



**Jared Blumenfeld**  
Secretary for  
Environmental Protection



## Department of Toxic Substances Control

Meredith Williams, Ph.D., Director  
8800 Cal Center Drive  
Sacramento, California 95826-3200



**Gavin Newsom**  
Governor

February 13, 2020

Governor's Office of Planning & Research

**FEB 13 2020**

### STATE CLEARINGHOUSE

Mr. Mike Mathiesen  
Associate Superintendent  
Mountain View-Los Altos Union High School District  
1299 Bryant Avenue  
Mountain View, California 94040

NOTICE OF PREPARATION OF DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT FOR THE MOUNTAIN VIEW-LOS ALTOS UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT MOUNTAIN VIEW HIGH SCHOOL STADIUM LIGHTS & PUBLIC ADDRESS SYSTEM PROJECT, TRUMAN AVENUE AND OAK AVENUE, MOUNTAIN VIEW, SANTA CLARA COUNTY

Dear Mr. Mathiesen:

The Northern California Schools Unit of the Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) has received the Notice of Preparation (NOP) for a draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Mountain View High School Stadium Lights & Public Address System Project proposed by the Mountain View-Los Altos Union High School District (District). The due date to submit comments is February 20, 2020.

As reported in the NOP, the District has proposed a project that would include the installation and operation of field lights and an upgraded public address (PA) system at the Mountain View High School track and athletic field for the existing Mountain View High School located at 3535 Truman Avenue in the City of Mountain View, Santa Clara County, California (Site). The field lights would provide for safe and flexible nighttime use of the field for various sporting and marching band activities, including practices and games. The field lights would also allow for evening special events, such as commencement ceremonies. The PA system will be used for sporting events, marching band, and school activities during daytime hours. A select number of nighttime events would include the use of the PA system.

Based on a review of the NOP, DTSC would like to provide the following comments:

1. If the District plans to use State funds for the project, then the District shall comply with the requirements of California Education Code (CDE), sections 17210, 17213.1

and 17213.2, unless otherwise specifically exempted under section 17268. If the District is not using State funds for the project, or is otherwise specifically exempted under section 17268, DTSC recommends the District continue to investigate and clean up the Site, if necessary, under the oversight of Santa Clara County and in concurrence with all applicable DTSC guidance documents.

A local education agency may also voluntarily request the CDE site/plan approval for locally funded site acquisitions and construction projects. In these cases, CDE will require DTSC to review and approve prior to its final approval, except when exempt under section 17268.

2. Because the project is school site related, DTSC recommends that an environmental review, such as a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment and/or Preliminary Environmental Assessment, be conducted to determine whether there has been or may have been a release or threatened release of a hazardous material, or whether a naturally occurring hazardous material is present based on reasonably available information about the property and the areas in its vicinity. Such an environmental review should generally be conducted as part of the California Environmental Quality Act process. If the District elects to proceed and conduct an environmental assessment at the Site under DTSC oversight, it should enter into a Voluntary Cleanup Agreement with DTSC to oversee the preparation of the environmental assessment.
3. The presence of existing, older or former structures at the Site may result in potential environmental concerns due to lead from lead-based paint and/or organochlorine pesticides from termiticide applications and polychlorinated biphenyls from electrical transformers, light ballast, window caulking or glazing. DTSC recommends that these environmental concerns be investigated and possibly mitigated, in accordance with DTSC's *Interim Guidance, Evaluation of School Sites with Potential Soil Contamination as a Result of Lead from Lead-Based Paint, Organochlorine Pesticides from Termiticides, and Polychlorinated Biphenyls from Electrical Transformers*, dated June 9, 2006 ([https://dtsc.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/31/2018/09/Guidance\\_Lead\\_Contamination\\_050118.pdf](https://dtsc.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/31/2018/09/Guidance_Lead_Contamination_050118.pdf)), and in accordance with the recommendations provided in the United States Environmental Protection Agency's website "Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) in Building Materials" (<https://www.epa.gov/pcbs/polychlorinated-biphenyls-pcbs-building-materials>).
4. If the Site is, or was previously, used for agricultural purposes, pesticides (such as Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane [DDT], Dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene [DDE], and toxaphene) and fertilizers (usually containing heavy metals) commonly used as part of agricultural operations are likely to be present. These agricultural chemicals are persistent and bio-accumulative toxic substances. DTSC recommends that these environmental concerns be investigated and possibly mitigated, in accordance with the *Interim Guidance for Sampling Agricultural Soils (Third Revision)*

(<https://dtsc.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/31/2018/09/Ag-Guidance-Rev-3-August-7-2008-2.pdf>) dated August 2008. This guidance should be followed to sample agricultural properties where development is anticipated.

5. If fill material exists on the Site, DTSC recommends these areas be investigated and possibly mitigated in accordance with DTSC's *Information Advisory, Clean Imported Fill*, dated October 2001.
6. The Site appears to be located within 10-miles of geological units potentially containing naturally occurring asbestos (NOA). Pursuant to DTSC's *Interim Guidance – Naturally Occurring Asbestos at School Sites, Revised September 24, 2004* ([https://dtsc.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/31/2018/09/SMBRP\\_POL\\_Guidance\\_Schools\\_NOA.pdf](https://dtsc.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/31/2018/09/SMBRP_POL_Guidance_Schools_NOA.pdf)), these environmental concerns should be investigated to determine whether a naturally occurring hazardous material (e.g., NOA) is present, based on reasonably available information about the property and the area in its vicinity.
7. If a response action is required based on the results of the above investigations, and/or other information, the EIR will require an analysis of the potential public health and environmental impacts associated with any proposed response action, pursuant to requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA - Pub. Resources Code, Division 13, section 21000 et seq.), and its implementing Guidelines (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, section 15000 et seq.), prior to approval or adoption of the EIR for the Project. A discussion of the mitigation and/or removal actions, if necessary, and associated cumulative impacts to the Project properties and the surrounding environment, should be included in the EIR. If sufficient information to discuss the proposed mitigation and/or removal actions, and their associated impacts to the Project properties and the surrounding environment, are not available for inclusion in the EIR, then an Addendum or Supplement to the final EIR may be required.

DTSC is also administering a Revolving Loan Fund (RLF) Program which provides revolving loans to investigate and clean up hazardous materials at properties where redevelopment is likely to have a beneficial impact to a community. These loans are available to developers, businesses, schools, and local governments.

Mr. Mike Mathiesen  
February 13, 2020  
Page 4

For additional information on DTSC's Schools process or RLF Program, please visit DTSC's web site at [www.dtsc.ca.gov](http://www.dtsc.ca.gov). If you would like to discuss this matter further, please contact me at (916) 255-3695, or via email at [Bud.Duke@dtsc.ca.gov](mailto:Bud.Duke@dtsc.ca.gov).

Sincerely,



Harold (Bud) Duke, P.G.  
Northern California Schools Unit  
Site Mitigation and Restoration Program

cc: (via email)

State Clearinghouse  
[State.clearinghouse@opr.ca.gov](mailto:State.clearinghouse@opr.ca.gov)  
Office of Planning and Research

Fred Yeager  
[FYeager@cde.ca.gov](mailto:FYeager@cde.ca.gov)  
Department of Education – Sacramento, CA

John Gordon  
[JGordon@cde.ca.gov](mailto:JGordon@cde.ca.gov)  
Department of Education – Sacramento, CA

José Salcedo  
[Jose.Salcedo@dtsc.ca.gov](mailto:Jose.Salcedo@dtsc.ca.gov)  
DTSC Schools Unit – Sacramento, CA

David Kereazis  
[Dave.Kereazis@dtsc.ca.gov](mailto:Dave.Kereazis@dtsc.ca.gov)  
DTSC CEQA Unit – Sacramento, CA