

# Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: 201905001

Project Title: SFO Recommended Airport Development Plan

Lead Agency: City and County of San Francisco Planning Department

Contact Name: Kei Zushi

Email: cpc.sforadp@sfgov.org Phone Number: (628) 652-7495

Project Location: San Mateo County (Unincorporated)

*City*

*County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The project sponsor, SFO, is proposing to implement the Recommended Airport Development Plan (RADP), which involves a long-range plan to guide the Airport's development. The RADP serves as a framework for future development at SFO and identifies various projects, including the improvement and development of terminal facilities, modification of certain non-movement areas of the airfield, and improvements to landside facilities to accommodate long-term aircraft operations and passenger activity levels at the Airport, forecast to reach approximately 506,000 annual aircraft operations and 71.1 million annual passengers considering the forecast passenger aircraft fleet mix, which is the estimated annual practical capacity of the existing runways regardless of whether the RADP is implemented.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Construction and/or operation of the proposed project could result in potentially significant impacts for the following environmental resource topics: cultural resources (archeological resources); tribal cultural resources; noise; air quality; and biological resources.

Mitigation measures for cultural resources (archeological resources), tribal cultural resources, noise, air quality (construction), and biological resources would reduce potentially significant impacts to less-than-significant levels. Mitigation measures for air quality would reduce potentially significant impacts during operation but not to less-than-significant levels. The project's operational air quality impact would be significant and unavoidable with mitigation.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

- Potential construction and operational impacts related to local and regional air quality
- Potential noise, vibration, air quality, health risk, safety, and other impacts related to aircraft operations
- Potential impacts related to greenhouse gas emissions from air traffic, vehicle miles traveled, and ground support vehicles and equipment
- Potential impacts related to ground-based noise and vibration from demolition, new construction, and Airport configuration, including the proposed realignment of Taxiways A and B
- Potential hydrological impacts related to impervious services
- Potential impacts related to traffic congestion

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

- Bay Area Air Quality Management District
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife
- California Department of Toxic Substance Control
- California Department of Transportation
- California State Water Resources Control Board
- San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board