Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: County Road 41 (Bridge No. 22C-003) over Cache Creek Bridge Replacement Project

Lead Agency: Yolo County

Contact Name: Stephanie Cormier

Email: Stephanie.Cormier@yolocounty.org Phone Number: (530) 666-8041

Project Location: Rumsey (*City*) Yolo (*County*)

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

Bridge No. 22C-0003 crossing over Cache Creek on CR 41 is proposed to be replaced with a new bridge that would be an approximately 400-foot long, three span cast-in-place prestressed concrete box girder bridge with 28 feet of clear width between concrete barriers. The new bridge would be located west of the existing bridge along a new upstream alignment. The roadway would also be oriented along a new bearing relative to the original alignment so that the new road intersects State Route 16 at a perpendicular angle. This would improve the intersection geometrics relative to the existing condition, and also allow for the existing bridge to remain open during construction to accommodate traffic. The new roadway approach would be approximately 220 feet long on the southern end of the bridge and approximately 620 feet long on the northern end of the bridge. The paved approach roadway width would be 28 feet to match the bridge width, tapering to match the existing road north of the bridge. The roadway would consist of two 12-foot lanes and two 6-foot shoulders.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Potentially significant impacts would occur on: Biological Resources, Cultural Resources, Paleontological Resources, Hazards/Hazardous Materials, and Hydrology/Water Quality.

Conservation Measures (CM) would include:
CM1: Erosion and Sediment Control; CM2: Prevention of Accidental Spills; CM3: Air Quality/Dust Control; CM4: Prevention of Spread of Invasive Species; CM5: General Measures for Protection of Special-Status Wildlife Species; CM6: General Measures for Post-Construction Restoration; CM7: Measure for Paleontological Resources; CM8: Wildfire Potential; CM9: Treatment Protocol for Human Remains and Cultural Items.
Mitigation Measures (MM) would include (with Yolo Habitat Conservation Plan/Natural Community Conservation Plan [HCP/NCCP] referenced Avoidance and Minimization Measures [AMM]):
MM1: Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle (Yolo HCP/NCCP AMM 12); MM2: Foothill Yellow-Legged Frog; MM3: Western Pond Turtle (Yolo HCP/NCCP AMM 14); MM4: Special-Status Bird Species, Migratory Birds, and Raptors (Yolo HCP/NCCP AMMs 16, 18, 20, and 21); MM5: Ring-tailed Cat; MM6: Pallid Bat and Western Red Bat; MM7: Waters of the United States (Yolo HCP/NCCP AMMs 1, 3, 8, 9, and 10); MM8: Cultural Resources; MM9: Historic Properties; MM10: Human Remains; MM11: Paleontological Resources; MM12: Asbestos; MM13: Maintain and Equip Construction Equipment with Noise Control Devices; MM14: Coordinate with Residences to Minimize Noise Disturbance

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

County staff attended several community meetings from 2013 to 2015. At these meetings, County staff informed residents in the Rumsey area about the early planning stages of the Rumsey Bridge Project, shared alternatives, and received initial public feedback. The majority of participants attending these meetings expressed support for bridge rehabilitation. The historic character of the bridge is valued by residents, and the Rumsey Bridge is considered by many to be a defining landmark in the upper Capay Valley.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

- Federal Highway Administration
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Sacramento District)
- California Department of Fish & Wildlife (Region 2)
- California Regional Water Quality Control Board (Central Valley Region)
- California Department of Transportation (District 3)
- Central Valley Flood Protection Board
- Yolo County