

ADDENDUM NO. 2 to the MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION for JURUPA COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT RECYCLED WATER EXPANSION (DISTRICT PROJECT NO. C133656)

INTRODUCTION

This document has been prepared pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA, California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 *et seq.*), the State *CEQA Guidelines* (California Code of Regulations Sections 15000 *et seq.*), the Jurupa Community Services District's (JCSD) *Local Guidelines for Implementing the California Environmental Quality Act* (2015 Revision), and is consistent with the CEQA-Plus requirements of the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) State Revolving Fund (SRF) Program for Environmental Review and Federal Coordination. JCSD will serve as the lead agency for CEQA purposes. Western Municipal Water District (WMWD) and the Inland Empire Utilities Agency (IEUA) are responsible agencies.

Section 15164(b) of the State *CEQA Guidelines* states:

An addendum to an adopted negative declaration may be prepared if only minor technical changes or additions are necessary or none of the conditions described in Section 15162 calling for the preparation of a subsequent EIR or negative declaration have occurred.

The purpose of Addendum No. 2 is to demonstrate that only minor changes have been made to the Project and that any potentially significant impacts can be mitigated through implementation of mitigation measures identified in the original MND, with minor clarifications.

PROJECT INFORMATION

The Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (CEQA and CEQA-Plus) for the Jurupa Community Services District Recycled Water Service Expansion (SCH No. 2015071073) was circulated for a 30-day public review period from July 29, 2015 to August 27, 2015, pursuant to State *CEQA Guidelines* Section 15073 (hereinafter the "2015 MND" or "2015 IS/MND"). The 2015 MND and a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) were approved by the JCSD Board of Directors on September 28, 2015. The Project evaluated in the 2015 MND was the construction and operation of potential distribution and storage facilities to convey recycled water that has been treated to Title 22 standards to IEUA's facilities and serve landscape irrigation needs within the western portion of JCSD's service area. (Refer to **Figure 1 – Original Project**).

The recycled water will be sourced from JCSD's, WMWD's, the City of Norco's, and/or Home Gardens Sanitary District's allocation of treated effluent from the WRCRWA Treatment Plant (operated by WMWD) in Eastvale and/or the IEUA recycled water system in San Bernardino County.

Addendum No. 1


Subsequent to the adoption of the 2015 MND, minor changes to the Original Project were proposed. These changes consisted of eliminating the recycled water pump station and water reservoir in the City of Ontario¹ and the recycled water pipelines in Carpenter Street between Edison Avenue and Schaefer Avenue and in Schaefer Avenue between Carpenter Street and Baker Avenue (refer to Figure 1). Instead of the recycled water pump station and reservoir proposed in the City of Ontario, a pump station was proposed in the American Heroes Park (see **Figure 2 – Revised Project – Addendum No. 1**). This new location would eliminate the loss of Prime Farmland² and would not require construction of a reservoir or construction of the water pipelines along Carpenter Street, from Eucalyptus Avenue to Schaefer Avenue or in Schaefer Avenue between Carpenter Street and Baker Avenue. Addendum No. 1 to the 2015 MND was adopted by JCSD on September 28, 2015 and the Notice of Determination (NOD) was filed with the Riverside County Clerk on October 1, 2015 and the State Clearinghouse on November 11, 2015. Because a financial assistance application was submitted to the State Water Resources Control Board, Addendum No. 1 was transmitted to the State Clearinghouse for a fifteen day review period from November 13, 2013–November 30, 2015.

Description and Setting of the Revised Project for Addendum No. 2

The Project for analysis in Addendum No. 2 (herein after "Revised Project") includes the extension of the recycled water proposed in Schleisman Road approximately 2,477 feet west in Pine Avenue past Hellman Avenue into the City of Ontario as shown in **Figure 3 – Revised Project – Addendum No. 2**. Schleisman Road turns into Pine Avenue at the City boundary. The pipeline extension is proposed to provide a second connection to existing IEUA infrastructure. No other revisions to the Original Project are proposed.


¹ Two potential sites for the recycled water pump station and water reservoir were proposed by the Original Project. These sites are referred to as Survey Area 1 and Survey Area 2 in the 2015 IS/MND and on **Figure 1 – Original Project**.

² Survey Area 1 and Survey Area 2 have Prime Farmland as shown on maps prepared by the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program.



G:\1983\83-241A\GIS\Prop_facilities_original.mxd; Map revised 18 Apr 2016

Sources: Riverside Co. GIS, 2016, San Bernardino Co. GIS, 2016.




LEGEND

- Proposed Pipelines
- Prop. Booster Station
- Prop. Well
- ▲ Prop. Pressure Reducing Station
- Exist. Non-Potable Well
- Proposed Recycled Reservoirs and Pump Station Survey Area 1
- Proposed Recycled Reservoirs and Pump Station Survey Area 2
- JCSD Boundary

Figure 1 - Original Project

JCSD Recycled Water Service Expansion





LEGEND


- Proposed Pipelines
- Prop. Well
- Prop. Booster Station
- IEUA Connection
- ▲ Prop. Pressure Reducing Station
- Exist. Non-Potable Well
- JCSD Boundary


G:\1983\83-241A\GIS\Prop_facilities_revised.mxd; Map revised 18 Apr 2016

Sources: Riverside Co. GIS, 2016, San Bernardino Co. GIS, 2016.

Figure 2 - Addendum No. 1 - Revised Project

JCSD Recycled Water Service Expansion





LEGEND


- Proposed Pipelines
- Prop. Well
- Prop. Booster Station
- IEUA Connection
- ▲ Prop. Pressure Reducing Station
- Exist. Non-Potable Well
- JCSD Boundary

G:\1983\83-241A\GIS\Prop_facilities_reviseADD2.mxd; Map revised 18 Apr 2016

Sources: Riverside Co. GIS, 2016, San Bernardino Co. GIS, 2016.

Figure 3 - Addendum No. 2 - Revised Project

JCSD Recycled Water Service Expansion



The portion of Pine Avenue along which the Revised Project is proposed to be constructed will be constructed is a four lane divided road. The northern shoulder is unpaved and heavily compacted without vegetation. This portion of Chino is within The Preserve Specific Plan. The Preserve encompasses approximately 5,435 acres within the City of Chino. The property north of the Revised Project alignment is currently being graded for construction of single family residential units and an operating dairy to the north. The property south of the Revised Project alignment consists of residential development to the south.

Based on the analysis in this Addendum, it has been determined that, the mitigation measures identified in the 2015 MND will mitigate any potentially significant impacts associated with the Revised Project to a less than significant level and no revisions are required. Minor revisions made to the original mitigation measures as a result of Addendum No. 1 are shown in strikethrough (~~strikethrough~~) and underline (underline) text. None of the revisions to the mitigation measures from Addendum No. 1 changed the intent or outcome; they merely clarified changes in location of the facilities.

A summary of Project specific, potentially significant impacts, in addition to impacts that may become potentially significant as a result of the Revised Project, are as discussed in the following paragraphs.

Aesthetics

2015 IS/MND Conclusion: Less than Significant Impact.

Revised Project: No New Impact

The construction and operation of a recycled water pipeline in Pine Avenue would not result in new impacts or increase the severity of impacts identified in the 2015 IS/MND, and therefore would not change the 2015 IS/MND conclusion that short-term construction related impacts are less than significant, because once construction is complete, the facility will be below ground and the surface returned to its original condition. As an underground pipeline, the Revised Project will not affect the views of any scenic vista, damage scenic resources, alter the visual character of the area, or create a new source of light or glare.

Agriculture and Forestry Resources

2015 IS/MND Conclusion: Less than Significant Impact.

Revised Project: No New Impact

According to the City of Chino General Plan Draft EIR, there is no Prime Farmland, Farmland of Statewide Importance, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Local Importance (Farmland for CEQA purposes) adjacent to the alignment of the Revised Project. Zoning

in proximity of the Revised Project site is residential and there are no active Williamson Act contracted lands in the vicinity. The area surrounding the Revised Project site is in the process of development per The Preserve Specific Plan. For these reasons implementation of the Revised Project will not result in any direct or indirect impacts to agriculture or forestry resources.

Air Quality

2015 IS/MND Conclusion: Less than Significant Impact.

Revised Project: No New Impact

The Revised Project would not result in any air quality impacts more severe than those described in the 2015 MND. Construction activities will be required to comply with all applicable County and South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) regulations. Long-term emissions due to operation of this pipeline are negligible, and would be limited to periodic maintenance of the Pine Avenue pipeline segment. The Revised Project area is in the process of development in accordance with The Preserve Specific Plan and development of the Revised Project will not result in any changes to the existing land use patterns. Construction and operation of the Pine Avenue pipeline will not result in new direct or indirect impacts to air quality.

Biological Resources

2015 IS/MND Conclusion: Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated.

Revised Project: No New Impact

Implementation of the Revised Project would not result in any effects to biological resources more severe than those described in the 2015 IS/MND and therefore would not change the 2015 IS/MND conclusion that impacts would be less than significant with mitigation incorporated. The proposed pipeline extension is located within the existing Pine Avenue or its graded and compacted road shoulder which has already been cleared when Pine Avenue was constructed. Mitigation measures identified in the 2015 MND as modified by Addendum No. 1 will mitigate any potentially significant impacts associated with the Revised Project to a less than significant level.

MM BIO 1: To avoid potential impacts to burrowing owl, a pre-construction survey (or surveys) shall be conducted no less than 14 days prior to initiating ground disturbance activities in the following locations:

- Along the Southern California Edison easement west of Archibald Avenue up to the boundary of the American Heroes Park;
- Along the access road in Crossroads Riverview Park southeast of the Treatment Plant;

- Agricultural fields along Hellman Avenue, Scholar Way, and Schleisman Road;
- The route from Hellman Avenue, continuing northeast along Bellegrave Avenue, north through private property to Remington Street, continuing west in Remington Street, up to Carpenter Avenue, north in Carpenter Street, connecting with Schaefer to Eucalyptus Avenue;
- ~~Along Schaefer Avenue (if the recycled water reservoirs and pump station are constructed at Survey Area 2);~~
- The proposed clear well site and pipeline connecting the booster station and clear well; and
- ~~The portion of Survey Area 1 or Survey Area 2 chosen for the proposed recycled water reservoir and pump station.~~

If burrowing owls, or signs of burrowing owls, are observed, protocol level surveys and/or mitigation measures shall be implemented as prescribed in the California Department of Fish and Wildlife's *Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation* (March 2012). These mitigation measures may include, but are not limited to, avoidance of the nesting season and passive or active relocation. Passive relocation involves excluding the burrowing owl from burrows by means of a one-way trap door. Active relocation involves capture and physical relocation of the owl.

MM BIO 2: If construction activities at either ~~Survey Area 1 or Survey Area 2~~ the pump station location in American Heroes Park involving heavy equipment or vegetation removal are to occur between February 1 and August 31, a preconstruction field survey shall be conducted by a qualified biologist to determine if active nests of species protected by the Migratory Bird Treaty Act or Fish and Game Code are present in the construction zone or within a buffer of 500 feet. Pre-construction nesting/breeding surveys shall be conducted within 10 days prior to the construction activity. If no active nests are found during the survey, construction activities may proceed. If nesting birds are observed on-site, an avoidance area shall be established to ensure that construction activities will not cause a nest to fail. A minimum buffer area surrounding the nest shall be avoided by all construction activities until the nestlings have fledged the nest. The buffer zones distance shall be 300 feet for non-raptor nests, 500 feet for raptor nests, 100 feet for common songbird nests, or as determined by the biological monitor in consultation with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife. A biological monitor shall be required to monitor the progress of the nesting birds. Construction activities may encroach within the buffer area at the discretion of the biological monitor in consultation with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife. Once the nestlings have fledged the nest, construction activities may proceed within the buffer area with no further restrictions with regard to nesting birds.

Cultural Resources

2015 IS/MND Conclusion: Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated.

Revised Project: No New Impact

The Revised Project would not result in any effects to cultural resources more severe than those described in the adopted MND. CRM Tech conducted a cultural resources assessment in June 2015 during the preparation of the MND. No prehistoric or historic resources were recorded within or directly adjacent to the proposed Pine Avenue pipeline alignment; however, six historic resources and one prehistoric resource were documented in the Revised Project vicinity. **Table 1** provides descriptions of the recorded historic and prehistoric resources in the vicinity of the Revised Project.

Table 1 – Cultural Records Search Results

Site Number	Resource Description
Historic Resources	
36-020641	This is a one-story single-family residence that was likely built soon after 1927.
36-020642	This is a one-story residence of mid-20 th century origin; historic maps indicate that this house was one of five structures making up a dairy operation on the property by the late 1930s.
36-020643	This small building may have been originally a residence, but has long been abandoned. Historic maps indicate that this house was present as one of five structures making up a dairy operation on the property by the late 1930s.
36-020644	This one-story home could have been among five structures on the property by the late 1930s, but may have been constructed as late as the 1950s.
36-020645	This dairy barn was most likely built in the 1950s.
33-013375	The main residence at this site was constructed in 1915. The property also contains two additional historic structures used for dairy production.

Site Number	Resource Description
<i>Prehistoric Resources</i>	
36-005274	Mortars, pestles, and projectile points were discovered at this site during well excavation.

The majority of the resources identified were historic single-family residences and other structures associated with historic dairy farms and operations. Sites 36-020641 through 36-020645 are located to the west of the Revised Project pipeline alignment and would not be impacted by construction or operation of this pipeline segment. Site 33-013375 is located southeast of the Pine Avenue pipeline segment and would also not be impacted by construction or operation of the Pine Avenue pipeline segment. None of these historic resources were eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places, the California Register of Historical Resources, or for local designation. One prehistoric resource was documented in the Revised Project vicinity, and consisted of mortars, pestles, and projectile points.

The historic dairy farm buildings and residences are located approximately 0.10 miles west of the western-most terminus of the Pine Avenue pipeline segment and the prehistoric mortar is located across Hellman Avenue at the eastern terminus of the Pine Avenue pipeline segment. Construction activities will be limited to the roadway directly adjacent to Pine Avenue and will not impact these resources. There will be no long-term impacts to these resources due to the underground nature of the pipeline being installed.

The pipeline extension is within the existing Pine Avenue, surrounded by vacant land and a dairy to the north and a residential development to the south. However, Revised Project-related impacts will be limited to the roadway or its shoulder. Due to the disturbed nature of the Revised Project area and lack of documented cultural resources within the proposed pipeline alignment, no known resources will be disturbed and it is unlikely that new resources will be discovered. The mitigation measures described below from the approved MMRP, with minor modifications from Addendum No. 1, will reduce potential impacts to cultural resources to less than significant.

MM CR 1: Should any archaeological resource(s) be accidentally discovered during construction, construction activities shall be moved to other parts of the construction site and a qualified archaeologist shall be contacted to determine the significance of the resource(s). If the find is determined to be a unique archaeological resource, as defined

in Section 15064.5 of the State *CEQA Guidelines*, avoidance or other appropriate measure shall be implemented.

MM CR 2: A qualified professional archaeologist shall be retained to monitor initial ground-disturbing activities related to construction of the ~~recycled water reservoirs and pump station at either Survey Area 1 or Survey Area 2~~. The archaeologist shall contact the Gabrieliño Band of Mission Indians, Gabrieliño/Tongva San Gabriel Band of Mission Indians, Gabrieliño Tongva Nation, and Pauma Band of Luiseño Indians and invite them to provide a culturally-affiliated Native American monitor to be present during initial ground-disturbing activities. If any archaeological deposits are encountered, all ground-disturbing work shall be halted at the location of the discovery until a qualified archaeologist determines the significance of the resource(s). If the archaeologist determines a find to be a unique archaeological resource, as defined in Section 15064.5 of the State *CEQA Guidelines*, avoidance or other appropriate measures shall be implemented.

MM CR 3: Should any paleontological resource(s) be accidentally discovered during construction, construction activities shall be moved to other parts of the construction site and a qualified paleontologist shall be contacted to determine the significance of the resource(s). If the find is determined to be a unique paleontological resource, as defined in Section 15064.5 of the State *CEQA Guidelines*, then a mitigation program shall be developed in accordance with the provisions of *CEQA* as well as the guidelines of the Society of Vertebrate Paleontology (1995), and shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

- The excavation of areas identified as likely to contain paleontological resources shall be monitored by a qualified paleontological monitor. Monitoring should be restricted to undisturbed subsurface areas of older alluvium, which may be present below the surface. The monitor shall be prepared to quickly salvage fossils as they are unearthed to avoid construction delays, but must have the power to temporarily halt or divert grading equipment to allow for removal of abundant or large specimens. The monitor shall also remove samples of sediments that are likely to contain the remains of small fossil invertebrates and vertebrates.
- Collected samples of sediments shall be washed to recover small invertebrate and vertebrate fossils. Recovered specimens shall be prepared so that they can be identified and permanently preserved.
- Specimens shall be identified and curated at a repository with permanent retrievable storage to allow further research in the future.
- A report of findings, including an itemized inventory of recovered specimens, shall be prepared upon completion of the procedures outlined above. The report

shall include a discussion of the significance of all recovered specimens. The report and inventory, when submitted to the appropriate lead agency, shall signify completion of the program to mitigate impacts to paleontological resources.

Geology and Soils

2015 IS/MND Conclusion: Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated.

Revised Project: No New Impact

The Revised Project would not result in any effects to geology and soils more severe than those described in the adopted MND. The new proposed pipeline extends along Pine Avenue and is surrounded by existing residential development (to the south), vacant land under construction (to the north), and a dairy (to the north). The mitigation measures described below and in the original MMRP shall also apply to the Revised Project and have already been determined to reduce potential impacts to a less than significant level.

MM GEO 1: Prior to the construction of any Project facility that does not require preparation of a facility-specific Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan, an erosion and sedimentation control plan shall be prepared that identifies erosion and sedimentation control best management practices. The erosion and sediment control plan may be prepared by the Construction Contractor or designee; however, it must be approved by the Jurupa Community Services District prior to the start of construction. The erosion control plan shall be retained at the construction site and available for inspection upon request.

Greenhouse Gas Emissions

2015 IS/MND Conclusion: Less than Significant Impact.

Revised Project: No New Impact

The Revised Project would not result in any greenhouse gas emissions more severe than those described in the adopted MND. Greenhouse gas analysis conducted for the 2015 IS/MND found that short-term construction emissions and long-term operational emissions will both be under SCAQMD established thresholds.

Therefore, construction-related emissions will be less than significant due to the limited scope of the Pine Avenue segment and compliance with all applicable SCAQMD and County regulations. Long term emissions associated with operation of the Pine Avenue pipeline segment will be limited to periodic maintenance activities and will be negligible.

Hazards and Hazardous Materials

2015 IS/MND Conclusion: Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated.

Revised Project: No New Impact

The new proposed pipeline alignment is located within the existing Pine Avenue or its compacted shoulder, and would not result in any traffic hazards not already described in the original MND. The mitigation measures described in the MMRP, and listed below, for this Project are will reduce potential impacts to a less than significant level.

MM TRANS 1: Prior to the initiation of construction activities where a public roadway will be affected by a lane or segment closure or modification of a travel lane, a Traffic Control Plan shall be prepared to the satisfaction of the agency with jurisdiction over the affected roadway. The Traffic Control Plan shall be prepared per the California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways and designed to maintain safe traffic flow on local streets, permit adequate access by emergency vehicles and to private property fronting the affected alignment, traffic control procedures, alternate routes in the event road closure is required, adequate sign postings, detours, and permitted hours of construction. Where a Traffic Control Plan is being prepared along a roadway utilized for bus transit, as part of the Traffic Control Plan, Jurupa Community Services District shall coordinate with that transit agency to ensure that bus service will not be interrupted.

Hydrology and Water Quality

2015 IS/MND Conclusion: Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated.

Revised Project: No New Impact

The Revised Project would not result in any effects to hydrology and water quality not already described in the adopted MND. Because the proposed Pine Avenue pipeline is less than one mile long, the Revised Project will not require coverage under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES); however, it will likely be constructed as part of the pipeline proposed in Schliesman Avenue coverage would be obtained. Further, if a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan is not required, implementation of mitigation measure **MM GEO 1** listed in the adopted MMRP and described below will reduce potential impacts to a less than significant level.

MM GEO 1: Prior to the construction of any Project facility that does not require preparation of a facility-specific Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan, an erosion and sedimentation control plan shall be prepared that identifies erosion and sedimentation control best management practices. The erosion and sediment control plan may be prepared by the Construction Contractor or designee; however, it must be approved by the Jurupa Community Services District prior to the start of construction. The erosion

control plan shall be retained at the construction site and available for inspection upon request.

Land Use and Planning

2015 IS/MND Conclusion: Less than Significant Impact.

Revised Project: No New Impact

The construction and operation of a recycled water pipeline in Pine Avenue would not result in new impacts or increase the severity of impacts identified in the 2015 IS/MND, and therefore would not change the 2015 IS/MND conclusion that land use and planning impacts would be less than significant. The recycled water facilities identified in the Original and Revised Projects are being constructed to serve existing irrigation needs in the JCSD service area and will not result in any land use changes. The area surrounding the Pine Avenue pipeline segment is being developed according to The Preserve Specific Plan.

Mineral Resources

2015 IS/MND Conclusion: Less than Significant Impact.

Revised Project: No New Impact

The construction and operation of a recycled water pipeline in Pine Avenue would not result in new impacts or increase the severity of impacts identified in the 2015 IS/MND, and therefore would not change the 2015 IS/MND conclusion that impacts to mineral resources would be to less than significant. The proposed pipeline in Pine Avenue is located within Mineral Resource Zone 3 (MRZ-3), as designated by the State Mining and Geology Board. This means that mineral deposits are likely to exist in this area; however, the significance of any potential deposits is undetermined. Given the proposed pipeline's alignment in the existing Pine Avenue and residential uses in close proximity, surface mining or mineral recovery operations could not likely take place at this location.

Noise

2015 IS/MND Conclusion: Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated.

Revised Project: No New Impact

The only noise resulting from the Revised Project will be construction noise. As with the Original Project, construction of the pipeline in Pine Avenue will involve equipment that could exceed noise levels of 65 A-weighted decibels in the short term and the existing residents south of Pine Avenue are considered sensitive receptors.

Construction of the Revised Pipeline is exempt from the provisions of the noise standards in Chino's Municipal Code if construction activity occurs between 7:00 a.m.

and 8:00 p.m. Monday through Saturday and no construction takes place on Sunday or federal holidays (CMC Section 15.44.030). In order to ensure compliance with Chino's Municipal Code, the 2015 IS/MND included mitigation measure **MM NOISE 1**, which limits construction hours within the City of Chino. Once construction is complete, the underground pipeline will not be a noise producer. Because the Revised Project will implement the mitigation measures described in the 2015 IS/MND, as modified in Addendum No. 1, potential noise impacts will be reduced to a less than significant level.

MM NOISE 1: All construction activities within the City of Chino shall be limited to occur between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m. Monday through Saturday with no construction allowed on Sundays or federal holiday.

~~**MM NOISE 2:** Construction activities associated with the proposed recycled water reservoirs and pump station within the City of Ontario shall be limited to occur between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. Monday through Friday, and 9:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. on Saturday and Sunday.~~

MM NOISE 3: To minimize noise impacts resulting from poorly tuned or improperly modified vehicles and construction equipment, all vehicles and construction equipment shall maintain equipment engines and mufflers in good condition and in proper tune per manufacturers' specifications to the satisfaction of the Jurupa Community Services District. Equipment maintenance records and equipment design specification data sheets shall be kept and maintained by the contractor and available for review by the Jurupa Community Services District upon request.

MM NOISE 4: To minimize noise from idling engines, all vehicles and construction equipment shall be prohibited from idling in excess of three (3) minutes when not in use.

Population/Housing

2015 IS/MND Conclusion: No Impact.

Revised Project: No New Impact

Implementation of the Revised Project would not result in new impacts or increase the severity of impacts identified in the 2015 IS/MND, and therefore would not change the 2015 IS/MND conclusion that there would be no impacts to population/housing. The construction and operation of a recycled water pipeline in Pine Avenue will provide a second connection between JCSD's proposed recycled water facilities (the Original Project) and IEUA's existing network. Because the Original Project will serve existing irrigation needed, it will not influence any land use changes and is not considered growth inducing either directly or indirectly.

Public Services

2015 IS/MND Conclusion: No Impact.

Revised Project: No New Impact

Implementation of the Revised Project would not result in new impacts or increase the severity of impacts identified in the 2015 IS/MND, and therefore would not change the 2015 IS/MND conclusion that there would be no impacts to public services. As discussed under Population/Housing, providing a second connection between JCSD's proposed recycled water facilities and IEUA's existing network will not directly or indirectly generate new development or persons to the Project area, and will not necessitate the construction of new governmental facilities or increase the demand for fire protection, police protection, schools, or other public facilities.

Recreation

2015 IS/MND Conclusion: No Impact.

Revised Project: No New Impact

The Revised Project will serve existing irrigation needs within JCSD's service area and will not influence any land use changes. The area surrounding the Pine Avenue segment is being developed according to The Preserve Specific Plan; however, the 2015 MND found that construction of the pipeline alone is not considered growth inducing and no new impacts have been identified.

Transportation/Traffic

2015 IS/MND Conclusion: Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated.

Revised Project: No New Impact

The construction and operation of a recycled water pipeline in Pine Avenue would not result in new impacts or increase the severity of impacts identified in the 2015 IS/MND, and therefore would not change the 2015 IS/MND conclusion that transportation/traffic impacts would be reduced to less than significant with mitigation. The proposed pipeline will be constructed within Pine Avenue or its compacted shoulder, thus construction may require temporary closure of a travel lane. No other impacts to transportation or traffic will occur, and the mitigation listed below and in the MMRP will reduce potential impacts to traffic to a less than significant level.

MM TRANS 1: Prior to the initiation of construction activities where a public roadway will be affected by a lane or segment closure or modification of a travel lane, a Traffic Control Plan shall be prepared to the satisfaction of the agency with jurisdiction over the affected roadway. The Traffic Control Plan shall be prepared per the California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways and designed to maintain

safe traffic flow on local streets, permit adequate access by emergency vehicles and to private property fronting the affected alignment, traffic control procedures, alternate routes in the event road closure is required, adequate sign postings, detours, and permitted hours of construction. Where a Traffic Control Plan is being prepared along a roadway utilized for bus transit, as part of the Traffic Control Plan, Jurupa Community Services District shall coordinate with that transit agency to ensure that bus service will not be interrupted.

Utilities and Service Systems

2015 IS/MND Conclusion: Less than Significant Impact.

Revised Project: No New Impact

Implementation of the Revised Project would not result in new impacts or increase the severity of impacts identified in the 2015 IS/MND. The Revised Project is a recycled water pipeline, which will not generate wastewater or require the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities, storm drain facilities, or result in the need for new potable water supplies. By providing a second connection between JCSD's recycled water expansion project and existing IEUA facilities, the Revised Project would reduce the demand for potable water within the JCSD and/or IEUA service areas by providing a means to convey recycled water from the WRCRWA Treatment Plant to the IEUA network, where it may serve existing customers. As with the Original Project, construction of the Revised Project will generate small quantities of solid waste debris from the removal of roadway surfaces. Construction of the Revised Project will not result in more construction waste than the Original Project due to the elimination of certain pipelines as evaluated in Addendum No. 1.

Mandatory Findings of Significance

2015 IS/MND Conclusion: Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated.

Revised Project: No New Impact

As discussed in the preceding analysis, impacts resulting from the Revised Project will not be with regard to any of the environmental issues evaluated. Thus, the Project will not degrade the quality of the environment. Additionally, with incorporation of mitigation measures **MM BIO 1** and **MM BIO 2**, the Revised Project will not substantially reduce the habitat of any wildlife or fish species or cause them to drop below self-sustaining levels. No plant or animal communities will be eliminated by the construction and operation of the recycled water pipeline in Pine Avenue.

In the unlikely event that any materials of archaeological or paleontological significance are found during construction the Revised Project, implementation of mitigation measures **MM CR 1** through **MM CR 3** will reduce impacts to less than significant.

Therefore, the Project Facilities are not expected to eliminate important examples of major periods of California history or prehistory.

With regard to cumulative impacts, the Revised Project is consistent with local and regional plans, including the AQMP, and the Revised Project's air quality emissions do not exceed the SCAQMD-established thresholds of significance. The Revised Project is consistent with and adheres to all other land use plans and policies. The Revised Project is not considered as growth-inducing as defined by State *CEQA Guidelines* Section 15126.2(d).

With adherence to existing codes, ordinance, regulations, standards and guidelines, combined with the mitigation measures identified in the 2015 MND as clarified by Addendum No. 1, the Revised Project does not present the potential for a substantial direct or indirect adverse effect to human beings.

CONCLUSION

With implementation of the mitigation measures identified in the 2015 MND as clarifies in Addendum No. 1, the proposed Revised Project will not result in any new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant impacts; therefore a subsequent, or supplemental MND is not required.

FINDINGS

State *CEQA Guidelines* Section 15164(b) states:

An addendum to an adopted negative declaration may be prepared if only minor technical changes or additions are necessary or none of the conditions described in Section 15162 calling for the preparation of a subsequent EIR or negative declaration have occurred.

The following table presents a summary of each condition in Section 15162 and how the Revised Project is consistent with such condition.

Section 15162 Conditions and Findings

Section 15162 Condition	Revised Project Modification Consistency
(1) <i>Substantial changes are proposed in the project which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or negative declaration due to the involvement of new, significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of</i>	The Revised Project proposes extension of a pipeline segment into the City of Chino for 2,477 feet to provide a second connection of the proposed recycled water service to existing IEUA facilities (see Figure 3). Although the Original Project did not consider construction of this

Section 15162 Condition	Revised Project Modification Consistency
<i>previously identified significant effects</i>	segment, the preceding analysis shows that this constitutes a minor revision that does not involve new significant environmental effects or any increase in the severity of previous environmental effects.
(2) <i>Substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or Negative Declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; or</i>	There are no changes in the circumstances under which the Revised Project will be undertaken. As shown in the preceding analysis, implementation of the Revised Project will not result in new significant environmental effects or any increase in the severity of previously environmental effects.
(3) <i>New information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was certified as complete or the Negative Declaration was adopted, shows any of the following:</i>	There is no new information of substantial importance.
(A) <i>The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR or negative declaration;</i>	As shown in the preceding analysis, no new impacts will occur as a result of the Revised Project.
(B) <i>Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previous EIR</i>	There were no significant environmental effects identified in the 2015 MND. Further, as shown in the preceding analysis, no new impacts will occur as a result of implementation of the Revised Project.

Section 15162 Condition	Revised Project Modification Consistency
<p>(C) <i>Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible, and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative; or</i></p>	<p>All potentially significant impacts identified in the 2015 MND were determined to be less than significant with incorporation of mitigation measures. The Revised Project incorporates feasible mitigation to reduce potential impacts to less than significant. The Revised Project will not result in any new impacts that were not evaluated in the 2015 MND.</p>
<p>(D) <i>Mitigation measures or alternatives that are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.</i></p>	<p>All potentially significant impacts identified in the 2015 MND were determined to be less than significant with incorporation of mitigation measures. Minor revisions to some of the mitigation measures adopted in the 2015 MND and Addendum No. 1 are proposed for clarity. No new mitigation measures are needed for the Revised Project.</p>

JCSD has reviewed the Project Modification in light of the requirements defined under the State *CEQA Guidelines* and determined that none of the above conditions requiring preparation of a subsequent or supplemental MND apply.