Attachment A: Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

As identified in EIR Section 3.B, Historic Architectural Resources, under Impact CR-1, demolition of the post-earthquake reinforced concrete car barn at 2500 Mariposa Street, designed by master Michael M. O'Shaughnessy, under the proposed project or project variants would result in a significant and unavoidable impact. The Potrero Trolley Coach Division Facility has been determined as eligible for inclusion in the California Register of Historical Resources under Criterion 1 (Events) and Criterion 3 (Architecture/Design/Construction) and is considered a historic resource under CEQA. Implementation of Mitigation Measures M-CR-1a: Documentation of Historical Resource, M-CR-1b: Salvage Plan, M-CR-1c: Interpretation of the Historical Resource, and M-CR-1d: Oral Histories, would lessen the impact of the proposed project; however, these mitigation measures would not reduce this impact to a less-than-significant level. Therefore, this impact would be considered significant and unavoidable with mitigation.

As identified in EIR Section 3.E, Air Quality, under Impact AQ-3, construction and operation of the proposed project or project variants would result in significant and unavoidable impacts related to exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial pollution concentrations resulting in excess cancer health risk exposure. Implementation of Mitigation Measure M-AQ-1: Off-Road Construction Equipment Emissions Minimization and Mitigation Measure M-AQ-3: Emergency Diesel Generator Health Risk Reduction Plan, pp. 3.E.47-3.E.48 and p. 3.E.57, respectively, would lessen the impact of the proposed project or project variants; however, Mitigation Measure M-AQ-1 may not reduce the construction-related contributions to emissions of toxic air contaminants resulting in excess cancer health risk exposure of sensitive receptors under project and cumulative conditions. Mitigation Measure M-AQ-3 would reduce the operation-related contribution to the excess cancer health risk exposure. Therefore, this impact would be considered significant and unavoidable with mitigation.

As identified in EIR Section 3.D, Noise and Vibration, Mitigation Measure M-NO-1: Construction Noise Control would reduce construction noise impacts to less than significant with mitigation by implementing noise control measures in accordance with a noise control plan approved by the planning department during all construction activities. Mitigation Measure M-NO-2: Vibration-Sensitive Equipment at 2601 Mariposa Street (KQED) Building would reduce the potential to interfere with vibration-sensitive equipment as a result of project construction by verifying the locations of vibration-sensitive equipment (if any) and requiring the appropriate outreach prior to the planned construction activities. Impacts would be less than significant with mitigation. Mitigation Measure NO-3: Fixed Mechanical Equipment Noise Control for Building Operations would reduce the potential noise increase during operation and impacts would be less than significant with mitigation.

As identified in EIR Section 3.F, Wind, Mitigation Measure M-WI-1: Design Measures to Reduce Project-Specific EIR Section 3.F, would include wind reduction measures to help reduce ground-level wind conditions. The mitigation measure would incorporate design measures with demonstrated effectiveness in reducing ground level wind speeds and therefore, would not result in substantial changes to ground-level wind conditions in a publicly accessible area of substantial pedestrian use. Impacts would be less than significant with mitigation.

As identified in Initial Study Section E.5 Tribal Cultural Resources (Appendix B), Mitigation Measure M-TCR-1: Tribal Cultural Resources Preservation and/or Interpretive Program would be implemented to reduce impacts to tribal cultural resources that could be encountered during construction activities to less-than-significant levels.

As identified in Initial Study Section E.16 Geology and Soils (Appendix B), Mitigation Measure M-GE-6a: Inadvertent Discovery of Paleontological Resources and Mitigation Measure-GE-6b: Preconstruction Paleontological Monitoring Report would reduce adverse impacts on paleontological resources by recovering fossils and associated contextual data prior to and during ground-disturbing activities. Impacts would be less than significant with mitigation.