

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: 2020070349

Project Title: EI Centro General Plan Update

Lead Agency: City of EI Centro

Contact Name: Angel Hernandez

Email: angel_hernandez@cityofelcentro.org Phone Number: 760-337-3864

Project Location: City of EI Centro, Imperial County
City *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The City of EI Centro is undertaking updates to the Land Use Element and Mobility Element of its General Plan and the creation of a new Environmental Justice Element. The General Plan was last updated in 2004. The updates are being funded by a grant from the Sustainable Communities Program administered by the California Department of Transportation. The intent of the updates is to improve sustainability, promote public health, and anticipate future advancements in transportation technology. These updates would serve the entire City and its sphere of influence.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The project would result in potentially significant impacts to the agricultural resources. Implementation of a mitigation measure requiring a project specific study would reduce impacts but below significant. The project would result in potentially significant impacts air quality. Implementation of mitigation measures requiring an air quality analysis, construction BMPs, operational performance criteria, a carbon dioxide and health risk assessment, and odor source review would reduce impacts but not below significant. The project would result in significant impacts to biological resources. Implementation of mitigation measures requiring a biological habitat assessment, species surveys, preservation of habitat, habitat creation, or enhancement, aquatic resources delineation and permitting would reduce impacts to less than significant. The project would result in impacts to cultural resources. Implementation of mitigation measures requiring historical and cultural resource reports, preservation of archeological resources, construction monitoring, and identification and treatment of human remains would reduce impacts but not below significant. The project would result in impacts from greenhouse gas emissions. Implementation of mitigation measures requiring the implementation of a local stainability program, construction reduction measures, and the preparation of a greenhouse gas study would reduce impacts but not below significant. The project would result in potentially significant impacts to noise. Implementation of mitigation measures requiring roadway noise measures, acoustical analysis, and construction vibration BMPs would reduce impacts but not below significant. The project would result in significant impacts to transportation. Implementation of transportation demand measures would reduce impacts but not below significant.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

There are no areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

There are no responsible or trustee agencies for the proposed project.