

# Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

**Form F**

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: 2020060123

Project Title: CEMEX Rockfield Modification Project Environmental Impact Report No. 7763

Lead Agency: Fresno County Department of Public Works and Planning, Development Services and Capital Projects Div.

Contact Name: David Randall, Senior Planner

Email: drandall@fresnocountyca.gov Phone Number: (559) 600-4052

Project Location: Fresno Fresno  
*City* *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

CEMEX Construction Materials Pacific LLC (the "Applicant") has applied to the County of Fresno Department of Public Works and Planning (County) for two Unclassified Conditional Use Permits (CUP Application Nos. 3666 and 3667) to allow the Rockfield Quarry a continuation and modification of its current aggregate (rock, sand, and gravel) mining and processing operations. The project is located at two separate sites approximately two miles apart. The Plant Site, is located on the west side of North Friant Road between North Willow Avenue and Little Dry Creek, and the Quarry Site, is located on the west side of North Friant Road between Bluff View Avenue and Lost Lake Road. The County is the lead agency for preparation of the Environmental Impact Report (EIR No. 7763) for this project and is issuing this Notice of Preparation pursuant to Section 15082 of the CEQA Guidelines. The Applicant is requesting a continuation and modification of its current aggregate (rock, sand, and gravel) mining and processing operations. The Project includes two project life stages that are estimated to span 100 years.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Visual impacts - MM 4.1-1 Rock Staining to Reduce Visual Contract  
 Air quality impacts - MM 4.3-2 Fugitive Dust Control Plan; 4.3-4 Asphalt Plant Emissions Controls  
 Biological resources impacts - MM 4.4-1 Special status species surveys  
 Cultural resources impacts - MM 4.5-2 Inadvertent Discovery of Archaeological Resources; 4.5-3 Inadvertent Discovery of Unmarked Burials  
 Geology and soils impacts - MM 4.7-6 Plant Site Slope Stability Monitoring; 4.7-7a Quarry Site Slope Stability Monitoring; 4.7-7b Quarry Site Kinematic Analyses; 4.7-7c Quarry Site Groundwater Conditions; 4.7-11 Paleontological Resources  
 Greenhouse gas emissions impacts - MM 4.8-1 Asphalt Plant Performance Standards  
 Hazards impacts - MM 4.9-1 Survey for PCB-Containing Building Materials  
 Hydrology impacts - MM 4.10-1 Blasting Protocols; 4.10-5a and 4.10-5b Plant Site Pond Adaptive Management Program and Funding Mechanism; 4.10-10a and 4.10-10b Quarry Site Groundwater Adaptive Management Program and Funding Mechanism  
 Noise impacts - MM 4.13-2 Plant Site Noise Reduction; 4.13-3 Quarry Site Noise Reduction; 4.13-6 Blasting Protocols  
 Transportation impacts - MM 4.17-1a through 4.17-1k Intersection Improvements

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

CEQA Guidelines Section 15123(b)(2) requires discussion of areas of controversy known to the lead agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public. While many of the issues raised during the scoping process may be considered by the public to be generally controversial, the issues listed below are those considered by the County to represent the areas of controversy for the proposed project as related specifically to the environmental review under CEQA. Each of these issues is addressed in this Draft EIR.

- Proposed drilling and blasting.
- Proposed expansion of the mining area and increased depth of mining adjacent to the San Joaquin River corridor.
- Proposed continuation of mining for an additional 30 years at the Plant Site and 100 years at the Quarry Site.
- Proposed replacement and relocation of aggregate processing plant, ready-mix concrete plant, and asphalt plant to the Quarry Site.
- Expansion of on site sales to the Quarry Site.
- Proposed importation of materials for recycling.
- Proposed resumption of asphalt production and sales at the Plant Site.
- Potential land use conflicts associated with aesthetics, air quality, biological resource habitat reductions and reduced movement corridors, noise and vibration, and other potential adverse circumstances associated with continued and expanded operation of the quarry and adjacent recreational and residential land uses.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

#### Federal Agencies

- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (incidental take statement)

#### State Agencies

- California Department of Conservation, Division of Mine Reclamation (review of revised reclamation plan and Financial Assurance Cost Estimate [FACE])
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife (lake or streambed alteration agreement; California Endangered Species Act permit)

#### Regional and Local Agencies

- Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board (Section 401 Certification)
- San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD) (authority to construct and permits to operate plants and equipment)