 Summary of Statewide Greenhouse Gas Emissions Changes from Project Operations (under the Medium and High Ridership Scenarios) Relative to Existing, 2029, and 2040 No Project Conditions (metric tons CO_{2e} per year)..... 3.3-100

Table 3.3-30 Summary of Required Mitigation for Project Construction by Alternative 3.3-102

Table 3.3-31 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases 3.3-106

Table 3.3-32 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases 3.3-113

Table 3.3-33 Estimated Incidence of Health Endpoints Based on Total Directly Emitted NO_x, SO_x, and PM_{2.5} Emissions during Construction of Alternative 4 3.3-119

Table 3.4-1 Definition of Noise and Vibration Resource Study Areas 3.4-12

Table 3.4-2 Federal Railroad Administration Recommended Screening Distances for Evaluation of High-Speed Rail Noise Impacts 3.4-13

Table 3.4-3 Federal Railroad Administration Recommended Screening Distances for Vibration Assessments 3.4-14

Table 3.4-4 Federal Railroad Administration Detailed Assessment Criteria for Construction Noise 3.4-16

Table 3.4-5 Federal Railroad Administration Land Use Categories for Noise Exposure 3.4-17

Table 3.4-6 Interim Criteria for High-Speed Rail Train Noise Impacts on Livestock 3.4-20

Table 3.4-7 Assumed 2029 and 2040 Project Operations for Noise Impact Assessment 3.4-21

Table 3.4-8 Federal Railroad Administration Construction Vibration Damage Criteria 3.4-24

Table 3.4-9 Ground-Borne Vibration and Ground-Borne Vibration Impact Criteria for General Assessment 3.4-25

Table 3.4-10 Ground-Borne Vibration and Ground-Borne Noise Impact Criteria for Special Use Buildings 3.4-25

Table 3.4-11 Ambient Noise Measurement Results 3.4-28

Table 3.4-12 Existing Vibration Measurement Locations 3.4-34

Table 3.4-13 Vibration Propagation Measurement Locations 3.4-36

Table 3.4-14 Differences among Alternatives 3.4-40

Table 3.4-15 Construction Activity Noise Levels 3.4-41

Table 3.4-16 Summary of 2029 No Project and 2029 Plus Project Noise Impacts..... 3.4-44

Table 3.4-17 Summary of 2040 No Project and 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts..... 3.4-46

Table 3.4-18 2029 and 2040 Plus Project Number of Roadway Segments with Traffic-Related Noise Increases More than 3 dBA above Existing Noise Conditions 3.4-71

Table 3.4-19 Screening Distances for Impacts on Livestock 3.4-72

Table 3.4-20 Traction Power Facility Noise Analysis—Number of Affected Receptors..... 3.4-74

Table 3.4-21 2029 and 2040 Plus Project Potential Vibration Impacts 3.4-78

Table 3.4-22 Vibration Mitigation Procedures and Descriptions 3.4-95

Table 3.4-23 Proposed Noise Barriers—Alternative 1 3.4-98

Table 3.4-24 Proposed Noise Barriers—Alternative 2 3.4-99

Table 3.4-25 Proposed Noise Barriers—Alternative 3 3.4-100

Table 3.4-26 Proposed Noise Barriers without Quiet Zones—Alternative 4 3.4-101

Table 3.4-27 Proposed Noise Barriers with Quiet Zones—Alternative 4 3.4-120

Table 3.4-28 Noise Mitigation Effectiveness—Alternative 1 3.4-121

Table 3.4-29 Noise Mitigation Effectiveness—Alternative 2 3.4-122

Table 3.4-30 Noise Mitigation Effectiveness—Alternative 3 3.4-122

Table 3.4-31 Noise Mitigation Effectiveness—Alternative 4 3.4-124

Table 3.4-32 Sound Insulation Mitigation Effectiveness for Indoor Noise Levels..... 3.4-124

Table 3.4-33 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Noise and Vibration 3.4-126

Table 3.4-34 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Noise and Vibration..... 3.4-132

Table 3.4-35 Noise Mitigation Effectiveness 3.4-136

Table 3.5-1 Relationship between Typical Frequencies and Their Wavelengths 3.5-4

Table 3.5-2 Typical Magnetic Field Strengths..... 3.5-4

Table 3.5-3 Typical EMF Levels for Transmission/Power Lines 3.5-5

Table 3.5-4 IEEE C95.6 Magnetic Field Maximum Permissible Exposure Levels for the General Public 3.5-7

Table 3.5-5 IEEE C95.6 Electric Field Maximum Permissible Exposure Levels for the General Public 3.5-7

Table 3.5-6 Radio Frequency Emissions Safety Levels Expressed as Maximum Permissible Exposure 3.5-9

Table 3.5-7 Maximum Permissible Exposure Levels to Determine CEQA Significance..... 3.5-15

Table 3.5-8 EMI Measurement Locations 3.5-16

Table 3.5-9 Measured and Modeled 60 Hz Magnetic Field Strengths 3.5-23

Table 3.5-10 Estimated EMF Field Strength for Caltrain Operations (frequency of 60 Hz)..... 3.5-24

Table 3.5-11 Sensitive Receptors and Facilities Potentially Affected by HSR System Construction and Operations..... 3.5-25

Table 3.5-12 Sensitive Receptors and Facilities Potentially Affected by Electrical Infrastructure and Network Upgrades 3.5-30

Table 3.5-13 Summary of HSR Exterior EMF Levels..... 3.5-34

Table 3.5-14 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for EMFs and EMI 3.5-43

Table 3.5-15 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for the EMFs and EMI..... 3.5-47

Table 3.6-1 Definition of Public Utilities and Energy Resource Study Areas 3.6-12

Table 3.6-2 Summary of Utility and Energy Providers within the Resource Study Areas..... 3.6-18

Table 3.6-3 Major Utility Lines within the Public Utility Resource Study Area..... 3.6-21

Table 3.6-4 Existing and Projected Urban Potable Water Demand in the Resource Study Area 3.6-35

Table 3.6-5 Wastewater Treatment Plant Capacity within the Resource Study Area..... 3.6-37

Table 3.6-6 Solid Waste Landfill Facility Permitted and Remaining Capacities 3.6-42

Table 3.6-7 Solid Waste Disposal Volumes and Diversion Summary 3.6-43

Table 3.6-8 Electricity Consumption in Santa Clara, San Benito, and Merced Counties, 2015 3.6-45

Table 3.6-9 Fuel Sources for Electric Power in California in 2015 3.6-46

Table 3.6-10 Natural Gas Consumption in Santa Clara, San Benito, and Merced Counties in 2015..... 3.6-50

Table 3.6-11 Construction Water Use by Alternative and Activity..... 3.6-55

Table 3.6-12 Annual Construction Water Use Summary by Alternative..... 3.6-57

Table 3.6-13 Major Utility Conflicts and New Utility Installations..... 3.6-59

Table 3.6-14 Solid Waste Generation Estimates by Alternative in Cubic Yards 3.6-73

Table 3.6-15 Hazardous Waste Generation Estimates by Alternative in Cubic Yards..... 3.6-73

Table 3.6-16 Estimated Nonrecoverable Construction-Related Energy Consumption for the Project Alternatives 3.6-82

Table 3.6-17 HSR Operational Electricity Consumption (Medium and High Ridership Scenarios) 3.6-86

Table 3.6-18 Estimated Changes in Vehicle Miles Traveled and Energy Consumption (Medium and High Ridership Scenarios) 3.6-87

Table 3.6-19 Estimated Changes in Airplane Flights and Energy Consumption (Medium and High Ridership Scenarios) 3.6-88

Table 3.6-20 Summary of Regional Changes in Energy Consumption (Medium and High Ridership Scenarios) 3.6-90

Table 3.6-21 Summary of Statewide Changes in Energy Consumption (Medium and High Ridership Scenarios) 3.6-91

Table 3.6-22 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Public Utilities and Energy 3.6-95

Table 3.6-23 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Public Utilities and Energy 3.6-101

Table 3.7-1 Definition of Biological and Aquatic Resource Study Areas 3.7-18

Table 3.7-2 Field Surveys and Personnel..... 3.7-27

Table 3.7-3 Soil Associations of the San Jose to Central Valley Wye Project Extent 3.7-38

Table 3.7-4 Crosswalk of Land Cover Classification to Other Classification Systems 3.7-39

Table 3.7-5 Land Cover Types within the Project Footprint and Habitat Study Areas (acres)..... 3.7-42

Table 3.7-6 Critical Habitat Designations by Subsection 3.7-46

Table 3.7-7 Roosting Patterns for Bat Species Potentially Occurring in the Habitat Study Area 3.7-50

Table 3.7-8 Special-Status Plant Communities Potentially Occurring in the Special-Status Plant Study Area 3.7-51

Table 3.7-9 Aquatic Resources by Subsection 3.7-52

Table 3.7-10 Potential Presence of Protected Trees by Subsection 3.7-54

Table 3.7-11 Conservation Areas by Subsection..... 3.7-58

Table 3.7-12 Impacts on Habitat for Special-Status Plant Species by Project Alternative (acres) 3.7-64

Table 3.7-13 Direct Impacts on Special-Status Wildlife Species Habitat by Project Alternative (acres)..... 3.7-67

Table 3.7-14 Impacts on Critical Habitat by Project Alternative 3.7-76

Table 3.7-15 Surface Waters Overlying Tunnels 1 and 2 3.7-81

Table 3.7-16 Impacts on Habitat for Waterfowl and Shorebirds in Important Bird Areas by Project Alternative (acres) 3.7-117

Table 3.7-17 Impacts on Special-Status Plant Communities (acres)..... 3.7-119

Table 3.7-18 Impacts on Aquatic Resources Considered Waters of the U.S. or Waters of the State by Alternative (acres) 3.7-122

Table 3.7-19 Impacts on Aquatic and Other Related Resources Regulated under California Fish and Game Code Section 1600 et seq. by Alternative (acres) 3.7-124

Table 3.7-20 Impacts on Land Cover Types Likely to Support Protected Trees by Alternative (acres) 3.7-126

Table 3.7-21 Extent of Noise Impacts by Mechanism..... 3.7-134

Table 3.7-22 Direct Impacts on Conservation Areas by Project Alternative (acres)..... 3.7-143

Table 3.7-23 Summary of Potential Conflicts with Wildlife Crossing Modifications Described in the Coyote Valley Linkage 3.7-149

Table 3.7-24 Mitigation Measures for Impacts on Biological and Aquatic Resources by Alternative 3.7-152

Table 3.7-25 Potential Nonbiological Impacts of Compensatory Mitigation Implementation..... 3.7-166

Table 3.7-26 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Biological and Aquatic Resources (acres)..... 3.7-207

Table 3.7-27 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Biological and Aquatic Resources	3.7-234
Table 3.7-28 Summary of Effects for Federally Listed Species and their Critical Habitat	3.7-279
Table 3.8-1 MS4 Permit Requirements	3.8-6
Table 3.8-2 Definition of Hydrology and Water Resources Resource Study Areas	3.8-13
Table 3.8-3 Summary of Data Sources	3.8-17
Table 3.8-4 Climate Summary	3.8-20
Table 3.8-5 Hydrologic Regions, Units, and Areas	3.8-22
Table 3.8-6 Aquatic Resources by Subsection	3.8-25
Table 3.8-7 Water Quality Objectives	3.8-28
Table 3.8-8 Groundwater Basins and Subbasins (acres).....	3.8-31
Table 3.8-9 Potential Tunnel Groundwater Conditions by Geologic Unit.....	3.8-34
Table 3.8-10 Approximate Groundwater Depth below Ground Surface.....	3.8-35
Table 3.8-11 Beneficial Uses of Groundwater Subbasins in the RSA.....	3.8-35
Table 3.8-12 Depths of Drinking Water Supply Wells by Groundwater Subbasin	3.8-36
Table 3.8-13 FEMA Flood Hazard Zones	3.8-37
Table 3.8-14 Hydraulic Conditions of Existing Bridges and Overbank Areas in the Project Footprint	3.8-38
Table 3.8-15 Waterbodies Anticipated to Experience Minor Disturbances	3.8-46
Table 3.8-16 Waterbodies in Which Construction is Anticipated to Occur	3.8-47
Table 3.8-17 Earthwork Quantities.....	3.8-49
Table 3.8-18 Waterbodies with New Crossings and Waterbodies Modified, Realigned, or Otherwise Affected.....	3.8-50
Table 3.8-19 Estimates of New and Replaced Impervious Surfaces	3.8-55
Table 3.8-20 Waterbodies with Intermittent Bridge, Culvert, and/or Vegetation Maintenance.....	3.8-58
Table 3.8-21 Estimated Disturbed Soil Area	3.8-61
Table 3.8-22 Waterbodies with Impacts from the Release of Contaminants from Operating Trains	3.8-72
Table 3.8-23 Estimates of Impervious Surfaces Constructed in Groundwater Subbasins.....	3.8-78
Table 3.8-24 Public Drinking Water Supply Wells in the Project Footprint (Well Identification Numbers)	3.8-80
Table 3.8-25 Groundwater Conditions Observed during Construction of Central Valley Project Tunnels by Geologic Unit.....	3.8-85
Table 3.8-26 Anticipated Groundwater Conditions along Tunnel 1 and Tunnel 2	3.8-88
Table 3.8-27 Tunnel Excavation Methods and Likelihood for Groundwater Effects	3.8-90
Table 3.8-28 Potential Temporary Groundwater and Hydrology Effects of Tunneling.....	3.8-94

Table 3.8-29 Proposed Hydraulic Structures in 100-Year Floodplains 3.8-106

Table 3.8-30 Specific Design Elements that would Minimize Permanent Floodplain Impacts 3.8-110

Table 3.8-31 Existing and Proposed Peak 100-Year Flows of the Soap Lake Floodplain in the ICM Hydraulic Model 3.8-118

Table 3.8-32 Floodplains Requiring Authorizations under the Rivers and Harbors Act or Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention Act 3.8-119

Table 3.8-33 Hydrology and Water Resources-Specific Mitigation Measures 3.8-121

Table 3.8-34 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Hydrology and Water Resources 3.8-132

Table 3.8-35 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Hydrology and Water Resources 3.8-142

Table 3.9-1 Definition of Geology, Soils, and Seismicity Resource Study Areas 3.9-8

Table 3.9-2 Definition of Paleontological Resources Study Area 3.9-11

Table 3.9-3 Evaluation of Paleontological Sensitivity/Paleontological Potential 3.9-12

Table 3.9-4 Comparison of Geologic Unit Terminology Used for the Geology, Soils, and Seismicity Analysis and Paleontological Resources Analysis 3.9-14

Table 3.9-5 Distribution of Geologic Units throughout the Geology, Soils, and Seismicity RSA 3.9-24

Table 3.9-6 Soil Association Characteristics throughout the Geology, Soils and Seismicity RSA 3.9-27

Table 3.9-7 Paleontological Potential of Geologic Units within the Paleontological Resources RSA 3.9-48

Table 3.9-8 Previously Recorded UCMP Fossil Vertebrate Localities in the Vicinity (1 mile) of the Paleontological Resources RSA 3.9-50

Table 3.9-9 Distribution of Geologic Units by Subsection within the Paleontological Resources RSA 3.9-51

Table 3.9-10 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Geology, Soils, Seismicity, and Paleontological Resources 3.9-68

Table 3.9-11 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Geology, Soils, Seismicity, and Paleontological Resources 3.9-76

Table 3.10-1 Definition of Hazardous Materials and Waste Resource Study Areas 3.10-8

Table 3.10-2 Summary by Subsection of Medium- and High-Risk PEC Sites within the PEC RSA 3.10-18

Table 3.10-3 Risk of Railway Impacts by Subsection 3.10-18

Table 3.10-4 Risk of Lead-Based Paint by Subsection 3.10-19

Table 3.10-5 Risk of Asbestos-Containing Materials by Subsection 3.10-20

Table 3.10-6 Risk of Pesticides by Subsection 3.10-20

Table 3.10-7 Risk of PCBs by Subsection 3.10-21

Table 3.10-8 Risk of Aerially Deposited Lead by Subsection 3.10-21

Table 3.10-9 Risk of Naturally Occurring Asbestos by Subsection 3.10-22

Table 3.10-10 Risk of Landfills by Subsection 3.10-23

Table 3.10-11 Risk of Oil and Gas Wells by Subsection.....3.10-23

Table 3.10-12 Summary of Airport Occurrence by Subsection3.10-23

Table 3.10-13 Educational Facilities within the Schools RSA3.10-24

Table 3.10-14 Summary by Alternative of Medium- and High-Risk PEC Sites within the PEC RSA3.10-30

Table 3.10-15 Summary by Alternative of Educational Facilities within the Schools RSA3.10-40

Table 3.10-16 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Hazardous Materials and Waste.....3.10-42

Table 3.10-17 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Hazardous Materials and Waste3.10-49

Table 3.11-1 Definition of Safety and Security Resource Study Areas3.11-14

Table 3.11-2 Service Areas and Response Times for Police and Sheriff Departments in the Resource Study Area3.11-26

Table 3.11-3 Service Areas and Response Times for Municipal and County Fire Departments in the Resource Study Area.....3.11-28

Table 3.11-4 Required Response Times for Contracted Ambulance Services in Santa Clara County3.11-30

Table 3.11-5 Pedestrian and Bicyclist Victims Killed or Injured within Resource Study Area Jurisdictions, 20173.11-34

Table 3.11-6 Adopted Bicycle Master Plans within Resource Study Area Jurisdictions.....3.11-35

Table 3.11-7 Airports and Heliports within the Airports Resource Study Area3.11-35

Table 3.11-8 Schools within the Resource Study Area by Subsection3.11-37

Table 3.11-9 High-Risk Facilities within 2 miles of the Project Footprint.....3.11-40

Table 3.11-10 Tall Structures within the Resource Study Area.....3.11-41

Table 3.11-11 Existing and Existing Plus Project Travel Times on Monterey Road from Bernal Road to Capitol Expressway Caused by Roadway Changes3.11-53

Table 3.11-12 Existing, 2029 No Project, 2029 Plus Project, 2040 No Project and 2040 Plus Project Travel Times on Monterey Road from Bernal Road to Capitol Expressway.....3.11-55

Table 3.11-13 Airport Influence Area Encroachment Area for Each Project Alternative3.11-66

Table 3.11-14 Blended System and Dedicated System Track for Each Alternative (miles).....3.11-71

Table 3.11-15 State-Designated Fire Severity Zone Areas by Alternative (acres)3.11-84

Table 3.11-16 Safety and Security-Specific Mitigation Measures3.11-85

Table 3.11-17 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Safety and Security3.11-91

Table 3.11-18 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Safety and Security3.11-100

Table 3.12-1 Definition of Socioeconomic and Community Resource Study Areas.....3.12-10

Table 3.12-2 Cities and Communities by Subsection 3.12-19

Table 3.12-3 Community and Public Facilities within 0.5 mile of the Project
 Alternatives 3.12-21

Table 3.12-4 School Year 2015–2016 Funding for School Districts in the RSA 3.12-34

Table 3.12-5 Agricultural Production in Santa Clara, San Benito, and Merced
 Counties in 2015 3.12-35

Table 3.12-6 General Property Tax Levies by County for Fiscal Year
 2014/2015 3.12-36

Table 3.12-7 Community and Public Facilities within 250 Feet of Project
 Construction 3.12-41

Table 3.12-8 Residential, Business, and Community Facility Displacements
 and Permanent Road Closures by Subsection and Alternative 3.12-49

Table 3.12-9 Schools/Daycare Facilities within 1,000 feet of Project
 Construction 3.12-60

Table 3.12-10 Estimated Property Displacements 3.12-65

Table 3.12-11 Estimated Number of Displaced Residential Units by Housing
 Type and Alternative 3.12-66

Table 3.12-12 Estimated Number of Displaced Residences and Population to
 be Relocated by Alternative 3.12-67

Table 3.12-13 Estimated Number of Displaced Commercial and Industrial
 Businesses 3.12-72

Table 3.12-14 Community and Public Facility Displacements by Alternative 3.12-76

Table 3.12-15 Estimated Annual School District Funding Losses from
 Acquisitions 3.12-81

Table 3.12-16 Projected Economic Impacts of Changes in Agricultural
 Production 3.12-85

Table 3.12-17 Dairy Farms Affected by Construction of the Project 3.12-86

Table 3.12-18 Projected Economic Impacts of Changes in Dairy Production
 (Merced County) 3.12-87

Table 3.12-19 Annual Lost Property Tax Revenue (FY 2015/2016) 3.12-88

Table 3.12-20 Construction Spending within Region, by Alternative and
 Economic Sector (2015\$ in millions) 3.12-90

Table 3.12-21 Taxable Sales within Region, by Alternative and Economic
 Sector (2015\$ in millions) 3.12-91

Table 3.12-22 Projected Sales Tax Revenues Generated During Construction
 (2015\$ in millions) 3.12-91

Table 3.12-23 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Socioeconomics
 and Communities 3.12-100

Table 3.12-24 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for
 Socioeconomics and Communities 3.12-108

Table 3.13-1 Definition of Station Planning, Land Use, and Development
 Resource Study Area 3.13-6

Table 3.13-2 Distribution of Existing Land Uses and Current Zoning
 Opportunities within the HSR Station Areas 3.13-25

Table 3.13-3 Summary of Planned Development Projects within Station and Maintenance Facility RSAs	3.13-31
Table 3.13-4 Temporary Use of Land outside the Permanent HSR Right-of-Way for the Project Alternatives	3.13-36
Table 3.13-5 Land Use Permanently Converted by the Project Alternatives	3.13-45
Table 3.13-6 Summary of Permanent Land Conversion by Project Alternative	3.13-49
Table 3.13-7 Population Projections 2015–2040	3.13-55
Table 3.13-8 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Station Planning, Land Use, and Development.....	3.13-58
Table 3.13-9 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Station Planning, Land Use, and Development.....	3.13-61
Table 3.14-1 Criteria Evaluated in Form NRCS-CPA-106 for LESA	3.14-12
Table 3.14-2 Total Acreage and Agricultural Land Acreage in Santa Clara, San Benito, and Merced Counties (2014)	3.14-16
Table 3.14-3 Important Farmland in Santa Clara, San Benito, and Merced Counties in 2002 and 2014 (acres)	3.14-19
Table 3.14-4 Change in Farmland Protected by Williamson Act Contracts in Santa Clara, San Benito, and Merced Counties in 2002 and 2014 (acres).....	3.14-25
Table 3.14-5 Important Farmland within Agricultural Conservation Easements That Intersect the RSA (acres).....	3.14-30
Table 3.14-6 Important Farmland Temporarily Used for Project Construction (acres)	3.14-40
Table 3.14-7 Important Farmland Permanently Converted to Nonagricultural Use in the Project Footprint (acres).....	3.14-42
Table 3.14-8 Natural Resources Conservation Service Land Evaluation and Site Assessment: Farmland Conversion Impact Rating Scores.....	3.14-43
Table 3.14-9 Number of Nonviable Remnant Parcels and Acreage of Important Farmland in Nonviable Remnant Parcels Converted to Nonagricultural Use.....	3.14-44
Table 3.14-10 Major Utility Crossings	3.14-45
Table 3.14-11 Permanent Road Closures on Agricultural Farmland.....	3.14-48
Table 3.14-12 Modifications to Farm Roads	3.14-48
Table 3.14-13 Important Farmland within Agricultural Conservation Easements Permanently Converted by the Project Alternatives (acres).....	3.14-52
Table 3.14-14 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Agricultural Farmland	3.14-56
Table 3.14-15 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Agricultural Farmland	3.14-63
Table 3.14-16 Important Farmland Mitigation Calculations.....	3.14-66
Table 3.15-1 Definition of Parks, Recreation, Open Space, and School District Play Areas Resource Study Areas	3.15-7
Table 3.15-2 Parks, Recreational Facilities, and Open Space Resources by Subsection.....	3.15-19
Table 3.15-3 School District Play Areas by Subsection	3.15-27

Table 3.15-4 Noise, Vibration, and Construction Emissions Impacts on Use and User Experience of Parks, Recreational Facilities, and Open Space Resources 3.15-34

Table 3.15-5 Construction-Related Impacts on Access to and Use of Parks 3.15-53

Table 3.15-6 Permanent Visual Impacts on Access or Use of Parks, Recreational Facilities, and Open Space Resources 3.15-65

Table 3.15-7 Permanent Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Acquisitions..... 3.15-70

Table 3.15-8 Noise, Vibration, and Construction Emissions Impacts on School District Play Areas 3.15-108

Table 3.15-9 Construction-Related Reduction of Access to School District Play Areas 3.15-114

Table 3.15-10 Permanent Visual Impacts on Perceived Barriers to Use of School District Play Areas 3.15-118

Table 3.15-11 Permanent School District Play Area Acquisitions 3.15-119

Table 3.15-12 Mitigation Measures 3.15-125

Table 3.15-13 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Parks, Recreation, and Open Space 3.15-130

Table 3.15-14 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Parks, Recreation, and Open Space 3.15-136

Table 3.16-1 Affected Viewer Groups and Associated Sensitivities 3.16-11

Table 3.16-2 Santa Clara Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Group Sensitivity, and Visual Quality 3.16-16

Table 3.16-3 Key Viewpoints Representing the Santa Clara Landscape Unit 3.16-19

Table 3.16-4 Diridon Station Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Groups, and Visual Quality 3.16-22

Table 3.16-5 Key Viewpoints Representing the Diridon Station Landscape Unit 3.16-24

Table 3.16-6 San Jose Station Approach Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Groups, and Visual Quality 3.16-27

Table 3.16-7 Key Viewpoints Representing the San Jose Station Approach Landscape Unit 3.16-29

Table 3.16-8 Communications Hill Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Groups, and Visual Quality 3.16-33

Table 3.16-9 Key Viewpoint Representing the Communications Hill Landscape Unit 3.16-34

Table 3.16-10 Monterey Highway San Jose Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Groups, and Visual Quality 3.16-35

Table 3.16-11 Key Viewpoints Representing the Monterey Highway San Jose Landscape Unit 3.16-39

Table 3.16-12 Coyote Valley Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Groups, and Visual Quality 3.16-42

Table 3.16-13 Key Viewpoint Representing the Coyote Valley Landscape Unit 3.16-44

Table 3.16-14 US 101 Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Groups, and Visual Quality 3.16-47

Table 3.16-15 Key Viewpoints Representing the US 101 Landscape Unit 3.16-48

Table 3.16-16 Morgan Hill–San Martin Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Groups, and Visual Quality.....	3.16-51
Table 3.16-17 Key Viewpoints Representing the Morgan Hill–San Martin Landscape Unit	3.16-53
Table 3.16-18 Downtown Gilroy Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Groups, and Visual Quality.....	3.16-56
Table 3.16-19 Key Viewpoints Representing the Downtown Gilroy Landscape Unit.....	3.16-58
Table 3.16-20 Pajaro–San Felipe Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Groups, and Visual Quality.....	3.16-61
Table 3.16-21 Key Viewpoints Representing the Pajaro–San Felipe Landscape Unit	3.16-62
Table 3.16-22 Pacheco Pass Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Groups, and Visual Quality.....	3.16-65
Table 3.16-23 Key Viewpoints Representing the Pacheco Pass Landscape Unit.....	3.16-66
Table 3.16-24 San Luis Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Groups, and Visual Quality	3.16-69
Table 3.16-25 Romero Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Groups, and Visual Quality	3.16-72
Table 3.16-26 Key Viewpoints Representing the Romero Landscape Unit	3.16-73
Table 3.16-27 Henry Miller Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Groups, and Visual Quality	3.16-76
Table 3.16-28 Key Viewpoints Representing the Henry Miller Landscape Unit.....	3.16-77
Table 3.16-29 Summary of Visual Quality Change and Degree of Impact for Project Alternatives	3.16-78
Table 3.16-30 Landscape Unit-Specific Temporary Construction Activities.....	3.16-83
Table 3.16-31 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Aesthetics and Visual Quality	3.16-162
Table 3.16-32 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Aesthetics and Visual Quality.....	3.16-170
Table 3.17-1 Section 106 Technical Reports and Concurrence Dates	3.17-12
Table 3.17-2 Demolished Built Resources in the Area of Potential Effects with No Archaeological Significance.....	3.17-18
Table 3.17-3 Previously Identified Archaeological Resources in the Area of Potential Effects	3.17-25
Table 3.17-4 Significant Built Resources	3.17-30
Table 3.17-5 Archaeological Sensitivity by Alternative.....	3.17-49
Table 3.17-6 Summary of Mitigation Measures Applicable to Each Alternative....	3.17-142
Table 3.17-7 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Cultural Resources	3.17-153
Table 3.17-8 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Cultural Resources.....	3.17-156

Table 3.17-9 CEQA Significance Conclusions for Impact CUL#4: Permanent Demolition, Destruction, Relocation, or Alteration of Built Resources or Setting 3.17-161

Table 3.18-1 RSA and County Characteristics, 2015 3.18-10

Table 3.18-2 Regional Employment by Industry (2000, 2015, 2020, and 2024)..... 3.18-13

Table 3.18-3 Regional Long-Range Employment Projections (2015, 2020, 2024, and 2040) 3.18-14

Table 3.18-4 Labor Force Characteristics by County and City/Community in the RSA (2000, 2010, and 2015) 3.18-15

Table 3.18-5 Population Growth (2000 and 2015)..... 3.18-16

Table 3.18-6 Population Projections (2015 and 2040)..... 3.18-17

Table 3.18-7 Housing Units and Vacancy Rates (2015 and 2040) 3.18-18

Table 3.18-8 Acres of Important Farmland Affected by Project Alternatives 3.18-21

Table 3.18-9 Project Costs by Alternative (2018\$ in millions) 3.18-23

Table 3.18-10 Alternative 1 Construction Employment Impacts 3.18-23

Table 3.18-11 Alternative 2 Construction Employment Impacts 3.18-24

Table 3.18-12 Alternative 3 Construction Employment Impacts 3.18-24

Table 3.18-13 Alternative 4 Construction Employment Impacts 3.18-24

Table 3.18-14 Project Total One-Time Economic Impact of Construction in the RSA..... 3.18-25

Table 3.18-15 Project Annual Employment Impacts during Operations and Maintenance..... 3.18-29

Table 3.18-16 Project Operations-Related Employed Residents and Population Growth..... 3.18-29

Table 3.18-17 Project Operations-Related Employment and Population Growth, Including Increased Accessibility Impacts 3.18-31

Table 3.18-18 Summary of Regional Growth Impacts by Alternative 3.18-35

Table 3.19-1 Cumulative Cancer and Noncancer Health Risks from Construction in the Bay Area Air Quality Management District 3.19-20

Table 3.19-2 Cumulative Cancer and Noncancer Health Risks from Freight Relocation 3.19-21

Table 3.19-3 Cumulative Cancer and Noncancer Health Risks from Station and MOWF Operation 3.19-24

Table 3.19-4 Cumulative Cancer and Noncancer Health Risks from Combined Construction and Operations in the Bay Area Air Quality Management District 3.19-25

Table 3.19-5 Summary of Highest Annual and Daily Emissions from Construction of Any of the Project Alternatives..... 3.19-28

Table 3.19-6 Summary of 2029 No Project and Plus Project Cumulative Noise Impacts on Sensitive Receptors 3.19-36

Table 3.19-7 Summary of 2040 No Project and Plus Project Cumulative Noise Impacts on Sensitive Receptors 3.19-38

Table 3.19-8 Summary of Cumulative Impacts 3.19-107

Table 4-1 Section 4(f) and 6(f) Evaluation Consultation Summary 4-12

Table 4-2 San Jose to Central Valley Wye Design Options by Subsection	4-17
Table 4-3 Parks, Recreation Areas, Open-Space, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuge Resources Evaluated for Potential Section 4(f) Use.....	4-22
Table 4-4 Historic Properties in APE Listed, Previously Determined, or Potentially Eligible for Listing in the NRHP.....	4-42
Table 4-5 Potential Impacts on Parks, Recreation Areas, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuge Resources Evaluated for Potential Section 4(f) Use.....	4-54
Table 4-6 Summary of Section 4(f) Uses of Parks, Recreation, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges	4-144
Table 4-7 Potential Impacts on Historical Resources Evaluated for Potential Section 4(f) Use.....	4-147
Table 4-8 Summary of Section 4(f) Uses of NRHP-Listed or Eligible Properties	4-206
Table 4-9 Summary of Section 4(f) Avoidance Alternatives.....	4-216
Table 4-10 Measures to Minimize Harm	4-217
Table 4-11 Least Harm Analysis for the San Jose to Central Valley Wye Project Alternatives	4-223
Table 4-12 Section 6(f) Resources and Findings.....	4-231
Table 5-1 Overview of Reference Community and Resource Study Area Demographic Characteristics (2014 Estimates)	5-13
Table 5-2 Reference Community Demographic Characteristics (2014 Estimates)	5-14
Table 5-3 Cities/Communities within the Resource Study Area.....	5-15
Table 5-4 Resource Study Area Demographic Characteristics (2014 Estimates)	5-15
Table 5-5 Station and Maintenance Facility Resource Study Area Demographic Characteristics (2014 Estimates)	5-17
Table 5-6 Low-Income Populations within the Reference Community (2014 Estimates)	5-18
Table 5-7 Household Incomes and Low-Income Populations within the Resource Study Area (2014 Estimates).....	5-18
Table 5-8 Percentage of Households Participating in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program within the Resource Study Area (2014 Estimates)	5-19
Table 5-9 Minority Group Representation in the Reference Community (2014 Estimates)	5-27
Table 5-10 Minority Group Representation within the Resource Study Area (2014 Estimates)	5-28
Table 5-11 Other Sensitive Populations within the Resource Study Area (2014 Estimates)	5-36
Table 5-12 Outreach to Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations.....	5-39
Table 5-13 Interviews with Stakeholder Organizations Held in 2016.....	5-56
Table 5-14 Interviews with Stakeholder Organizations Held in 2018 and 2019.....	5-57
Table 5-15 Interviews with Stakeholder Organizations Conducted between November 2019 and April 2020.....	5-59

Table 5-16 Stakeholder/Service Provider Community Improvements Meetings
 Conducted between April 2020 and October 2020 5-63

Table 5-17 Stakeholder/Service Provider Community Improvements Meetings
 Conducted in September 2021 5-68

Table 5-18 Permanent Effects on Visual Quality within the Resource Study
 Area..... 5-82

Table 5-19 Displacements by Type 5-88

Table 5-20 Residential and Business Displacements by Subsection and
 City/Community..... 5-89

Table 5-21 Temporary Localized Criteria Pollutants Violations by Subsection..... 5-97

Table 5-22 Adverse Effects on Parks, Recreation, and School District Play
 Areas..... 5-102

Table 5-23 Adversely Affected Intersection Operations in Minority
 Communities and Low-Income Communities After Direct Mitigation 5-108

Table 5-24 Operational Noise Impacts After Direct Mitigation with Noise
 Barriers by Alternative..... 5-121

Table 5-25 Operational Noise Impacts After Direct Mitigation with Quiet
 Zones and Noise Barriers by Alternative..... 5-123

Table 5-26 Determination of Disproportionately High and Adverse Effects on
 Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations with Direct Mitigation
 Only and With Direct Mitigation and Project Benefits..... 5-136

Table 5-27 Summary of Proposed Offsetting Mitigation Measures..... 5-142

Table 5-28 Evaluation of Potential Secondary Environmental Effects of
 Potential Community Improvements 5-151

Table 6-1 Capital Cost of the High-Speed Rail Alternatives (2021\$ millions)..... 6-3

Table 6-2 Medium Scenario Revenue and Annual O&M Costs..... 6-7

Table 8-1 Community and Environmental Factors by Alternative 8-13

Table 8-2 Capital Costs of the San Jose to Central Valley Wye Project Extent
 Alternatives (2021\$ millions)..... 8-22

Table 8-3 Systems Sites Included in the Preferred Alternative..... 8-25

Table 9-1 Public and Agency Meetings Summary, December 2008–August
 2009 9-4

Table 9-2 Public and Agency Meetings Summary, September 2009–
 September 2013..... 9-6

Table 9-3 Public and Agency Meetings Summary, October 2013–February
 2016 Meeting 9-10

Table 9-4 Public and Agency Meetings Summary, March 2016–September
 2021 9-12

Figures

Figure S-1 California High-Speed Rail Statewide System	S-4
Figure S-2 San Jose to Merced Project Section	S-5
Figure S-3 Overview Map of Design Options by Subsection	S-21
Figure 1-1 Statewide High-Speed Rail System—Implementation Phases.....	1-3
Figure 1-2 Statewide High-Speed Rail System, Phase 1 and Phase 2— Project Sections	1-8
Figure 1-3 San Jose to Merced Project Section.....	1-10
Figure 1-4 Population Growth for Santa Clara, San Benito, Merced, and Madera Counties	1-17
Figure 1-5 Long Distance Intercity Trips in California (in millions)	1-19
Figure 1-6 Major Intercity Travel Routes and Airports.....	1-20
Figure 1-7 Major Roadways	1-22
Figure 2-1 HSR Alternatives with Vertical Profile	2-4
Figure 2-2 San Jose to Merced Project Section Geographic Context.....	2-6
Figure 2-3 Examples of Japanese Shinkansen High-Speed Trains.....	2-10
Figure 2-4 Example of an At-Grade Profile Showing Overhead Contact System and Vertical Arms of the Pantograph Power Pickups.....	2-10
Figure 2-5 Examples of Existing Stations	2-11
Figure 2-6 Two-Train Station Platform Cross Section.....	2-13
Figure 2-7 Four-Train Station Platform Cross Section	2-13
Figure 2-8 Typical At-Grade Cross Section	2-14
Figure 2-9 Typical At-Grade Cross Section for Blended System	2-15
Figure 2-10 Typical Retained-Fill Cross Section.....	2-15
Figure 2-11 Typical Retained-Cut Cross Section.....	2-16
Figure 2-12 Typical Covered Trench Cross Section	2-17
Figure 2-13 Typical Tunnel Cross Section	2-18
Figure 2-14 Tunnel Portal	2-18
Figure 2-15 Two-Track Viaduct.....	2-19
Figure 2-16 Four-Track Viaduct	2-20
Figure 2-17 Typical Straddle Bent Cross Section	2-21
Figure 2-18 Elevated HSR Road Crossing	2-22
Figure 2-19 Road Overcrossing	2-23
Figure 2-20 Typical Cross Section of Roadway Grade-Separated beneath HSR Guideway.....	2-23
Figure 2-21 Typical Cross Section of Wildlife Crossing Structure.....	2-24
Figure 2-22 Typical Plan View of Wildlife Crossing Structure	2-24
Figure 2-23 Typical Four-Quadrant Gate At-Grade Crossing	2-26
Figure 2-24 Typical Cross Section of Overhead Contact System	2-28
Figure 2-25 Typical Cross Section of OCS Strain Gantry	2-28
Figure 2-26 Traction Power Substation.....	2-29

Figure 2-27 Traction Power Switching Station	2-30
Figure 2-28 Traction Power Paralleling Station.....	2-30
Figure 2-29 Typical Cross Section of At-Grade Profile with Traction Power, Signaling, and Train-Control Features	2-32
Figure 2-30 Alignment Alternatives Carried Forward into EIR/EIS as Identified in the Preliminary Alternatives Analysis Report	2-39
Figure 2-31 VTA’s FY18-19 Transit Service Plan Proposed Service in San Jose, Morgan Hill, and Gilroy	2-48
Figure 2-32 Weekday Commuter Service between Merced and Los Banos	2-49
Figure 2-33 Overview Map of Design Options by Subsection	2-52
Figure 2-34 San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection	2-54
Figure 2-35 Monterey Corridor Subsection.....	2-55
Figure 2-36 Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection	2-56
Figure 2-37 Pacheco Pass Subsection.....	2-57
Figure 2-38 San Joaquin Valley Subsection	2-58
Figure 2-39 Representative HSR Infrastructure Considerations.....	2-62
Figure 2-40 At-Grade Cross-Section Configuration for UPRR Adjacency	2-64
Figure 2-41 Viaduct Cross-Section Configuration for UPRR Adjacency.....	2-64
Figure 2-42 South Gilroy Maintenance of Way Facility (Alternatives 1 and 2).....	2-69
Figure 2-43 South Gilroy Maintenance of Way Facility (Alternative 4).....	2-69
Figure 2-44 East Gilroy Maintenance of Way Facility (Alternative 3).....	2-70
Figure 2-45 Maintenance of Way Siding near Turner Island Road	2-71
Figure 2-46 Location of State Highways or Routes Affected by HSR Alternatives	2-74
Figure 2-47 Local Roadway Modifications Required for HSR—San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection	2-75
Figure 2-48 Local Roadway Modifications Required for HSR—Monterey Corridor Subsection	2-76
Figure 2-49 Local Roadway Modifications Required for HSR—Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection (Northern Section).....	2-77
Figure 2-50 Local Roadway Modifications Required for HSR—Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection (Southern Section)	2-78
Figure 2-51 Local Roadway Modifications Required for HSR—Pacheco Pass Subsection	2-79
Figure 2-52 Local Roadway Modifications Required for HSR—San Joaquin Valley Subsection.....	2-80
Figure 2-53 Alternative 1 Proposed Alignment	2-85
Figure 2-54 Conceptual San Jose Diridon Aerial Station Plan (Alternatives 1 and 3)	2-89
Figure 2-55 Conceptual San Jose Diridon Aerial Station Cross Section (Alternatives 1 and 3).....	2-90
Figure 2-56 Extent of Tunnel Design Variant.....	2-95
Figure 2-57 Conceptual Downtown Gilroy Aerial Station Plan (Alternative 1)	2-98

Figure 2-58 Cross Section of Downtown Gilroy Aerial Station (Alternative 1)..... 2-99

Figure 2-59 Alternative 2 Proposed Alignment 2-105

Figure 2-60 Conceptual Downtown Gilroy Embankment Station Plan
(Alternative 2) 2-113

Figure 2-61 Cross Section of Downtown Gilroy Embankment Station
(Alternative 2) 2-114

Figure 2-62 Alternative 3 Proposed Alignment 2-117

Figure 2-63 Conceptual East Gilroy Station Plan (Alternative 3) 2-121

Figure 2-64 Cross Section of East Gilroy Station..... 2-122

Figure 2-65 Alternative 4 Proposed Alignment 2-124

Figure 2-66 Conceptual San Jose Diridon At-Grade Station Plan
(Alternative 4) 2-127

Figure 2-67 Extent of Diridon Design Variant..... 2-128

Figure 2-68 Conceptual Downtown Gilroy At-Grade Station Plan
(Alternative 4) 2-134

Figure 2-69 Typical Precasting Yard Layout 2-152

Figure 2-70 Expected Haul Distances by Equipment Type..... 2-155

Figure 2-71 Typical Aerial Structure Components 2-156

Figure 2-72 Full-Span Precast Construction on Taiwan HSR 2-158

Figure 2-73 Span-by-Span Precast Segmental Construction 2-158

Figure 2-74 Balanced Cantilever Segmental Construction 2-158

Figure 2-75 Cast-in-Place Construction on Formwork 2-158

Figure 2-76 Locations of Tunnels along the Alternatives 2-159

Figure 2-77 Tunnel Boring Machine 2-160

Figure 2-78 Tunnel Boring Machines at Double Portal Entrance 2-160

Figure 2-79 Phasing of San Jose Diridon Station for Alternative 4 2-164

Figure 3.1-1 Typical Resource Study Area 3.1-8

Figure 3.2-1 Regionally Significant Freeways, Expressways, and Arterial
Roadways..... 3.2-22

Figure 3.2-2 Monterey Corridor Freeway Segments 3.2-23

Figure 3.2-3 Gilroy Freeway Segments 3.2-24

Figure 3.2-4a Parking near San Jose Diridon Station and SAP Center (Off-
Street within 1/2 mile)..... 3.2-28

Figure 3.2-4b Parking near San Jose Diridon Station and SAP Center (Off-
Street within 1/3 mile)..... 3.2-29

Figure 3.2-4c Parking near San Jose Diridon Station and SAP Center (On-
Street within 1/2 mile)..... 3.2-30

Figure 3.2-4d Parking near San Jose Diridon Station and SAP Center (On-
Street within 1/3 mile)..... 3.2-31

Figure 3.2-5 San Jose Diridon Station Existing Transit Routes 3.2-35

Figure 3.2-6 Gilroy Station Existing Transit Routes 3.2-36

Figure 3.2-7 San Jose Diridon Station Existing Bicycle Facilities 3.2-38

Figure 3.2-8 Gilroy Station Existing Bicycle Facilities 3.2-40

Figure 3.2-9 Railroad Control Points and Subdivisions in the RSA (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection) 3.2-42

Figure 3.2-10 Railroad Control Points and Subdivisions in the RSA (Morgan Hill and Gilroy and Pacheco Pass Subsections) 3.2-43

Figure 3.2-11a Summary of 2040 with Project Transportation Effects by Subsection before Mitigation 3.2-118

Figure 3.2-11b Summary of 2040 with Project Transportation Effects by Subsection after Mitigation 3.2-119

Figure 3.3-1 Aggregate GHG Emissions Reductions That Would Result from the California High-Speed Rail Project 3.3-11

Figure 3.3-2 Resource Study Area Air Basins and Air Districts 3.3-14

Figure 3.3-3 Monitoring Station Locations 3.3-38

Figure 3.3-4 Sensitive Receptor Locations within 1,000 Feet of the San Jose Diridon Station 3.3-42

Figure 3.3-5 Sensitive Receptor Locations within 1,000 Feet of the Downtown Gilroy Station 3.3-43

Figure 3.3-6 Sensitive Receptor Locations within 1,000 Feet of the East Gilroy Station 3.3-44

Figure 3.3-7 Sensitive Receptor Locations within 1,000 Feet of the East Gilroy Maintenance of Way Facility 3.3-45

Figure 3.4-1 Typical A-Weighted Maximum Sound Levels 3.4-5

Figure 3.4-2 Propagation of Ground-Borne Vibration into Buildings 3.4-6

Figure 3.4-3 State of California Land Use Compatibility Guidelines 3.4-10

Figure 3.4-4 Allowable Increase in Cumulative Noise Levels (Land Use Categories 1 and 2) 3.4-18

Figure 3.4-5 Allowable Increase in Cumulative Noise Levels (Land Use Category 3) 3.4-18

Figure 3.4-6 Distance from Tracks within which Startle Can Occur for HSR 3.4-19

Figure 3.4-7 Existing Vibration Measurement Levels 3.4-35

Figure 3.4-8 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—Alternative 1 (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection) 3.4-48

Figure 3.4-9 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—Alternative 1 (Monterey Corridor Subsection) 3.4-49

Figure 3.4-10a 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—Alternative 1 without the Tunnel Design Variant (Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection) 3.4-50

Figure 3.4-10b 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—Alternative 1 with the Tunnel Design Variant (Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection) 3.4-51

Figure 3.4-11a 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—Alternatives 1, 2, 3, and 4 without the Tunnel Design Variant (Pacheco Pass Subsection) 3.4-52

Figure 3.4-11b 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—Alternatives 1, 2, 3, and 4 with the Tunnel Design Variant (Pacheco Pass Subsection) 3.4-53

Figure 3.4-12a 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—Alternatives 1, 2, 3, and 4 without the Tunnel Design Variant (San Joaquin Valley Subsection) 3.4-54

Figure 3.4-12b 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—Alternatives 1, 2, 3, and 4 with the Tunnel Design Variant (San Joaquin Valley Subsection) 3.4-55

Figure 3.4-13 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—Alternative 2 (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection)3.4-56

Figure 3.4-14 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—Alternative 2 (Monterey Corridor Subsection)3.4-57

Figure 3.4-15a 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—Alternative 2 without the Tunnel Design Variant (Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection)3.4-58

Figure 3.4-15b 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—Alternative 2 with the Tunnel Design Variant (Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection)3.4-59

Figure 3.4-16 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—Alternative 3 (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection)3.4-60

Figure 3.4-17 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—Alternative 3 (Monterey Corridor Subsection)3.4-61

Figure 3.4-18a 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—Alternative 3 without the Tunnel Design Variant (Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection)3.4-62

Figure 3.4-18b 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—Alternative 3 with the Tunnel Design Variant (Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection)3.4-63

Figure 3.4-19 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—Alternative 4 (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection)3.4-64

Figure 3.4-20 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—Alternative 4 (Monterey Corridor Subsection)3.4-65

Figure 3.4-21a 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—Alternative 4 without the Tunnel Design Variant (Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection)3.4-66

Figure 3.4-21b 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—Alternative 4 with the Tunnel Design Variant (Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection)3.4-67

Figure 3.4-22 2029 and 2040 Plus Project Vibration Impacts—Alternative 1 (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection)3.4-79

Figure 3.4-23 2029 and 2040 Plus Project Vibration Impacts—Alternative 1 (Monterey Corridor Subsection)3.4-80

Figure 3.4-24 2029 and 2040 Plus Project Vibration Impacts—Alternative 2 (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection)3.4-81

Figure 3.4-25 2029 and 2040 Plus Project Vibration Impacts—Alternative 2 (Monterey Corridor Subsection)3.4-82

Figure 3.4-26 2029 and 2040 Plus Project Vibration Impacts—Alternative 2 (Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection).....3.4-83

Figure 3.4-27 2029 and 2040 Plus Project Vibration Impacts—Alternative 3 (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection)3.4-84

Figure 3.4-28 2029 and 2040 Plus Project Vibration Impacts—Alternative 3 (Monterey Corridor Subsection)3.4-85

Figure 3.4-29 2029 and 2040 Plus Project Vibration Impacts—Alternative 4 (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection)3.4-86

Figure 3.4-30 2029 and 2040 Plus Project Vibration Impacts—Alternative 4 (Monterey Corridor Subsection)3.4-87

Figure 3.4-31 2029 and 2040 Plus Project Vibration Impacts—Alternative 4 (Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection).....3.4-88

Figure 3.4-32 Example of a Typical Noise Barrier.....3.4-93

Figure 3.4-33 Proposed Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts—Alternative 1 (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection) 3.4-104

Figure 3.4-34 Proposed Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts—Alternative 1 (Monterey Corridor Subsection)..... 3.4-105

Figure 3.4-35a Proposed Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts—Alternative 1 without the Tunnel Design Variant (Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection)..... 3.4-106

Figure 3.4-35b Proposed Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts—Alternative 1 with the Tunnel Design Variant (Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection)..... 3.4-107

Figure 3.4-36 Proposed Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts—Alternative 2 (Monterey Corridor Subsection)..... 3.4-108

Figure 3.4-37a Proposed Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts—Alternative 2 without the Tunnel Design Variant (Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection)..... 3.4-109

Figure 3.4-37b Proposed Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts—Alternative 2 with the Tunnel Design Variant (Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection)..... 3.4-110

Figure 3.4-38 Proposed Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts—Alternative 3 (Monterey Corridor Subsection)..... 3.4-111

Figure 3.4-39 Proposed Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts—Alternative 4 (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection)..... 3.4-112

Figure 3.4-40 Proposed Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts—Alternative 4 (Monterey Corridor Subsection)..... 3.4-113

Figure 3.4-41a Proposed Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts—Alternative 4 without the Tunnel Design Variant (Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection)..... 3.4-114

Figure 3.4-41b Proposed Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts—Alternative 4 with the Tunnel Design Variant (Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection)..... 3.4-115

Figure 3.4-42 Proposed Noise Barriers with Quiet Zones and Residual Noise Impacts—Alternative 4 (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection)..... 3.4-116

Figure 3.4-43 Proposed Noise Barriers with Quiet Zones and Residual Noise Impacts—Alternative 4 (Monterey Corridor Subsection)..... 3.4-117

Figure 3.4-44a Proposed Noise Barriers with Quiet Zones and Residual Noise Impacts—Alternative 4 without the Tunnel Design Variant (Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection)..... 3.4-118

Figure 3.4-44b Proposed Noise Barriers with Quiet Zones and Residual Noise Impacts—Alternative 4 with the Tunnel Design Variant (Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection)..... 3.4-119

Figure 3.5-1 EMF Measurement Site Locations with Existing Sources of EMF and EMI: San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection 3.5-18

Figure 3.5-2 EMF Measurement Site Locations with Existing Sources of EMF and EMI: Monterey Corridor Subsection 3.5-19

Figure 3.5-3 EMF Measurement Site Locations with Existing Sources of EMF and EMI: Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection 3.5-20

Figure 3.5-4 EMF Measurement Site Locations with Existing Sources of EMF and EMI: Pacheco Pass Subsection	3.5-21
Figure 3.5-5 EMF Measurement Site Locations with Existing Sources of EMF and EMI: San Joaquin Valley Subsection	3.5-22
Figure 3.6-1 Electric Transmission Lines, Power Lines, and Substations in the Resource Study Area	3.6-24
Figure 3.6-2 Major Natural Gas Pipelines in the Resource Study Area	3.6-25
Figure 3.6-3 Major Petroleum Pipelines in the Resource Study Area	3.6-26
Figure 3.6-4 Potable Water Distribution System Boundaries	3.6-29
Figure 3.6-5 Agricultural Irrigation District Water System Boundaries	3.6-30
Figure 3.6-6 California Energy Consumption by Sector, 2015	3.6-44
Figure 3.6-7 California Energy Consumption Estimates by Type, 2015.....	3.6-44
Figure 3.6-8 Historical Trends and Projected Statewide Annual Electricity Consumption – Base Demand	3.6-47
Figure 3.6-9 Historical Trends and Projected Statewide Annual Electricity Consumption –Peak Demand	3.6-48
Figure 3.6-10 California Natural Gas Demand by Sector in 2016	3.6-49
Figure 3.6-11 Proposed HSR Electrical Components—San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection	3.6-63
Figure 3.6-12 Proposed HSR Electrical Components—Monterey Corridor Subsection.....	3.6-64
Figure 3.6-13 Proposed HSR Electrical Components—Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection.....	3.6-65
Figure 3.6-14 Proposed HSR Electrical Components—Pacheco Pass Subsection.....	3.6-66
Figure 3.6-15 Proposed HSR Electrical Components—San Joaquin Valley Subsection.....	3.6-67
Figure 3.7-1 Schematic of Biological Resource Study Areas.....	3.7-21
Figure 3.7-2 Regional RSA, Ecoregion, and County Boundaries.....	3.7-22
Figure 3.7-3 Watersheds and Major Hydrological Features of the San Jose to Central Valley Wye Project Extent	3.7-37
Figure 3.7-4 Wildlife Corridors within the Regional RSA.....	3.7-55
Figure 3.7-5 Protected Lands and Conservation Planning Boundaries in the Regional RSA.....	3.7-59
Figure 3.7-6 Area of Conservation Significance in the San Joaquin Valley	3.7-60
Figure 3.8-1 Planning Watersheds in the Surface Water Resource Study Area.....	3.8-14
Figure 3.8-2 Groundwater Subbasins in the Groundwater Resource Study Area.....	3.8-15
Figure 3.8-3 Waterbodies in the Resource Study Area.....	3.8-26
Figure 3.8-4 Water Quality Impairments in the Resource Study Area	3.8-30
Figure 3.8-5 Groundwater Recharge in the Santa Clara and Llagas Area Subbasins.....	3.8-33
Figure 3.8-6 FEMA Floodplains in the Resource Study Area.....	3.8-40

Figure 3.8-7 Comparison of the Existing FEMA 100-Year Floodplain and Existing 100-Year Floodplain from Hydraulic Model 3.8-41

Figure 3.8-8 Existing 100-Year Water Surface Elevations from ICM Hydraulic Model 3.8-42

Figure 3.8-9 Permanent Impacts of South Gilroy MOWFs on Existing Waterbodies and Wetlands—Alternatives 1, 2, and 4..... 3.8-52

Figure 3.8-10 Permanent Impacts of East Gilroy MOWF on Existing Waterbodies and Wetlands—Alternative 3 3.8-53

Figure 3.8-11 Permanent Impacts on Existing Waterbodies and Wetlands near Tunnel 1—Alternatives 1, 2, 3, and 4..... 3.8-54

Figure 3.8-12 Groundwater and Surface Water Resources That May Be Affected during Tunnel 1 Construction, Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection 3.8-95

Figure 3.8-13 Groundwater and Surface Water Resources That May Be Affected during Tunnel 2 Construction (western portion)..... 3.8-96

Figure 3.8-14 Groundwater and Surface Water Resources That May Be Affected during Tunnel 2 Construction (central portion)..... 3.8-97

Figure 3.8-15. Groundwater and Surface Water Resources That May Be Affected during Tunnel 2 Construction (eastern portion) 3.8-98

Figure 3.8-16 Hydraulic Model Overview and Proposed Bridge over Llagas Creek near East Gilroy under Alternative 3..... 3.8-108

Figure 3.8-17 Embankment Cross Section in Soap Lake Floodplain 3.8-112

Figure 3.8-18 Impacts on the Soap Lake Floodplain under Alternative 1, Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection..... 3.8-114

Figure 3.8-19 Impacts on the Soap Lake Floodplain under Alternative 2, Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection..... 3.8-115

Figure 3.8-20 Impacts on the Soap Lake Floodplain under Alternative 3, Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection..... 3.8-116

Figure 3.8-21 Impacts on the Soap Lake Floodplain under Alternative 4, Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection..... 3.8-117

Figure 3.9-1a San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection—Geologic Map 3.9-17

Figure 3.9-1b Monterey Corridor Subsection—Geologic Map 3.9-18

Figure 3.9-1c Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection—Geologic Map..... 3.9-19

Figure 3.9-1d Pacheco Pass Subsection—Geologic Map 3.9-20

Figure 3.9-1e San Joaquin Valley Subsection—Geologic Map 3.9-21

Figure 3.9-2 San Joaquin Valley Land Subsidence 3.9-31

Figure 3.9-3 Summary Distribution of Slides and Earth Flows in Santa Clara County..... 3.9-33

Figure 3.9-4 Significant Landslides in Morgan Hill and Gilroy and Pacheco Pass Subsections..... 3.9-34

Figure 3.9-5 Potentially Expansive Soil 3.9-37

Figure 3.9-6 U.S. Department of Agriculture Mapped Soil Corrosion of Steel 3.9-39

Figure 3.9-7 U.S. Department of Agriculture Mapped Soil Corrosion of Concrete..... 3.9-40

Figure 3.9-8 Regional Faulting..... 3.9-42

Figure 3.9-9 Liquefaction Susceptibility in Santa Clara County3.9-44

Figure 3.9-10 Dams Located near Proposed Alignments3.9-46

Figure 3.10-1 Adjacent Land Uses along the Project Extent.....3.10-11

Figure 3.10-2 PEC Sites and Educational Facilities—San Jose Diridon
Station Approach Subsection3.10-13

Figure 3.10-3 PEC Sites and Educational Facilities—Monterey Corridor
Subsection.....3.10-14

Figure 3.10-4 PEC Sites and Educational Facilities—Morgan Hill and Gilroy
Subsection.....3.10-15

Figure 3.10-5 PEC Sites and Educational Facilities—Pacheco Pass
Subsection.....3.10-16

Figure 3.10-6 PEC Sites and Educational Facilities—San Joaquin Valley
Subsection.....3.10-17

Figure 3.11-1 San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection: Safety and
Security Resource Study Area and Existing Conditions.....3.11-20

Figure 3.11-2 Monterey Corridor Subsection: Safety and Security Resource
Study Area and Existing Conditions3.11-21

Figure 3.11-3 Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection: Safety and Security
Resource Study Area and Existing Conditions.....3.11-22

Figure 3.11-4 Pacheco Pass Subsection: Safety and Security Resource
Study Area and Existing Conditions3.11-23

Figure 3.11-5 San Joaquin Valley Subsection: Safety and Security Resource
Study Area and Existing Conditions3.11-24

Figure 3.11-6 Proposed Road Configuration for San Jose Fire Station 18—
Skyway Drive Variant A.....3.11-48

Figure 3.11-7 Proposed Road Configuration for San Jose Fire Station 18—
Skyway Drive Variant B.....3.11-49

Figure 3.11-8 Proposed Road Configuration for Morgan Hill Charter School—
Alternative 13.11-50

Figure 3.11-9 Proposed Road Configuration for Morgan Hill Charter School—
Alternative 23.11-51

Figure 3.11-10 Fire Station Emergency Vehicle Response Times.....3.11-57

Figure 3.11-11 Fire Hazards—Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection3.11-82

Figure 3.11-12 Fire Hazards—Pacheco Pass Subsection3.11-83

Figure 3.12-1 Communities in the RSA.....3.12-20

Figure 3.12-2 Community Facilities in the San Jose Diridon Station Approach
Subsection.....3.12-23

Figure 3.12-3 Community Facilities in the Monterey Corridor Subsection3.12-25

Figure 3.12-4 Community Facilities in the Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection.....3.12-27

Figure 3.12-5 Community Facilities in the Pacheco Pass Subsection3.12-29

Figure 3.12-6 Community Facilities in the San Joaquin Valley Subsection3.12-30

Figure 3.12-7 Wildlife Areas and Private Recreational Use in the Grasslands
Ecological Area3.12-38

Figure 3.12-8 Noise Contours Relative to Wildlife Areas and Private Hunting Clubs 3.12-97

Figure 3.13-1 Existing Land Uses – San Jose Diridon Station Area 3.13-10

Figure 3.13-2 Existing Land Uses—Downtown Gilroy Station Area (Alternatives 1, 2, and 4) 3.13-13

Figure 3.13-3a Existing Land Uses—South Gilroy MOWF (Alternatives 1 and 2) 3.13-14

Figure 3.13-3b Existing Land Uses—South Gilroy MOWF (Alternative 4) 3.13-15

Figure 3.13-4 Existing Land Uses—East Gilroy Station Area (Alternative 3) 3.13-17

Figure 3.13-5 Existing Land Uses—East Gilroy MOWF (Alternative 3) 3.13-18

Figure 3.13-6 Existing Land Uses—MOWS near Turner Island Road (All Project Alternatives) 3.13-19

Figure 3.13-7 Planned Land Uses (Current Zoning)—San Jose Diridon Station RSA 3.13-21

Figure 3.13-8 Planned Land Uses (Current Zoning)—Downtown Gilroy Station RSA 3.13-22

Figure 3.13-9a Planned Land Uses (Current Zoning)—South Gilroy MOWF RSA (Alternatives 1 and 2) 3.13-23

Figure 3.13-9b Planned Land Uses (Current Zoning)—South Gilroy MOWF RSA (Alternative 4) 3.13-24

Figure 3.13-10 Planned Land Uses (Current Zoning)—East Gilroy Station RSA 3.13-29

Figure 3.13-11 Planned Land Uses (Current Zoning)—East Gilroy MOWF RSA 3.13-30

Figure 3.13-12 Planned Land Uses (Zoning)—MOWS near Turner Island Road RSA 3.13-32

Figure 3.14-1 Agricultural Lands in Santa Clara, San Benito, and Merced Counties 3.14-17

Figure 3.14-2a Important Farmland in the Monterey Corridor Subsection 3.14-20

Figure 3.14-2b Important Farmland in the Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection 3.14-21

Figure 3.14-2c Important Farmland in the Pacheco Pass Subsection 3.14-22

Figure 3.14-2d Important Farmland in the San Joaquin Valley Subsection 3.14-23

Figure 3.14-3a Farmland Protected under Williamson Act in the Monterey Corridor Subsection 3.14-26

Figure 3.14-3b Farmland Protected under Williamson Act in the Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection 3.14-27

Figure 3.14-3c Farmland Protected under Williamson Act in the Pacheco Pass Subsection 3.14-28

Figure 3.14-3d Farmland Protected under Williamson Act in the San Joaquin Valley Subsection 3.14-29

Figure 3.14-4a Conservation Easements with Permanent Impacts on Important Farmland (Sheet 1 of 5) 3.14-33

Figure 3.14-4b Conservation Easements with Permanent Impacts on Important Farmland (Sheet 2 of 5) 3.14-34

Figure 3.14-4c Conservation Easements with Permanent Impacts on Important Farmland (Sheet 3 of 5)3.14-35

Figure 3.14-4d Conservation Easements with Permanent Impacts on Important Farmland (Sheet 4 of 5)3.14-36

Figure 3.14-4e Conservation Easements with Permanent Impacts on Important Farmland (Sheet 5 of 5)3.14-37

Figure 3.14-5 Important Farmland Remnant Parcels and Important Farmland Mitigation Ratios.....3.14-53

Figure 3.15-1 Parks, Recreation, Open Space Resources, and School District Play Areas—San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection (north).....3.15-11

Figure 3.15-2 Parks, Recreation, Open Space Resources, and School District Play Areas—San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection (south)3.15-12

Figure 3.15-3 Parks, Recreation, Open Space Resources, and School District Play Areas—Monterey Corridor Subsection.....3.15-13

Figure 3.15-4 Parks, Recreation, Open Space Resources, and School District Play Areas—Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection (north)3.15-14

Figure 3.15-5 Parks, Recreation, Open Space Resources, and School District Play Areas—Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection (central).....3.15-15

Figure 3.15-6 Parks, Recreation, Open Space Resources, and School District Play Areas—Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection (south).....3.15-16

Figure 3.15-7 Parks, Recreation, Open Space Resources, and School District Play Areas—Pacheco Pass Subsection.....3.15-17

Figure 3.15-8 Parks, Recreation, Open Space Resources, and School District Play Areas—San Joaquin Valley Subsection.....3.15-18

Figure 3.15-9 Permanent Acquisition at Reed Street Dog Park—Alternatives 2 and 3.....3.15-74

Figure 3.15-10 Permanent Acquisition at Reed and Grant Streets Sports Park—Alternatives 2 and 3.....3.15-75

Figure 3.15-11 Permanent Acquisition at Guadalupe River Trail (Reach 6)—Alternatives 1, 2, and 3.....3.15-76

Figure 3.15-12 Permanent Acquisition at Los Gatos Creek Trail—Alternatives 1, 2, and 3.....3.15-77

Figure 3.15-13 Permanent Acquisition at Los Gatos Creek Trail—Alternative 43.15-78

Figure 3.15-14 Permanent Acquisition at Fuller Park—Alternative 43.15-79

Figure 3.15-15 Permanent Acquisition of Highway 87 Bikeway North—Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 (north)3.15-80

Figure 3.15-16 Permanent Acquisition of Highway 87 Bikeway North—Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 (south)3.15-81

Figure 3.15-17 Permanent Acquisition of Highway 87 Bikeway North—Alternative 4 (north).....3.15-82

Figure 3.15-18 Permanent Acquisition of Highway 87 Bikeway North—Alternative 4 (south)3.15-83

Figure 3.15-19 Permanent Acquisition at Tamien Park—Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 3.15-84

Figure 3.15-20 Permanent Acquisition of Three Creeks Trail (Phase II Planned)—Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 3.15-85

Figure 3.15-21 Permanent Acquisition of Three Creeks Trail (Phase II Planned)—Alternative 4 3.15-86

Figure 3.15-22 Permanent Acquisition of Coyote Creek Parkway County Park—Alternatives 1 and 3 (part 1 of 4)..... 3.15-87

Figure 3.15-23 Permanent Acquisition of Coyote Creek Parkway County Park—Alternatives 1 and 3 (part 2 of 4)..... 3.15-88

Figure 3.15-24 Permanent Acquisition of Coyote Creek Parkway County Park—Alternatives 1 and 3 (part 3 of 4)..... 3.15-89

Figure 3.15-25 Permanent Acquisition of Coyote Creek Parkway County Park—Alternatives 1 and 3 (part 4 of 4)..... 3.15-90

Figure 3.15-26 Permanent Acquisition of Coyote Creek Parkway County Park—Alternative 2 (part 1 of 5)..... 3.15-91

Figure 3.15-27 Permanent Acquisition of Coyote Creek Parkway County Park—Alternative 2 (part 2 of 5)..... 3.15-92

Figure 3.15-28 Permanent Acquisition of Coyote Creek Parkway County Park—Alternative 2 (part 3 of 5)..... 3.15-93

Figure 3.15-29 Permanent Acquisition of Coyote Creek Parkway County Park—Alternative 2 (part 4 of 5)..... 3.15-94

Figure 3.15-30 Permanent Acquisition of Coyote Creek Parkway County Park—Alternative 2 (part 5 of 5)..... 3.15-95

Figure 3.15-31 Permanent Acquisition of Coyote Creek Parkway County Park—Alternative 4 (part 1 of 4)..... 3.15-96

Figure 3.15-32 Permanent Acquisition of Coyote Creek Parkway County Park—Alternative 4 (part 2 of 4)..... 3.15-97

Figure 3.15-33 Permanent Acquisition of Coyote Creek Parkway County Park—Alternative 4 (part 3 of 4)..... 3.15-98

Figure 3.15-34 Permanent Acquisition of Coyote Creek Parkway County Park—Alternative 4 (part 4 of 4)..... 3.15-99

Figure 3.15-35 Permanent Acquisition of Coyote Creek Trail—Alternatives 1 and 3 3.15-100

Figure 3.15-36 Permanent Acquisition of Coyote Creek Trail—Alternative 2 3.15-101

Figure 3.15-37 Permanent Acquisition of Morgan Hill Community and Cultural Center—Alternative 2..... 3.15-102

Figure 3.15-38 Permanent Acquisition of San Martin/Gwinn Elementary School—Alternative 2..... 3.15-121

Figure 3.15-39 Permanent Acquisition of South Valley Middle School—Alternative 1 3.15-122

Figure 3.15-40 Permanent Acquisition of South Valley Middle School—Alternative 2 3.15-123

Figure 3.16-1 Project Alternatives, KVPs, and Regional Scenic Resources..... 3.16-8

Figure 3.16-2 Visual Effects..... 3.16-12

Figure 3.16-3 KVPs, Visual Resources, and Viewers in the Santa Clara Landscape Unit	3.16-15
Figure 3.16-4 KVPs, Visual Resources, and Viewers in the Diridon Station Landscape Unit	3.16-21
Figure 3.16-5 KVPs, Visual Resources, and Viewers in the San Jose Station Approach Landscape Unit	3.16-25
Figure 3.16-6 KVPs, Visual Resources, and Viewers in the Communications Hill Landscape Unit	3.16-31
Figure 3.16-7 KVPs, Visual Resources, and Viewers in the Monterey Highway San Jose Landscape Unit.....	3.16-35
Figure 3.16-8 KVPs, Visual Resources, and Viewers in the Coyote Valley Landscape Unit	3.16-40
Figure 3.16-9 KVPs, Visual Resources, and Viewers in the US 101 Landscape Unit	3.16-45
Figure 3.16-10 KVPs, Visual Resources, and Viewers in the Morgan Hill–San Martin Landscape Unit	3.16-49
Figure 3.16-11 KVPs, Visual Resources, and Viewers in the Downtown Gilroy Landscape Unit	3.16-54
Figure 3.16-12 KVPs, Visual Resources, and Viewers in the Pajaro–San Felipe Landscape Unit.....	3.16-59
Figure 3.16-13 KVPs, Visual Resources, and Viewers in the Pacheco Pass Landscape Unit	3.16-63
Figure 3.16-14 Visual Resources, and Viewers in the San Luis Landscape Unit.....	3.16-67
Figure 3.16-15 KVPs, Visual Resources, and Viewers in the Romero Landscape Unit	3.16-70
Figure 3.16-16 KVPs, Visual Resources, and Viewers in the Henry Miller Landscape Unit	3.16-74
Figure 3.16-17 KVP 1 Santa Clara Landscape Unit—Main Street: Existing, Baseline 2029, and Simulated Views	3.16-88
Figure 3.16-18 KVP 2 Santa Clara Landscape Unit—I-880: Existing, Baseline 2029, and Simulated Views.....	3.16-89
Figure 3.16-19 KVP 3 Santa Clara Landscape Unit—West Hedding Street: Existing, Baseline 2029, and Simulated Views	3.16-90
Figure 3.16-20 KVP 4 Diridon Station Landscape Unit—Caltrain from The Alameda: Existing, Baseline 2029, and Simulated Views	3.16-94
Figure 3.16-21 KVP 5 Diridon Station Landscape Unit—Caltrain from West Santa Clara Street: Existing, Baseline 2029, and Simulated Views.....	3.16-95
Figure 3.16-22 KVP 6 Diridon Station Landscape Unit—San Jose Diridon Station: Existing, Baseline 2029, and Simulated Views	3.16-96
Figure 3.16-23 KVP 7 San Jose Station Approach Landscape Unit—San Jose Skyline: Existing, Baseline 2029, and Simulated Views	3.16-98
Figure 3.16-24 KVP 8 San Jose Station Approach Landscape Unit—Gardner School: Existing, Baseline 2029, and Simulated Views	3.16-99
Figure 3.16-25 KVP 9 San Jose Station Approach Landscape Unit—Fuller Avenue: Existing, Baseline 2029, and Simulated Views	3.16-101

Figure 3.16-26 KVP 10 San Jose Station Approach Landscape Unit—Delmas Street: Existing, Baseline 2029, and Simulated Views.....	3.16-102
Figure 3.16-27 KVP 11 Communications Hill Landscape Unit—Communications Hill Park: Existing and Simulated Views.....	3.16-104
Figure 3.16-28 KVP 12 Monterey Highway San Jose Landscape Unit—Lick Quarry: Existing and Simulated Views	3.16-107
Figure 3.16-29 KVP 13 Monterey Highway San Jose Landscape Unit—Branham Lane: Existing and Simulated Views	3.16-108
Figure 3.16-30 KVP 14 Monterey Highway San Jose Landscape Unit—Edenvale Drive: Existing, Baseline 2029, and Simulated Views.....	3.16-109
Figure 3.16-31 KVP 15 Monterey Highway San Jose Landscape Unit—Avenida Rotella: Existing and Simulated Views.....	3.16-110
Figure 3.16-32 KVP 16 Coyote Valley Landscape Unit—Monterey Road Coyote Valley: Existing and Simulated Views.....	3.16-114
Figure 3.16-33 KVP 17 US 101 Landscape Unit—Walnut Grove: Existing and Simulated Views.....	3.16-118
Figure 3.16-34 KVP 18 US 101 Landscape Unit—East Dunne Avenue: Existing and Simulated Views	3.16-119
Figure 3.16-35 KVP 19 Morgan Hill—San Martin Landscape Unit—Peebles Avenue: Existing and Simulated Views.....	3.16-122
Figure 3.16-36 KVP 20 Morgan Hill—San Martin Landscape Unit—Caltrain Morgan Hill Station: Existing and Simulated Views.....	3.16-124
Figure 3.16-37 KVP 21 Morgan Hill San Martin Landscape Unit—San Martin: Existing and Simulated Views	3.16-125
Figure 3.16-38 KVP 22 Downtown Gilroy Landscape Unit—East 6th Street: Existing and Simulated Views	3.16-128
Figure 3.16-39 KVP 23 Downtown Gilroy Landscape Unit—Caltrain Gilroy Station: Existing and Simulated Views.....	3.16-129
Figure 3.16-40 KVP 24 Downtown Gilroy Landscape Unit—East 8th Street: Existing and Simulated Views	3.16-130
Figure 3.16-41 KVP 25 Pajaro—San Felipe Landscape Unit—Leavesley Road: Existing and Simulated Views	3.16-135
Figure 3.16-42 KVP 26 Pajaro—San Felipe Landscape Unit—SR 152 at Frazier Lake Road: Existing and Simulated Views	3.16-138
Figure 3.16-43 KVP 27 Pajaro—San Felipe Landscape Unit—San Felipe: Existing and Simulated Views	3.16-139
Figure 3.16-44 KVP 28 Pacheco Pass Landscape Unit—SR 152: Existing and Simulated Views.....	3.16-142
Figure 3.16-45 KVP 29 Pacheco Pass Landscape Unit—Casa de Fruta: Existing and Simulated Views	3.16-143
Figure 3.16-46 KVP 30 Pacheco Pass Landscape Unit—Pacheco Creek Valley: Existing and Simulated Views	3.16-144
Figure 3.16-47 KVP 31 Romero Landscape Unit—West Loop Road: Existing and Simulated Views.....	3.16-146
Figure 3.16-48 KVP 32 Romero Landscape Unit—Pomas Road: Existing and Simulated Views.....	3.16-148

Figure 3.16-49 KVP 33 Romero Landscape Unit—Interstate 5: Existing and Simulated Views.....3.16-149

Figure 3.16-50 KVP 34 Henry Miller Landscape Unit—Volta: Existing and Simulated Views.....3.16-151

Figure 3.16-51 KVP 35 Henry Miller Landscape Unit—Henry Miller Road: Existing and Simulated Views3.16-152

Figure 3.16-52 KVP 33—Alternatives 1, 2, 3, and 4 Simulation3.16-154

Figure 3.17-1 Potentially Affected Historic Built Environment Resource Locations—San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection.....3.17-42

Figure 3.17-2 Potentially Affected Historic Built Environment Resource Locations—Monterrey Corridor Subsection3.17-43

Figure 3.17-3 Potentially Affected Historic Built Environment Resource Locations—Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection3.17-44

Figure 3.17-4 Potentially Affected Historic Built Environment Resource Locations—Pacheco Pass Subsection.....3.17-45

Figure 3.17-5 Potentially Affected Historic Built Environment Resource Locations—San Joaquin Valley Subsection.....3.17-46

Figure 4-1 HSR Alternatives by Subsection.....4-16

Figure 4-2 Parks, Recreation, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges—San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection (Northern Portion).....4-33

Figure 4-3 Parks, Recreation, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges—San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection (Southern Portion)4-34

Figure 4-4 Parks, Recreation, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges—Monterrey Corridor Subsection.....4-35

Figure 4-5 Parks, Recreation, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges—Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection (Northern Portion)4-36

Figure 4-6 Parks, Recreation, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges—Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection (Central Portion).....4-37

Figure 4-7 Parks, Recreation, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges—Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection (Southern Portion).....4-38

Figure 4-8 Parks, Recreation, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges—Pacheco Pass Subsection.....4-39

Figure 4-9 Parks, Recreation, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges—San Joaquin Valley Subsection4-40

Figure 4-10 Built Historic Resources—San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection.....4-45

Figure 4-11 Built Historic Resources—Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection (Northern Portion).....4-46

Figure 4-12 Built Historic Resources—Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection (North-Central Portion)4-47

Figure 4-13 Built Historic Resources—Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection (Central Portion).....4-48

Figure 4-14 Built Historic Resources—Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection (Central-Southern Portion)4-49

Figure 4-15 Built Historic Resources—Pacheco Pass Subsection (Western Portion)..... 4-50

Figure 4-16 Built Historic Resources—Pacheco Pass Subsection (Eastern Portion)..... 4-51

Figure 4-17 Built Historic Resources—San Joaquin Valley Subsection 4-52

Figure 4-18 Guadalupe River Park 4-106

Figure 4-19 Reed Street Dog Park 4-107

Figure 4-20 Reed and Grant Streets Sports Park..... 4-108

Figure 4-21 Larry J. Marsalli Park..... 4-109

Figure 4-22 Newhall Park 4-110

Figure 4-23 College Park..... 4-111

Figure 4-24 Theodore Lenzen Park 4-112

Figure 4-25 Cahill Park 4-113

Figure 4-26 Los Gatos Creek Trail..... 4-114

Figure 4-27 Guadalupe River Trail, Reach 6 4-115

Figure 4-28 Biebrach Park..... 4-116

Figure 4-29 Fuller Park 4-117

Figure 4-30 Tamien Park 4-118

Figure 4-31 Roberto Antonio Balermino Park 4-119

Figure 4-32 Communications Hill Trail..... 4-120

Figure 4-33 Edenvale Gardens Regional Park 4-121

Figure 4-34 Coyote Creek Parkway County Park (Part A)..... 4-122

Figure 4-35 Coyote Creek Parkway County Park (Part B)..... 4-123

Figure 4-36 Coyote Creek Parkway County Park (Part C) 4-124

Figure 4-37 Coyote Creek Parkway County Park (Part D) 4-125

Figure 4-38 Coyote Creek Parkway County Park (Part E)..... 4-126

Figure 4-39 Coyote Creek Parkway County Park (Part F)..... 4-127

Figure 4-40 Coyote Creek Trail (Part A) 4-128

Figure 4-41 Coyote Creek Trail (Part B) 4-129

Figure 4-41a Juan Bautista De Anza National Historic Trail (Alternatives 1 and 3 Viaduct, Alternative 2 Embankment, Alternative 4 Depressed Undercrossing)..... 4-130

Figure 4-42 Tulare Hill County Park..... 4-131

Figure 4-43 Field Sports County Park..... 4-132

Figure 4-44 Anderson Lake County Park..... 4-133

Figure 4-45 Sanchez Park 4-134

Figure 4-46 Villa Mira Monte 4-135

Figure 4-47 Railroad Park..... 4-136

Figure 4-48 Morgan Hill Community and Cultural Center 4-137

Figure 4-49 San Ysidro Park..... 4-138

Figure 4-50 Forest Street Park 4-139

Figure 4-51 Gilroy Sports Park 4-140

Figure 4-52 Cottonwood Creek Wildlife Area	4-141
Figure 4-53 Volta Wildlife Area	4-142
Figure 4-54 Los Banos Wildlife Area.....	4-143
Figure 4-55 Santa Clara Railroad Historical Complex.....	4-188
Figure 4-56 Southern Pacific Depot (Diridon Station/Hiram Cahill Depot)	4-189
Figure 4-57 Sunlite Baking Company	4-190
Figure 4-58 415 Illinois Avenue.....	4-191
Figure 4-59 Stevens/Fisher House	4-192
Figure 4-60 Barnhart House.....	4-193
Figure 4-61 Madrone Underpass	4-194
Figure 4-62 San Martin Winery	4-195
Figure 4-63 Japanese School	4-196
Figure 4-64 IOOF Orphanage Home	4-197
Figure 4-65 Gilroy City Hall	4-198
Figure 4-66 Live Oak Creamery	4-199
Figure 4-67 Millers Canal	4-200
Figure 4-68 California Aqueduct	4-201
Figure 4-69 Delta-Mendota Canal.....	4-202
Figure 4-70 San Joaquin and Kings River – Main Canal	4-203
Figure 4-71 Negra Ranch.....	4-204
Figure 4-72 Cozzi Family Property.....	4-205
Figure 5-1 Environmental Justice Reference Community and Resource Study Area.....	5-7
Figure 5-2 Population Density within the Environmental Justice Reference Community	5-8
Figure 5-3 Low-Income Populations in the Resource Study Area (Part 1 of 5).....	5-21
Figure 5-4 Low-Income Populations in the Resource Study Area (Part 2 of 5).....	5-22
Figure 5-5 Low-Income Populations in the Resource Study Area (Part 3 of 5).....	5-23
Figure 5-6 Low-Income Populations in the Resource Study Area (Part 4 of 5).....	5-24
Figure 5-7 Low-Income Populations in the Resource Study Area (Part 5 of 5).....	5-25
Figure 5-8 Minority Population Distribution	5-29
Figure 5-9 Minority Populations in the Resource Study Area (Part 1 of 5).....	5-30
Figure 5-10 Minority Populations in the Resource Study Area (Part 2 of 5).....	5-31
Figure 5-11 Minority Populations in the Resource Study Area (Part 3 of 5).....	5-32
Figure 5-12 Minority Populations in the Resource Study Area (Part 4 of 5).....	5-33
Figure 5-13 Minority Populations in the Resource Study Area (Part 5 of 5).....	5-34
Figure 5-14 Locations of Environmental Justice Outreach Activities	5-55
Figure 5-15 Adverse Transportation Effects during Construction (Alternatives 1, 2, and 3)	5-79
Figure 5-16 Adverse Visual Effects.....	5-86
Figure 5-17 Residential Displacements—Proportional Representation by Alternative and Community	5-90

Figure 5-18 Business Displacements—Proportional Representation by Alternative and Community 5-91

Figure 5-19 Adverse Effects on Parks, Recreation, and School District Play Areas 5-105

Figure 5-20 Adverse Transportation Effects During Operations After Mitigation (San Jose Diridon Station) 5-109

Figure 5-21 Adverse Transportation Effects During Operations After Mitigation (Monterey Corridor) 5-110

Figure 5-22 Adverse Transportation Effects During Operations After Mitigation (Morgan Hill and Gilroy) 5-111

Figure 5-23 Fire Station Emergency Vehicle Response Time Delay, Monterey Corridor (Alternative 4) 5-117

Figure 5-24 Fire Station Emergency Vehicle Response Time Delay, Morgan Hill and Gilroy (Alternative 4) 5-118

Figure 5-25 Mitigated Operational Noise Impacts (Noise Barriers)—Proportional Representation by Alternative and Community 5-124

Figure 5-26 Mitigated Operational Noise Impacts (Noise Barriers and Quiet Zones)—Proportional Representation by Alternative and Community 5-125

Figure 8-1 Preferred Alternative 8-3