



State of California - Department of Fish and Wildlife
2023 ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT FILING FEE
CASH RECEIPT
 DFW 753.5a (REV. 01/01/23) Previously DFG 753.5a

Print **StartOver** **Save**

RECEIPT NUMBER:
 56 — 10/02/2023 —
 STATE CLEARINGHOUSE NUMBER (If applicable)
 2017111004

SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE. TYPE OR PRINT CLEARLY.

LEAD AGENCY City of Ventura, Planning Division	LEAD AGENCY EMAIL rdimond@cityofventura.ca.gov	DATE 10/02/2023
COUNTY/STATE AGENCY OF FILING Ventura	DOCUMENT NUMBER EIR-9-19-52130	

PROJECT TITLE

Addendum #2 to the Final EIR for Ventura Water Supply Projects

PROJECT APPLICANT NAME City of Ventura Water Department	PROJECT APPLICANT EMAIL lsumansky@cityofventura.ca.gov	PHONE NUMBER (805) 658-4771
PROJECT APPLICANT ADDRESS 501 Poli Street	CITY Ventura	STATE CA
		ZIP CODE 93001

PROJECT APPLICANT (Check appropriate box)

- Local Public Agency School District Other Special District State Agency Private Entity

CHECK APPLICABLE FEES:

- | | | | |
|--|------------|----|------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental Impact Report (EIR) | \$3,839.25 | \$ | 0.00 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mitigated/Negative Declaration (MND)(ND) | \$2,764.00 | \$ | 0.00 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Regulatory Program (CRP) document - payment due directly to CDFW | \$1,305.25 | \$ | 0.00 |
|
 | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exempt from fee | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Exemption (attach) | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CDFW No Effect Determination (attach) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fee previously paid (attach previously issued cash receipt copy) | | | |

- | | | | |
|---|----------|----|-------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Water Right Application or Petition Fee (State Water Resources Control Board only) | \$850.00 | \$ | 0.00 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> County documentary handling fee | | \$ | 50.00 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other | | \$ | |

PAYMENT METHOD:

- Cash Credit Check Other

TOTAL RECEIVED \$ 50.00

SIGNATURE X <i>Rachel Dimond</i>	AGENCY OF FILING PRINTED NAME AND TITLE Rachel Dimond, Interim Assistant Director,
--	---

Community Development

FILED
 DATE: **OCT 09 2023**
 MICHELLE ASCENCION
 Ventura County Clerk-Recorder
 By: *[Signature]*, Deputy

POSTED
OCT 09 2023 - DEC 05 2023
 MICHELLE ASCENCION
 Ventura County Clerk and Recorder
 By: *[Signature]*, Deputy

Filed in County Clerk's Office
 Michelle Ascencion
 Ventura County Clerk-Recorder
2023100014489
 10/09/2023 Pages: 1
 09:28 AM Fees: \$50.00
 VEN
 DocType: FISH
 JJACKSON



Notice of Determination

Appendix D

To:

Office of Planning and Research
 U.S. Mail: Street Address:
 P.O. Box 3044 1400 Tenth St., Rm 113
 Sacramento, CA 95812-3044 Sacramento, CA 95814

County Clerk
 County of: Ventura
 Address: 800 South Victoria, Ventura, CA 93009

From:

Public Agency: City of San Buenaventura
 Address: 501 Poli Street, Ventura, CA 93001

Contact: Neda Zayer/Rachel Dimond
 Phone: 805-677-4131

Lead Agency (if different from above):
 Address: _____
 Contact: _____
 Phone: _____

SUBJECT: Filing of Notice of Determination in compliance with Section 21108 or 21152 of the Public Resources Code.

State Clearinghouse Number (if submitted to State Clearinghouse): 2017111004

Project Title: Addendum to the City of Ventura's Certified EIR for the Ventura Water Supply Projects

Project Applicant: City of Ventura Water Department

Project Location (include county): Southeast corner of Harbor Blvd. and Olivas Park Drive, Ventura County

Project Description:

The project is a change of organization of jurisdictional service area and district boundaries between the City of Ventura and the County of Ventura (2) a Zoning Map Amendment to pre-zone the site to H-C (Harbor-Commercial), (3) a General Plan Amendment to establish site specific recommendations and establish a land use designation of Harbor Commercial, (4) a Comprehensive Plan Amendment to mirror the General Plan Amendments, as this is the governing planning document for the Coastal Zone, as part of an amendment to the Land Use Plan of the Local Coastal Program, (5) a Zoning Ordinance Amendment to clarify allowable land uses allowed in the H-C Zone, as part of a Local Implementation Plan Amendment to the Local Coastal Plan, and a request that staff initiate a Local Coastal Plan Amendment with the California Coastal Commission, to facilitate the annexation of a property into the City of Ventura for future use as an Advanced Water Purification Facility ("AWFP" or "the facility") for a vacant 10-acre site in the Coastal Zone located at the Southeast corner of Harbor Boulevard and Olivas Park Drive. This request does not include approval for the project itself, which will come before the City at a later date. An Addendum has been prepared to reflect changes and additions of the proposed comprehensive plan and general plan amendments and LCPA, and a proposed Reorganization of the site, because none of the applicable conditions of Section 15162, calling for a subsequent EIR, have occurred.


This is to advise that the City of San Buenaventura has approved the above
(Lead Agency or Responsible Agency)

described project on 09/25/2023 and has made the following determinations regarding the above
(date)
described project.

1. The project [will will not] have a significant effect on the environment.
2. An Environmental Impact Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.
 A Negative Declaration was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.
3. Mitigation measures [were were not] made a condition of the approval of the project.
4. A mitigation reporting or monitoring plan [was was not] adopted for this project.
5. A statement of Overriding Considerations [was was not] adopted for this project.
6. Findings [were were not] made pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.

This is to certify that the final EIR with comments and responses and record of project approval, or the negative Declaration, is available to the General Public at:

Final EIR and Addendum available at City of Ventura Planning Div., 501 Poli St, Ventura, CA 93001

Signature (Public Agency):  Title: Interim Assistant Director

Date: 10/2/2023 Date Received for filing at OPR: _____

Authority cited: Sections 21083, Public Resources Code.
Reference Section 21000-21174, Public Resources Code.

Revised 2011

**ADDENDUM #2
FOR ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (EIR-9-19-52130)
SCH No. 2017111004
FOR VENTURA WATER DEPARTMENT
PROPOSED LOCAL PLAN AMENDMENT (LCPA) AND REORGANIZATION
(Certified by the Ventura City Council October 2019)**

**Project Number: 23-0279
Case Number(s): ANEX-03-23-0001, GPA-03-23-0001**

I. SUMMARY:

The City of Ventura has reviewed an application for the following proposed projects and the environmental review considers the following requests:

1. A Comprehensive Plan Amendment, General Plan Amendment, and Local Coastal Plan Amendment (LCPA).
2. A Reorganization and pre-zoning for a 10-acre property located at the southeast corner of Harbor Boulevard and Olivas Park Drive, known as the Ventura Water Advanced Water Purification Facility (AWPF) site (APN 138-0-050-090).

The Ventura Water Supply Projects EIR analyzed the siting of the AWPF in the EIR, referred to as the “Harbor Boulevard” site, located at the southeast corner of Harbor Boulevard and Olivas Park Drive. Potential Impacts were addressed in the EIR for the site. Discussion regarding the requirements for a Comprehensive Plan Amendment, General Plan Amendment, and LCPA was considered. Additionally, the proposed Annexation of the site into the City of San Buenaventura was also considered. For reference, an Addendum was conducted in 2022.

A. Proposed Finding: The California Environmental Quality Act of 1970 (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15164 (Addendum to an EIR or Negative Declaration) provides that an addendum shall be prepared when only minor technical changes, or changes which do not create new significant impacts, would result. This Addendum is for changes to EIR-9-19-52130, which was prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines 15162.

CEQA requires analysis of environmental impacts which could occur as a result of the project. For the proposed revisions to the approved project, an Addendum to the previously certified EIR (EIR-9-19-52130) for the approved development can be prepared if the following applicable provisions of CEQA Guidelines Section 15164 can be met:

- (a) *The lead agency or responsible agency shall prepare an addendum to a previously certified EIR if some changes or additions are necessary but none*

of the conditions described in Section 15162 calling for preparation of a subsequent EIR have occurred.

- (b) An addendum to an adopted negative declaration may be prepared if only minor technical changes or additions are necessary or none of the conditions described in Section 15162 calling for the preparation of a subsequent EIR or negative declaration have occurred.*
- (c) An addendum need not be circulated for public review but can be included in or attached to the final EIR or adopted negative declaration.*
- (d) The decision-making body shall consider the addendum with the final EIR or adopted negative declaration prior to making a decision on the project. and;*
- (e) a brief explanation of the decision not to prepare a subsequent EIR pursuant to Section 15162 should be included in an addendum to an EIR, the lead agency's findings on the project, or elsewhere in the record. The explanation must be supported by substantial evidence.*

An Addendum has been prepared to reflect changes and additions of the proposed comprehensive plan and general plan amendments and LCPA, and a proposed Reorganization of the site, because none of the applicable conditions of Section 15162, calling for a subsequent EIR, have occurred, as has been documented in the City's analysis and determination provided below.

Specifically, under Section 15162(a), Subsequent EIRs, of the CEQA Guidelines states:

- (a) When an EIR has been certified or a negative declaration adopted for the project, no subsequent EIR shall be prepared for that project unless the lead agency determines, on the basis of substantial evidence in the light of the whole record, one or more of the following:*
 - (1) Substantial changes are proposed in the project which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or negative declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects;*
 - (2) Substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or Negative Declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; or*
 - (3) New information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was certified as complete or the Negative Declaration was adopted, shows any of the following:*

- (A) The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR or negative declaration;*
- (B) Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previous EIR;*
- (C) Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible, and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative; or*
- (D) Mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effect on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.*

Based upon the City's analysis of the project and material submitted, there are no substantial changes or changed circumstances under which the environmental review of the proposed projects would require major revisions of the previous EIR. No new significant environmental effects nor substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects under the certified EIR-9-19-52130 have been found with the proposed projects. No new information has surfaced that the proposed project would have one or more significant effects not previously discussed in the approved EIR-9-19-52130; nor would any impacts previously examined become substantially more severe than in the approved EIR-9-19-52130; Further, no mitigation measures or alternatives previously identified as infeasible have become feasible or available to substantially reduce one or more significant effects than in the approved EIR-9-19-52130; nor would any mitigation measures or alternatives be considerably different than those analyzed in the approved EIR-9-19-52130. As part of this Addendum, the Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures adopted for EIR-9-19-52130 would be adopted as part of the Addendum are referenced hereto.

The EIR identified the following potentially significant impacts for the siting of the AWPf site on the Harbor Boulevard site. Potential impacts were shown to be mitigated to less than significant levels by measures recommended in the EIR and incorporated into the Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures adopted for EIR-9-19-52130. These documents would be incorporated by reference and adopted as part of the Addendum and the attached Resolutions. The impact areas of concern for the siting of the AWPf on the Harbor Boulevard site that have been mitigated to a level of less than significant, are as follows:

Aesthetics

Potential Impact:

AES 3.1-1: The proposed projects could result in a significant impact if they would have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista; and

AES 3.1-3: The proposed projects could result in a significant impact if they would substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the sites and their surroundings.

The certified EIR analyzed the potential aesthetic impacts of the AWPf structure on the Harbor Boulevard site. The analysis stated that it was likely that the tallest structure to be housed within the AWPf site would be no greater than a two-story facility (storage tank). Further, it stated that the Harbor Boulevard site currently includes disturbed open space with sparse vegetation and is adjacent to a golf course to the east, Harbor Boulevard, a strip mall, the Ventura Water Reclamation Facility (VWRF) and the harbor to the west, and disturbed open space to the north and south. Harbor Boulevard is not designated as a state or local scenic route. However, there is a policy in the General Plan (not a Coastal Policy) that calls for development along selected roadways, including Harbor Boulevard and Olivas Park Drive, “to respect and preserve views of the community and its natural context.” (General Plan Policy 4D, Action 4.36). The construction of the AWPf would change the site from undeveloped open space to a new fully enclosed industrial facility. As a result, the certified EIR concluded that the visual change of constructing the AWPf on the disturbed open space could have a potential significant impact on the surrounding views of the area. The EIR identified two mitigation measures (AES-1 and AES-2) that would ensure impacts to visual character and quality remained less than significant.

As part of the proposed LCPA, an allowed maximum height of 45 feet for the new “Southeast Harbor Area” is proposed as part of the development standards in the Comprehensive Plan. The other Harbor Areas detailed in the City’s Comprehensive Plan are located adjacent to the Harbor and Pacific Ocean/Beach areas and have a maximum height standard of 45 feet and the proposed new “Southeast Harbor Area” is consistent with the other Harbor area’s development standards. The proposed site for the AWPf is east of Ventura Harbor and across from a strip mall and the VWRF. Additional standards such as building coverage of not more than 50%, landscaping, neutral colors, and interpretive walking paths are also part of the proposed development standards.

A Viewshed Assessment dated June 29, 2023 (Exhibit A was developed by Environmental Science Associates (ESA) to analyze any potential new aesthetic impacts of the proposed development standard to allow for a maximum of 45 feet in height for the new “Southeast Harbor Area”. A viewshed is defined by the resources visible to the human eye from an observer’s viewpoint. The viewshed analysis considers locations of views likely to be affected by the visual changes resulting from

implementation of the project. The limits of the project viewshed from various observer viewpoints are the foothills to the north and to the northeast, the masts in the harbor to the west, and distant vegetation to the south and southeast. Visual simulations were developed from seven Key Viewpoint Positions (KVPs) around the Project Site with a proposed structure of 45 feet. These viewpoints were chosen to provide representative depictions of the landscape that would best illustrate potential developed conditions from a variety of viewpoints. Viewpoints were selected from locations accessible to the public along public roadways as these are the locations from which the greatest number of people would view the site.

The site is currently vacant, and any type of development is going to be evident by pedestrians and drivers from Harbor Boulevard and Olivas Park Drive. However, the report concluded that views from the KVPs would not have a significant adverse visual impact due to adjacent landscaping, short duration of views by passing vehicles, very little pedestrian traffic, and distance from any significant visual resources that would be impacted by the development. Motorists and cyclists would be in motion, focused mainly on the road, and would only experience any potential impacts for a few seconds to minutes, respectively, as they travel along the roads. While pedestrians may have slightly longer view durations than motorists or cyclists due to their speed of travel, there are no seating or other viewing areas from which the public would be exposed to this view for longer periods of time. Notable visual resources include the distant hillsides, mountains, boat masts, power poles, and palm trees, some of which would still remain visible, thereby maintaining the overall visual character of the Harbor Boulevard viewshed. The EIR concludes that impacts to visual character and quality would be less than significant with mitigation. The allowance for a 45 feet maximum height would not alter this conclusion. Mitigation Measure AES-2 requires that structures on the site be designed with a color pallet and external landscaping that minimizes contrasts in character with the local area. Potential impacts to visual character and quality resulting from an increased maximum height limit of 45 feet compared with the 20 feet (two story) assumed in the EIR would remain less than significant with mitigation.

The EIR concluded that impacts to scenic views and vistas would be less than significant with no mitigation required. The allowance of a 45 feet height maximum limit would not substantially increase impacts to scenic views or vistas. Views of the distant mountains would be affected in a similar way as evaluated in the EIR. Any structure on the site would obscure but not entirely block views of distant mountains from Harbor Boulevard. However, views of the ocean and harbor would not be blocked. As a result, impact to scenic views and vistas would remain less than significant, consistent with the conclusion in the EIR.

Therefore, as there would be no additional adverse aesthetic impacts substantially more severe than analyzed in the approved EIR-9-19-52130, and the proposed LCPA includes many other development standards that will guide the proposed development

of the future AWPf and reduce potential effects to visual quality, no new mitigation is required.

Mitigation:

AES-1: Prior to the start of construction, the city of Ventura shall prepare a Construction Management Plan. The Construction Management Plan shall, at a minimum, indicate the equipment and vehicle staging areas, areas for stockpiling of materials, temporary opaque fencing material, and haul route(s). Staging areas shall be sited and/or screened to minimize public views to the maximum extent practicable.

AES-2: Aboveground buildings/structures shall be designed to have color palettes and vegetation screening as necessary to blend with the surrounding character of the site and to minimize contrasting features in the visual landscape.

Potential Impact:

AES 3.1-4: The proposed projects could result in a significant impact if they would create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect daytime or nighttime views in the area.

No additional impacts – No new mitigation required.

Mitigation:

AES-3: Lighting used during temporary nighttime construction or for permanent security purposes shall be shielded and directed downward or pointed away from surrounding light-sensitive land uses.

Cultural Resources

Potential Impact:

CUL 3.5-1: The proposed projects could result in a significant impact if they would cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in §15064.5.

No additional impacts – No new mitigation required.

Mitigation:

CUL-1: Prior to the start of any ground disturbing activity, a Qualified Archaeologist, defined as an archaeologist meeting the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for professional archaeology (U.S. Department of the Interior, 2008) shall be retained by the City to carry out all mitigation measures related to archaeological resources.

CUL-2: Cultural resources survey shall be conducted prior to any ground disturbing activities associated with unsurveyed portions of the project area. The portions of the area of the proposed projects not surveyed include the Harbor Boulevard, Transport Street and Portola Road AWPf sites, the parcels within which groundwater Well Sites

2 and 3 would be located, and the portions of the proposed water conveyance pipeline located on private lands. Any resources identified during the survey that would be impacted as a result of the proposed projects should be evaluated for listing in the NRHP and CRHR. Avoidance and preservation in place shall be the preferred manner of mitigating impacts to historical resources under CEQA.

CUL-3: Prior to any ground disturbing activities associated with the project, the Qualified Archaeologist should conduct cultural resources sensitivity training for all construction personnel. Construction personnel should be informed of the types of archaeological resources that may be encountered, and of the proper procedures to be enacted in the event of an inadvertent discovery of archaeological resources or human remains. The City should ensure that construction personnel are made available for and attend the training and retain documentation demonstrating attendance.

CUL-4: Prior to the start of ground disturbing activities associated with the proposed projects, an archaeological monitor working under the supervision of the Qualified Archaeologist and a Native American monitor associated with the Barbareño/Ventureño Band of Mission Indians, or other locally affiliated tribe, shall monitor all project-related ground-disturbing activities within previously undeveloped project parcels, all jack-and-bore receiving pits, and all pot-holing activities within existing road rights-of-way. Previously undeveloped parcels requiring monitoring include the Harbor Boulevard, Transport Street, and Portola Road AWPf sites, as well as the new treatment wetlands parcel, and groundwater Well Sites 1, 2, and 3. For the pipeline alignments to be installed within existing road rights-of-way, a monitoring plan shall be prepared by the Qualified Archaeologist outlining the locations and timing of monitoring based on level of disturbance identified during pot-hole monitoring, as well as any geotechnical report to be prepared as part of project implementation. Based on observations of subsurface soil stratigraphy or other factors during initial ground disturbing activities across the project area, and in consultation with the City and Native American monitor, the Qualified Archaeologist may reduce or discontinue monitoring as warranted if the Qualified Archaeologist determines that the possibility of encountering archaeological deposits is low in a given area or during a given activity. Archaeological monitors shall maintain daily logs documenting their observations. Monitoring activities shall be documented in a Monitoring Report to be prepared by the Qualified Archaeologist at the completion of construction and shall be provided to the City and filed with the SCCIC within 6 months of construction completion.

CUL-5: In the event of the unanticipated discovery of archaeological materials during project implementation, all work shall immediately cease in the area (within approximately 100 feet) of the discovery until it can be evaluated by a qualified archaeologist. Construction shall not resume until the qualified archaeologist has conferred with the City on the significance of the resource.

If it is determined that the discovered archaeological resource constitutes a significant resource, avoidance and preservation in place is the preferred manner of mitigation. Preservation in place may be accomplished by, but is not limited to, avoidance, incorporating the resource into open space, capping, or deeding the site into a permanent conservation easement. In the event that preservation in place is demonstrated to be infeasible and data recovery through excavation is the only feasible mitigation available, a Cultural Resources Treatment Plan shall be prepared and implemented by the qualified archaeologist in consultation with City and Barbareño/Ventureño Band of Mission Indians, or other locally affiliated tribe, that provides for the adequate recovery of the scientifically consequential information contained in the archaeological resource.

Potential Impacts:

CUL 3.5-2: The proposed projects could result in a significant impact if they would cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a unique archaeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5.

CUL 3.5-3: The proposed project could result in a significant impact if they would directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature.

No additional impacts – No new mitigation required.

Mitigation:

CUL-7: Prior to the start of project-related ground-disturbing activities, the City shall retain a qualified paleontologist meeting the Society for Vertebrate Paleontology's professional standards (2010) to carry out all mitigation measures related to paleontological resources.

CUL-8: Prior to the start of project-related ground-disturbing activities, the qualified paleontologist shall conduct a paleontological resources sensitivity training for all construction personnel working on the project. This may be conducted in conjunction with the archaeological resources training required by Mitigation Measure CUL-2. The training shall include an overview of potential paleontological resources that could be encountered during ground-disturbing activities to facilitate worker recognition, avoidance, and subsequent immediate notification to the qualified paleontologist for further evaluation and action, as appropriate; and penalties for unauthorized artifact collecting or intentional disturbance of paleontological resources. The City shall ensure that construction personnel are made available for and attend the training and retain documentation demonstrating attendance.

CUL-9: The qualified paleontologist, or a paleontological monitor working under the direct supervision of the qualified professional paleontologist, shall spot check open and visible excavations and/or spoil piles originating from construction activities

exceeding depths of 20 feet. The qualified paleontologist shall review engineering plans to determine where ground disturbing activities will exceed 20 feet deep, and will coordinate with construction staff to determine the scheduling of spot checks. In the event that sensitive Quaternary older alluvial deposits are observed during spot check monitoring, the qualified paleontologist may make recommendations to modify the spot check protocols. Likewise, if monitoring observations suggest no potential for paleontological materials, the paleontologist may recommend to reduce or to discontinue the spot checks. The paleontological monitor shall prepare daily logs. After construction has been completed, a report that details the results of the spot check monitoring will be prepared and submitted to the City.

CUL-10: In the event of the unanticipated discovery of paleontological resources during project implementation, all work shall immediately cease in the area (within approximately 100 feet) of the discovery until it can be evaluated by a qualified paleontologist. The qualified paleontologist shall evaluate the significance of the resources and recommend appropriate treatment measures. At each fossil locality, field data forms shall be used to record pertinent geologic data, stratigraphic sections shall be measured, and appropriate sediment samples shall be collected and submitted for analysis. Any fossils encountered and recovered shall be catalogued and donated to a public, non-profit institution with a research interest in the materials, such as the Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County. Accompanying notes, maps, and photographs shall also be filed at the repository. Construction shall not resume until the qualified paleontologist has conferred with the City on the significance of the resource.

Potential Impact:

CUL 3.5-4: The proposed projects could result in a significant impact if they would disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries.

No additional impacts – No new mitigation required.

Mitigation:

CUL-11: If human skeletal remains are uncovered during project construction, all work within 100 feet of the find shall be immediately halted, and the Ventura County coroner shall be contacted to evaluate the remains, and follow the procedures and protocols set forth in Section 15064.5 (e)(1) of the CEQA Guidelines. If the County Coroner determines that the remains are Native American, the City shall contact the NAHC, in accordance with Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5, subdivision (c), and PRC 5097.98 (as amended by AB 2641). The NAHC shall then identify a Most Likely Descendant (MLD) of the deceased Native American, who shall then help determine what course of action should be taken in the disposition of the remains.

Per PRC 5097.98, the landowner shall ensure that the immediate vicinity, according to generally accepted cultural or archaeological standards or practices, where the Native American human remains are located, is not damaged or disturbed by further

development activity until the landowner has discussed and conferred, as prescribed in this section (PRC 5097.98), with the MLD regarding their recommendations, if applicable, taking into account the possibility of multiple human remains.

Geology, Soils, and Seismicity

Potential Impacts:

GEO 3.6-6: The proposed projects could result in a significant impact if they would be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the projects, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction, or collapse.

No additional impacts – No new mitigation required.

Mitigation:

GEO-1: A soils report and geotechnical investigation report shall be prepared by a California licensed geotechnical engineer for all facilities with potential to encounter shallow groundwater or expansive soils. These reports shall evaluate various geotechnical characteristics including existing liquefaction risk, expansive soils, and soil stability, and whether the operation of the proposed projects would exacerbate an existing risk of liquefaction or soil instability or create a new risk. The reports shall provide recommendations for facility design per these findings; these recommendations shall be incorporated into facility design.

Noise

Potential Impacts:

NOISE 3.13-1: The proposed projects could result in a significant impact if they would expose persons to or generate noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies.

No additional impacts – No new mitigation required.

Mitigation:

NOISE-1: Prior to construction, the City of Ventura shall ensure that the contractor specifications stipulate that:

- All construction equipment, fixed or mobile, is equipped with properly operating and maintained mufflers and other state-required noise attenuation devices.
- When feasible, construction haul routes shall avoid noise-sensitive uses (e.g., residences, convalescent homes).
- During construction, stationary construction equipment shall be placed such that emitted noise is directed away from the nearest noise-sensitive receptors.

- The project shall provide noise blanket/temporary noise barriers between the active areas and residential buildings

NOISE-2: Throughout project construction and operation, the City of Ventura shall document, investigate, evaluate, and attempt to resolve all project-related noise complaints as soon as possible.

- The City shall establish and disseminate a 24/7 hotline telephone number for use by the public to report any undesirable project noise conditions. If the telephone number is not staffed 24 hours per day, the City shall include an automatic answering feature with date and time stamp recording to answer calls when the phone is unattended.
- The City shall designate a Noise Disturbance Coordinator during construction and permanently once the facility is operational. The Noise Disturbance Coordinator shall assist in resolving noise complaints to minimize impacts while maintaining the objectives of the construction and operation of the facility. The Noise Disturbance Coordinator shall report all noise complaints to the City program manager.
- For construction noise complaints received outside of the construction hours and days allowed (Monday through Friday, between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m.), the Noise Disturbance Coordinator shall take immediate steps to determine whether project construction is causing the noise and, if so, to reduce the noise level of that activity or take other appropriate action to remedy the complaint as quickly as possible.
- For construction activities near local residences, the Noise Disturbance Coordinator shall have the authority to require the installation of a temporary noise barrier to reduce noise impacts to the closest sensitive receptors. The noise barriers shall be tall enough to effectively block sight-lines of the construction to the closest residences. The contractor shall install noise barriers as directed by the Noise Disturbance Coordinator to minimize construction noise and resolve noise complaints.
- Deliveries to the site normally shall not occur before 7:00 a.m. or after 10:00 p.m. on weekdays or between 9:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. on Saturdays and are not allowed on Sundays. Oversized loads and other heavy-duty vehicles would primarily get to and from the site using main traffic conduits. If for reasons of critical operational needs these hours must be violated, the City shall notify adjacent residences of the unusual circumstance at least 2 days in advance.

Potential Impact:

NOISE 3.13-2: The proposed projects could result in a significant impact if they would expose persons to or generate excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels.

No additional impacts – No new mitigation required.

Mitigation:

NOISE-5: The operation of construction equipment that generates high levels of vibration, such as large bulldozers and loaded trucks, shall be prohibited within 45 feet of existing residential structures. Instead, small construction equipment such as small rubber-tired bulldozers, small rubber-tired excavator, etc., not exceeding 150 horsepower shall be used within this area during demolition, grading, and excavation operations.

Transportation and Traffic

Potential Impact:

TRAF 3.17-1: The proposed projects could result in a significant impact if they would conflict with an applicable plan, ordinances or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit.

No additional impacts – No new mitigation required.

Mitigation:

TRAF-1: Prior to the start of construction facilities that would occur within a roadway right-of-way, the City of Ventura shall require the construction contractor to prepare a Traffic Control Plan. The Traffic Control Plan will show all signage, striping, delineated detours, flagging operations, and any other devices that will be used during construction to guide motorists, bicyclists, and pedestrians safely through the construction area and allow for adequate access and circulation to the satisfaction of the City's Public Works Director and Fire and Police Chiefs. When construction activities disrupt travel on major collectors or arterials, electronic signs shall be used to provide the public, on all transportation modes, with current construction information and the availability of alternative travel routes.

The Traffic Control Plan shall be prepared in accordance with the City of Ventura's traffic control guidelines and will be prepared to ensure that access will be maintained to individual properties and that emergency access will not be restricted. Additionally, the Traffic Control Plan shall also include a scheduling plan showing the hours of operation to minimize congestion during the peak hours and special events. The scheduling plan will ensure that congestion and traffic delay are not substantially

increased as a result of the construction activities. Further, the Traffic Control Plan will include detours or alternative routes for bicyclists using on-street bicycle lanes as well as for pedestrians using adjacent sidewalks.

In addition, the City shall provide written notice at least 2 weeks prior to the start of construction to owners/occupants along streets to be affected during construction. During construction, the City will maintain continuous vehicular and pedestrian access to any affected residential driveways from the public street to the private property line, except where necessary construction precludes such continuous access for reasonable periods of time. Access will be reestablished at the end of the workday. If a driveway needs to be closed or interfered with as described above, the City shall notify the owner or occupant of the closure of the driveway at least 5 working days prior to the closure. The Traffic Control Plan shall include provisions to ensure that the construction of the proposed projects do not interfere unnecessarily with the work of other agencies such as mail delivery, school buses, and municipal waste services.

The City shall also notify local emergency responders of any planned partial or full lane closures or blocked access to roadways or driveways required for construction of the proposed project facilities. Emergency responders include fire departments, police departments, and ambulances that have jurisdiction within the proposed project area. Written notification and disclosure of lane closure location must be provided at least 30 days prior to the planned closure to allow for emergency response providers adequate time to prepare for lane closures.

Exhibit A: Viewshed Analysis

September 27, 2022 and has made the following determinations regarding the above described projects.
(Date)

1. The project [will will not] have a significant effect on the environment.
2. An Environmental Impact Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.*
 A Negative Declaration was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.
3. Mitigation measures [were were not] made a condition of the approval of the project.*
4. A mitigation reporting or monitoring plan [was was not] adopted for this project.*
5. A statement of Overriding Considerations [was was not] adopted for this project.*
6. Findings [were were not] made pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.*

* Responses 2-6 pertain to the 2019 project approval pursuant to the Final EIR.

This is to certify that the Final EIR and Addendum are available to the General Public at:
City of Ventura Planning Division, 501 Poli Street #117, San Buenaventura, CA 93001 or online at
<https://www.venturawater.net>

Signature (Public Agency) _____

Title: ASSISTANT COMM DEV DIRECTOR

Date: 09/29/22

Date Received filing at OPR: _____