



July 3, 2020

7/6/2020

Governor's Office of Planning & Research

Jul 03 2020**STATE CLEARINGHOUSE**

Jason Cashman
Environmental and Regulatory Affairs Manager
Port of Stockton
2201 West Washington Street
Stockton, California 95203
Submitted via email: jcashman@stocktonport.com

Dear Jason Cashman:

Thank you for providing California Air Resources Board (CARB) staff the opportunity to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Lehigh Southwest Stockton Terminal Project (Project), State Clearinghouse No. 2019100510. The Project involves redeveloping the existing bulk cementitious material receiving and distribution terminal, located at Berth 2, to support larger bulk marine vessels. If approved, the number of bulk vessels calling to the terminal would increase from 9 in the baseline year of 2018 to an expected maximum of 48 per year, and the number of barges would increase from zero to 40. Annual truck calls would increase from the 2018 baseline of 18,720 to an expected maximum of 42,500, annual rail cars would increase from 587 to an expected maximum of 4,762, and annual rail trips would increase from 117 to 238. The Project is located in the City of Stockton, California, and the Port of Stockton (Port) is the lead agency for California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) purposes.

CARB submitted comments on the Notice of Preparation (NOP) for the DEIR released in October 2019, which is included as Attachment A of this letter. Those comments highlighted the need for a health risk assessment (HRA) to be prepared for the Project and encouraged the Port and applicant to implement all existing and emerging zero-emission technologies to minimize exposure to diesel particulate matter (diesel PM) and nitrogen oxides (NO_x) emissions to all neighboring communities, as well as minimize the greenhouse gases (GHG) that contribute to climate change. Furthermore, CARB's comments emphasized the potential cumulative health impacts should the Port allow the construction of the proposed Project near communities which score within the top 1 percent of California census tracts using the California Communities Environmental Health Screening Tool Version 3.0 (CalEnviroScreen).¹

CARB has reviewed the DEIR and has the following concerns.

¹ "CalEnviroScreen 3.0." California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, June 2018, <https://oehha.ca.gov/calenviroscreen/report/calenviroscreen-30>.

I. The DEIR Does Not Discuss the Project's Potential Increase in Exposure to Air Pollution in Disadvantaged Communities

The Project is located within the southern region of Stockton around the Port, which has been classified as a disadvantaged community under Assembly Bill 617 (AB 617) (Garcia, Chapter 136, Statutes of 2017).² CARB selected the Stockton community for both community air monitoring and the development of an emissions reduction program due to its high cumulative exposure burden, the presence of a significant number of sensitive receptors (children, elderly, and individuals with pre-existing conditions), and the socioeconomic challenges experienced by its residents. The community has high rates of poverty and unemployment, and in some portions of the community there are schools in close proximity to air pollution sources.³ The San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD) in consultation with a community steering committee is in the process of preparing a community emissions reduction program (CERP) for the Stockton community, which is anticipated to be submitted to CARB by December 31, 2020.

CARB's comment letter on the NOP referred to 3 pieces of legislation to be considered and included in the DEIR. These pieces of legislation include Senate Bill 535 (De León, Chapter 830, 2012), Senate Bill 1000 (SB 1000) (Leyva, Chapter 587, Statutes of 2016), and Assembly Bill 617 (Garcia, 2017).⁴⁵ Although the Project is located within the disadvantaged community of Stockton, these statutory considerations were not included in the DEIR. Further, CARB's comments on the NOP indicated that the Port should engage with CARB, SJVAPCD, and community residents to address community concerns and mitigate air quality and GHG impacts. In the DEIR, it is unclear what, if any, actions the Port has taken to engage CARB, SJVAPCD, and, most critically, the residents of the nearby disadvantaged communities.

As with our NOP comments, CARB urges the Port and applicant to include SB 535, SB 1000, and AB 617 statutory language in the FEIR. The Port should also engage with relevant regulatory agencies and residents of the Stockton community before releasing

³ CARB, 2019. 2019 Community Recommendations Staff Report. Accessed at: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-12/2019_community_recommendations_staff_report_november_8_acc_3.pdf. Accessed on June 23, 2020.

the FEIR. This is a critical part of a transparent, public process to ensure that the Project does not adversely impact nearby disadvantaged communities.

II. The DEIR Does Not Include an Adequate Quantitative Analysis of the Project's Potential Health Risk Impacts

According to Table 4 of the DEIR, the Project would result in an annual net increase over baseline conditions of 21,002 heavy-duty truck trips and 121 rail trips that will transit near existing residences. As mentioned earlier, the residents in the surrounding area live in a census tract that scores within the top 1 percent for Pollution Burden.

The DEIR does not discuss the conduct of an HRA or any other adequate analyses, to evaluate the Project's potential impact on public health. Instead, the DEIR concludes that since the nearest residences are located approximately 1,300 feet south of Berth 2, Project operations at Berth 2 would not expose these residences to diesel PM concentrations that would result in a significant health impact.

Concentrations of air pollutants are not solely a function of distance from the source. Pollutant release characteristics from a source such as temperature, velocity, and height as well as meteorological conditions all play a role. The concentrations that result from the combination of these factors can only be determined through proper air dispersion modeling.

Considering the proximity of the Project to existing disadvantaged communities, the DEIR must, at a minimum, include a quantitative analysis that demonstrates the extent of the Project's impact on public health.⁶ To address this requirement, CARB recommends that the Port prepare an HRA for the Project. The HRA prepared in support of the Project should be based on a representative emissions inventory and the latest Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) guidance (2015 Air Toxics Hot Spots Program Guidance Manual for Preparation of Health Risk Assessments).⁷

III. The Applicant and Port Must Do More to Reduce the Project's Cumulatively Significant Impact on Air Quality and Public Health

⁶ In fact, the California Supreme Court recently addressed this issue in its landmark ruling in *Sierra Club v. County of Fresno* (2018) 6 Cal.5th 502 (*Friant Ranch*). In *Friant Ranch*, the Court held that an EIR is inadequate if it does not make "a reasonable effort to discuss relevant specifics regarding the connection between two segments of information already contained in the EIR, the general health effects associated with a particular pollutant and the estimated amount of that pollutant the project will likely produce." (*Id.*, at p. 521.)

⁷ Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA). Air Toxics Hot Spots Program Guidance Manual for Preparation of Health Risk Assessments. February, 2015. Accessed at: <https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/cmr/2015guidancemanual.pdf>.

The DEIR concludes that the Project's air pollutant emissions and cancer risks, when combined with other projects within the Port, would result in a cumulatively significant impact (Section 4.2.2, Cumulative Impact for Affected Environmental Resource Areas). This impact conclusion is reached by listing 22 present or reasonably foreseeable future related projects, and identifying 15 of those projects that would occur in the same general area as the Project and that would generate new rail, truck, and/or vessel calls or on-terminal equipment emissions.

The DEIR includes a list of five mitigation measures (MM-AQ-1 through MM-AQ-5) to reduce the Project's cumulatively considerable impact on air quality. These mitigation measures include limiting on-site construction equipment and truck idling durations to two minutes, encouraging the use of cleaner trucks (defined as model year 2017 or newer), and selecting clean yard equipment anytime new or replacement equipment is purchased. Although these mitigation measures would reduce Project air pollutant emissions, the DEIR concludes that the Project's cumulative impact would remain significant after mitigation. This significance conclusion was reached without modeling the Project's mitigated air pollutant and cancer risks, as recommended in CARB's prior Comment II to this DEIR.

Since the Project, in conjunction with existing and planned facilities at the Port, would result in cumulatively considerable impacts on air quality and public health, CARB staff urges the Port and applicant to implement all feasible mitigation measures to reduce the Project's impact on public health. Even where impacts will remain significant and unavoidable after mitigation, CEQA nevertheless requires that all feasible mitigation measures be incorporated (see California Public Resources Code § 21081; 14 CCR § 15126.2(b)). To meet the requirements of CEQA, CARB staff strongly urges the Port and applicant to implement the following emissions reduction measures.

1. Include language that requires all off-road diesel-powered equipment used during construction and operation of the Project to be equipped with Tier 4 or cleaner engines, except for specialized equipment in which Tier 4 engines are not available. In place of Tier 4 engines, off-road equipment can incorporate retrofits such that emission reductions achieved equal or exceed that of a Tier 4 engine.
2. Include contractual language in tenant lease agreements that requires tenants to use the cleanest technologies available, and to provide the necessary infrastructure to support zero-emission vehicles and equipment that will be operating on site.
3. Include contractual language in tenant lease agreements that requires all service equipment (e.g., yard hostlers, yard equipment, forklifts, and pallet jacks) used within the Project site to be zero-emission. This equipment is widely available.

4. Include contractual language in tenant lease agreements that requires all heavy-duty trucks entering or on the Project site to be model year 2014 or later, expedite a transition to zero-emission vehicles, and be fully zero-emission beginning in 2030.
5. Include contractual language in tenant lease agreements that requires the tenant be in and monitor compliance with all current air quality regulations for on-road trucks including CARB's Heavy-Duty (Tractor-Trailer) Greenhouse Gas Regulation,⁸ Periodic Smoke Inspection Program (PSIP),⁹ and the Statewide Truck and Bus Regulation.¹⁰

IV. Conclusion

CARB is concerned about the Project's potential public health impacts, the lack of mitigation measures presented in the DEIR, the omission of statutory considerations that address the disproportionate impacts of air pollution on disadvantaged communities, and the absence of information related to public outreach. The DEIR fails to quantify cancer risks at residences located adjacent to rail lines and roadways that will serve the Project. CARB urges the Port and applicant to carefully evaluate the Project's cancer risk impacts in the FEIR through an HRA. The HRA should quantify the Project's individual and cumulative cancer risks to residences located near the Port, which includes a community boundary classified under AB 617 as disadvantaged, and inform mitigation measure selection. To reduce the Project's contribution to diesel PM and NO_x emissions emitted within the Port, the final design of the Project should include the emission reduction measures listed under Section III of this comment letter.

Given the breadth and scope of projects subject to CEQA review throughout California that have air quality and greenhouse gas impacts coupled with CARB's limited staff resources to substantively respond to all issues associated with a project, CARB must prioritize its substantive comments here based on staff time, resources, and its assessment of impacts. CARB's deliberate decision to substantively comment on some

⁸ In December 2008, CARB adopted a regulation to reduce greenhouse gas emissions by improving the fuel efficiency of heavy-duty tractors that pull 53-foot or longer box-type trailers. The regulation applies primarily to owners of 53-foot or longer box-type trailers, including both dry-van and refrigerated-van trailers, and owners of the heavy-duty tractors that pull them on California highways. CARB's Heavy-Duty (Tractor-Trailer) Greenhouse Gas Regulation is available at <https://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/hdghg/hdghg.htm>.

⁹ The PSIP program requires that diesel and bus fleet owners conduct annual smoke opacity inspections of their vehicles and repair those with excessive smoke emissions to ensure compliance. CARB's PSIP program is available at <https://www.arb.ca.gov/enf/hdvp/hdvp.htm>.

¹⁰ The regulation requires newer heavier trucks and buses must meet PM filter requirements beginning January 1, 2012. Lighter and older heavier trucks replaced starting January 1, 2015. By January 1, 2023, nearly all trucks and buses will need to have 2010 model year engines or equivalent. CARB's Statewide Truck and Bus Regulation is available at <https://www.arb.ca.gov/msprog/onrdiesel/onrdiesel.htm>.

Jason Cashman
July 3, 2020
Page 6

issues does not constitute an admission or concession that it substantively agrees with the lead agency's findings and conclusions on any issues on which CARB does not substantively submit comments.

CARB appreciates the opportunity to comment on the DEIR for the Project and can provide assistance on zero-emission technologies and emissions reduction strategies, as needed. If you have questions, please contact Skott Wall, Air Pollution Specialist, at (916) 323-0787 or via email at skott.wall@arb.ca.gov.

Sincerely,



Vernon Hughes
Acting Director
Office of Community Air Protection

Enclosure: Attachment A

cc: State Clearinghouse
state.clearinghouse@opr.ca.gov

Dillon Delvo
Executive Director
Little Manila Rising
ddelvo@littlemanila.org

Jonathan Pruitt
Environmental Justice Program Coordinator
Catholic Charities of the Diocese of Stockton
jpruitt@ccstockton.org

Mariah Looney
Campaign Coordinator
Restore the Delta
mariah@restorethedelta.org

Continued on next page

Jason Cashman
July 3, 2020
Page 7

Morgan Capilla
NEPA Reviewer
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Air Division, Region 9
capilla.morgan@epa.gov

Patia Siong
Supervising Air Quality Specialist
San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District
patia.siong@valleyair.org

Skott Wall
Air Pollution Specialist
Office of Community Air Protection
skott.wall@arb.ca.gov