Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: 20200090036

Project Title: Rockaway Quarry Reclamation Plan Project

Lead Agency: City of Pacifica

Contact Name: Christian Murdock, Deputy Planning Director

Email: cmurdock@pacifica.gov Phone Number: (650) 738-7341

Project Location: Pacifica (*City*) San Mateo (*County*)

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The project site is located at the Rockaway Quarry, on the San Mateo County Coast in the City of Pacifica, California. The project site includes two parcels: the Quarry Parcel, which is the former mine, and the Eastern Parcel, which is undeveloped. The proposed project would include reclamation of the project site. The majority of the reclamation activity would occur on the Quarry Parcel, with minor site improvements such as grading for access roads and through truck traffic occurring on the Eastern Parcel. The project would involve earthwork to regrade the over steepened slopes of the former Quarry into a safe condition, installation of new drainage infrastructure, and construction of improved trails. The Eastern parcel would be reclaimed to include a complex of four tiered seasonal wetlands totaling 1.55 acres and a 0.20-acre California red-legged frog (CRLF) pond. Implementation of the proposed project would require City approval of the following entitlements: adoption of the Rockaway Quarry Reclamation Plan Project EIR and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program, Quarry Use Permit, and Heritage tree removal authorization.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Implementation of the proposed project may result in impacts to the following CEQA topic areas: Biological Resources; Cultural Resources and Tribal Cultural Resources; and Geology and Soils. However, mitigation measures were included in the Draft EIR that would be sufficient to reduce all impacts to a less-than-significant level. For example, if tribal cultural resources are encountered during ground-disturbing activities, specific protocols would be required to ensure that significant impacts would not occur.

The Draft EIR did not identify any cumulatively considerable impacts or any significant and unavoidable impacts.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

The City has received public comments related to the following areas of concern:

- changes to the visual character of the area;
- removal of heritage trees;
- types of species and seed sources used in re-vegetation plan;
- issues related to slope stability;
- concerned related to proximity to the coastline;
- decreased air quality during construction/reclamation activities;
- noise impacts during construction activities;
- increase in vehicle traffic on local roadways;
- impact on riparian and wetland habitat;
- irrigation;
- changes to drainage patterns; and
- impacts to informal trails.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

- California Coastal Commission;
- California Department of Conservation, Division of Mine Reclamation;
- San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board; and
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.