

Appendix 11

Greenhouse Gas Emissions Analysis

11 Greenhouse Gas Emissions

11.1 Introduction

This document describes effects on climate change and greenhouse gas emissions that could be caused by implementation of the proposed project. Information used to prepare this document came from the following resources:

- California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod) projections (see **Attachment 6A**)

The study area for climate change and the analysis of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions is broad because climate change is influenced by world-wide emissions and their global effects. However, the study area is also limited by the CEQA Guidelines [Section 15064(d)], which directs lead agencies to consider an “indirect physical change” only if that change is a reasonably foreseeable impact that may be caused by the proposed project. This analysis limits discussion to those physical changes to the environment that are not speculative and are reasonably foreseeable.

11.2 Environmental Setting

11.2.1 Climate Change and Greenhouse Gases

Certain gases in the earth’s atmosphere classified as GHGs, play a critical role in determining the earth’s surface temperature. Solar radiation enters the earth’s atmosphere from space. A portion of the radiation is absorbed by the earth’s surface and a smaller portion of this radiation is reflected back toward space. This absorbed radiation is then emitted from the earth as low-frequency infrared radiation. The frequencies at which bodies emit radiation are proportional to temperature. Because the earth has a much lower temperature than the sun, it emits lower-frequency radiation. Most solar radiation passes through GHGs; however, infrared radiation is absorbed by these gases. As a result, radiation that otherwise would have escaped back into space is instead “trapped,” resulting in a warming of the atmosphere. This phenomenon, known as the greenhouse effect, is responsible for maintaining a habitable climate on earth.

The primary GHGs contributing to the greenhouse effect are carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), and nitrous oxide (N₂O). Fluorinated gases also make up a small fraction of the GHGs that contribute to climate change. Examples of fluorinated gases include chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs), hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), perfluorocarbons (PFCs), sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆), and nitrogen trifluoride (NF₃); however, it is noted that these gases are not associated with typical land use development. Human-caused emissions of GHGs exceeding natural ambient concentrations are believed to be responsible for intensifying the greenhouse effect and leading to a trend of unnatural warming of the Earth’s climate, known as global climate change or global warming.

GHGs are global pollutants, unlike criteria air pollutants and toxic air contaminants (TACs), which are pollutants of regional and local concern. Whereas pollutants with localized air quality effects have relatively short atmospheric lifetimes (approximately one day), GHGs have long atmospheric lifetimes (one to several thousand years). GHGs persist in the atmosphere for long enough time periods to be dispersed around the globe. Although the exact lifetime of a GHG molecule is dependent on multiple variables and cannot be pinpointed, more CO₂ is emitted into the atmosphere than is sequestered by

ocean uptake, vegetation, or other forms of carbon sequestration. Of the total annual human-caused CO₂ emissions, approximately 55 percent is sequestered through ocean and land uptakes every year, averaged over the last 50 years, whereas the remaining 45 percent of human-caused CO₂ emissions remains stored in the atmosphere (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2013). **Table 11-1: Description of Greenhouse Gases**, describes the primary GHGs attributed to global climate change, including their physical properties.

Table 11-1: Description of Greenhouse Gases

Greenhouse Gas	Description
Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂)	CO ₂ is a colorless, odorless gas that is emitted naturally and through human activities. Natural sources include decomposition of dead organic matter; respiration of bacteria, plants, animals, and fungus; evaporation from oceans; and volcanic outgassing. Anthropogenic sources are from burning coal, oil, natural gas, and wood. The largest source of CO ₂ emissions globally is the combustion of fossil fuels such as coal, oil, and gas in power plants, automobiles, and industrial facilities. The atmospheric lifetime of CO ₂ is variable because it is readily exchanged in the atmosphere. CO ₂ is the most widely emitted GHG and is the reference gas (Global Warming Potential of 1) for determining Global Warming Potentials for other GHGs.
Nitrous Oxide (N ₂ O)	N ₂ O is largely attributable to agricultural practices and soil management. Primary human-related sources of N ₂ O include agricultural soil management, sewage treatment, combustion of fossil fuels, and adipic and nitric acid production. N ₂ O is produced from biological sources in soil and water, particularly microbial action in wet tropical forests. The atmospheric lifetime of N ₂ O is approximately 120 years. The Global Warming Potential of N ₂ O is 298.
Methane (CH ₄)	CH ₄ , a highly potent GHG, primarily results from off-gassing (the release of chemicals from nonmetallic substances under ambient or greater pressure conditions) and is largely associated with agricultural practices and landfills. Methane is the major component of natural gas, approximately 87 percent by volume. Human-related sources include fossil fuel production, animal husbandry, rice cultivation, biomass burning, and waste management. Natural sources of CH ₄ include wetlands, gas hydrates, termites, oceans, freshwater bodies, non-wetland soils, and wildfires. The atmospheric lifetime of CH ₄ is approximately 12 years and the Global Warming Potential is 25.
Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)	HFCs are typically used as refrigerants for both stationary refrigeration and mobile air conditioning. The use of HFCs for cooling and foam blowing is increasing, as the continued phase out of CFCs and HCFCs gains momentum. The 100-year Global Warming Potential of HFCs range from 124 for HFC-152 to 14,800 for HFC-23.
Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)	PFCs have stable molecular structures and only break down by ultraviolet rays approximately 60 kilometers above Earth's surface. Because of this, they have long lifetimes, between 10,000 and 50,000 years. Two main sources of PFCs are primary aluminum production and semiconductor manufacturing. Global Warming Potentials range from 6,500 to 9,200.
Chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs)	CFCs are gases formed synthetically by replacing all hydrogen atoms in methane or ethane with chlorine and/or fluorine atoms. They are nontoxic, nonflammable, insoluble, and chemically unreactive in the troposphere (the level of air at the earth's surface). CFCs were synthesized in 1928 for use as refrigerants, aerosol propellants, and cleaning solvents. The Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer prohibited their production in 1987. Global Warming Potentials for CFCs range from 3,800 to 14,400.

Greenhouse Gas	Description
Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF ₆)	SF ₆ is an inorganic, odorless, colorless, and nontoxic, nonflammable gas. It has a lifetime of 3,200 years. This gas is manmade and used for insulation in electric power transmission equipment, in the magnesium industry, in semiconductor manufacturing, and as a tracer gas. The Global Warming Potential of SF ₆ is 23,900.
Hydrochloro-fluorocarbons (HCFCs)	HCFCs are solvents, similar in use and chemical composition to CFCs. The main uses of HCFCs are for refrigerant products and air conditioning systems. As part of the Montreal Protocol, HCFCs are subject to a consumption cap and gradual phase out. The United States is scheduled to achieve a 100 percent reduction to the cap by 2030. The 100-year Global Warming Potentials of HCFCs range from 90 for HCFC-123 to 1,800 for HCFC-142b.
Nitrogen Trifluoride (NF ₃)	NF ₃ was added to Health and Safety Code section 38505(g)(7) as a GHG of concern. This gas is used in electronics manufacture for semiconductors and liquid crystal displays. It has a high global warming potential of 17,200.

Source: Compiled from U.S. EPA, *Overview of Greenhouse Gases*, April 11, 2018 (<https://www.epa.gov/ghgemissions/overview-greenhouse-gases>); U.S. EPA, *Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990-2016*, 2018; Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis*, 2007; National Research Council, *Advancing the Science of Climate Change*, 2010; U.S. EPA, *Methane and Nitrous Oxide Emission from Natural Sources*, April 2010.

11.3 Applicable Regulations, Plans, and Standards

11.3.1 Federal

To date, national standards have not been established for nationwide GHG reduction targets, nor have any regulations or legislation been enacted specifically to address climate change and GHG emissions reduction at the project level. Various efforts have been promulgated at the federal level to improve fuel economy and energy efficiency to address climate change and its associated effects.

Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007

The Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (December 2007), among other key measures, requires the following, which would aid in the reduction of national GHG emissions:

- Increase the supply of alternative fuel sources by setting a mandatory Renewable Fuel Standard requiring fuel producers to use at least 36 billion gallons of biofuel in 2022.
- Set a target of 35 miles per gallon for the combined fleet of cars and light trucks by model year 2020 and direct the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) to establish a fuel economy program for medium- and heavy-duty trucks and create a separate fuel economy standard for work trucks.
- Prescribe or revise standards affecting regional efficiency for heating and cooling products and procedures for new or amended standards, energy conservation, energy efficiency labeling for consumer electronic products, residential boiler efficiency, electric motor efficiency, and home appliances.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Endangerment Finding

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA) authority to regulate GHG emissions stems from the U.S. Supreme Court decision in *Massachusetts v. EPA* (2007). The Supreme Court ruled that GHGs meet the definition of air pollutants under the existing Federal Clean Air Act (FCAA) and must be regulated if

these gases could be reasonably anticipated to endanger public health or welfare. Responding to the Court's ruling, the EPA finalized an endangerment finding in December 2009. Based on scientific evidence, it found that six GHGs (CO₂, CH₄, N₂O, HFCs, PFCs, and SF₆) constitute a threat to public health and welfare. Thus, it is the Supreme Court's interpretation of the existing FCAA and the EPA's assessment of the scientific evidence that form the basis for the EPA's regulatory actions.

Federal Vehicle Standards

In response to the U.S. Supreme Court ruling discussed above, Executive Order 13432 was issued in 2007 directing the EPA, the Department of Transportation, and the Department of Energy to establish regulations that reduce GHG emissions from motor vehicles, non-road vehicles, and non-road engines by 2008. In 2009, the NHTSA issued a final rule regulating fuel efficiency and GHG emissions from cars and light-duty trucks for model year 2011, and in 2010, the EPA and NHTSA issued a final rule regulating cars and light-duty trucks for model years 2012–2016.

In 2010, an Executive Memorandum was issued directing the Department of Transportation, Department of Energy, EPA, and NHTSA to establish additional standards regarding fuel efficiency and GHG reduction, clean fuels, and advanced vehicle infrastructure. In response to this directive, the EPA and NHTSA proposed stringent, coordinated federal GHG and fuel economy standards for model years 2017–2025 light-duty vehicles. The proposed standards projected to achieve 163 grams per mile of CO₂ in model year 2025, on an average industry fleet-wide basis, which is equivalent to 54.5 miles per gallon if this level were achieved solely through fuel efficiency. The final rule was adopted in 2012 for model years 2017–2021, and NHTSA intends to set standards for model years 2022–2025 in a future rulemaking. On January 12, 2017, the EPA finalized its decision to maintain the current GHG emissions standards for model years 2022–2025 cars and light trucks. It should be noted that the EPA is currently proposing to freeze the vehicle fuel efficiency standards at their planned 2020 level (37 mpg), canceling any future strengthening (currently 54.5 mpg by 2026).

In addition to the regulations applicable to cars and light-duty trucks described above, in 2011, the EPA and NHTSA announced fuel economy and GHG standards for medium- and heavy-duty trucks for model years 2014–2018. The standards for CO₂ emissions and fuel consumption are tailored to three main vehicle categories: combination tractors, heavy-duty pickup trucks and vans, and vocational vehicles. According to the EPA, this regulatory program will reduce GHG emissions and fuel consumption for the affected vehicles by 6 to 23 percent over the 2010 baseline.

In August 2016, the EPA and NHTSA announced the adoption of the phase two program related to the fuel economy and GHG standards for medium- and heavy-duty trucks. The phase two program will apply to vehicles with model year 2018 through 2027 for certain trailers, and model years 2021 through 2027 for semi-trucks, large pickup trucks, vans, and all types and sizes of buses and work trucks. The final standards are expected to lower CO₂ emissions by approximately 1.1 billion metric tons and reduce oil consumption by up to 2 billion barrels over the lifetime of the vehicles sold under the program.

In 2018, the EPA has stated their intent to halt various Federal regulatory activities to reduce GHG emission, including the phase two program. California and other states have stated their intent to challenge federal actions that would delay or eliminate GHG reduction measures and have committed to cooperating with other countries to implement global climate change initiatives. On September 27, 2019, the EPA and the NHTSA published the "Safer Affordable Fuel-Efficient (SAFE) Vehicles Rule Part

One: One National Program.” (84 Fed. Reg. 51,310 (Sept. 27, 2019.) The Part One Rule revokes California’s authority to set its own GHG emissions standards and set zero-emission vehicle mandates in California. On March 31, 2020, the EPA and NHTSA finalized rulemaking for SAFE Part Two sets CO₂ emissions standards and corporate average fuel economy (CAFE) standards for passenger vehicles and light duty trucks, covering model years 2021-2026.

Presidential Executive Order 13783

Presidential Executive Order 13783, Promoting Energy Independence and Economic Growth issued on March 28, 2017, orders all federal agencies to apply cost-benefit analyses to regulations of GHG emissions and evaluations of the social cost of CO₂, N₂O, and CH₄.

11.3.2 State

California Air Resources Board

The California Air Resources Board (CARB) is responsible for the coordination and oversight of State and local air pollution control programs in California. Various statewide and local initiatives to reduce California’s contribution to GHG emissions have raised awareness about climate change and its potential for severe long-term adverse environmental, social, and economic effects. California is a significant emitter of CO₂e in the world and produced 440 million gross metric tons of CO₂e in 2015. In the State, the transportation sector is the largest emitter of GHGs, followed by industrial operations such as manufacturing and oil and gas extraction.

The State of California legislature has enacted a series of bills that constitute the most aggressive program to reduce GHGs of any state in the nation. Some legislation, such as the landmark AB 32 California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006, was specifically enacted to address GHG emissions. Other legislation, such as Title 24 building efficiency standards and Title 20 appliance energy standards, were originally adopted for other purposes such as energy and water conservation, but also provide GHG reductions. This document describes the major legislation related to GHG emissions reduction.

Assembly Bill 32 (California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006)

AB 32 instructs the CARB to develop and enforce regulations for the reporting and verification of statewide GHG emissions. AB 32 also directed CARB to set a GHG emissions limit based on 1990 levels, to be achieved by 2020. It set a timeline for adopting a scoping plan for achieving GHG reductions in a technologically and economically feasible manner.

CARB Scoping Plan

CARB adopted the Scoping Plan to achieve the goals of AB 32. The Scoping Plan establishes an overall framework for the measures that would be adopted to reduce California’s GHG emissions. CARB determined that achieving the 1990 emissions level would require a reduction of GHG emissions of approximately 29 percent below what would otherwise occur in 2020 in the absence of new laws and regulations (referred to as “business-as-usual”). The Scoping Plan evaluates opportunities for sector-specific reductions, integrates early actions and additional GHG reduction measures by both CARB and the State’s Climate Action Team, identifies additional measures to be pursued as regulations, and outlines the adopted role of a cap-and-trade program. Additional development of these measures and

adoption of the appropriate regulations occurred through the end of 2013. Key elements of the Scoping Plan include:

- Expanding and strengthening existing energy efficiency programs, as well as building and appliance standards.
- Achieving a statewide renewables energy mix of 33 percent by 2020.
- Developing a California cap-and-trade program that links with other programs to create a regional market system and caps sources contributing 85 percent of California's GHG emissions (adopted in 2011).
- Establishing targets for transportation-related GHG emissions for regions throughout California and pursuing policies and incentives to achieve those targets (several sustainable community strategies have been adopted).
- Adopting and implementing measures pursuant to existing State laws and policies, including California's clean car standards, heavy-duty truck measures, the Low Carbon Fuel Standard (amendments to the Pavley Standard adopted 2009; Advanced Clean Car standard adopted 2012), goods movement measures, and the Low Carbon Fuel Standard (adopted 2009).
- Creating targeted fees, including a public goods charge on water use, fees on gasses with high global warming potential, and a fee to fund the administrative costs of California's long-term commitment to AB 32 implementation.

In 2012, CARB released revised estimates of the expected 2020 emissions reductions. The revised analysis relied on emissions projections updated considering current economic forecasts that accounted for the economic downturn since 2008, reduction measures already approved and put in place relating to future fuel and energy demand, and other factors. This update reduced the projected 2020 emissions from 596 million metric tons of CO₂e (MMTCO₂e) to 545 MMTCO₂e. The reduction in forecasted 2020 emissions means that the revised business-as-usual reduction necessary to achieve AB 32's goal of reaching 1990 levels by 2020 is now 21.7 percent, down from 29 percent. CARB also provided a lower 2020 inventory forecast that incorporated State-led GHG emissions reduction measures already in place. When this lower forecast is considered, the necessary reduction from business-as-usual needed to achieve the goals of AB 32 is approximately 16 percent.

CARB adopted the first major update to the Scoping Plan on May 22, 2014. The updated Scoping Plan summarizes the most recent science related to climate change, including anticipated impacts to California and the levels of GHG emissions reductions necessary to likely avoid risking irreparable damage. It identifies the actions California has already taken to reduce GHG emissions and focuses on areas where further reductions could be achieved to help meet the 2020 target established by AB 32.

In January 2017, CARB released the 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan Update (Second Update) for public review and comment (CARB, 2017). The Second Update sets forth CARB's strategy for achieving the State's 2030 GHG target as established in Senate Bill (SB) 32 (discussed below). The Second Update was approved by CARB's Governing Board on December 14, 2017.

CARB Innovative Clean Transit Regulation

The Innovative Clean Transit regulation was adopted in December 2018 to replace the Fleet Rule for Transit Agencies. The regulation requires all public transit agencies to gradually transition to a 100-

percent zero-emission bus fleet and encourages them to provide innovative first and last-mile connectivity and improved mobility for transit riders. This regulation also provides various exemptions and compliance options to provide safeguards and flexibility for transit agencies through this transition. Through the deployment of zero-emission technologies, the ICT regulation aims to provide significant benefits across California, including reducing NO_x and GHG emissions for all Californians, especially transit-dependent and disadvantage communities, and improving mobility and connectivity with zero-emission transportation modes and reducing growth in light-duty vehicle miles traveled. MST would be required to comply with this State regulation.

Senate Bill 32 (California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006: Emissions Limit)

Signed into law in September 2016, SB 32 codifies the 2030 GHG reduction target in Executive Order B-30-15 (40 percent below 1990 levels by 2030). The bill authorizes CARB to adopt an interim GHG emissions level target to be achieved by 2030. CARB also must adopt rules and regulations in an open public process to achieve the maximum, technologically feasible, and cost-effective GHG reductions.

With SB 32, the Legislature passed companion legislation, AB 197, which provides additional direction for developing the Scoping Plan. On December 14, 2017, CARB adopted a second update to the Scoping Plan (CARB, 2017b). The 2017 Scoping Plan details how the State will reduce GHG emissions to meet the 2030 target set by Executive Order B-30-15 and codified by SB 32. Other objectives listed in the 2017 Scoping Plan are to provide direct GHG emissions reductions; support climate investment in disadvantaged communities; and support the Clean Power Plan and other Federal actions.

SB 375 (The Sustainable Communities and Climate Protection Act of 2008)

Signed into law on September 30, 2008, SB 375 provides a process to coordinate land use planning, regional transportation plans, and funding priorities to help California meet the GHG reduction goals established by AB 32. SB 375 requires metropolitan planning organizations to include sustainable community strategies in their regional transportation plans for reducing GHG emissions, aligns planning for transportation and housing, and creates specified incentives for the implementation of the strategies. The applicable sustainable community strategy in the Bay Area is Plan Bay Area 2040.

AB 1493 (Pavley Regulations and Fuel Efficiency Standards)

AB 1493, enacted on July 22, 2002, required CARB to develop and adopt regulations that reduce GHGs emitted by passenger vehicles and light duty trucks. Implementation of the regulation was delayed by lawsuits filed by automakers and by the EPA's denial of an implementation waiver. The EPA subsequently granted the requested waiver in 2009, which was upheld by the by the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia in 2011. The regulations establish one set of emission standards for model years 2009–2016 and a second set of emissions standards for model years 2017 to 2025. By 2025, when all rules will be fully implemented, new automobiles will emit 34 percent fewer CO₂e emissions and 75 percent fewer smog-forming emissions.

SB 1368 (Emission Performance Standards)

SB 1368 is the companion bill of AB 32, which directs the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to adopt a performance standard for GHG emissions for the future power purchases of California utilities. SB 1368 limits carbon emissions associated with electrical energy consumed in California by forbidding procurement arrangements for energy longer than 5 years from resources that exceed the emissions of

a relatively clean, combined cycle natural gas power plant. The new law effectively prevents California's utilities from investing in, otherwise financially supporting, or purchasing power from new coal plants located in or out of the State. The CPUC adopted the regulations required by SB 1368 on August 29, 2007. The regulations implementing SB 1368 establish a standard for baseload generation owned by, or under long-term contract to publicly owned utilities, for 1,100 pounds of CO₂ per megawatt-hour.

SB 1078 and SBX1-2 (Renewable Electricity Standards)

SB 1078 required California to generate 20 percent of its electricity from renewable energy by 2017. This goal was accelerated with SB 107, which changed the due date to 2010 instead of 2017. On November 17, 2008, Executive Order S-14-08 established a Renewable Portfolio Standard target for California requiring that all retail sellers of electricity serve 33 percent of their load with renewable energy by 2020. Executive Order S-21-09 also directed CARB to adopt a regulation by July 31, 2010, requiring the State's load serving entities to meet a 33 percent renewable energy target by 2020. CARB approved the Renewable Electricity Standard on September 23, 2010 by Resolution 10-23. SB X1-2 codified the 33 percent by 2020 goal.

SB 350 (Clean Energy and Pollution Reduction Act of 2015)

Signed into law on October 7, 2015, SB 350 implements the goals of Executive Order B-30-15. The objectives of SB 350 are to increase the procurement of electricity from renewable sources from 33 percent to 50 percent (with interim targets of 40 percent by 2024, and 45 percent by 2027) and to double the energy efficiency savings in electricity and natural gas end uses of retail customers through energy efficiency and conservation. SB 350 also reorganizes the Independent System Operator to develop more regional electricity transmission markets and improve accessibility in these markets, which will facilitate the growth of renewable energy markets in the western United States.

AB 398 (Market-Based Compliance Mechanisms)

Signed on July 25, 2017, AB 398 extended the duration of the Cap-and-Trade program from 2020 to 2030. AB 398 required CARB to update the Scoping Plan and for all GHG rules and regulations adopted by the State. It also designated CARB as the statewide regulatory body responsible for ensuring that California meets its statewide carbon pollution reduction targets, while retaining local air districts' responsibility and authority to curb toxic air contaminants and criteria pollutants from local sources that severely impact public health. AB 398 also decreased free carbon allowances over 40 percent by 2030 and prioritized Cap-and-Trade spending to various programs including reducing diesel emissions in impacted communities.

SB 150 (Regional Transportation Plans)

Signed on October 10, 2017, SB 150 aligns local and regional GHG reduction targets with State targets (i.e., 40 percent below their 1990 levels by 2030). SB 150 creates a process to include communities in discussions on how to monitor their regions' progress on meeting these goals. The bill also requires the CARB to regularly report on that progress, as well as on the successes and the challenges regions experience associated with achieving their targets. SB 150 provides for accounting of climate change efforts and GHG reductions and identify effective reduction strategies.

SB 100 (California Renewables Portfolio Standard Program: Emissions of Greenhouse Gases) Signed into Law in September 2018, SB 100 increased California's renewable electricity portfolio from 50 to 60

percent by 2030. SB 100 also established a further goal to have an electric grid that is entirely powered by clean energy by 2045.

Executive Orders Related to GHG Emissions

California's Executive Branch has taken several actions to reduce GHGs using executive orders. Although not regulatory, they set the State's tone and guide the actions of State agencies.

Executive Order S-3-05

Executive Order S-3-05 was issued on June 1, 2005, which established the following GHG emissions reduction targets:

- By 2010, reduce greenhouse gas emissions to 2000 levels.
- By 2020, reduce greenhouse gas emissions to 1990 levels.
- By 2050, reduce greenhouse gas emissions to 80 percent below 1990 levels.

The 2050 reduction goal represents what some scientists believe is necessary to reach levels that will stabilize the climate. The 2020 goal was established to be a mid-term target. Because this is an executive order, the goals are not legally enforceable for local governments or the private sector.

Executive Order S-01-07

Issued on January 18, 2007, Executive Order S-01-07 mandates that a statewide goal shall be established to reduce the carbon intensity of California's transportation fuels by at least 10 percent by 2020. The executive order established a Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS) and directed the Secretary for Environmental Protection to coordinate the actions of the California Energy Commission, CARB, the University of California, and other agencies to develop and propose protocols for measuring the "life-cycle carbon intensity" of transportation fuels. CARB adopted the LCFS on April 23, 2009.

Executive Order S-13-08

Issued on November 14, 2008, Executive Order S-13-08 facilitated the California Natural Resources Agency development of the 2009 California Climate Adaptation Strategy. Objectives include analyzing risks of climate change in California, identifying and exploring strategies to adapt to climate change, and specifying a direction for future research.

Executive Order S-14-08

Issued on November 17, 2008, Executive Order S-14-08 expands the State's Renewable Energy Standard to 33 percent renewable power by 2020. Additionally, Executive Order S-21-09 (signed on September 15, 2009) directs CARB to adopt regulations requiring 33 percent of electricity sold in the State come from renewable energy by 2020. CARB adopted the Renewable Electricity Standard on September 23, 2010, which requires 33 percent renewable energy by 2020 for most publicly owned electricity retailers.

Executive Order S-21-09

Issued on July 17, 2009, Executive Order S-21-09 directs CARB to adopt regulations to increase California's RPS to 33 percent by 2020. This builds upon SB 1078 (2002), which established the California RPS program, requiring 20 percent renewable energy by 2017, and SB 107 (2006), which advanced the

20 percent deadline to 2010, a goal which was expanded to 33 percent by 2020 in the 2005 Energy Action Plan II.

Executive Order B-30-15

Issued on April 29, 2015, Executive Order B-30-15 established a California GHG reduction target of 40 percent below 1990 levels by 2030 and directs CARB to update the Climate Change Scoping Plan to express the 2030 target in terms of million metric tons of CO₂e (MMTCO₂e). The 2030 target acts as an interim goal on the way to achieving reductions of 80 percent below 1990 levels by 2050, a goal set by Executive Order S-3-05. The executive order also requires the State's climate adaptation plan to be updated every three years and for the State to continue its climate change research program, among other provisions. With the enactment of SB 32 in 2016, the Legislature codified the goal of reducing GHG emissions by 2030 to 40 percent below 1990 levels.

Executive Order B-55-18

Issued on September 10, 2018, Executive Order B-55-18 establishes a goal to achieve carbon neutrality as soon as possible, and no later than 2045, and achieve and maintain net negative emissions thereafter. This goal is in addition to the existing statewide targets of reducing GHG emissions. The executive order requires CARB to work with relevant State agencies to develop a framework for implementing this goal. It also requires CARB to update the Scoping Plan to identify and recommend measures to achieve carbon neutrality. The executive order also requires State agencies to develop sequestration targets in the Natural and Working Lands Climate Change Implementation Plan.

California Regulations and Building Codes

California has a long history of adopting regulations to improve energy efficiency in new and remodeled buildings. These regulations have kept California's energy consumption relatively flat, even with rapid population growth.

Title 20 Appliance Efficiency Regulations

The appliance efficiency regulations (California Code of Regulations [CCR] Title 20, Sections 1601-1608) include standards for new appliances. Twenty-three categories of appliances are included in the scope of these regulations. These standards include minimum levels of operating efficiency, and other cost-effective measures, to promote the use of energy- and water-efficient appliances.

Title 24 Building Energy Efficiency Standards

California's Energy Efficiency Standards for Residential and Nonresidential Buildings (CCR Title 24, Part 6), was first adopted in 1978 in response to a legislative mandate to reduce California's energy consumption. The standards are updated periodically to allow consideration and possible incorporation of new energy efficient technologies and methods. Energy efficient buildings require less electricity; therefore, increased energy efficiency reduces fossil fuel consumption and decreases GHG emissions. The 2016 Building Energy Efficiency Standards approved on January 19, 2016 went into effect on January 1, 2017. The 2019 Building Energy Efficiency Standards were adopted on May 9, 2018 and took effect on January 1, 2020. Under the 2019 standards, residential dwellings will be required to use approximately 53 percent less energy and nonresidential buildings will be required to use approximately 30 percent less energy than buildings under the 2016 standards.

Title 24 California Green Building Standards Code

The California Green Building Standards Code (CCR Title 24, Part 11 code) commonly referred to as CALGreen, is a statewide mandatory construction code developed and adopted by the California Building Standards Commission and the Department of Housing and Community Development. The CALGreen standards require new residential and nonresidential buildings to comply with mandatory measures under the topics of planning and design, energy efficiency, water efficiency/conservation, material conservation and resource efficiency, and environmental quality. CALGreen also provides voluntary tiers and measures that local governments may adopt that encourage or require additional measures in the five green building topics. The latest CALGreen Code took effect on January 1, 2020 (2019 CALGreen). The 2019 CALGreen standards continue to improve upon the existing standards for new construction of, and additions and alterations to, residential and nonresidential buildings. The new 2019 CALGreen standards require residential buildings are required to be solar ready through solar panels (refer to Section 110.10 in the 2019 Building Energy Efficiency Standards for more details).

11.3.3 Regional

Monterey Bay Air Regulation District

MBARD is the regional air agency for the North Central Coast Air Basin, which includes the project site. In February 2008, MBARD issued revised adopted guidance for assessing and reducing the impacts of project-specific air quality emissions: CEQA Air Quality Guidelines. This document included a reserved section to address project-specific GHG emissions: Climate Change and Assessment of Project Impacts from Greenhouse Gases. To date, MBARD has not adopted guidance for GHG emissions inventory, or established significance thresholds for GHG emissions.

11.4 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures

11.4.1 Significance Criteria

According to the adopted Appendix G of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, impacts related to GHG emissions from a proposed project would be significant if the project would:

- Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment; and/or
- Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases.

Determining significance follows available guidelines from State or local air quality management agencies, where available. However, there is no legally adopted threshold to guide MST decision-makers in determining what emission levels constitute a significant amount. Rules and policies being developed by CARB are used here although they are evolving in response to the threat of climate change effects and subsequent legislation.

MBARD does not yet recommend any method or threshold for determining significance of climate change impacts or greenhouse gas emissions from a project and its operation. Nonetheless, GHG emissions caused by any project subject to CEQA must be described in order for a lead agency to determine the significance of impacts. The 2010 State CEQA Guidelines (Section 15064.4) provide the following direction for the assessment and mitigation of GHG emissions:

- A lead agency should make a good-faith effort, based to the extent possible on scientific and factual data, to describe, calculate or estimate the amount of greenhouse gas emissions resulting from a project.
- A lead agency should consider the extent to which the project may increase or reduce greenhouse gas emissions as compared to the existing environmental setting.
- A lead agency should consider the extent to which the project complies with regulations or requirements adopted to implement a statewide, regional, or local plan for the reduction or mitigation of GHG emissions.

In the absence of quantitative significance thresholds in CEQA guidance, this analysis turns to other programs. For example, the CARB Mandatory Reporting program requirements are triggered for sources of GHG emissions exceeding 2,500 metric tons CO₂ (MTCO₂e) per year. AB 32 requires California agencies to take actions that will reduce GHG emissions by 2020 to the levels of 1990, and then substantially further reduce emissions by 2050.

According to a MBARD staff report to the District Board of Directors, MBARD is considering adoption of a threshold of 2,000 metric tons of equivalent CO₂ emissions (MT of CO₂e/year) for land use projects or compliance with an adopted GHG Reduction Plan/Climate Action Plan. Although MBARD has adopted a GHG threshold for stationary source projects that rely on operational processes and equipment that are subject to MBARD permitting requirements, land use projects do not have a formally adopted policy recommending any specific threshold. Since MBARD has not adopted thresholds, MBARD encourages lead agencies to consider a variety of metrics for evaluating GHG missions and related mitigation measures as they best apply to the specific project (MBARD, 2014). Other air districts in the State have adopted a threshold of 1,100 MTCO₂e per year for land-use projects, including the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) and Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District (SMAQMD), while San Luis Obispo County Air Pollution Control District (SLOCAPCD) has an adopted threshold of 1,150 MTCO₂e per year (Association of Environmental Professionals, October 2016).

For CEQA analyses, project-related GHG impacts can be categorized as either direct or indirect. Direct emissions refer to those emitted by stationary sources at the project site or caused by project activity on-site, and these emissions are normally within control of the project sponsor or applicant. Indirect emissions include those emissions that are not within the direct control of the project sponsor or applicant, but may occur as a result of the project, such as the motor vehicle emissions induced by the project. Indirect emissions include emissions from any off-site facilities used for project support as a result of the construction or operation of a project, and these emissions are likely to occur outside the control of the project far off-site or even outside of California.

Construction-phase GHG emissions are quantified as part of the air quality impact assessment (see **Appendix 6, Air Quality** and **Attachment 6A** for supporting calculations).

The effects of the proposed project are also considered based on whether the project implements reduction strategies identified in AB 32, the Governor's Executive Order S-14-08, or other strategies to help reduce GHGs to the level proposed by the Governor. If so, it could reasonably follow that the project would not result in a significant contribution to the cumulative impact of global climate change.

11.4.2 Study Methodology

The project's construction and operational emissions were calculated using the California Emissions Estimator Model version 2016.3.2 (CalEEMod). Details of the modeling assumptions and emission factors are provided in **Attachment 6A**. For construction, CalEEMod calculates emissions from off-road equipment usage and on-road vehicle travel associated with haul, delivery, and construction worker trips. The project's construction-related GHG emissions were forecasted based on the proposed construction schedule and applying the mobile-source and fugitive dust emissions factors derived from CalEEMod. The project's construction-related GHG emissions would be generated from off-road construction equipment, on-road hauling and vendor (material delivery) trucks, and worker vehicles.

The project's operations-related GHG emissions would typically be generated by vehicular traffic, area sources (e.g., landscaping maintenance, consumer products), and electrical generation. However, as the proposed project is a transit/roadway project, operational-related GHG would be primarily related to vehicular traffic and electricity use for lighting.

11.4.3 Impacts of the Proposed Project

Impact GHG-1: **The project could generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that could have an impact on the environment. This is a less-than-significant impact.**

Short-Term Construction Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Construction of the project would result in direct emissions of CO₂, N₂O, and CH₄ from the operation of construction equipment and the transport of materials and construction workers to and from the project site. MBARD does not have a threshold for construction GHG emissions, which are one-time, short-term emissions and therefore would not significantly contribute to long-term cumulative GHG emissions impacts of the project. However, the construction GHG emissions are disclosed and a determination on the significance of construction GHG emissions in relation to meeting AB 32 GHG reduction goals should be made. Total GHG emissions generated during all phases of construction were combined and are presented in **Table 11-2: Construction Greenhouse Gas Emissions**. The CalEEMod outputs are contained within the **Attachment 6A, CalEEMod Air Quality Analysis**.

Table 11-2: Construction Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Construction Year	Project (MTCO _{2e}) ¹
2021	570
2024	263
2025	197
Total	1,030

Source: CalEEMod version 2016.3.2. Refer to **Attachment 6A** for model outputs.

1. Due to rounding, total MTCO_{2e} may be marginally different from CalEEMod output. MTCO_{2e} = metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent.

As shown in Table 11-2, project construction-related activities would generate approximately 1,030 MTCO_{2e} of GHG emissions over the course of construction. Once construction is complete, the generation of construction-related GHG emissions would cease. This is less than the CARB Mandatory Reporting applicability level of 2,500 MTCO_{2e} per year. As a result, the short-term emission of GHG during construction would be adverse, but **less than significant** based on this threshold.

Long-Term Operational Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Operational or long-term emissions would occur over the project’s useful life. The project consists of approximately 6 linear miles of roadway surface and related improvements to provide dedicated express busway service. GHG emissions would result from direct emissions such as project generated vehicular traffic for the transit center and operation of any landscaping equipment. Operational GHG emissions would also result from indirect sources, such as off-site generation of electrical power over the life of the project. Table 11-3: Operational Greenhouse Gas Emissions, summarizes the total GHG emissions associated with the project.

Table 11-3: Operational Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Category	MTCO _{2e} ¹
Area Source	0.03
Energy	7.40
Mobile	211.76
Total Project²	219.19
Threshold	1,100
Exceeds Threshold?	No

Source: CalEEMod version 2016.3.2. Refer to Attachment 6A for model outputs.

1. Emissions were calculated using CalEEMod version 2016.3.2.

2. Emissions may not total due to rounding.

Below is a description of the primary sources of operational emissions:

Area Sources. Area source emissions occur from architectural coatings, landscaping equipment, and consumer products. Landscaping is anticipated to occur throughout the transit center area only, but not along the busway lanes themselves. Additionally, the primary emissions from architectural coatings are volatile organic compounds, which are relatively insignificant as direct GHG emissions. The project’s area sources would be 0.03 MTCO_{2eq}/yr (refer to Table 11-3).

Energy Consumption. Energy consumption consists of emissions from nominal project consumption of electricity associated with lighting, communications and signalization. The project is not anticipated to require natural gas. The project would result in 7 MTCO_{2e}/yr from energy consumption (refer to Table 11-3).

Mobile Sources. Mobile sources from the project were calculated with CalEEMod based on the trip generation from the project Traffic Study. Project operations involve a BRT project that would use zero emission buses to comply with CARB’s ICT Rule that would not generate emissions. As shown in Table

11-3, the mobile source emissions from the project would be 212 MTCO₂eq/yr. The proposed transit project is anticipated to remove 544,582 vehicle trips per year from the roadway network. The mobile source emissions conservatively do not take credit for the reduction of these vehicle trips.

The majority of project emissions (approximately 100 percent) would occur from mobile and energy sources. As noted above, energy and mobile sources are targeted by statewide measures such as low carbon fuels, cleaner vehicles, strategies to promote sustainable communities and improved transportation choices that result in reducing vehicle miles travelled (VMT), continued implementation of the Renewable Portfolio Standard (the target is now set at 60 percent renewables by 2030), and extension of the Cap and Trade program (requires reductions from industrial sources, energy generation, and fossil fuels). The Cap and Trade program covers approximately 85 percent of California's GHG emissions as of January 2015. The statewide cap for GHG emissions from the capped sectors (i.e., electricity generation, industrial sources, petroleum refining, and cement production) commenced in 2013 and will decline approximately three percent each year, achieving GHG emission reductions throughout the program's duration. The passage of AB 398 in July 2017 extended the duration of the Cap and Trade program from 2020 to 2030. With continued implementation of various statewide measures, the project's operational energy and mobile source emissions would continue to decline in the future.

As discussed in [Chapter 3: Project Description](#) the project would reduce congestion on Highway 1 from local and inter-regional commuter traffic by providing an accessible 100 percent zero emission transit alternative. Annually, ridership is estimated at 1,500,000. Based on the 2018 Feasibility Study, that translates to the elimination of 8.36 million vehicle miles travelled that otherwise would have been on the highway and roadway network from private automobiles. Project emissions are shown in [Table 11-3](#). Impacts are **less than significant**. Project-related GHG emissions would not result in a cumulatively considerable contribution to the significant cumulative impact of climate change.

Impact GHG-2: The project will not conflict with a plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing greenhouse gas emissions. This is a less-than-significant impact.

As discussed above in the Local Regulatory Section, the City of Marina, Seaside, and Sand City do not have a stand-alone Climate Action Plans but include policies and actions to reduce the generation of GHG emissions within their cities. The proposed transit project would be consistent with and rely on these goals, policies, and actions. Therefore, the project would result in a less-than-significant cumulative impact to global climate change.

The project demonstrates consistency with the General Plan goals, measures, and emission reduction targets, and would not conflict with any applicable plan, policy, or regulation of an agency adopted to reduce GHG emissions, including Title 24, AB 32, and SB 32. Therefore, project impacts would be less than significant.

As discussed above in Impact GHG-1, the project would not exceed significance thresholds for construction or operation of the project. The proposed BRT project would not conflict with an applicable plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of GHGs. In addition, the proposed project would comply with all MBARD applicable rules and regulations during construction

and would not interfere with the State's goals of reducing GHG emission to 1990 levels by 2020 as stated in AB 32; a 40 percent reduction below 1990 levels by 2030 as noted in SB 32; and, an 80 percent reduction in GHG emissions below 1990 levels by 2050 as stated in EO S-3-05. For these reasons, the proposed project would have a **less-than-significant** impact on GHG emissions based on CEQA criteria. As a public transit project that would reduce vehicle trips and congestion, the project will actually have long term environmental benefits.

11.4.4 Cumulative Impact Analysis

Climate change is a global problem. GHGs are global pollutants, unlike criteria air pollutants and toxic air contaminants, which are pollutants of regional and local concern. Whereas pollutants with localized air quality effects have relatively short atmospheric lifetimes (approximately one day), GHGs have much longer atmospheric lifetimes of one year to several thousand years that allow them to be dispersed around the globe.

Impact GHG-3: The project will not result in a reasonably foreseeable cumulatively considerable contribution to global climate change. This is a less-than-significant impact.

It is generally the case that an individual project of the project's size and nature is of insufficient magnitude by itself to influence climate change or result in a substantial contribution to the global GHG inventory. GHG impacts are recognized as exclusively cumulative impacts; there are no non-cumulative GHG emission impacts from a climate change perspective. The additive effect of project-related GHG emissions would not result in a reasonably foreseeable cumulatively considerable contribution to global climate change. In addition, the project as well as other cumulative related projects, would be subject to all applicable regulatory requirements, which would further reduce GHG emissions. Total GHG emissions generated during all phases of construction were combined and are presented in Table 11-2. The CalEEMod outputs are contained within the **Attachment 6A**. Construction of the project would result in direct emissions of CO₂, N₂O, and CH₄ from the operation of construction equipment and the transport of materials and construction workers to and from the project site. MBARD does not have a threshold for construction GHG emissions, which are one-time, short-term emissions and therefore would not significantly contribute to long-term cumulative GHG emissions impacts of the project. However, the construction GHG emissions are disclosed and a determination on the significance of construction GHG emissions in relation to meeting AB 32 GHG reduction goals should be made.

The project would not conflict with any GHG reduction plan. Therefore, the project's cumulative contribution of GHG emissions would be **less than significant** and the project's cumulative GHG impacts would also be less than cumulatively considerable.

11.5 References

California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA). 2016. California Emissions Estimator Model: User's Guide, Version 2013.2. Available at: <http://www.caleemod.com/>

_____. January 2008. CEQA and Climate Change: Addressing Climate Change through California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Available at: <http://opr.ca.gov/docs/june08-ceqa.pdf>

CARB (California Air Resources Board). 2008. Climate Change Scoping Plan, Framework for Change, as Approved December 2008, Pursuant to AB32. Available at:
<http://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/scopingplan/scopingplan.htm>

_____. January 2017. California's 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan. Available at:
https://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/scopingplan/scoping_plan_2017.pdf.

_____. 2017. Greenhouse Gas Inventory Data – 2017 Edition. Available at:
<https://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/inventory/data/data.htm>

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). 2007. Climate Change 2007: Synthesis Report, the Fourth IPCC Assessment Report. Available at: <http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/index.html>

_____. 2014. Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report, the Fifth IPCC Assessment Report. Available at:
<https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/>

US EPA. April 2018. Overview of Greenhouse Gases. Available at:
<https://www.epa.gov/ghgemissions/overview-greenhouse-gases>

_____. April 2018. Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks. Available at:
<https://www.epa.gov/ghgemissions/inventory-us-greenhouse-gas-emissions-and-sinks-1990-2016>