

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: Auburn Boulevard over Arcade Creek Bridge (24C0081)

Lead Agency: City of Sacramento, Community Development Department

Contact Name: Ron Bess, Associate Planner

Email: rbess@cityofsacramento.org

Phone Number: (916) 808-8272

Project Location: City of Sacramento where Auburn Boulevard crosses Arcade, Sacramento County, CA

City

County

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The Project proposes to replace the existing structurally deficient bridge with a new bridge that meets current structural and geometric design standards, improves hydraulic capacity, and provides traffic-rated barrier railings. The proposed bridge replacement would also enhance the Auburn Boulevard and Winding Way intersection by adding an additional left turn pocket from westbound Auburn Boulevard to Winding Way. The proposed replacement bridge would be a single-span precast-prestressed concrete girder bridge, placed along the existing bridge alignment. The proposed bridge would be approximately 97 feet in width and would provide two 12-foot through vehicle lanes, two 11-foot through lanes, two 11-foot left turn lanes, and shoulders and sidewalks in each direction. The length of the proposed bridge would be approximately 89 feet to avoid the existing bridge foundations. The final vertical alignment is expected to be raised approximately 4.5 feet to enhance the site hydraulics. Staging areas would be required to store materials and construction equipment while not hindering the traveling public. Project staging areas would be located at the Auburn Boulevard and Winding Way intersection and in the vacant paved parking lot of the Powerhouse Science Center.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The project would potentially affect the following environmental factors: air quality, biological resources, cultural resources, hazards, public services, recreation, and tribal cultural resources. Mitigation measure identified to reduce the projects potentially significant air quality effects include implementing SMAQMD enhanced exhaust practices and enhanced fugitive PM dust control practices. Multiple species specific mitigation measures have been identified to reduce potentially significant effects to biological resources in the project area, and a separate measures has been established to preserve trees and riparian habitat. Cultural resource mitigation measures include identifying protocols if unanticipated cultural resources or human remains are discovered, and hazards and hazardous material mitigation measures include developing a HASP and following hazardous materials protocols. Mitigation measure identified to reduce the projects potentially significant effects to public services include developing a Construction Period Emergency Services and School Access Plan, while specific measures have been established to avoid or minimize adverse effects to recreational resources in the project area, such as Del Paso Regional Park. To avoid potentially significant effects on tribal cultural resource, a mitigation measure has been establish which requires a Native American Resources Monitor's services be retaining during earthwork and soil disturbing activities.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

No potential areas of controversy are known to the Lead Agency for the proposed project at this time.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

Reviewing agencies for the proposed project's environmental document include:

- Air Resources Board
- Caltrans District 3
- Central Valley Flood Protection Board
- Fish and Game Region 2
- Native American Heritage Commission
- Office of Historic Preservation
- Regional Water Quality Control Board 5