

# Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Title: Meadow Farms ADALead Agency: CA Department of TransportationContact Name: Bradley BowersEmail: bradley.bowers@dot.ca.govPhone Number: (760) 872-2331Project Location: Bishop*City*Inyo*County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The CA Department of Transportation (Caltrans) proposes to upgrade current highway facilities to meet Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) standards along US 395 in Inyo County near the City of Bishop. The proposed project would extend along US 395 from North See Vee Lane to North Barlow Lane and would include constructing or reconstructing sidewalks, curb ramps, and gutters to meet ADA requirements.

There are two alternatives under consideration for the project: Alternative 1 would require approximately 7.5 ft of additional right of way on both sides of US 395 to construct sidewalks and allow for on-street parallel parking and a Class II bicycle lane. Alternative 2 would not require widening State right-of-way for sidewalk construction, but would not be wide enough to allow on-street parallel parking.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The loss of on-street parking under Alternative 2 has been identified as a potentially significant impact, which would be mitigated to a level below significance by Caltrans purchasing and developing an off-street parking lot in the project vicinity. There are two potential parking lot locations identified in the Draft Environmental Document, and either would provide more parking spaces than the amount of spaces removed under Alternative 2.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

This project has been included within the Inyo County North Sierra Highway Corridor Plan and other associated planning documents. Outreach by the County has occurred since 2016, and no known controversy exists for this project.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

CEQA Responsible Agencies:  
CA Transportation Commission  
Inyo County  
CA Department of Fish and Wildlife  
CA Regional Water Quality Control Board