

Sample Summary for Electronic Document Submittal

15 copies of this document may be included when a Lead Agency is submitting electronic copies of environmental impact reports, negative declarations, mitigated negative declarations, or notices of preparation to the SCH. The SCH will still accept other summaries, such as an EIR summary prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123, attached to the electronic copies of the document.

SCH # 2014101071

Lead Agency: City of Ontario

Project Title: Grove Avenue Corridor Widening Project

Project Location: Grove Avenue, City of Ontario

San Bernardino County

City

County

Please provide a Project Description (Proposed Actions, location, and/or consequences).

The City of Ontario, in cooperation with Caltrans District 8, proposes to widen Grove Avenue in the City of Ontario and the County of San Bernardino from four to six lanes between 4th Street and State Street/Airport Drive. Grove Avenue is located approximately 1.4 miles east of Euclid Avenue and approximately 1.2 miles west of Vineyard Avenue along Interstate 10. The project segment is bound on the north by 4th Street and on the south by State Street/Airport Drive. The widened segment of Grove Avenue would be located south of I-10 and would serve the City of Ontario.

The potential environmental impacts of the No Build and Build Alternatives are analyzed in the Draft Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Assessment that has been prepared for the project.

Please identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The environmental impacts of the project on Land Use, Community Impacts, Parks and Recreation, Utilities and Emergency Services, Traffic and Transportation, Visual/Aesthetics, Cultural Resources, Hydrology and Floodplains, Water Quality, Paleontology, Hazardous Waste/Materials, Air Quality, Noise and Vibration, and Energy would be less than significant but avoidance and minimization measures and standard conditions would be implemented to further reduce impacts.

A mitigation measure for impacts to special-status animal species has been provided to reduce impacts to less than significant levels.

If applicable, please describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

No areas of controversy are known to the City. Issues raised during the NOP period included requests to evaluate impacts on cultural resources, biological resources, air quality, school transportation, hydrology, wetlands (waters of the US), and pipelines.

Please provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

California Department of Transportation
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
San Bernardino County Flood Control District
State Water Resources Control Board
Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board
California Department of Fish and Wildlife
State Historic Preservation Officer
Federal Highway Administration