

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: 2020070226

Project Title: State Route 37 Sears Point to Mare Island Improvement Project

Lead Agency: California Department of Transportation

Contact Name: Yolanda Rivas

Email: yolanda.rivas@dot.ca.gov Phone Number: (510) 506-1461

Project Location: On State Route 121, west of Vallejo and east of Novato. Sonoma, Napa, Solano
City *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

Caltrans is proposing traffic operational improvements to State Route 37 in Sonoma, Napa, and Solano counties between Sears Point (Son-37-Post Miles 2.9/6.2) and Mare Island (Sol-37-Post Miles 0.0/R7.4) to provide congestion relief, reduce peak travel times, and increase vehicle occupancy. The four build alternatives proposed consider a range of lane configurations as follows: One that converts existing shoulders to create a three-lane facility to provide part-time peak hour congestion relief; one that provides a three-lane facility by installing a movable median barrier for peak hour directional congestion relief; a full-time, four-lane facility with 4-foot shoulders; and a full-time, four-lane facility with 8-foot shoulders.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR)/Environmental Assessment (EA) identified potentially significant impacts and mitigation measures to reduce potentially significant impacts for the following resource areas:

- Biological Resources: With implementation of measures as identified in the EIR/EA, potentially significant impacts to biological resources would be reduced to a less-than-significant level.
- Greenhouse Gas Emissions: With implementation of Measure VMT-01 identified in the EIR/EA, potentially significant impacts related to greenhouse gas emissions would be reduced to a less-than-significant level.
- Hydrology and Water Quality: With implementation of Measure WQ-01 as identified in the EIR/EA, potentially significant impacts related to hydrology and water quality would be reduced to a less-than-significant level.
- Transportation: With implementation of Measure VMT-01 identified in the EIR/EA, potentially significant impacts related to transportation would be reduced to a less-than-significant level.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

The project team held a public scoping meeting on July 22, 2020, which was attended by approximately 150 members of the public. A total of 64 comments were received from attendees of the open house and 48 written comments were received during the CEQA scoping period. Common sentiments included concern regarding accommodation of bicycle and pedestrian facilities, tolling, mitigation, project and agency coordination, and general comments related to project design and operations.

All comments received have been reviewed by the Project Development Team for consideration in the environmental analysis and design of the project where feasible, and each topic is addressed in more detail within the EIR/EA as applicable.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

- California Transportation Commission
- San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife
- San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board