

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal**Form F**

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: 2019039050Project Title: Los Cerritos Wetlands Restoration PlanLead Agency: Los Cerritos Wetlands AuthorityContact Name: Sally GeeEmail: sgee@rmc.ca.gov Phone Number: (626) 815-1019Project Location: City of Long Beach and City of Seal Beach, Los Angeles County and Orange County
City *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The proposed program would restore wetland and upland habitats throughout the program area. This would involve remediation of contaminated soil, grading, revegetation, construction of new public access opportunities (including trails, visitor centers, parking lots, and viewpoints), construction of flood management facilities (including earthen levees and berms, and walls), and modification of existing infrastructure and utilities.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

See Attachment 1.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

Areas of controversy include issues related to aesthetics, biological resources, cultural resources, hazards and hazardous materials, hydrology and water quality, land use, traffic, and tribal cultural resources impacts.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

Responsible and Trustee agencies: City of Seal Beach, City of Long Beach, City of Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works and Flood Control District, Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County, Orange County Public Works, South Coast Air Quality Management District, Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, California State Lands Commission, Caltrans, California Coastal Commission, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and National Marine Fisheries Service

Attachment 1: Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect

- Potentially Significant Aesthetic Impacts related to program-level and cumulative aesthetics impacts with regard to lighting would be mitigated with implementation of Mitigation Measure AES-1, which requires implementation of a lighting plan.

- Significant and Unavoidable Air Quality Impacts related to consistency with the AQMP, sensitive receptors, and cumulative air quality impacts during construction would be mitigated to the extent feasible with implementation of Mitigation Measure AQ-1, which requires implementation of NO_x reduction measures; however, impacts would remain significant and unavoidable.

- Potentially Significant Air Quality Impacts related to a cumulatively considerable net increase of NO_x would be mitigated with implementation of Mitigation Measure AQ-1.

- Potentially Significant Biological Resources Impacts related to species identified as candidate, sensitive, or special-status species and the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife would be mitigated with implementation of Mitigation Measures BIO-1 through BIO-8, which include implementation of measures to avoid special-status plants, implementing environmental awareness training and biological monitoring, measures to reduce Belding's Savannah Sparrow breeding habitat, nesting bird and raptor avoidance, habitat assessment and pre-construction survey for burrowing owls, minimization of light spillage, and focused surveys for special-status wildlife.

- Potentially Significant Biological Resources Impacts related to riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community would be mitigated with implementation of Mitigation Measure BIO-9, which requires revegetation of sensitive natural communities.

- Potentially Significant Biological Resource Impacts related to state or federally protected wetlands would be mitigated with implementation of Mitigation Measure BIO-10 and BIO-11, including jurisdictional resources permitting and monitoring and adaptive management plan.

-Significant and Unavoidable Cultural Resources Impacts related to a historical resources would be mitigated to the extent feasible with implementation of Mitigation Measures BIO-1 through BIO-11 and CUL-1 through CUL-16; however, impacts would remain significant and unavoidable. Mitigation Measures CUL-1 through CUL-16 includes measures that would require cultural resources personnel to meet professional qualifications standards, conducting a historic resources assessment, a historic resources evaluation, an archaeological resources assessment, and an extended Phase I and Phase II archaeological investigations, avoidance of preservation in place of archaeological resources, preparation of a Phase III archaeological resources data recovery and treatment plan, an archaeological resources monitoring and mitigation plan, implementation of construction worker cultural resources sensitivity training, archaeological resources monitoring, Native American coordination, Native American monitoring, measures to reduce archaeological resources discoveries, curation and disposition of cultural materials, and future Native American input.

- Significant and Unavoidable Cultural Resources Impacts related to archaeological resources would be mitigated to the extent feasible with implementation of Mitigation Measure CUL-1 and Mitigation Measures CUL-4 through CUL-15; however, impacts would remain significant and unavoidable.
- Potentially Significant Cultural Resources Impacts related to human remains would be mitigated with implementation of Mitigation Measure CUL-17, which includes measures for human remains discoveries.
- Significant and Unavoidable Cultural Resources Impacts related to cumulative cultural resources impacts during construction would be mitigation to the extent feasible with implementation of Mitigation Measures BIO-1 through BIO-9 and CUL-1 through CUL-17; however, impacts would remain significant and unavoidable.
- Potentially Significant Cultural Resources Impacts related to cumulative cultural resources impacts during operation would be mitigated with implementation of Mitigation Measures BIO-1, BIO-6, and BIO-8 through BIO-11.
- Potentially Significant Geology and Soils Impacts related to program-level and cumulative geology and soils would be mitigated with implementation of Mitigation Measure GEO-1 through GEO-7, which require retention of a qualified professional paleontologist, project-level paleontological resources review and monitoring recommendations, paleontological resources sensitivity training, paleontological resources monitoring, measures for paleontological discoveries, preparation, identification, cataloging, and curation requirements, and reporting requirements.
- Potentially Significant Hazards and Hazardous Materials Impacts related to the program located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites would be mitigated with implementation of Mitigation Measures HAZ-1 and HAZ-2, which require implementation of a health and safety plan and a soil, landfill materials, and groundwater management plan.
- Potentially Significant Hydrology and Water Quality Impacts related to violated water quality standards and substantially increasing the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site would be mitigated with implementation of Mitigation Measure HYD-1, which requires implementation of a monitoring and adaptive management plan.
- Potentially Significant Noise Impacts related to fire protection would be mitigated with implementation of Mitigation Measure PS-1, which would require fire prevention and protection training.
- Potentially Significant Transportation Impacts related to consistency with a program plan, ordinance, or policy addressing the circulation system or increasing hazards due to a geo metric design or incompatible use, and cumulative transportation impacts would be mitigated with implementation of Mitigation Measure TRA-1, which requires the preparation of a traffic control plan.
- Significant and Unavoidable Tribal Cultural Resources Impacts related to causing a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, as determined by the lead agency, would be mitigated to the extent feasible with implementation of Mitigation Measures BIO-1 through BIO-11 and Mitigation Measures CUL-1 and CUL-4 through CUL-16; however, impacts would remain significant and unavoidable.

- Potentially Significant Tribal Cultural Resources Impacts related to cumulative tribal cultural resources during construction would be mitigated with implementation of Mitigation Measures BIO-1 through BIO-9 and Mitigation Measures CUL-1 and CUL-4 through CUL-16.
- Potentially Significant Tribal Cultural Resources Impacts related to cumulative tribal cultural resources during operation would be mitigated with implementation of Mitigation Measures BIO-1, BIO-6, and BIO-8 through BIO-11.
- Potentially Significant Utilities and Service System Impacts related to water and wastewater facilities, water supplies, and wastewater treatment capacity would be mitigated with implementation of Mitigation Measures TRA-1 and Mitigation Measures UTL-1 and UTL-2, which requires obtaining a water will serve letter and conducting a sewer capacity study.