

# **APPENDIX D**

**BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES REPORT** 

P:\HNT1901.02 - Yorba Linda\Draft ISMND\Draft ISMND\_Yorba Linda Blvd Widening Improvements Project\_9.18.20.docx «09/18/20»



This page intentionally left blank

# **BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES REPORT**

# YORBA LINDA BOULEVARD WIDENING PROJECT CITY OF YORBA LINDA, CALIFORNIA

Prepared for:

City of Yorba Linda Public Works Department 4845 Casa Loma Avenue Yorba Linda, California 92886 Contact: Tony Wang, Traffic Engineering Manager (714) 961-7170

Prepared by:

LSA 20 Executive Park, Suite 200 Irvine, California 92614 (949) 553-0666

Under contract to:

HNTB Corporation 200 East Sandpointe Avenue, Suite 200 Santa Ana, California 92707 (714) 460-1600

LSA Project No. HNT1901.02



September 2020



# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

LSA has prepared this biological resources report for the proposed Yorba Linda Boulevard Widening Project (proposed project) located on the border between the cities of Yorba Linda and Anaheim along Yorba Linda Boulevard between La Palma Avenue and the State Route 91 (SR-91) westbound on-ramp and along South Weir Canyon Road between the SR-91 eastbound off-ramp and Santa Ana Canyon Road (see Figure 1). The City of Yorba Linda (City), as the Lead Agency under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), in cooperation with the City of Anaheim, is proposing roadway improvements along Yorba Linda Boulevard as well as a Class I bike path along La Palma Avenue and Yorba Linda Boulevard, which includes the widening of the bridge over the Santa Ana River. The proposed bike path would also extend along La Palma Avenue and would connect with the existing Santa Ana River Trail. The proposed project would improve corridor operations and provide additional storage for turning movements, as the intersections within the proposed project limits have inadequate vehicle storage due to short turn pocket lengths. Improvements within the proposed project limits include intersection and lane reconfiguration, restriping, and roadway widening. The proposed project limits are shown in Figure 2.

The proposed project includes other improvements such as excavation, paving, curbs and gutters, grading, drainage, curb ramps, utility relocations, signing and striping, street lighting, traffic signal modifications, retaining walls, and landscaping.

In August 2019, LSA biologists conducted a literature review and records search to identify the existence and potential for occurrence of special-status plant and animal species in the vicinity of the proposed project. Federal and State lists of special-status species were also examined. Current electronic database records reviewed included the California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB), the California Native Plant Society's Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants of California, and the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) Online System and National Wetlands Inventory. Historic and current aerial imagery, existing environmental reports for developments in the vicinity of the proposed project, and regional habitat conservation plans and local land use policies related to biological resources were also reviewed. A field survey covering the biological survey area (BSA) was conducted on November 7, 2019. The BSA included the original proposed project footprint, which did not include the bicycle trail improvements on La Palma Avenue, and a 500 foot (ft) buffer around the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge over the Santa Ana River from La Palma Avenue to Crystal Drive, and a 100 ft buffer around the rest of the proposed project footprint. An additional field survey of the BSA for the bike path improvements on La Palma was conducted on December 12, 2019. These proposed bicycle trail improvements were added to the project description subsequent to the time of the original field survey.

The condition of the BSA ranges from developed areas to special-status natural communities. While the majority of the BSA has already been paved, a portion of the BSA occurring at and adjacent to the Santa Ana River consists of a variety of habitat types that may support special-status animal species. Native riparian vegetation exists within the Santa Ana River's streambanks, and native scrub and woodland habitats occur adjacent to the Santa Ana River and along La Palma Avenue. A portion

i



of the BSA immediately east of Yorba Linda Boulevard and south of La Palma Avenue consists of native upland scrub habitat from an existing mitigation enhancement site.

The historic and ongoing anthropogenic disturbances in the BSA (e.g., disking, highways, and urban development) have greatly altered, eliminated, or impacted the presettlement habitats needed to support many of the special-status animal species identified in the CNDDB and USFWS queries (refer to Appendix D). However, there are known occurrences of special-status animal species within the BSA, and one, the coastal California gnatcatcher (*Polioptila californica californica*), was observed during the November 2019 field survey. Suitable habitat for several regionally occurring special-status species is also present within the BSA, and those species are listed in this document. The BSA contains foraging habitat for common and special-status raptors; perennial shrubs and mature trees that serve as potential raptor nesting habitat are present within the proposed project footprint, particularly within the Santa Ana River streambank. Suitable nesting habitat for the coastal California gnatcatcher is found adjacent to the intersection of Yorba Linda Boulevard and La Palma Avenue. Suitable nesting habitat for a variety of common and special-status bird species occurs within the BSA within the mature riparian woodland associated with the Santa Ana River, as well as ornamental trees throughout the BSA.

There is potential for special-status animal species and natural communities to be indirectly and directly affected by construction of the proposed project. There is also potential for bat habitat, critical habitat (for the Santa Ana sucker [*Catostomus santaanae*]), jurisdictional aquatic resources, and wildlife movement to be affected. However, with regard to postconstruction maintenance, or operational activities pertaining to the bridge or other roadway facilities, there would be no adverse impacts to biological resources that did not exist prior to implementation and completion of the identified proposed project features. Potential impacts during operational would result from increased stormwater runoff as a result of the additional impervious surfaces required for the proposed bridge and roadway widening. However, these operational impacts would be addressed by the drainage improvements included in the project design. Furthermore, the proposed intersection, pedestrian, and bicycle improvements would not increase vehicular traffic or introduce new uses within the project limits. Therefore, the proposed project would not result in any new or increased operational impacts to biological resources.

With the implementation of recommended measures provided in this report, including compliance with all applicable local, regional, State, and federal policies and regulations related to biological resources, impacts to biological resources resulting from the proposed project would be reduced to the greatest extent practicable.

ii



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
INTRODUCTION	1
Project Location and Description Project Characteristics Project Setting	1 2 4
METHODS	6
Regulatory Setting Literature Review and Records Search Field Survey	6 8 9
RESULTS	11
Vegetation Communities and Land Cover Types Soils Special-Status Biological Resources	11 
IMPACT FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDED MEASURES	26
Sensitive Natural Communities Special-Status Plant Species Special-Status Animal Species Bat Habitat Critical Habitat Jurisdictional Aquatic Resources Wildlife Movement and Habitat Connectivity Regional Habitat Conservation Plans and Local Policies Conclusion	26 30 31 36 40 41 43 43 44 48
REFERENCES	49

# **TABLES**

Table A: Vegetation and Land Cover Types within the Biological Study Area	12
Table B: Impacts to Vegetation and Land Cover Types	27
Table C: Impacts to Jurisdictional Aquatic Resources	42

# **APPENDICES**

- A: FIGURES 1 THROUGH 10
- B: REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOS
- C: PLANT AND ANIMAL SPECIES OBSERVED
- D: SPECIAL-STATUS SPECIES IDENTIFIED AS POTENTIALLY OCCURRING IN THE PROPOSED PROJECTBIOLOGICAL STUDY AREA
- E: BAT ROOSTING HABITAT SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT AND NIGHTTIME SURVEY REPORT
- F: JURISDICTIONAL DELINEATION REPORT



# INTRODUCTION

LSA has prepared this biological resources report for the proposed Yorba Linda Boulevard Widening Project (proposed project) located in the eastern portion of the City of Yorba Linda, Orange County, California (refer to Figure 1, Project Location; all figures are provided in Appendix A). The purpose of this report is to describe and document biological resources—including special-status species known to occur or with the potential to occur in the biological study area (BSA). This technical information is provided for proposed project planning purposes and preliminary review under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), California Endangered Species Act (CESA), Federal Endangered Species Act (FESA), and other pertinent regulations.

The biological resources assessment conducted for the proposed project involved the following components:

- Reviewing existing relevant scientific literature and other pertinent information related to the survey area
- Creating a list of regionally occurring special-status species determined to occur or have the potential to occur in the vicinity of the proposed project
- Characterizing and mapping the vegetation communities present within the survey area
- Evaluating the potential for the occurrence of special-status plant and animal species within the survey area
- Assessing the potential for proposed activities to adversely impact existing biological resources
- Recommending measures and/or avoidance or minimization measures regarding any potential impacts to biological resources

# **PROJECT LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION**

The proposed project is located in the eastern portion of the City of Yorba Linda on the border between the cities of Yorba Linda and Anaheim. The proposed project limits measure approximately 0.40 mile (mi) along Yorba Linda Boulevard between La Palma Avenue and the State Route 91 (SR-91) westbound on-ramp and 0.10 mi along South Weir Canyon Road between the SR-91 eastbound off-ramp and Santa Ana Canyon Road. The proposed project limits also extend approximately 0.65 mi east along La Palma Avenue from the Yorba Linda Boulevard intersection. The proposed project location is shown in Figure 1.

Adjacent land uses within the vicinity of the proposed project consist of commercial/retail development on the east and west sides of Yorba Linda Boulevard and South Weir Canyon Road. The Santa Ana River is within the proposed project limits and BSA running under Yorba Linda Boulevard between La Palma Avenue and Savi Ranch Parkway. The Santa Ana River Trail (SART) is a Class I, off-street bike path located on the northern side of the river, and a flood control access road is located on the south side of the river.



# **PROJECT CHARACTERISTICS**

The City of Yorba Linda (City), as the Lead Agency under CEQA, in cooperation with the City of Anaheim, is proposing roadway improvements along Yorba Linda Boulevard as well as bicycle and pedestrian improvements along La Palma Avenue and Yorba Linda Boulevard. The proposed project would improve corridor operations and provide additional storage for turning movements, as the intersections within the proposed project limits have inadequate vehicle storage due to short turn pocket lengths. The proposed project limits and proposed improvements are shown in Figure 2 and described in further detail below.

# Yorba Linda Boulevard—La Palma Avenue to Savi Ranch Parkway

## Northbound

Northbound Yorba Linda Boulevard at the La Palma Avenue intersection currently consists of two northbound through lanes, one combination through/right-turn lane, one right-turn lane, and two left-turn lanes. The proposed project would include the following improvements:

- Widen northbound Yorba Linda Boulevard, including the bridge over the Santa Ana River, in order to provide a fourth through lane at the Savi Ranch Parkway intersection.
- Restripe northbound Yorba Linda Boulevard to extend the northbound right-turn and left-turn pockets at La Palma Avenue.
- Convert the northbound shared through/right-turn lane to a through-only lane, and provide a second exclusive northbound right-turn lane while maintaining the overlap signal phasing at La Palma Avenue.
- Provide a barrier-separated 20-foot-wide Class I bike path along the east side of Yorba Linda Boulevard from La Palma Avenue to Savi Ranch Parkway.

The widening of the bridge would require lengthening of the pier walls and replacement of the existing pier wall debris nosing further upstream. The bridge would be widened a maximum of 40 feet (ft). Depending on the pile type selected, either pile-driving or drilling equipment would be required in the river channel, and special foundation design detail will be needed to avoid any impacts to the existing underground utilities.

#### Southbound

Southbound Yorba Linda Boulevard at the Savi Ranch Parkway intersection currently consists of one left-turn lane, three through lanes, and one right-turn lane. The proposed improvements would restripe southbound Yorba Linda Boulevard to provide a second left-turn lane and to extend the right-turn and left-turn pockets at Savi Ranch Parkway.



## Yorba Linda Boulevard—Savi Ranch Parkway to SR-91 Westbound Off-Ramp

Northbound Yorba Linda Boulevard at Savi Ranch Parkway currently consists of one left-turn lane, three through lanes, and a free right-turn lane. The proposed project would include the following improvements:

- Widen northbound Yorba Linda Boulevard, and remove the existing free northbound right-turn lane at Savi Ranch Parkway to provide a combination through/right-turn and a standard right-turn lane.
- Provide an 18 ft Class I bike path along the east side of Yorba Linda Boulevard that would connect to a proposed 12 ft bike path along the south side of Old Canal Road, ending at Mirage Street.
- Reconfigure and extend the raised median from the westbound SR-91 on- and off-ramps to Savi Ranch Parkway.

# Yorba Linda Boulevard/Weir Canyon Road—SR-91 Eastbound Ramps to Santa Ana Canyon Road

Southbound Weir Canyon Road currently consists of two left-turn lanes, two through lanes, one through/right-turn lane, and one right-turn lane. The proposed project would include the following improvements:

- Widen southbound Weir Canyon Road between the eastbound SR-91 off-ramp and Santa Ana Canyon Road to accommodate a second right-turn lane.
- Restripe southbound Weir Canyon Road to extend the right-turn pocket.
- Convert the existing southbound through/right-turn lane to a through-only lane at Santa Ana Canyon Road.
- Reconfigure the raised median to extend the southbound left-turn pocket at Santa Ana Canyon Road.
- Restripe Santa Ana Canyon Road from Roosevelt Avenue to Weir Canyon Road to convert one through lane to become a third left-turn lane.
- Widen the northeast corner of Weir Canyon Road and Santa Ana Canyon Road to extend the entrance lane to the eastbound SR-91 on-ramp and accommodate the proposed third left-turn lane from Santa Ana Canyon Road.

#### La Palma Avenue

La Palma Avenue would be reconfigured in the eastbound direction from Yorba Linda Boulevard for approximately 0.65 mi to accommodate a 16 ft wide Class I bike path on the south side of La Palma Avenue from Yorba Linda Boulevard and would connect to the existing SART, a Class I bike path. All



work related to the reconfiguration of La Palma Avenue for the Class I bike path (i.e., restriping) would be accomplished within the existing right-of-way, and construction access would occur from the roadway.

# **Other Improvements**

The proposed project includes improvements such as excavation, paving, curbs and gutters, grading, drainage, curb ramps, utility relocations, signing and striping, street lighting, traffic signal modifications, retaining walls, and landscaping.

## Drainage Improvements

Drainage improvements for the proposed project include four Modular Wetlands System Linear vaults on Savi Ranch Parkway between Pullman Avenue and Yorba Linda Boulevard. Right-of-way acquisition would be required for these vaults since the existing sidewalk is 8 ft wide and the proposed vaults are 9 ft wide. Right-of-way acquisition would also need to include additional width to provide the desired sidewalk width around the back of the planted vault. It shall also be noted that these four vaults are located within Anaheim. One Modular Wetlands System is also proposed along Weir Canyon Road between the SR-91 off-ramp and Santa Ana Canyon Road. The right-of-way to be acquired for the widening of Weir Canyon Road north of Santa Ana Canyon Road would also need to include right-of-way for the proposed vault.

## **Construction Activities and Access**

Construction activities would include grading, open excavations, and vibration-generating activities. Temporary construction easements (TCEs) would be required for access to the construction areas and a construction staging area for materials and equipment storage.

Construction of the proposed project would require short-term temporary closures of a portion of the SART for widening of the bridge. The SART includes a Class I bike path on the northern side of the Santa Ana River in the vicinity of the improvements. There is also a flood control access road on the southern side of the Santa Ana River. The portion of the SART affected by construction of the proposed project would need to be temporarily closed for the protection of the SART users during certain construction activities, particularly overhead operations such as demolition, erection of temporary falsework, installation of girders, and placement of concrete. It is anticipated that the SART would be closed approximately five times, up to a maximum of 5 days for each closure. During these periods, SART users would be detoured, and signage would be provided to display the dates of the closures and to identify the detour routes. Other short-term closures of up to 15 minutes would be allowed with flagmen.

Construction is anticipated to be 18 months and be completed in 2023.

## **PROJECT SETTING**

The proposed project is located within the northwestern quarter of the United States Geological Survey (USGS) *Black Star Canyon, California*, 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle map and the southwestern quarter of the USGS *Prado Dam, California*, 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle map (refer to Figure 1). The land within the BSA ranges from 320 to 440 ft above mean sea level.



The proposed project limits are situated primarily within developed land on existing roadways. The section of the proposed project extending over the Santa Ana River, which runs through the northern portion of the BSA under Yorba Linda Boulevard and south of La Palma Avenue, contains undeveloped open space. The SART, a Class I bike path, runs parallel to the Santa Ana River and underneath the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge. Open space and commercial/retail development occur adjacent to the proposed project limits.

Regional access to the proposed project limits is provided by SR-91, State Route 90 (SR-90), and State Route 241 (SR-241). The proposed project limits are located directly to the north and south of SR-91, which extends in an east–west direction. SR-90 heads southeast, ending at SR-91, and is approximately 3 mi west of the proposed project limits. SR-241 is approximately 2 mi east of the proposed project limits and runs in a north–south direction.

P:\HNT1901.02 - Yorba Linda\Bio\Biological Resources Report\Biological Resources Report\_YorbaLindaBlvdWidening\_September2020\_RLSO.docx (09/11/20)



# **METHODS**

# **REGULATORY SETTING**

#### Jurisdiction Subject to Section 401 of the Clean Water Act

The Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) is responsible for the administration of Section 401 of the Clean Water Act (CWA). Typically, the areas subject to RWQCB jurisdiction coincide with those subject to United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) jurisdiction (i.e., wetland and nonwetland waters of the United States). The RWQCB also asserts authority over waters of the State under waste discharge requirements pursuant to the California Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act (Porter-Cologne Act).

## Jurisdiction Subject to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act

USACE regulates discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States. These waters include wetlands and nonwetland bodies of water that meet specific criteria, including a direct or indirect connection to interstate commerce. The USACE regulatory jurisdiction, pursuant to Section 404 of the CWA, is founded on a connection, or nexus, between the water body in question and interstate commerce. This connection may be direct (through a tributary system linking a stream channel with traditional navigable waters used in interstate or foreign commerce), or it may be indirect (through a nexus identified in the USACE regulations). In order to be considered a jurisdictional wetland under Section 404, an area must possess three wetland characteristics: hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils, and wetland hydrology. Each characteristic must meet a specific set of mandatory wetland criteria. The discharge of dredged or fill material (temporarily or permanently) into waters of the United States (including wetlands) requires authorization from USACE pursuant to Section 404 of the CWA.

## Federal Endangered Species Act Section 7 Consultation

Under provisions of Section 7(a)(2) of FESA, a federal agency that permits, licenses, funds, or otherwise authorizes a proposed project activity must consult with the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and/or the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) if the activity may affect a listed endangered or threatened species or its designated critical habitat. The purpose of this consultation is to ensure that a federal agency's actions would not jeopardize the continued existence of any listed species or destroy or adversely modify critical habitat.

## **Migratory Bird Treaty Act**

Native bird species and their nests are protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) (16 United States Code [USC] 703–712). The MBTA states that all migratory birds and their parts (including eggs, nests, and feathers) are protected. The MBTA prohibits the take, possession, import,



export, transport, sale, purchase, barter, or offering for sale, purchase, or barter, of any migratory bird or its eggs, parts, or nests, except as authorized under a valid permit.<sup>1</sup>

# Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act

The Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (16 USC 661–666c) applies to federal projects for which any body of water is impounded, diverted, deepened, or otherwise modified. Project proponents are required to consult with the USFWS and the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW).

## **Executive Order 11990—Protection of Wetlands**

Executive Order (EO) 11990 establishes a national policy to avoid adverse impacts on wetlands whenever there is a practicable alternative.

## **California Endangered Species Act**

CESA is administered by CDFW and prohibits the "take" of plant and animal species identified as either threatened or endangered in the State of California by the Fish and Game Commission (California Fish and Game Code Sections 2050–2097). "Take" is defined to mean hunt, pursue, catch, capture, or kill or to attempt those activities. Sections 2080.1 and 2081 of CESA allow CDFW to authorize exceptions to the "take" prohibition for State-listed threatened or endangered plant and animal species for purposes such as public and private development, provided the take is incidental to an otherwise lawful activity and the take is minimized and fully mitigated.

## **Fully Protected Species**

Sections 3511, 4700, 5050, and 5515 of the California Fish and Game Code designate 37 fully protected species and prohibit the take or possession at any time of such species with certain limited exceptions.

## **Bird Protections**

Sections 3503, 3503.5, and 3513 of the California Fish and Game Code protect birds. Section 3503 states that it is unlawful to take, possess, or needlessly destroy the nest or eggs of any bird, except as otherwise provided by code or any regulation made pursuant thereto. Section 3503.5 prohibits the take, possession, or destruction of any nests, eggs, or birds in the orders Falconiformes (New World vultures, hawks, eagles, ospreys, and falcons, among others) or Strigiformes (owls). Section 3513 prohibits the take or possession of any migratory nongame bird or part thereof, as designated in the MBTA. To avoid violation of the take provisions, it is generally required that project-related disturbance at active nesting territories be reduced or eliminated during the nesting cycle.

## Jurisdiction Subject to Section 1600 of the California Fish and Game Code

Section 1600 et seq. of the California Fish and Game Code requires notifying CDFW prior to any project activity that might do the following: (1) substantially divert or obstruct the natural flow of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> According to the U.S. Department of the Interior Solicitor's Opinion M-37050 dated December 22, 2017, the MBTA applies only to affirmative actions that have as their purpose the taking or killing of migratory birds, their nests, or their eggs.



any river, stream or lake; (2) substantially change or use any material from the bed, channel, or bank of, any river, stream, or lake; or (3) deposit or dispose of debris, waste, or other material where it may pass into any river, stream, or lake. If, after this notification, CDFW determines that the activity may substantially adversely affect fish and wildlife resources, a Lake or Streambed Alteration Agreement will need to be obtained. CDFW has not defined wetlands for jurisdictional purposes. CDFW generally includes within the jurisdictional limits of streams and lakes any riparian habitat present. Typical riparian habitat includes willows, alders, sycamores, cottonwoods, and other vegetation associated with stream banks or lake shorelines. In most situations, wetlands associated with a stream or lake would fall within the limits of riparian habitat. Thus, defining the limits of CDFW jurisdiction based on riparian habitat will automatically include any wetland areas. Wetlands not associated with a lake, stream, or other regulated areas generally are not subject to CDFW jurisdiction.

# **California Native Plant Protection Act**

The California Native Plant Protection Act (NPPA) requires all state agencies to use their authority to carry out programs to conserve endangered and rare native plants. The NPPA gives CDFW the power to designate native plants as "endangered" or "rare," and prohibits the take of such plants, with certain exceptions.

# LITERATURE REVIEW AND RECORDS SEARCH

LSA biologists conducted a literature review and records search in August 2019 to identify the existence and potential for occurrence of special-status<sup>1</sup> plant and animal species in the vicinity of the BSA. Federal and State lists of special-status species were also examined. Current electronic database records reviewed included the following:

- California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB—RareFind 5) information is administered by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW), formerly known as the California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG). This database covers special-status plant and animal species as well as sensitive natural communities that occur in California. Records from nine USGS quadrangles surrounding the proposed project limits (*Yorba Linda, Prado Dam, Corona North, Orange, Black Star Canyon, Corona South, Tustin, El Toro, and Santiago Peak*) were obtained from this database to inform the field survey.
- The California Native Plant Society (CNPS) Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants of California utilizes four specific categories or "lists" of sensitive plant species to assist with the conservation of rare or endangered botanical resources (CNPS 2020). All of the plants constituting California Rare Plant Ranks 1A, 1B, 2A, and 2B are intended to meet the status

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For the purpose of this report, the term "special-status species" refers to those species that are listed or proposed for listing under the California Endangered Species Act and/or Federal Endangered Species Act, California Fully Protected Species, and California Species of Special Concern. It should be noted that "Species of Special Concern" is an administrative designation made by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife and carries no formal legal protection status. However, Section 15380 of the *California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines* indicates that these species should be included in an analysis of proposed project impacts if they can be shown to meet the criteria of sensitivity outlined therein.



definitions of "threatened" or "endangered" in CESA and the CDFG Code, and are considered by CNPS to be eligible for State listing. At the discretion of the CEQA Lead Agency, impacts to these species may be analyzed as such, pursuant to *State CEQA Guidelines* Sections 15125(c) and 15380. Plants in Rank 3 (limited information; review list) or Rank 4 (limited distribution; watch list) or that are considered Locally Unusual and Significant may be analyzed under CEQA if there is sufficient information to assess potential significant impacts. Records from the four USGS quadrangles surrounding the proposed project limits were obtained from this database to inform the field survey.

- The United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) online system lists all proposed, candidate, threatened, and endangered species managed by the Endangered Species Program of the USFWS that have the potential to occur on or near a particular site. This database also lists all known critical habitats, national wildlife refuges, and migratory birds that could potentially be impacted by activities from a proposed project. An IPaC Trust Resource Report (USFWS 2019a) was generated for the BSA.
- **The USFWS Critical Habitat Mapper** was reviewed to determine whether critical habitat has been designated within or in the vicinity of the BSA (USFWS 2019b).
- The USFWS National Wetlands Inventory was reviewed to determine whether any wetlands or surface waters of the United States have been previously identified in the survey area (USFWS 2019c).

In addition to the databases listed above, historic and current aerial imagery, regional habitat conservation plans, and local land use policies related to biological resources were reviewed. Several resources for nearby projects were also referenced: Santa Ana River Mainstem Project: Reach 9 Phases 4, 5A, 5B, & BNSF Bridge, Final Supplemental Environmental Assessment and Environmental Impact Report Addendum (USACE/AECOM 2015), Biological Technical and Jurisdictional Delineation Report for the Santa Ana River Parkway Project (AECOM 2014), Biological Opinion on the Prado Mainstem and Santa Ana River Reach 9 Flood Control Projects and Norco Bluffs Stabilization Project (USFWS 2001), Habitat Mitigation Plan for the Weir Canyon Road/La Palma Avenue Roadway Improvement Project (BonTerra 2006), and Santa Ana River Canyon Habitat Management Plan Maintenance and Monitoring Report (LSA 2014).

# **FIELD SURVEY**

A general biological survey of the BSA was conducted by LSA Senior Biologist Bo Gould and LSA Assistant Biologist Jessica Lieuw on November 7, 2019. After this initial biological survey, the City of Yorba Linda added to the proposed project the reconfiguration of a portion of La Palma Avenue to accommodate a Class I bike path, which subsequently expanded the BSA. A follow-up survey to assess this additional portion of the BSA was conducted by LSA Senior Biologist Jim Harrison and LSA Assistant Biologist Jessica Lieuw on December 12, 2019. The BSA included the original proposed project footprint and a 500 foot (ft) buffer around the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge over the Santa Ana River from La Palma Avenue to Crystal Drive, and a 100 ft buffer around the rest of the proposed project footprint. The BSA was surveyed on foot, and all biological resources observed were noted. Suitable habitat for any species of interest or concern was noted, and general site



conditions were recorded. The field survey took place on clear mornings with weather conditions conducive to the detection of plant and animal species.

A daytime bat roosting habitat suitability assessment was conducted by LSA Senior Biologist and bat specialist Jill Carpenter and LSA Assistant Biologist Jessica Lieuw on September 12, 2019, to evaluate whether roosting habitat for bats was present at or adjacent to the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge. A follow-up nighttime acoustic and emergence survey was conducted on October 1, 2019, to quantify and identify species of any bats emerging from the bridge. The Bat Roosting Habitat Suitability Assessment and Nighttime Survey Report is included here as Appendix E.

All wildlife species observed or otherwise detected (e.g., by scat, footprints, or other sign) during all surveys described above were recorded. An inventory of all plant and animal species observed within the BSA during the November and December 2019 surveys is contained in Appendix B.



# RESULTS

This section summarizes the environmental setting and provides further analysis of the data collected in the field. Discussions regarding the existing BSA conditions, soils, vegetation communities, potentially occurring special-status biological resources, and habitat connectivity are presented below.

The BSA ranges from developed land cover to native vegetation types. While the majority of the BSA has already been paved, land adjacent to the Santa Ana River consists of a variety of habitat types that may support special-status species. Native riparian vegetation exists within the Santa Ana River's streambanks, and native scrub and woodland habitats occur adjacent to the Santa Ana River and along La Palma Avenue. A portion of the BSA immediately east of Yorba Linda Boulevard and south of La Palma Avenue consists of native upland scrub habitat from an existing habitat mitigation restoration and enhancement site (BonTerra 2006).

Habitat outside the Santa Ana River channel is considered low quality with respect to most of the special-status species identified during the literature review. However, habitat within the Santa Ana River channel, adjacent scrub habitat, and the habitat enhancement site are suitable for several special-status animal species. No special-status plant species have a moderate or high potential to occur, although several special-status plant species have a low potential to occur within scrub and riparian habitat associated with the Santa Ana River. An inventory of plant and animal species observed during the November and December 2019 surveys is provided in Appendix B.

# **VEGETATION COMMUNITIES AND LAND COVER TYPES**

The BSA contains developed and ornamental landscape areas, along with some upland areas and riparian and/or wetland vegetation communities. Native vegetation communities occur primarily within or adjacent to the Santa Ana River channel and along La Palma Avenue. A small portion of coast live oak woodland occurs near Old Canal Road, outside the proposed project disturbance limits. Mature riparian woodland and other riparian and/or wetland habitats associated with the Santa Ana River continue beyond the BSA within the channel banks.

The acreage of each vegetation community and land cover type occurring in the BSA is shown in Table A. Figure 3 provides a map of these vegetation and land cover types within the BSA. Representative photographs of the BSA are presented in Appendix C.

A total of 154 vascular plant species were identified within the BSA during the November and December 2019 field surveys (refer to Appendix B)<sup>1</sup>. A total of 94 (approximately 61 percent) of these plant species represent nonnative taxa, reflecting a high level of disturbance within the BSA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This list does not include all introduced/ornamental plant species that occur on private properties throughout the biological study area.



Descriptions of the vegetation and land cover types occurring within the BSA are listed below, using the Orange County Habitat Classification System (HCS) as articulated by Jones & Stokes Associates, Inc. (1993). A complete list of plant species identified within the BSA is contained in Appendix B.

Vegetation/Land Cover Type	<b>Acreage</b> <sup>1</sup>	Global/State Rarity Rank
Sagebrush Scrub	0.26	G4, S4
Disturbed Sagebrush Scrub	0.04	-
Coyote Brush Scrub	0.72	G4, -
Mixed CSS	1.33	G3, S3
Disturbed Mixed CSS	1.40	-
California Encelia Scrub	0.08	G3, -
Disturbed California Encelia Scrub	0.16	-
Scrub-Chaparral Ecotone	1.82	-
Ruderal	1.31	-
Ruderal Herbaceous	4.76	-
Freshwater Marsh	0.42	G5, S5
Disturbed Freshwater Marsh	0.87	-
Herbaceous Riparian	0.17	-
Willow Riparian Scrub	0.29	G4, S4
Mulefat Scrub	0.24	G5, S5
Cottonwood-Willow Riparian Forest	3.00	G4, S3
Coast Live Oak Woodland	0.36	G5, S4
Elderberry Woodland	0.21	G3, S3
Eucalyptus Woodland	0.43	-
Open Water	2.00	-
Unvegetated Riverbed	2.92	-
Disturbed	1.07	-
Ornamental Landscaping	12.52	-
Developed	44.51	-
Total Biological Study Area	80.89	-

# Table A: Vegetation and Land Cover Types within theBiological Study Area

Source: Compiled by LSA Associates, Inc. (2020).

<sup>1</sup> All presented acreages are approximate and based on GIS measurements.

CSS = coastal sage scrub

GIS = geographic information systems

# Sagebrush Scrub (2.3.6 of the HCS)

Sagebrush scrub within the BSA is dominated almost exclusively by California sagebrush (*Artemisia californica*). One area mapped as sagebrush scrub occurs adjacent to the intersection of La Palma Avenue and Yorba Linda Boulevard, within an existing habitat enhancement area (BonTerra 2006).

## Disturbed Sagebrush Scrub

One area mapped as disturbed sagebrush scrub within the BSA occurs next to the area classified as sagebrush scrub. This small section is distinguished based on the prevalence of weedy, nonnative species such as tree tobacco (*Nicotiana glauca*) and shortpod mustard (*Hirschfeldia incana*).



# **Coyote Brush Scrub (2.3.9 of the HCS)**

Areas mapped as coyote brush scrub are dominated by coyote brush (*Baccharis pilularis*) with occasional occurrences of California encelia (*Encelia californica*), shortpod mustard, and tocalote (*Centaurea melitensis*). This habitat type occurs primarily at the intersection of Yorba Linda Boulevard and La Palma Avenue, within the existing enhancement area. Another two sections of coyote brush scrub are found adjacent to La Palma Avenue.

## Mixed Coastal Sage Scrub (CSS) (2.3.10 of the HCS)

Areas classified as mixed CSS within the BSA are dominated by an even mix of species including California buckwheat (*Eriogonum fasciculatum*), black sage (*Salvia mellifera*), California encelia, laurel sumac (*Malosma laurina*), California sagebrush, and coyote brush. Some areas mapped as mixed CSS at the intersection of Yorba Linda Boulevard and La Palma Avenue also contain coastal prickly pear (*Opuntia littoralis*), while other areas existing adjacent to La Palma Avenue contain an occasional western sycamore (*Platanus racemosa*) individual.

# Disturbed Mixed CSS

Several areas within the BSA are mapped as disturbed mixed CSS. These areas contain native scrub species as described above but also have a prevalence of weedy, nonnative species including shortpod mustard, tree tobacco, and tocalote.

# California Encelia Scrub (Not Within the HCS)

While this habitat type is not described by Jones & Stokes, one area along La Palma Avenue was dominated almost entirely by California encelia (>80 percent).

## Disturbed California Encelia Scrub

One area within the BSA consisted of over 50 percent cover of California encelia with a codominant ruderal component consisting of shortpod mustard. Tocalote was also observed within this habitat community in lower amounts.

## Scrub-Chaparral Ecotone (3.1 of the HCS)

Scrub-chaparral ecotone within the BSA represents a gradation and intermingling of CSS types and chaparral types. These represent ecotonal areas between chaparral and scrub communities with component species of both. This habitat type was mapped immediately south of La Palma Avenue, adjacent to several retention ponds, and consists of species including California sagebrush, California buckwheat, black sage, California croton (*Croton californicus*), California encelia, and toyon (*Heteromeles arbutifolia*). Several Peruvian pepper trees (*Schinus molle*) were also observed within this vegetation community.

# Ruderal (4.6 of the HCS)

Areas classified as ruderal within the BSA consist of regularly disturbed grassland areas dominated by weedy or pioneering plant species including Russian-thistle (*Salsola tragus*), shortpod mustard,



nonnative grasses (*Bromus* spp., *Avena* sp., etc.), and telegraph weed (*Heterotheca grandiflora*), among many others.

# **Ruderal Herbaceous (Not Within the HCS)**

Areas mapped as ruderal herbaceous within the BSA were dominated by weedy, nonnative herbaceous forbs. This habitat type is differentiated from "ruderal" due to the lack of grasses. Two distinct areas were mapped as ruderal herbaceous. The upland area immediately south of La Palma Avenue is dominated by shortpod mustard and includes some tocalote. The area classified as ruderal herbaceous within the Santa Ana River channel banks is codominated by shortpod mustard, black mustard (*Brassica nigra*), castor bean (*Ricinus communis*), common horseweed (*Erigeron canadensis*), and giant reed (*Arundo donax*). During the November 2019 field survey, biologists observed stands of giant reed being treated with herbicide.

# Freshwater Marsh (6.4 of the HCS)

Areas categorized as freshwater marsh within the BSA consist of seasonally or permanently flooded low-lying areas. Cattails (*Typha* spp.) were the dominant plant species in these areas.

## Disturbed Freshwater Marsh

Areas categorized as disturbed freshwater marsh within the BSA occur to the west of Yorba Linda Boulevard. These sections differ from the areas mapped as freshwater marsh because they are dominated by weedy and/or nonnative species, such as Spanish sunflower (*Pulicaria paludosa*), willow weed (*Persicaria lapathifolia*), and common cocklebur (*Xanthium strumarium*).

## Herbaceous Riparian (7.1 of the HCS)

Areas mapped as herbaceous riparian within the BSA are located adjacent to the active channel of the Santa Ana River. Herbaceous riparian vegetation is an early successional stage of riparian scrub and forest. Flooding often scours woody riparian vegetation away, and the site is rapidly colonized by pioneer wetland herbaceous plants such as willow weed, tall umbrella-sedge (*Cyperus eragrostis*), Spanish sunflower, common cocklebur, and mulefat (*Baccharis salicifolia*).

## Willow Riparian Scrub (7.2 of the HCS)

Areas categorized as willow riparian scrub within the BSA contain species including arroyo willow (*Salix lasiolepis*) and mulefat. A small patch of willow riparian scrub is found adjacent to the active channel of the Santa Ana River, west of the bridge.

## Mulefat Scrub (7.3 of the HCS)

Several small patches of mulefat scrub are present within BSA along the Santa Ana River channel banks. These areas are dominated by mulefat, with lesser amounts of willow species and mugwort (*Artemisia douglasiana*). Shortpod mustard was also present within areas classified as mulefat scrub.



## **Cottonwood-Willow Riparian Forest (7.8 of the HCS)**

Cottonwood-willow riparian forest is present within the BSA and is comprised of a multilayered forest community dominated by cottonwoods and willows. Vegetation found in the areas mapped as cottonwood-willow riparian forest include Fremont cottonwood (*Populus fremontii*), Goodding's black willow (*Salix gooddingii*), arroyo willow, and mulefat.

# Coast Live Oak Woodland (8.1 of the HCS)

A small section of coast live oak woodland is found within the BSA adjacent to Old Canal Road. This area has a canopy cover of approximately 50 percent and is dominated by coast live oak (*Quercus agrifolia*). Other species observed include toyon and blue elderberry (*Sambucus nigra*).

## **Elderberry Woodland (8.4 of the HCS)**

Elderberry woodland occurs within the BSA in a ravine within the existing habitat enhancement area adjacent to the intersection of La Palma Avenue and Yorba Linda Boulevard. Several arroyo willows occur within the area mapped as elderberry woodland. Other species present in this habitat type include coyote brush and mulefat.

## **Eucalyptus Woodland (not within the HCS)**

While not described by Jones & Stokes, this habitat type occurs within the BSA adjacent to the plaza immediately south of La Palma Avenue. This strip of vegetation is dominated by eucalyptus (*Eucalyptus* spp.), with an understory composed of a mix of native scrub and weedy nonnatives including California sagebrush, tocalote, and shortpod mustard.

## Perennial Rivers and Streams (13.1 of the HCS)

The Santa Ana River is classified as a perennial river. Within the BSA, the Santa Ana River is divided into two subcategories: open water and unvegetated riverbed.

## **Open Water**

The portion of the Santa Ana River within the BSA is mapped as open water is the active channel. This area is a deep-water aquatic habitat that is permanently inundated and does not support rooted-emergent or woody plant species.

## Unvegetated Riverbed

Sections of the Santa Ana River within the BSA that support less than 5 percent vegetation cover and are not permanently inundated are mapped as unvegetated riverbed. These sections do not include any wetlands as defined by the United States Army Corps of Engineers.

## **Disturbed or Barren (16.1 of the HCS)**

Disturbed or barren areas within the BSA either lack vegetation entirely or exhibit a sparse cover of ruderal vegetation. Weedy or pioneering plant species noted as occurring in these areas include Russian-thistle, shortpod mustard, and tocalote.



# **Ornamental Landscaping (15.5 of the HCS)**

Areas classified as ornamental landscaping exist within the developed portions of the BSA. Ornamental landscaping is regularly maintained and consists primarily of planted, nonnative species including Mexican fan palms (*Washingtonia robusta*), Chinese elms (*Ulmus parvifolia*), hottentot-figs (*Carpobrotus edulis*), crimson fountain grass (*Pennisetum setaceum*), pines (*Pinus* spp.), Peruvian pepper trees, acacias (*Acacia* spp.), and many others. One area, on the eastern end of La Palma Avenue within the BSA, contains a mixture of native species including coast live oak and western sycamore, and ornamental nonnatives including nonnative oaks and Chinese elms. The ornamental areas along La Palma Avenue also occasionally have an understory consisting of nonnatives including shortpod mustard, tocalote, and tree tobacco.

# **Developed (15.0 of the HCS)**

The BSA is composed largely of streets including Yorba Linda Boulevard, La Palma Avenue, Old Canal Road, Weir Canyon Road, and East Santa Ana Canyon Road, as well as associated sidewalks. These areas are mostly paved, lack vegetation, and are mapped as developed.

# **SOILS**

According to the United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) online soil survey of Orange County, 15 soil units belonging to 10 soil series occur within the BSA (NRCS 2019) (Figure 4, Soils). The soil types include the following: Anaheim Ioam, 30 to 50 percent slopes; Capistrano sandy Ioam, 2 to 9 percent slopes; Cieneba sandy Ioam, 15 to 30 percent slopes; Corralitos Ioamy sand; Myford sandy Ioam, 2 to 9 percent slopes; Myford sandy Ioam, 9 to 30 percent slopes, eroded; Riverwash; San Emigdio fine sandy Ioam, 0 to 2 percent slopes; San Emigdio fine sandy Ioam, 2 to 9 percent slopes; Xeralfic arents, Ioamy, 2 to 9 percent slopes; Xeralfic arents, Ioamy, 2 to 9 percent slopes; Yorba gravelly sandy Ioam, 2 to 9 percent slopes; Yorba cobbly sandy Ioam, 9 to 30 percent slopes, eroded; and Yorba cobbly sandy Ioam, 30 to 50 percent slopes. The soil series are discussed in greater detail below.

## **Anaheim Series**

The Anaheim series consists of well-drained, moderately deep soils that formed in material weathered from fine-grained sandstone and shale. These soils are found on moderately steep to very steep foothills at elevations of 100 to 2,500 ft. Runoff is rapid to very rapid, and permeability is moderate to moderately slow. Anaheim soils are typically used for production of pasture, range, and barley and for watershed. Vegetation found on these soils is mostly brush, with an undercover of annual grasses and forbs in some areas. Anaheim loam, 30 to 50 percent slopes, occurs at the northeastern portion of La Palma Avenue within the proposed project footprint.

## **Capistrano Series**

The Capistrano series consists of very deep, well-drained soils that formed in alluvium from sedimentary or granitic sources. These soils are on alluvial fans and flood plains in small valleys at elevations of 25 to 2,500 ft. Capistrano soils have slow to medium runoff and moderately rapid permeability. These soils are used for citrus and dry land barley, pasture, and range. Uncultivated



areas have annual grasses and forbs with scattered brush; some areas have a few oak trees. Capistrano sandy loam, 2 to 9 percent slopes, occurs at the northeastern portion of La Palma Avenue within the proposed project footprint.

# **Cieneba Series**

The Cieneba series consists of very shallow and shallow, somewhat excessively drained soils that formed in material weathered from granitic rock. These soils are found on hills and mountains at elevations of 500 to 4,000 ft. Cieneba soils have low to high runoff and moderately rapid permeability in the soils that is much slower in weathered bedrock. Soils in this series are typically used for wildlife, recreation, watershed, and incidental grazing. Vegetation found on these soils is mainly chaparral and chemise with widely spread foothill pine or oak trees, with small areas of annual grasses and weeds. Cieneba sandy loam, 15 to 30 percent slopes, occurs at the intersection of Mirage Street and Old Canal Road.

# **Corralitos Series**

The Corralitos series consists of deep, somewhat excessively drained soils that formed in recent sandy alluvium derived from acid sandstone and related rocks. These soils are found on alluvial fans and in small valleys at elevations of 25 to 1,000 ft. Corralitos soils have slow runoff and rapid permeability, with some areas subject to localized flooding and deposition. These soils are typically used for range, dryland crops, and urban development and for growing truck crops, alfalfa, citrus, and other fruits under irrigation. Uncultivated areas have a cover of annual grasses, forbs, coyote bush, other shrubs, and a few live oak trees. Corralitos loamy sand occurs under the northwestern portion of Yorba Linda Boulevard, partially within the riverbed.

# **Myford Series**

The Myford series consists of deep, moderately well-drained soils formed on terraces at elevations of less than 1,500 ft. These soils have medium to rapid runoff and very slow permeability. Soils in the Myford series are used for production of citrus, pasture, range, and barley and for urban development. Vegetation found on these soils is typically annual grasses and forbs with some scattered low-growing brush. Myford sandy loam, 2 to 9 percent slopes, occurs at Santa Ana Canyon Road west of Weir Canyon Road. Myford sandy loam, 9 to 30 percent slopes, eroded, occurs at the southern portion of Weir Canyon Road within the proposed project footprint, south of SR-91.

## **Riverwash**

Riverwash consists of areas of unconsolidated alluvium, generally stratified and varying widely in texture, recently deposited by intermittent streams, and subject to frequent changes through stream overflow. These sandy, gravelly, cobbly, and bouldery deposits support little or no vegetation. Runoff is generally rapid and erosion hazard is high. Riverwash has little to no agricultural value; however, it does have beneficial functions and values when associated with watercourses, ground water recharge, sand and gravel pits, and wildlife habitat. Riverwash occurs within the Santa Ana River riverbed and extends toward Savi Ranch Parkway within the proposed project footprint.



# San Emigdio Series

The San Emigdio series consists of very deep, well-drained soils that formed in dominantly sedimentary alluvium. These soils are on alluvial fans, on floodplains, and in narrow valleys at elevations of 100 to 2,000 ft. San Emigdio soils have negligible to low runoff and moderately rapid permeability. Soils in this series are used for growing citrus fruit, alfalfa, truck crops, and dryland grain, with some areas used in homesites. Uncultivated areas have vegetation consisting of grasses and forbs. San Emigdio fine sandy loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occurs in a few portions of the proposed project footprint at La Palma Avenue and Yorba Linda Boulevard north of SR-91. San Emigdio fine sandy loam, 2 to 9 percent slopes, occurs at the intersection of Old Canal Road and Yorba Linda Boulevard.

## **Soper Series**

The Soper series consists of moderately deep, well-drained soils that formed in material weathered from conglomerate and sandstone. These soils are on hills and uplands at elevations of 100 to 2,500 ft. They have rapid runoff and moderately slow permeability. Soils in the Soper series are used for dryland pasture, rangeland, watershed, and homesites. Principal vegetation consists of annuals and forbs, with some native shrubs and a few oak trees. Soper gravelly loam, 30 to 50 percent slopes, MLRA 20, occurs at the southern portion of Weir Canyon Road within the proposed project footprint, south of SR-91. Soper cobbly loam, 15 to 50 percent slopes, occurs on the southern portion of the proposed project footprint, at Santa Ana Canyon Road west of Weir Canyon Road.

# Xeralfic Arents, Loamy

Xeralfic Arents, loamy, are moderately well drained or well-drained soils. Soils in this series are mostly cut-and-fill land with some portions of undisturbed soil. Elevation ranges from 50 to 1,500 ft. Permeability is slow to very slow depending on compaction and mixing during construction. Runoff is rapid and the erosion hazard is high. These soils are used primarily for apartment houses, single-family houses, shopping centers, and industrial buildings. Xeralfic Arents, loamy, 2 to 9 percent slopes, occurs at the intersection of Weir Canyon Road and the SR-91 eastbound ramps.

## **Yorba Series**

The Yorba series consists of deep, well-drained soils formed in gravelly and cobbly mixed alluvium from a variety of rocks. They are found on terraces in the coastal plain of southern California at elevations of 100 to 2,500 ft. These soils have medium to rapid runoff with slow permeability. Yorba soils are typically used for dryland native pasture or range, with some areas used for urban development. Naturalized vegetation is scattered chemise, flattop buckwheat, sumac, California sage, and annual grasses and forbs. Yorba gravelly sandy loam, 2 to 9 percent slopes, and Yorba cobbly sandy loam, 30 to 50 percent slopes, occur at La Palma Avenue within the proposed project footprint. Yorba cobbly sandy loam, 9 to 30 percent slopes, eroded, occurs at the eastern portion of Old Canal Road within the proposed project footprint.

# SPECIAL-STATUS BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

The BSA supports various sensitive natural communities, as well as special-status plants and animals. Appendix D provides tables that identify those special-status plant and animal species known to



occur or that potentially occur in the BSA and the surrounding area (based on the literature review and professional experience in the region) and includes detailed information about each species' habitat and distribution, State and federal status designations, and probability of occurrence within the BSA. As stated in the Methods section above, the background research included occurrence records from nine USGS topographic quadrangles surrounding the BSA. A nine-USGS-quadrangle search covers a large, variable geographic and topographic area containing numerous habitat types not found within or around the BSA. As such, many of the species listed in Appendix D are not anticipated to occur in the BSA due to historic and ongoing anthropogenic disturbances and/or the lack of suitable habitat.

The following subsections provide specific discussions for sensitive natural communities, specialstatus plant and animal species, and habitats of concern (including critical habitat, jurisdictional aquatic resources, wildlife movement corridors, and regional and local habitat conservation plans).

# **Sensitive Natural Communities**

The CNDDB search identified occurrences of 12 sensitive natural (i.e., plant) communities within the nine-quadrangle search area: California Walnut Woodland, Canyon Live Oak Ravine Forest, Riversidean Alluvial Fan Sage Scrub, Southern Coast Live Oak Riparian Forest, Southern Coastal Salt Marsh, Southern Cottonwood Willow Riparian Forest, Southern Interior Cypress Forest, Southern Riparian Forest, Southern Sycamore Alder Riparian Woodland, Southern Willow Scrub, and Valley Needlegrass Grassland.

However, only the following sensitive plant communities were observed within the BSA during the field survey. Southern Cottonwood Willow Riparian Forest associated with the Santa Ana River exists within the BSA, east of Yorba Linda Boulevard. Southern Willow Scrub is also present within the BSA in the Santa Ana River channel, west of Yorba Linda Boulevard; while the vegetation association consisting of arroyo willow has a Global and State rank of 4, the association was still marked as "sensitive" by CDFW. Other sensitive plant communities that did not occur in the CNDDB search were observed within the BSA, including Mixed CSS, California Encelia Scrub, and Elderberry Woodland. While Scrub-Chaparral Ecotone does not have a rarity rank, it may be considered a sensitive natural community because of the CSS components present within this habitat type. There are also several disturbed scrub types, including Disturbed Mixed CSS and Disturbed California Encelia Scrub that may be considered sensitive natural communities but were differentiated based on the disturbed nature of those areas.

## **Special-Status Plants**

The literature review identified 43 special-status plant species that are known to occur within a nine-quadrangle radius of the BSA (refer to Appendix D). Nine of the special-status plant species that were identified in the databases have specialized habitat requirements that do not occur within the BSA, and were not included in the species table. Those species include the following: Munz's onion (*Allium munzii*), white-bracted spineflower (*Chorizanthe xanti* var. *leucotheca*), heart-leaved pitcher sage (*Lepechinia cardiophylla*), felt-leaved monardella (*Monardella hypoleuca ssp. lanata*), Jokerst's monardella (*Monardella australis ssp. jokerstii*), intermediate monardella (*Monardella hypoleuca ssp. intermedia*), Hall's monardella (*Monardella macrantha ssp. hallii*), California beardtongue (*Penstemon californicus*), and Santiago Peak phacelia (*Phacelia keckii*).



Historic anthropogenic disturbances have greatly altered the natural hydrologic regimes and have either eliminated or greatly impacted the presettlement habitats needed to support most of the special-status plant species identified in the CNDDB and CNPS queries. As such, the specific habitats, soil substrates, or microclimates necessary for these specific special-status plant species to occur are largely absent within the boundaries of the BSA. Based on site observations coupled with the habitat suitability analysis, no special-status plant species are likely to occur (i.e., have a Moderate or greater probability of occurrence) within the BSA.

# **Special-Status Animals**

As stated above for special-status plant species, the historic and ongoing anthropogenic disturbances (e.g., disking, highways, and urban development) in the BSA and adjacent areas have greatly altered, eliminated, or impacted the presettlement habitats needed to support many of the special-status animal species identified in the CNDDB and USFWS queries (refer to Appendix D). However, there are known occurrences of special-status animal species within the BSA, and one, the coastal California gnatcatcher (*Polioptila californica californica*), was observed during the November 2019 field survey. It is important to point out that coastal California gnatcatchers were moving (presumably foraging) between the Santa Ana River habitat and the adjacent upland scrub habitat near the corner of Yorba Linda Boulevard and La Palma Avenue (where they are known to occur).

Suitable habitat for several regionally occurring special-status animal species is also present adjacent to the BSA, and those species are listed below.

Several special-status animal species have a moderate or higher potential to occur within the BSA at the Santa Ana River. Suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the proposed project limits (e.g., within and/or associated with the Santa Ana River) for the following species:

- Invertebrates: Crotch bumble bee (Bombus crotchii)
- Fish: Santa Ana sucker (Catostomus santaanae)
- **Reptiles:** Red-diamond rattlesnake (*Crotalus ruber*) and coast horned lizard (*Phrynosoma blainvillii*)
- **Birds:** Western yellow-billed cuckoo (*Coccyzus americanus occidentalis*), white-tailed kite (*Elanus leucurus*), southwestern willow flycatcher (*Empidonax traillii extimus*), yellow-breasted chat (*Icteria virens*), coastal California gnatcatcher, yellow warbler (*Setophaga petechia*), and least Bell's vireo (*Vireo bellii pusillus*)

The BSA contains foraging habitat for common and special-status raptors such as the white-tailed kite; perennial shrubs and mature trees that serve as potential raptor nesting habitat is present within the proposed project footprint, particularly within the Santa Ana River streambank. Suitable nesting habitat for the coastal California gnatcatcher is found adjacent to the intersection of Yorba Linda Boulevard and La Palma Avenue. Suitable nesting habitat for a variety of common and special-status bird species occurs adjacent to the site within the mature riparian woodland associated with the Santa Ana River, as well as ornamental trees throughout the BSA.



The evaluation of special-status animal species occurrence within BSA was based on a habitat suitability analysis. It did not include exhaustive surveys to determine their presence or absence, but did include direct observation of on-site and off-site conditions and a review of the CNDDB records documenting recorded occurrence data from the area to conclude whether or not a particular species could be reasonably expected to occur. Based on this analysis, it is unlikely that the remaining special-status animal species listed in Appendix D (other than those specifically listed above) occur within the BSA.

# **Bat Habitat**

Crevice habitat suitable for night-roosting and day-roosting bats, including maternity colonies, was identified at a single hinge near the middle of the bridge structure. Field biologists were unable to extrapolate potential use of the hinge from presence and quantity of bat sign because the presence of water beneath the hinge prevents sign from accumulating. Biologists were also unable to find a vantage point allowing visibility into the crevice to confirm the depth of the crevice and presence/absence of bats. Consequently, a nighttime emergence survey was performed to determine whether bats roost within the hinge. Several other potentially suitable habitats for bats were identified, including a crevice at the northern bridge abutment, weep holes, cliff swallow mud nests, and mature riparian trees.

During the nighttime emergence survey, an estimated 159 bats were observed emerging from the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge structure. The majority of bats exited the bridge on the western, or downstream, side. Based upon visual observation and analysis of the acoustic data collected during this survey, the bridge was occupied primarily by Mexican free-tailed bats (*Tadarida brasiliensis*), with some Yuma myotis bats (*Myotis yumanensis*) also present. Refer to Appendix E for further information regarding bats and the specific bat surveys conducted for the proposed project.

# **Critical Habitat**

Critical-habitat areas, as designated by the USFWS for specific federally listed species, are considered to be essential to the conservation of such species and may need special management or protection (USFWS 2017). Critical habitat is designed to protect the essential physical and biological features (PBFs) of a landscape and essential areas in the appropriate quantity and spatial arrangement that a species needs to survive and reproduce and ultimately be conserved. However, designated critical-habitat polygons often include large areas that do not include PBFs (such as developed or highly disturbed lands). Critical-habitat designations affect only federal agency actions or federally funded or permitted activities. Critical-habitat designations do not affect activities by private landowners if there is no federal nexus (e.g., no federal funding or authorization). Federal agencies are required to avoid "destruction" or "adverse modification" of designated critical habitat. FESA requires the designation of "critical habitat" for listed species when "prudent and determinable." Since the proposed project includes a federal nexus due to the proposed work within the jurisdiction of the USACE, the proposed project is subject to regulations pertaining to critical habitat designations.

As shown on Figure 5, Santa Ana Sucker Critical Habitat, the portion of the BSA at the Santa Ana River is located within Revised Final Critical Habitat for the Santa Ana sucker (USFWS 2010). No other designated or proposed critical habitat occurs within the BSA.



The PBFs of Santa Ana sucker critical habitat include the following:

- 1. A functioning hydrological system within the historical geographic range of the Santa Ana sucker that experiences peaks and ebbs in the water volume (either naturally or regulated) necessary to maintain all life stages of the species in the riverine environment, including breeding site selection, resting, larval development, and protection in cool-water refuges (i.e., tributaries)
- 2. Stream channel substrate consisting of a mosaic of loose sand, gravel, cobble, and boulder substrates in a series of riffles, runs, pools, and shallow sandy stream margins
- 3. Water depths greater than 3 cm (1.2 in) and bottom water velocities greater than 0.03 m per second (0.01 ft per second)
- 4. Clear or only occasionally turbid water
- 5. Water temperatures less than 30 degrees Centigrade (86 degrees Fahrenheit)
- 6. In-stream habitat that includes food sources (such as zooplankton, phytoplankton, and aquatic invertebrates), and associated vegetation such as aquatic emergent vegetation and adjacent riparian vegetation to: (a) reduce water temperature when ambient temperatures are high; (b) provide shelter; and (c) provide protective cover from predators; and (7) areas within perennial stream courses that may be periodically dewatered but that serve as connective corridors between occupied or seasonally occupied habitat and through which the species may move when the habitat is wetted (USFWS 2009).

Portions of the Santa Ana River within the proposed project footprint contain several of these PBFs.

## **Jurisdictional Aquatic Resources**

Section 1600 et seq. of the California Fish and Game Code requires notifying CDFW prior to any activities of the proposed project that might do the following: (1) substantially divert or obstruct the natural flow of any river, stream or lake; (2) substantially change or use any material from the bed, channel, or bank of, any river, stream, or lake; or (3) deposit or dispose of debris, waste, or other material where it may pass into any river, stream, or lake. If CDFW determines that the activity may substantially adversely affect fish and wildlife resources, a Lake or Streambed Alteration Agreement will need to be obtained.

In addition to the jurisdictional limits of any rivers, streams, or lakes, CDFW also typically asserts jurisdiction over associated riparian vegetation occurring within or adjacent to those rivers, streams, or lakes. Typical riparian habitat includes willows, alders, sycamores, cottonwoods, and other vegetation associated with stream banks or lake shorelines. In most situations, wetlands associated with a stream or lake would fall within the limits of riparian habitat. Thus, defining the limits of CDFW jurisdiction based on riparian habitat in this instance will automatically include any federally-defined wetland areas. Wetlands not somehow associated with a river, stream, or lake generally are not subject to CDFW jurisdiction.



CDFW jurisdiction within the BSA is associated with the Santa Ana River portion of the proposed project and extends from bank to bank across the entire span of the riverbed. This CDFW jurisdiction is composed of both riparian and nonriparian streambed areas within the Santa Ana River (see Appendix F for details). Delineated aquatic resources within the BSA subject to USACE jurisdiction under Section 404 of the CWA include wetland and nonwetland waters of the United States associated with the Santa Ana River. In this particular case, the wetland and nonwetland waters of the United States are wholly within the CDFW jurisdictional streambed limits associated with the Santa Ana River. The Jurisdictional Delineation (JD) Report provides further detailed information regarding these federal- and State-delineated jurisdictional features and is provided as Appendix F.

# Wildlife Movement and Habitat Connectivity

Wildlife movement of species such as coyote (*Canis latrans*) and bobcat (*Lynx rufus*) is expected within portions of the BSA, particularly riparian habitat associated with the Santa Ana River, which connects Chino Hills State Park and the Santa Ana Mountains with resources downstream along the river. There is also a low potential for wildlife movement of mountain lions (*Puma concolor*) within the Santa Ana River near Yorba Linda Boulevard. While the BSA is near Chino Hills State Park and the Santa Ana Mountains, where mountain lions are known to occur, areas adjacent to the Santa Ana River at Yorba Linda Boulevard are mostly developed, and no habitat for mountain lion exists downstream. Migratory bird species may also utilize habitat within the BSA for foraging and nesting during the bird breeding season.

# **Regional Habitat Conservation Plans and Local Policies**

The portion of the proposed project limits south of SR-91 along Weir Canyon Road and Santa Ana Canyon Road is located within the County of Orange Central/Coastal Subregion Natural Communities Conservation Plan/Habitat Conservation Plan (NCCP/HCP) (Figure 6). These plans represent collaborative planning efforts among a variety of parties, including land owners, developers, local governments, and resource agencies. The NCCP/HCP covers a variety of habitat types and plant and animal species, designates conservation areas, and provides regulatory processes for plan signatories for projects impacting covered resources within specific land designations. No construction or operations/maintenance work associated with the proposed project would occur within designated reserve lands, special linkages, existing-use areas, or other conservation areas identified in the NCCP/HCP.

A regional conservation plan, the Santa Ana River Canyon Habitat Management Plan (SARCHMP; OCFCD 2009), was developed from Prado Dam downstream to the Weir Canyon Road/Yorba Linda Boulevard overpass and is administered by OC Public Works. SARCHMP aims to maintain the baseline amount of riparian habitat. Projects within the SARCHMP area are required to maintain habitat or provide mitigation for impacted riparian habitat. The proposed project is expected to result in a small quantity of permanent impacts to native riparian habitat in the Santa Ana River that will require replacement of an equal or greater amount of native riparian habitat, subject to OC Public Works approval. Existing conditions corresponding to areas of temporary impacts to habitat in the management area would be restored in place at a quality equal to or greater than the previous habitat conditions. The location of the SARCHMP area in proximity to the proposed project limits is shown on Figure 7.



The City of Anaheim's Habitat Mitigation Plan for the Weir Canyon Road/La Palma Avenue Roadway Improvement Project (BonTerra Consulting 2006) is a local habitat mitigation plan that will be affected by the proposed project. In this case, the proposed project would result in permanent impacts to a portion of the coastal sage scrub (CSS) habitat that was previously and is currently utilized by coastal California gnatcatchers and that was previously mitigated for in the 2006 mitigation plan referred to above. This CSS habitat in Anaheim's Habitat Mitigation Plan is located at the southeast corner of Yorba Linda Boulevard and La Palma Avenue, as shown on Figure 8. The City of Yorba Linda, in cooperation with the City of Anaheim, would implement appropriate mitigation of these impacts to this CSS habitat, subject to the approval of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service and California Department of Fish and Wildlife following future Section 7 consultation.

The BSA contains mature native trees as well as ornamental trees. The following ordinances address the removal of trees in each respective city.

Section 18.18.040 of the Anaheim Municipal Code states the following:

Preservation of significant stands and single specified trees in the Scenic Corridor (SC) Overlay Zone is necessary to preserve the natural beauty of the Santa Ana Canyon environment, increase the visual identity and quality of the area, and protect the remaining natural amenities from premature removal or destruction.

Except as provided in subsection .030, it shall be unlawful for any person to destroy or otherwise direct or permit the destruction of one (1) or more Specimen Trees<sup>1</sup> growing within the boundaries of the "SC" Overlay Zone, without a permit issued by the City of Anaheim pursuant to the provisions of this section.

Section 13.12.060 of the Anaheim Municipal Code states the following regarding street trees:

Any street tree removed shall be replaced if a replacement is deemed possible. The replacement specie shall be selected in accordance with the Official Tree Specie [sic] List and Tree Master Plan.

Section 13.12.060 of the Anaheim Municipal Code states the following regarding street trees:

No person shall cut, trim, prune, plant, remove, spray, or in any other manner interfere with any street tree within the City of Anaheim without first having secured written permission from the Director of Community Services or his or her designee.

Section 16.08.030 of the Yorba Linda Municipal Code states the following:

P:\HNT1901.02 - Yorba Linda\Bio\Biological Resources Report\Biological Resources Report\_YorbaLindaBlvdWidening\_September2020\_RLSO.docx (09/11/20)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Anaheim Municipal Code Section 18.18.040.0101 "Specimen Tree" shall mean any tree of the Quercus varieties (Oak) with a trunk measuring twenty-five (25) inches or greater in circumference; or any tree of the Schinus varieties (Pepper) and Platanus varieties (Sycamore), with trunks measuring fifty (50) inches or greater in circumference; measurements of circumference shall be taken at a point four (4) feet above ground level.



No person shall cut down, destroy or remove any tree growing within the City limits in the public right-of-way without a permit issued by the Community Development Director.

As the applicants for the proposed project are the City of Yorba Linda and the City of Anaheim, the tree ordinances for each city would be adhered to.



# IMPACT FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDED MEASURES

The following impact assessment and recommended measures are intended to support the CEQA review process. The proposed project description, coupled with LSA's survey results, professional experience in the region, and review of biological literature, provided the basis for this analysis. The impact discussion below addresses the range of impacts that could result from the proposed project, as well as recommended measures that would address potential impacts. The impacts are based on the proposed project design shown in Figure 2; changes to this design may affect the impacts conclusions presented below.

The analysis below describes impacts that would occur during construction activities as well as during operational activities. Construction activities are also discussed in terms of permanent impacts that would occur from the physical disturbance required for restriping for the proposed bicycle and pedestrian facilities, pavement widening, bridge pier installation, additional shading, and retaining wall installation. Construction activities are also discussed in terms of direct temporary impacts that may occur from activities such as construction access, construction equipment staging, and grading as well as indirect temporary impacts that may occur from construction noise, lighting, traffic, and air quality pollutant emissions. Operational impacts are discussed in terms of indirect impacts as a result of increased stormwater runoff. Operation of the proposed improvements would not alter change existing conditions resulting in direct impacts.

# SENSITIVE NATURAL COMMUNITIES

## **Construction Impacts**

## Permanent Impacts

As shown in Figure 3, Vegetation, the majority of the proposed project limits along Santa Ana Canyon Road, Weir Canyon Road, Old Canal Road, and Savi Ranch Parkway are surrounded by developed land, ornamental landscaping, or ruderal vegetation. Therefore, the proposed intersection, drainage, and pedestrian and bicycle facility improvements along these portions of the proposed project limits would not impact sensitive natural communities or habitats that have the potential to support special-status species. In addition, construction activities associated with the proposed bike path along La Palma would occur within the existing right-of-way and no permanent impacts would occur to adjacent vegetation would result from the proposed restriping. Sensitive natural communities and habitat types within the BSA are located largely within the Santa Ana River channel and would be permanently impacted by construction associated with widening of the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge.

Table B provides a summary of impacts to vegetation types within the proposed project limits. Southern Cottonwood Willow Riparian Forest, a sensitive natural community associated with the Santa Ana River channel, exists within the proposed project development footprint east of Yorba Linda Boulevard; 0.12 acres (ac) of Southern Cottonwood Willow Riparian Forest would be permanently impacted by construction of pier walls required for bridge widening, as well as permanent shading impacts resulting from the additional pavement of the widened bridge. A small amount of Mixed CSS (<0.01 ac) would be permanently impacted by shading impacts resulting from



the widened bridge. Measure BIO-1 requires restoration or compensation for the loss of this habitat type from the direct loss from pier wall installation and permanent shade from the expanded bridge structure.

This sensitive natural community may also be permanently impacted by the introduction of invasiveplant material tracked in on equipment, clothing, and shoes as part of construction activities, which has the potential to alter the habitat suitability for native plant and animal species. Measure BIO-2 includes measures for the construction contractor to employ to avoid and minimize the spread of invasive species and maintain habitat suitability.

**BIO-1** Restoration and/or Compensatory Habitat Replacement. Temporary and permanent impacts to sensitive natural communities and habitats that may support special-status species will be restored at no less than a 1:1 ratio, and/or mitigation bank credits will be purchased to offset impacts.

Native habitats that are temporarily impacted by construction activities will be restored to preconstruction quality following the conclusion of all construction. Areas that are permanently impacted by construction activities would require compensatory mitigation. Mitigation may occur in applicable areas adjacent to the proposed project limits, and/or mitigation bank credits may be purchased to compensate for permanent impacts (e.g., through the Soquel Canyon Mitigation Bank). Permittee-responsible mitigation areas would be monitored for 5 years post-restoration to ensure successful establishment of plant communities.

Vegetation/Land Cover Type	Temporary Impacts <sup>1</sup> (acre)	Permanent Impacts <sup>1</sup> (acre)	Total Impacts <sup>1</sup> (acre)
Sagebrush Scrub	-	0.13	0.13
Disturbed Sagebrush Scrub	-	0.01	0.01
Coyote Brush Scrub	0.03	0.09	0.12
Mixed CSS	0.01	<0.01	0.01
Ruderal	0.25	0.07	0.32
Ruderal Herbaceous	0.10	0.13	0.23
Freshwater Marsh	0.06	0.02	0.08
Cottonwood-Willow Riparian Forest	0.18	0.12	0.30
Open Water	0.04	0.06	0.10
Unvegetated Riverbed	<0.01	0.07	0.07
Disturbed	0.01	0.01	0.02
Ornamental Landscaping	0.35	0.81	1.16
Developed	1.33	12.57	13.90
Total Impact Area	2.36	14.09	16.44

# Table B: Impacts to Vegetation and Land Cover Types

Source: Compiled by LSA Associates, Inc. (2020).

<sup>1</sup> All presented acreages are approximate and based on GIS measurements.

CSS = coastal sage scrub

GIS = geographic information systems



**BIO-2** Invasive-Species Control. Prior to ground disturbance and during construction activities, measures will be included to ensure invasive-plant material is not spread to areas outside the proposed project limits by tracking seed on equipment, clothing, and/or shoes. Equipment/material imported from an area where invasive plants exist must be identified, and measures (e.g., equipment cleaning) must be implemented to prevent importation and spreading of nonnative plant material within and outside the proposed project limits. All construction equipment accessing unpaved areas would be cleaned with water to remove dirt, seeds, vegetative material, or other debris that could contain or hold seeds of noxious weeds before arriving at and leaving the proposed project limits. Only certified weed-free straw, mulch, and/or fiber rolls would be used for erosion control.

## **Temporary Impacts**

Construction access required for the proposed bridge widening would result in 0.18 ac of direct temporary impacts to Southern Cottonwood Willow Riparian Forest. As described above, Measure BIO-1 requires the restoration of all temporarily impacted habitat to pre-construction conditions. Therefore, no adverse effects would occur to Southern Cotton Willow Riparian Forest as a result of temporary construction activities. This sensitive natural community, along with Southern Willow Scrub, which occurs downstream of the BSA, would also be subject to indirect temporary impacts due to increased levels of dust, erosion, and potentially decreased water quality, during proposed project construction activities. Several sensitive natural communities also occur adjacent to La Palma Avenue or at the intersection of La Palma Avenue and Yorba Linda Boulevard, including Mixed CSS, California Encelia Scrub, and Elderberry Woodland. These habitat types may also be subject to temporary indirect impacts due to dust and erosion for the restriping associated with the proposed bike path along La Palma as well as the widening of Yorba Linda Boulevard. Measure BIO-3 includes erosion control measures that would be implemented during construction activities to addresses indirect erosion and sedimentation impacts. Measure BIO-4 includes best management practices during construction to offset indirect impacts, such as fueling of equipment, construction traffic, and introduction of trash on site.

BIO-3 Erosion Control and Exclusionary Fencing. Grading and construction resulting in ground disturbance should occur within the typical dry season (April 15–October 15), as feasible, to avoid erosion and sedimentation impacts to the river and water quality. The Construction Contractor will also install adequate erosion and sedimentation barriers (e.g., silt fencing, as described below) prior to ground disturbance to prevent any sediment-laden runoff or debris from entering adjacent waterways and potentially making it to the Pacific Ocean during the wet season or periods of rain. This silt fencing will also serve as a temporary barrier to further minimize the potential for special-status amphibians, reptiles, and other wildlife from entering work areas during construction. The barriers will consist of 3 foot (ft) tall silt fencing buried to a depth of at least 6 inches below the soil surface along the outer limits of all work areas (or as otherwise required by the storm water pollution and prevention plan). These barriers will be inspected daily by construction personnel and maintained and repaired as necessary for the duration of construction to ensure that they are functional and are not a hazard to wildlife on



the outer side of the fence. A qualified biologist will monitor all fence installation. All barriers will be removed following completion of construction.

- **BIO-4** Best Management Practices During Construction. Habitat subject to permanent and temporary construction disturbances and other types of ongoing proposed project-related disturbance activities will be minimized by adhering to the following measures:
  - a. The proposed project disturbance limits will be clearly marked with construction fencing (or other highly visible material), and construction/materials staging and vehicle/equipment maintenance and fueling areas will be located at least 200 ft away from riparian habitat associated with the Santa Ana River, where feasible.
  - b. To minimize temporary disturbances, all construction-related vehicle traffic will be restricted to established roads, construction areas, and other designated areas.
  - c. Construction vehicles will observe a daytime speed limit of 20 miles per hour (mph) throughout the site in all proposed project areas, except on paved county roads and State and federal highways. Nighttime construction will be minimized to the extent possible. However if it does occur, then the speed limit will be reduced to 10 mph. Off-road traffic outside of designated proposed project limits will be prohibited.
  - d. To prevent inadvertent entrapment of animals during construction, all excavated, steep-walled holes or trenches more than 2 ft deep will be covered at the close of each working day by plywood or similar materials. If the trenches cannot be closed, one or more escape ramps constructed of earthen-fill or wooden planks will be installed. Before such holes or trenches are filled, they will be thoroughly inspected for trapped animals. In the case of trapped animals, escape ramps or structures will be installed immediately to allow the animal(s) to escape.
  - e. For the duration of construction activities, all food-related trash items such as wrappers, cans, bottles, and food scraps will be disposed of in securely closed containers and removed at least daily from the construction site.
  - f. Pets, such as dogs or cats, will not be permitted in the proposed project limits during construction to prevent harassment, injury, or death of wildlife in the vicinity of the proposed project.
  - g. Use of rodenticides and herbicides in proposed project areas will be restricted. This is necessary to prevent primary or secondary poisoning of predators and the depletion of prey populations on which they depend. All uses of such compounds will observe label and other restrictions mandated by the United


States Environmental Protection Agency, California Department of Food and Agriculture, and other State and federal legislation.

#### **Operational Impacts**

With regard to post-construction maintenance, or operational activities (e.g., tree trimming and road maintenance) pertaining to the proposed improvements, there will be no adverse impacts to sensitive natural communities that did not exist prior to implementation and completion of the proposed project. Operation of the proposed project would result in an increase in stormwater runoff due to the additional impervious surface associated with widening Yorba Linda Boulevard. However, additional drainage improvements in the form of Modular Wetland Systems are proposed to accommodate runoff from the additional pavement. Therefore, any additional runoff as a result of the proposed project would be collected and treated and would not result in erosion or other water quality impacts to adjacent habitat. Therefore, the proposed project will not result in any new or increased operational impacts to sensitive natural communities and would benefit local storm water drainage.

# **SPECIAL-STATUS PLANT SPECIES**

#### **Construction Impacts**

No special-status plant species are likely (i.e., have a moderate or greater probability of occurrence) to occur within the BSA or to be adversely affected by construction of the proposed project. However, because there is marginally suitable habitat for some special-status plant species near the Santa Ana River channel and the field surveys were conducted in November and December, outside the typical springtime blooming season, Measure BIO-5 is recommended to confirm absence of annual special-status plant species. If special-status plant species are found within the proposed project disturbance limits during this survey, a compensatory mitigation plan for the species will be developed and approved in consultation with USFWS and/or CDFW, as applicable.

BIO-5 Springtime Botanical Survey. Prior to any project-related ground disturbance, a follow-up botanical survey will be conducted by a qualified biologist/botanist during the typical springtime blooming season (April–May 2020) to confirm the absence of annual special-status plant species that bloom during this period. The results of the survey will be documented and submitted to the City of Yorba Linda. For purposes of this measure, "special-status plant species" refers to those plant species that are listed or proposed for listing under the California Endangered Species Act or Federal Endangered Species Act and/or have a California Native Plant Society (CNPS) California Rare Plant Rank of 1A, 1B, 2A, or 2B. CNPS California Rare Plant Rank 3 or 4 plants have been excluded. Should any of these special-status plant species be found within the proposed project disturbance limits, a compensatory mitigation plan must be prepared and approved by the County of Orange prior to projectrelated ground disturbance. If listed special-status plant species are found, the compensatory mitigation plan must also be approved by the United States Fish and Wildlife Service and/or California Department of Fish and Wildlife, as applicable.



#### Permanent Impacts

Direct permanent impacts to special-status plant species may occur as a result of lengthening of the pier walls for the widening of Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge, if these species are identified in this area during the springtime botanical surveys. Measure BIO-1 would require compensation or replacement for all permanently impacted habitat that supports special-status species. Other permanent indirect impacts would occur as a result of habitat modification from the spread of invasive species during construction activities. Measure BIO-2 described above includes measures to prevent the introduction and spread of invasive species, particularly in native habitats, during construction. No permanent impacts would occur to special-status species as a result of construction of the bike path along La Palma Avenue and other intersection improvements within the project limits.

#### **Temporary Impacts**

Direct temporary impacts to special-status plant species may occur as a result of grading and construction access for the widening of Yorba Linda Boulevard, if these species are identified during the springtime botanical surveys. Measure BIO-1 would require the restoration of all temporarily disturbed areas to pre-construction conditions. Indirect impacts to special-status plant species would also occur as a result of erosion and dust from grading, restriping, and construction associated with widening of the bridge. Measure BIO-4 includes construction best management practices for erosion control.

#### **Operational Impacts**

With regard to post-construction maintenance, or operational, activities (e.g., tree trimming and road maintenance) pertaining to the proposed improvements, no adverse impacts to special-status plants would occur that did not exist prior to implementation and completion of the proposed project. As described above, operation of the proposed project would result in an increase in stormwater runoff due to the additional impervious surface associated with widening Yorba Linda Boulevard. Increased runoff may result in erosion, which would have a potential direct impact on special-status species, if identified within habitat adjacent to the roadway. However, additional drainage improvements in the form of Modular Wetland Systems are proposed to accommodate runoff from the additional pavement. The additional runoff would be collected and treated and operations would not result in erosion or other water quality impacts to adjacent habitat. Therefore, the proposed project will not result in any new or increased operational impacts to special-status plant species.

# **SPECIAL-STATUS ANIMAL SPECIES**

#### **Construction Impacts**

#### Permanent Impacts

Several special-status bird species, including the western yellow-billed cuckoo, white-tailed kite, southwestern willow flycatcher, yellow-breasted chat, yellow warbler, Crotch bumblebee, and least Bell's vireo, have the potential to be directly affected by the construction of the proposed project. Widening of the bridge requires lengthening of the pier walls, which would result in permanent



impacts to habitats that support special-status species within the Santa Ana River channel, such as Cottonwood-Willow Riparian Forest and Freshwater Marsh. The widening of the bridge would also result in permanent shade impacts to these vegetation communities, located below the bridge structure. No permanent impacts would occur to special-status species as a result of construction of the bike path along La Palma Avenue as all construction access and staging would occur within the existing roadway. In addition, no permanent impacts would occur to special-status animal species as a result of the intersection improvements within the project limits, as the improvements at Savi Ranch Parkway, the SR-91 ramps and Weir Canyon are not located adjacent to habitat that would support these species.

The coastal California gnatcatcher, a federally threatened species, is known to occur within the sagebrush scrub restoration area located within the proposed project development footprint. Construction activities required for the widening of Yorba Linda Boulevard and improvements at the intersection of Yorba Linda Boulevard and La Palma Avenue would result in 0.13 ac of direct, permanent impacts to sagebrush scrub habitat. Refer to Table B for a summary of impacts to vegetation types within the proposed project limits. Measure BIO-1, above, addresses restoration or compensatory replacement for habitat that may support special-status bird species. These habitat types also have the potential to be permanently impacted from the introduction of invasive-plant material. Measure BIO-2 addresses potential impacts to habitat from invasive species. Invasive plant species materials may be introduced to habitats within and adjacent to the project limits as a result of construction access and equipment staging.

In compliance with Section 7 of the ESA, impacts to any federally listed species, including the western yellow-billed cuckoo, southwestern willow flycatcher, coastal California gnatcatcher, and least Bell's vireo would require consultation with USFWS to identify appropriate avoidance and minimization measures. In addition, consultation with CDFW would also be required for impacts to state-listed species, including the western yellow-billed cuckoo, southwestern willow flycatcher, and least Bell's vireo. Measures BIO-6 and BIO-7 describe these consultation requirements. In addition, Measure BIO-8 addresses informing and training of construction personnel about special-status species that may occur in the vicinity of the proposed project and what legal protections they are afforded. Measure BIO-9, which involves a preconstruction clearance survey, and Measure BIO-10, which involves biological monitoring, would ensure that special-status species are not on site or adversely affected during construction of the proposed project.

- BIO-6Section 7 Consultation. Consultation with USFWS is required for federally listed<br/>special-status species, including the Santa Ana sucker, western yellow-billed cuckoo,<br/>southwestern willow flycatcher, coastal California gnatcatcher, and least Bell's vireo.
- **BIO-7 CDFW Consultation.** Impacts to any State-listed species will be addressed as part of the Section 2081 incidental-take permit process, as deemed necessary by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife. Restoration and/or replacement of native habitat within Santa Ana River Canyon Habitat Management Plan (SARCHMP) areas will be discussed and agreed upon with OC Public Works. Similarly, habitat restoration and/or replacement for impacts within the City of Anaheim's Habitat Mitigation Plan area will be discussed and agreed upon with the City of Anaheim and the federal and State resource agencies.



- **BIO-8** Worker Environmental Awareness Training. Prior to on-site work (including mobilization), Worker Environmental Awareness Training will be conducted by a qualified biologist to educate all construction personnel on the relevant federal, State, and local laws related to regional special-status species known to occur in adjacent habitat types, particularly habitat associated with the Santa Ana River. The training session will include training on identification of species that may be found in or adjacent to the proposed project limits, the status of those species, and any legal protection afforded to those species. Measures that are being implemented to protect those species will also be explained. Personnel will be advised to report the occurrence of any special-status species promptly. A fact sheet conveying this information will be prepared for display or for distribution to anyone who may enter the proposed project limits.
- BIO-9 Preconstruction Clearance Surveys. A gualified biologist will conduct preconstruction surveys at the Santa Ana River to confirm the absence of specialstatus species with a moderate or higher potential to occur, including the Crotch bumble bee, red-diamond rattlesnake, coast horned lizard, western yellow-billed cuckoo, white-tailed kite, southwestern willow flycatcher, yellow-breasted chat, coastal California gnatcatcher, yellow warbler, and least Bell's vireo, within suitable habitat. The surveys will also confirm that other special-status species with a low potential to occur are not on site. The preconstruction surveys will take place no more than 24 hours prior to commencement of work activities. If listed species are observed within the work area (or areas potentially indirectly affected by proposed project activities as determined by the qualified biologist) and the work cannot be postponed until the species is no longer present, the City of Yorba Linda will obtain written approval from the United States Fish and Wildlife Service or the California Department of Fish and Wildlife, as applicable, prior to completing work for the proposed project at these locations.
- **BIO-10 Biological Monitoring.** A qualified biologist will monitor construction activities, including clearing, grubbing, and excavation, at the Santa Ana River and within or adjacent to habitat that may support special-status species. The monitor will ensure that construction personnel are within the designated proposed project footprint and that practicable measures are being employed to avoid and minimize incidental disturbance to habitat and special-status species inside and outside the proposed project footprint.

One special-status invertebrate species, the Crotch bumble bee, has a low-to-moderate occurrence potential within the sagebrush scrub restoration area located within the proposed project footprint at the southeastern corner of the Yorba Linda Boulevard and La Palma Avenue intersection. The widening of Yorba Linda Boulevard and intersection improvements proposed here have the potential to permanently impact this species through loss of habitat or direct mortality if it is nesting within the BSA. A preconstruction clearance survey is recommended to confirm the presence or absence of the species as described in Measure BIO-9 above. If the species is found to be present within the proposed project construction footprint, construction activities would be postponed in



the immediate vicinity of the species, and USFWS would be consulted for approval prior to completing construction activities for the proposed project in that location.

Widening of the bridge requires lengthening of the pier walls, which would result in direct impacts in the form of permanent habitat loss that may support special-status reptile species or direct mortality if those species are present. The red-diamond rattlesnake and coast horned lizard have the potential to occur in the proposed project construction footprint within CSS and scrub-chaparral. As described above, a preconstruction clearance survey is recommended to confirm the presence or absence of these species as described in Measure BIO-9, and the erosion control and exclusionary fencing described in Measure BIO-3 will ensure that these species do not enter the proposed project footprint during construction.

One federally listed fish species, the Santa Ana sucker, is presumed present. The widening of the bridge would result in direct impacts in the form of permanent loss of Open Water and Freshwater Marsh habitat due to installation of the pier walls and permanent shade from the widened bridge. These two habitat types that may support the Santa Ana sucker within the Santa Ana River. Measure BIO-11 provides measures to divert the flow of the active channel to avoid permanent direct impacts to the Santa Ana sucker. Restoration of permanently lost Freshwater Marsh habitat would also be required as part of the restoration and compensation of habitat that supports special-status species described in Measure BIO-1, above. Potential impacts to the Santa Ana sucker would also require consultation with USFWS under Measure BIO-6.

**BIO-11** Flow Diversion and/or Channel Realignment. One special-status aquatic species, the Santa Ana sucker, is presumed to be present within the active channel of the Santa Ana River. To reduce impacts to special-status aquatic species presumed to be present within the active channel of the Santa Ana River, measures will be taken to ensure that a continuous flow of the stream is maintained throughout proposed project construction. Subject to the approval of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW), the stream channel will be diverted away from the initial proposed project construction area to minimize adverse effects to the sucker. If there are permanent impacts to channel flow from the extension of pier walls at the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge, the active channel will be realigned and restored to ensure that flow is not obstructed. Habitat will be restored at no less than a 1:1 ratio. This mitigation measure will be subject to approval by USFWS during Section 7 consultation.

The BSA includes some suitable nesting habitat for raptors or other tree-nesting species, such as the white-tailed kite, as well as some suitable nesting habitat for other actively nesting birds that are protected under the California Fish and Game Code. Riparian woodland adjacent to the proposed project construction limits in the Santa Ana River channel serves as suitable nesting habitat for various common and special-status bird species, including the least Bell's vireo, western yellow-billed cuckoo, southwestern willow flycatcher, yellow-breasted chat, and yellow warbler. Construction activities that occur during the nesting bird season (January 1–September 15) have the potential to result in the direct or indirect take of nesting birds. Measure BIO-12 requires a preconstruction nesting bird survey to avoid take of any nesting birds.



**BIO-12** Avoidance of Breeding Season and Nesting Bird Surveys. Construction activities for the proposed project will occur outside the nesting season (January 1–September 15) to the fullest practicable extent, particularly in and around the Santa Ana River. If vegetation removal, construction, or grading activities are planned to occur within the active nesting bird season, the City of Yorba Linda will have a qualified biologist conduct a preconstruction nesting bird survey no more than 3 days prior to the start of such activities. If construction activities using heavy equipment (e.g., graders, bulldozers, and excavators) continue through the nesting season, weekly nesting bird surveys will be conducted. Each nesting bird survey will include the construction work area and areas adjacent to the project limits (within 300 feet, as feasible) that could potentially be affected by proposed project-related activities such as noise, vibration, increased human activity, and dust. For any active nest(s) identified, the qualified biologist will establish an appropriate buffer zone around the active nest(s). The appropriate buffer will be determined by the qualified biologist based on species, location, and the nature of the proposed construction activities. Construction activities will be avoided within the buffer zone until the nest is deemed no longer active, as determined by the qualified biologist.

#### **Temporary Impacts**

Several special-status animal species, including the red-diamond rattlesnake, coast horned lizard, western yellow-billed cuckoo, white-tailed kite, southwestern willow flycatcher, yellow-breasted chat, coastal California gnatcatcher, yellow warbler, and least Bell's vireo, also have potential to be temporarily indirectly affected during construction activities through increased noise, vibration, lighting, and dust. These temporary impacts would occur as a result of proposed restriping for the bike path along La Palma Avenue and widening of the Yorba Linda Boulevard. While the intersection improvements throughout the remainder of the project limits are not located adjacent to sensitive habitats, ornamental trees are located throughout the project limits and construction activities associated with these improvements may result in indirect impacts to nesting birds. Such indirect disturbance has the potential to affect foraging patterns and disorient special-status animal species occurring in adjacent habitat areas. Increased anthropogenic disturbance and waste (e.g., litter) during and following proposed project construction could also attract predators of special-status animal species, such as domestic and feral dogs and cats, crows/ravens, and coyotes, to the proposed project vicinity. Measure BIO-3 addresses exclusionary fencing to ensure that no specialstatus reptiles and amphibians enter the construction area. Measure BIO-4 incorporates best management practices for the construction contractor to implement that reduces temporary construction disturbances. Measure BIO-12 would avoid indirect temporary impacts to nesting birds through pre-construction surveys and avoidance of the breeding bird season.

Potential adverse effects to special-status fish, including the Santa Ana sucker, and other aquatic species occurring in adjacent aquatic habitats may occur due to construction-related impacts on hydrology and water quality. Construction of the proposed project would include grading and may result in a potential increase in erosion and sediment transport into adjacent or downstream aquatic areas. Chemical spills or leaks of fuel, transmission fluid, lubricating oil, or motor oil from construction equipment could also contaminate waters and degrade their quality. These potential impacts to hydrology and water quality would be avoided or substantially minimized through the



implementation of Measure BIO-3, which addresses erosion control, and Measure BIO-4, which provides best management practices for construction.

## **Operational Impacts**

With regard to post-construction maintenance, or operational activities (e.g., tree trimming or road maintenance) pertaining to the proposed project, there would be no adverse impacts to special-status animal species that did not exist prior to implementation and completion of the proposed project. The proposed project would improve operations and no additional traffic noise is anticipated that would have indirect impacts on special-status animal species. Operation of the proposed project would result in an increase in stormwater runoff due to the additional impervious surface associated with widening Yorba Linda Boulevard. Increased runoff may result in erosion, which would have a potential direct impact on special-status species, if suitable habitat is present adjacent to the roadway. However, additional drainage improvements in the form of Modular Wetland Systems would accommodate runoff from the additional pavement. The additional runoff would be collected and treated and operations would not result in erosion or other water quality impacts to adjacent habitat that may support special-status animal species. Therefore, the proposed project would not result in any new or increased operational impacts to special-status animal species.

# **BAT HABITAT**

The analysis of bat habitat below provides a discussion of three habitat types: crevice habitat, tree/snag habitat, and swallow nests. As these three habitat types provide different types of suitable foraging and roosting habitat for bat species within the BSA, impacts and measures to address impacts to these habitat types are individually addressed.

#### **Crevice Habitat**

#### **Construction Impacts**

Permanent Impacts. Crevice habitat suitable for day- and night-roosting bats is present at the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge over the Santa Ana River. Proposed project construction has the potential to permanently impact bat species through direct mortality or loss of available habitat as a result of disturbance and/or removal of crevice habitat during pier wall construction for bridge widening. During the nighttime acoustic and emergence survey, 159 bats were counted emerging from the bridge hinge. Based upon visual observation and analysis of the acoustic data collected during this survey, the bridge was occupied primarily by Mexican free-tailed bats, with some Yuma myotis bats also present. Given the time of year that the emergence survey was performed, as well as the large number of bats observed, it is likely that the Mexican free-tailed bats use this bridge as a migratory or overwintering roost. Because this focused bat survey was performed outside the bat maternity season (April 1–August 31), and given the suitability of the crevice habitat observed at this structure for maternity roosting, a preconstruction nighttime survey would need to be performed at this location during the summer months (i.e., June–August) in order to confirm whether this structure serves as a maternity roost and to determine the numbers and species of any bats roosting there, as described in Measure BIO-13. If a maternity colony is determined to be present following the nighttime survey described in Measure BIO-13, construction within a pre-determined buffer distance to avoid the recognized bat maternity season as described in Measure BIO-14 would be



required. Measure BIO-15 describes actions to avoid direct mortality to roosting bats from loss of crevice habitat by performing humane evictions and exclusions. If construction of the new pier walls results in a loss of 25% of available roosting crevice habitat during construction, this habitat would also be compensated for as described in Measure BIO-16, which would require the provision of alternate bat roosting habitat.

- **BIO-13** Nighttime Survey for Maternity Colony. A California Department of Fish and Wildlife–approved bat biologist will perform a nighttime acoustic and emergence survey at the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge over the Santa Ana River during the summer months (i.e., June–August), when maternity colonies are fully established, to confirm the presence of a maternity colony or colonies and to determine the numbers and species of bats present. This information will be used to determine site-specific measures to minimize impacts to roosting bats. This survey should be performed before or during final design to allow adequate time for mitigation planning for the specific species and numbers of bats observed.
- **BIO-14** Avoidance of Bat Maternity Season (Construction). To avoid disturbance of maternity-roosting bats (if confirmed present) during construction activities at the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge over the Santa Ana River, work activities within a predetermined buffer distance of the maternity roost sites will avoid the recognized bat maternity season (April 1–August 31) unless otherwise directed by CDFW. The buffer distance will be determined by a CDFW-approved bat biologist and will be based on what bat species are found to make up the maternity colony, since different bat species are known to have different tolerance levels for certain construction activities.
- **BIO-15 Humane Evictions and Exclusions.** If necessary to avoid direct mortality, humane evictions and exclusions of roosting bats should be performed under the supervision of a California Department of Fish and Wildlife–approved bat biologist in the fall (September or October) prior to the initiation of construction activities at the bridge structure. To avoid potential mortality of flightless juvenile bats, evictions and exclusions of bats cannot be performed during the maternity season (April 1–August 31). Winter months are also inappropriate for bat eviction because not all individuals in a roost will emerge on any given night. In addition, long-distance movements to other roost sites are more difficult during the winter when prey availability is scarce, resulting in high mortality rates of evicted bats.
- **BIO-16** Alternate Bat Roosting Habitat. If bats are excluded from more than 25 percent of the available roosting habitat at the bridge, or if permanent impacts to bat roosting habitat will occur, alternate bat roosting habitat should be provided prior to performing the humane eviction/exclusion. Alternate bat roosting habitat should be provided in a 0.5:1 ratio for temporary impacts and in a 1:1 ratio for permanent impacts. The specifications for this roosting habitat should be designed in consultation with a qualified, California Department of Fish and Wildlife–approved bat biologist. An example of design plans used to create alternate bat roosting



habitat as successful mitigation for other bridge projects on the Santa Ana River is provided on Figure 2 of Appendix E.

**Temporary Impacts.** Bats utilizing crevice habitat at the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge over the Santa Ana River are also subject to temporary indirect impacts from noise, vibration, and lighting from construction equipment and activities associated with the proposed bridge widening. Should a maternity colony be confirmed present following the nighttime survey described in Measure BIO-13, construction activities within a predetermined buffer distance would avoid the recognized bat maternity season as described in Measure BIO-14. Impacts from construction that may temporarily exclude bats from crevice habitat are addressed in Measure BIO-16, which addresses alternate bat roosting habitat.

# **Operational Impacts**

With regard to post-construction maintenance, or operational, activities (e.g., tree trimming or road maintenance) pertaining to the proposed project, there would be no new or increased impacts to bat crevice habitat that did not exist prior to implementation and completion of the proposed project. The proposed project would improve operations and no additional traffic noise is anticipated that would impact bats or crevice habitat suitability. In addition, the proposed project does not include additional permanent lighting features that would increase lighting in the project limits during operation. Therefore, proposed project would not result in any new or increased operational impacts to bat crevice habitat.

# **Swallow Nest Habitat**

#### **Construction Impacts**

**Permanent Impacts.** Swallow mud nests, which are considerable suitable roosting habitat for bat species, are present within the proposed project footprint, beneath the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge along the pier walls. Construction activities on the bridge have the potential to permanently impact swallow mud nest habitat if such habitat is present at the time of construction. Swallow mud nests may be removed or may fall to the ground during bridge construction activities. To avoid permanent impacts to swallow nest habitat, swallow nest inspections are recommended as described in Measure BIO-17.

**BIO-17** Swallow Nest Inspection. If swallow nests are removed to prevent swallows from nesting within the proposed project limits during construction activities, they should be removed in the Fall (i.e., September or October), prior to expected or potential overwintering use by bats, and in a manner that ensures they do not fall to the ground or are otherwise destroyed, unless the absence of bats is confirmed through inspection by a qualified bat biologist.

**Temporary Impacts.** If swallow nests are determined to be present within the project limits, Measure BIO-17 described above would require their removal prior to potential overwintering by bats. Therefore, no temporary impacts to swallow nest habitat would occur during construction as this habitat would either be removed, or the absence of bat species would be confirmed prior to construction activities.



#### **Operational Impacts**

Similar to the discussion on crevice habitat above, no additional traffic noise would occur and no permanent lighting fixtures are included that would result in operational impacts to swallows or their nests, which serve as suitable habitat for bat species. Therefore, the proposed project would not result in any new or increased operational impacts to swallow nest habitat.

#### **Tree or Snag Habitat**

#### **Construction Impacts**

**Permanent Impacts.** The mature cottonwoods and willows observed to the east of the bridge structure, within the Santa Ana River channel, provide foraging and roosting habitat for bat species that roost in foliage. Removal of trees and snags in the river during construction activities should be minimized to the maximum extent possible. However, if mature trees or snags would be removed for proposed project construction, additional pre-construction surveys may be needed to determine the potential extent of bat-roosting activity within those trees; these surveys should be performed within 1 week prior to tree removal. If any of the trees are identified as bat roosts or likely bat roosts, measures should be implemented to avoid direct mortality and permanent impacts to tree-roosting bats. Any removal of trees and/or snags should be conducted outside the bat maternity season as described in Measure BIO-18. Measure BIO-19 addresses biological monitoring recommended during tree removal, unless tree-roosting bat activity is not detected.

- **BIO-18** Avoidance of Bat Maternity Season (Trees). The removal of mature trees and snags should be minimized to the greatest extent practicable to avoid impacts to tree-roosting bats. However, if trimming or removal of mature trees and/or snags is necessary for construction, LSA recommends that tree trimming/removal activities be performed outside the bat maternity season, which occurs from April 1 through August 31, to avoid direct impacts to nonvolant (flightless) young that may roost in trees within the study area. This period also coincides with the bird nesting season (typically February 15–September 1).
- BIO-19Biological Monitoring. If trimming or removal of trees during the bat maternity<br/>season (April 1–August 31) cannot be avoided, LSA recommends that a qualified<br/>biologist monitor tree removal unless nighttime surveys conducted within 1 week of<br/>removal indicate no tree-roosting bat activity within the study area.

**Temporary Impacts.** Temporary impacts to tree or snag habitat may occur if proposed project construction requires trimming of trees. Trimming of trees should avoid the bat maternity season as described in Measure BIO-18. If tree-roosting bat activity is detected, a biological monitor should be present during tree trimming as described in Measure BIO-19.proposed project

#### **Operational Impacts**

Similar to the discussion on crevice and swallow nest habitat above, no additional traffic noise would occur and no permanent lighting fixtures are included that would result in operational impacts to tree or snag habitat for bat species. Therefore, the proposed project would not result in any new or increased operational impacts to tree or snag habitat.



#### **Foraging or Night Roosting Bats**

#### **Construction Impacts**

**Permanent Impacts.** Construction activities would not result in any permanent impacts to habitat suitability regarding foraging or night-roosting bat species. Impacts due to construction lighting, noise, and vibration which may impact habitat suitability for bat species would be temporary in nature.

**Temporary Impacts.** Potential temporary impacts to foraging or night-roosting bats from lighting associated with proposed project construction activities may occur if night work would take place near the bridge structure. Measure BIO-20 address provision of focused night lighting on the direct area of work. Other indirect impacts from noise and vibration during construction of the bride widening would be addressed by the BMPs in Measure BIO-4 described above.

**BIO-20** Focused Night Lighting. To minimize temporary indirect impacts during nighttime work for construction within 200 feet of the bridge structure, night lighting will be used only in the area actively being worked on and focused on the direct area of work, and airspace access to and from the roost features of a structure will not be obstructed except in direct work areas.

#### **Operational Impacts**

Similar to the discussion on bat habitats above, no additional traffic noise would occur and no permanent lighting fixtures are included that would result in operational impacts suitable habitat for bat species. Therefore, the proposed project would not result in any new or increased operational impacts to foraging or night roosting bats.

# **CRITICAL HABITAT**

#### **Construction Impacts**

#### **Permanent Impacts**

The proposed project would result in 0.49 ac of permanent impacts to designated critical habitat for the Santa Ana sucker due to the installation of pier walls and permanent additional shade as a result of the proposed bridge widening (Figure 5). The designated critical habitat within the BSA contains some of the PBFs necessary for the conservation or recovery of the species, and the species is known to occur in the vicinity of the BSA. Specifically, lengthening of the pier walls and widening of the bridge would result in permanent impacts to aquatic emergent vegetation and adjacent riparian vegetation, which are detailed in Santa Ana sucker PBF 6, described in the Special-Status Biological Resources Critical Habitat section above. Measure BIO-21 describes actions to compensate for loss of Santa Ana sucker critical habitat.

BIO-21Restoration and/or Compensatory Habitat Replacement for Santa Ana Sucker<br/>Critical Habitat. Temporary and permanent impacts to Santa Ana sucker critical<br/>habitat will be restored at no less than a 1:1 ratio. Section 7 Consultation is<br/>expected for Santa Ana sucker critical habitat. Habitat that is temporarily impacted<br/>by construction activities will be restored to preconstruction quality following the



conclusion of construction. Areas that are permanently impacted by construction activities will require compensatory mitigation. Any sucker-related restoration areas will be designed to incorporate the physical and biological features of Santa Ana sucker critical habitat described in the Critical Habitat subsection of the Results section of this report. Mitigation may occur in applicable areas within or adjacent to the proposed project limits, subject to United States Fish and Wildlife Service approval during Section 7 Consultation.

#### **Temporary Impacts**

The proposed project would result in 0.43 ac of temporary impacts to designated critical habitat for the Santa Ana sucker due to construction access and grading associated with widening the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge. Measure BIO-21 addresses restoration of temporarily impacted Santa Ana sucker critical habitat. Indirect temporary impacts from construction noise, vibration, lighting and dust may also occur during construction activities associated with the bridge widening and the restriping for the bike path along La Palma Avenue. However, implementation of Measure BIO-4 includes best management practices for construction that would address these temporary construction impacts.

#### **Operational Impacts**

With regard to post-construction maintenance, or operational, activities (e.g., tree trimming or road maintenance) pertaining to the proposed project, there would be no adverse impacts to critical habitat that did not exist prior to implementation and completion of the proposed project. Operation of the proposed project would result in an increase in stormwater runoff due to the additional impervious surface associated with widening Yorba Linda Boulevard, which may result in increased erosion and would have a potential direct impact on critical habitat adjacent to the roadway. However, additional drainage improvements in the form of Modular Wetland Systems are proposed to accommodate runoff from the additional pavement. The additional runoff would be collected and treated and operations would not result in erosion or other water quality impacts to adjacent critical habitat. Therefore, the proposed project would not result in any new or increased operational impacts to critical habitat.

# JURISDICTIONAL AQUATIC RESOURCES

#### **Construction Impacts**

#### Permanent Impacts

The proposed project is expected to result in permanent impacts to jurisdictional aquatic resources, including wetlands, as shown on Figures 9 and 10, due to the lengthening of the pier walls and additional pavement required for the widening of the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge. Table C quantifies anticipated permanent and temporary impacts to jurisdictional aquatic resources. Permanent impacts to USACE jurisdiction is restricted to areas of fill resulting from the construction of extended bridge pier wall structures. Permanent impacts to CDFW jurisdictional areas include the extension of the bridge pier walls as well as shading impacts to existing riparian vegetation due to the proposed widening of the bridge deck. Permanent impacts to jurisdictional aquatic resources

require permits as described in Measure BIO-22. Compensatory habitat replacement would also be required to compensate for permanent impacts, as described in Measure BIO-23.

Drainage	Permanent Impacts (ac)	Temporary Impacts (ac)	Total (ac)
USACE Non-Wetland Waters of the US	0.006	0.186	0.192
USACE Wetland Waters of the US	0.027	0.215	0.241
CDFW Streambed/Riparian	0.191	0.766	0.957

# **Table C: Impacts to Jurisdictional Aquatic Resources**

Source: Compiled by LSA Associates, Inc. (2020).

CDFW = California Department of Fish and Wildlife

ac = acre/acres

US = United States USACE = United States Army Corps of Engineering

**BIO-22** Section 404 and Section 401 Permits, and Streambed Alteration Agreement. Prior to commencement of construction activities, the City of Yorba Linda (City) will coordinate with the United States Army Corps of Engineers to receive authorization pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. The City will also coordinate with the Regional Water Quality Control Board to obtain a Water Quality Certification pursuant to Section 401 of the Clean Water Act. Furthermore, the City will coordinate with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife to obtain a Streambed Alteration Agreement.

**BIO-23** Restoration and/or Compensatory Habitat Replacement for Jurisdictional Aquatic Resources. Riparian vegetation that is temporarily disturbed during constructionrelated activities will be successfully restored to preconstruction quality and kept free of exotic plants until riparian vegetation is reestablished. Restoration will be performed at no less than a 1:1 ratio to sufficiently reduce impacts. If the site has not recovered within 5 years, the site will be reseeded or replanted with container plants and/or cuttings from native riparian species.

> Jurisdictional areas that are permanently impacted by construction activities will be compensated for at no less than a 1:1 ratio through either on-site or off-site restoration or through the purchasing of mitigation bank credits (e.g., through the Soquel Canyon Mitigation Bank). Permittee-responsible mitigation areas will be monitored for 5 years post-restoration to ensure the successful establishment of plant communities. Exact requirements will be finalized during the permitting process.

#### **Temporary Impacts**

Indirect effects could occur during construction, such as a potential increase in erosion and sediment transport into adjacent or downstream aquatic areas. Chemical spills or leaks of fuel, transmission fluid, lubricating oil, or motor oil from construction equipment could also contaminate waters and degrade their quality. Construction activities associated with the bridge widening are also anticipated to result in temporary impacts to jurisdictional aquatic resources. As shown in Table



C above, temporary impacts from grading and construction access would impact approximately 0.186 acre of non-wetland waters of the U.S., 0.215 acre of wetland waters of the U.S., and 0.766 acre of streambed and associated riparian habitat. These temporary impacts would be addressed in the permitting process, which is described in Measure BIO-22. Also, as indicated in Measure BIO-23, all temporarily impacted jurisdictional areas will be restored to preconstruction conditions following completion of all construction activities. The erosion control fencing previously described in Measure BIO-3 and the BMPs previously described in Measure BIO-4 minimizes potential indirect effects to jurisdictional aquatic resources.

# **Operational Impacts**

With regard to post-construction maintenance, or operational, activities (e.g., tree trimming or road maintenance) pertaining to the proposed project, there would be no adverse impacts to jurisdictional aquatic resources that did not exist prior to implementation and completion of the proposed project. Operation of the proposed project would result in an increase in stormwater runoff due to the additional impervious surface associated with widening Yorba Linda Boulevard. Increased runoff may result in erosion and other water quality impacts from sedimentation or transfer of pollutants from the roadway, which would have a potential direct impact on jurisdictional aquatic resources. However, additional drainage improvements in the form of Modular Wetland Systems are proposed to accommodate runoff from the additional pavement. The additional runoff would be collected and treated and operations would not result in erosion or other water quality impacts to jurisdictional features. Therefore, the proposed project would not result in any new or increased operational impacts to jurisdictional aquatic resources and would benefit water quality in the local drainage system.

# WILDLIFE MOVEMENT AND HABITAT CONNECTIVITY

#### **Construction Impacts**

#### Permanent Impacts

As described in the Results above, the Santa Ana River serves as a movement corridor within the project limits. The other roadways within the project limits do not support wildlife movement or habitat connectivity. Since the restriping of the bike path along La Palma Avenue would not require construction activities outside of the existing roadway, no construction impacts would occur along that portion of the Santa Ana River channel and adjacent habitat. As construction of the proposed intersection improvements, pedestrian and bicycle facilities, and roadway widening would not include activities that would permanently disrupt access to or movement within the Santa Ana River channel, no permanent impacts to wildlife movement and habitat connectivity are anticipated from construction of the proposed project.

#### **Temporary Impacts**

The wildlife species that occur in the BSA and utilize the Santa Ana River as a movement corridor are adapted to the urban–wildland interface, and the proposed project would not introduce new effects to the area. The noise, vibration, light, dust, or human disturbance within construction areas would only temporarily deter wildlife from using areas in the immediate vicinity of construction activities. These temporary indirect effects could temporarily alter migration behaviors, territories, or foraging



habitats in select areas. However, because these are temporary effects, it is likely that wildlife already living and moving in close proximity to urban development would alter their normal functions for the duration of the proposed project construction and then reestablish these functions once all temporary construction effects have been removed. Measure BIO-24 describes restricted construction hours that would minimize temporary effects to wildlife movement. Best management practices to prevent inadvertent entrapment of animals addressed in Measure BIO-4 above would further minimize temporary impacts to wildlife movement.

**BIO-24 Restricted Construction Hours.** Within the Santa Ana River, construction will occur during daylight hours, as feasible, to minimize use of artificial lighting and resulting disturbances to wildlife species that move at night.

#### **Operational Impacts**

With regard to post-construction maintenance, or operational, activities (e.g., tree trimming or road maintenance) pertaining to the proposed project, there would be no adverse impacts to wildlife movement and habitat connectivity that did not exist prior to implementation and completion of the proposed project. The proposed roadway improvements would improve operations and no additional traffic noise is anticipated that would have indirect impacts on wildlife movement. No permanent lighting fixtures are proposed that would result in operational lighting are proposed that may impact species utilizing the corridor at night. Additional drainage improvements are proposed to accommodate runoff from the additional pavement, so proposed project operations would not result in erosion impacts to adjacent habitat. As no permanent operational impacts to habitat would occur within the Santa Ana River channel, no changes are to the use of this movement corridor are anticipated to occur. Therefore, the proposed project as proposed would not result in any new or increased operational impacts to wildlife movement and habitat connectivity.

# **REGIONAL HABITAT CONSERVATION PLANS AND LOCAL POLICIES**

# County of Orange Central/Coastal Subregion NCCP/HCP

The proposed project would not conflict with the County of Orange Central/Coastal Subregion NCCP/HCP. A portion of the proposed project south of SR-91 is within the NCCP/HCP boundaries but is not within designated reserve lands, special linkages, existing use areas, or other conservation areas identified in the NCCP/HCP and does not contain resources protected by the NCCP/HCP (Figure 6). Therefore, the proposed project would not result in any construction or operational impacts, permanent or temporary, to NCCP/HCP protected resources.

#### Santa Ana River Canyon Habitat Management Plan (SARCHMP)

#### Construction Impacts

**Permanent Impacts.** The SARCHMP is applicable to the portion of the proposed project east of the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge (Figure 7). The SARCHMP covers most of the Santa Ana River Channel north of Crystal Drive and south of the Santa Ana River Trail. As shown in Figure 3 above, sensitive vegetation communities within the Santa Ana River channel include mixed costal sage scrub and Cotton Willow Riparian Forest. Riparian habitat within the SARCHMP Habitat Management Areas must be maintained at the baseline amount. Therefore, there can be no net loss of existing native



habitat within the SARCHMP area. Permanent impacts due to the bridge widening to sensitive vegetation communities and habitat that supports special-status species in the SARCHMP area would be compensated for, subject to the approval of OC Public Works, which manages the SARCHMP, as described in Measure BIO-25.

**BIO-25 Restoration and/or Compensatory.** Restoration and/or replacement of native habitat within Santa Ana River Canyon Habitat Management Plan (SARCHMP) areas will be discussed and agreed upon with OC Public Works. Similarly, habitat restoration and/or replacement for impacts within the City of Anaheim's Habitat Mitigation Plan area will be discussed and agreed upon with the City of Anaheim and the federal and State resource agencies during Section 7 Consultation.

Habitats that are temporarily impacted by proposed project construction activities will be restored to preconstruction quality following the conclusion of construction. Areas that are permanently impacted by proposed project construction activities will require compensatory mitigation at no less than a 1:1 ratio. Mitigation may occur in applicable areas adjacent to the proposed project limits, and/or mitigation bank credits may be purchased to compensate for permanent impacts (e.g., through the Soquel Canyon Mitigation Bank). Permittee-responsible mitigation areas will be monitored for 5 years post-restoration to ensure successful establishment of plant communities.

**Temporary Impacts.** Temporary impacts to habitat within the SARCHMP area due to construction activities associated with the bridge widening would be restored to pre-construction conditions, as described in Measure BIO-25.

# **Operational Impacts**

With regard to post-construction maintenance, or operational, activities (e.g., tree trimming or road maintenance) pertaining to the proposed project, there would be no adverse impacts to the SARCHMP Habitat Management Area that did not exist prior to implementation and completion of the proposed project. Additional runoff resulting from the proposed pavement widening would be collected and treated by the proposed drainage improvements. Therefore, operation of the proposed would not result in erosion or water quality impacts to adjacent habitat. The proposed project would not result in any new or increased operational impacts to the SARCHMP Habitat Management Area.

# **City of Anaheim Habitat Mitigation Plan**

#### **Construction Impacts**

**Permanent Impacts.** As described in the previous Special-Status Biological Species section, the City of Anaheim's Habitat Mitigation Plan for the Weir Canyon Road/La Palma Avenue Roadway Improvement Project (BonTerra Consulting 2006) is a local habitat mitigation plan that would be affected by the proposed project. In this case, the proposed project would result in 0.25 ac of permanent impacts to a portion of the CSS habitat that was previously and is currently utilized by coastal California gnatcatchers, a federally-listed special-status species, and that was previously



mitigated for in the 2006 mitigation plan. A portion of the Anaheim's Habitat Mitigation Plan area, approximately 1.37 acres located at the southeast corner of Yorba Linda Boulevard and La Palma Avenue (Figure 8) would be impacted by the proposed project. The City of Yorba Linda, in cooperation with the City of Anaheim, would implement appropriate mitigation of these impacts to this CSS habitat, subject to the approval of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service and California Department of Fish and Wildlife following future Section 7 Consultation. Therefore, potential permanent impacts to coastal California gnatcatchers and their associated CSS habitat in the 2006 Habitat Mitigation Plan area near the intersection of Yorba Linda Boulevard and La Palma Avenue will be mitigated through implementation of Measure BIO-25 to ensure conservation of sensitive biological resources.

**Temporary Impacts.** The proposed project would result in approximately 0.02 ac of temporary impacts to the CSS habitat in within Anaheim's Habitat Mitigation Plan. Potential temporary impacts to coastal California gnatcatchers and their associated CSS habitat in the 2006 Habitat Mitigation Plan area near the intersection of Yorba Linda Boulevard and La Palma Avenue would be mitigated through implementation of Measure BIO-25 to ensure conservation of sensitive biological resources.

#### **Operational Impacts**

With regard to post-construction maintenance, or operational, activities (e.g., tree trimming and road maintenance) pertaining to the proposed project, there would be no new or increased impacts to the Anaheim Habitat Mitigation Plan area that did not exist prior to implementation and completion of the proposed project. While the proposed improvements include the reconfiguration of La Palma Avenue for the proposed Class I bike path adjacent to this mitigation area, no new impervious surfaces would be added for this improvement. The restriping of La Palma Avenue in this area would improve bicycle access current provided by the Santa Ana River Trail, but would not introduce new operational uses that would impact the existing habitat mitigation area. As described above, operation of the proposed project would result in an increase in stormwater runoff due to the additional impervious surface associated with widening Yorba Linda Boulevard. Increased runoff from this additional pavement may result in erosion, which would have a potential direct impact on adjacent habitat. However, drainage improvements in the form of Modular Wetland Systems are proposed to accommodate runoff from the additional pavement. The additional runoff would be collected and treated and would not result in erosion or other water quality impacts to adjacent habitat, including this mitigation area. Therefore, the proposed project would not result in any new or increased operational impacts to the Anaheim Habitat Mitigation Plan.

# **Tree Trimming/Tree Removal**

#### **Construction Impacts**

**Permanent Impacts.** Proposed project construction activities have the potential to result in the removal of trees covered under local tree protection ordinances. Intersection improvements and bicycle and pedestrian facilities along Old Canal Road may impact ornamental and landscaped trees. Other construction activities associated with grading, installation of a retaining wall, and widening of Yorba Linda Boulevard would also require tree removal. As restriping of La Palma Avenue would occur from the existing roadway, no tree removal is anticipated for construction of the Class I bike path. To minimize the impact and ensure consistency with local policies, the City would be required



to comply with conditions described in Measure BIO-26, regarding tree replacement and preservation, for any trees that will be removed. With the replacement of trees removed as a result of construction, no permanent impacts would occur.

**BIO-26** Tree Replacement and Preservation. Once proposed project grading plans are finalized and approved (and prior to ground disturbance), an inventory of directly and indirectly affected trees will be determined either by referencing existing tree inventory data collected on the subject property or by having a qualified arborist conduct an assessment based on the final, approved limits of development. The tree inventory will determine the species, number, sizes, and health of all trees to be impacted by the approved proposed project, and will be used to determine compensation ratios. The tree inventory includes trees outside the public right-of-way, within the Santa Ana River channel.

For trees within the public right-of-way, the portion of the proposed project within the City of Anaheim will be subject to the City of Anaheim's Street Tree ordinance (Section 13.12.060 of the Anaheim Municipal Code), and the portion of the proposed project within the City of Yorba Linda will be subject to the City of Yorba Linda's Tree Preservation ordinance (Section 16.08.030 of the Yorba Linda Municipal Code). Protected trees will not be removed or trimmed without proper permits from the City of Yorba Linda or the City of Anaheim. Compensation ratios for loss of Specimen Trees within Anaheim's Scenic Overlay Zone will be subject to Section 18.18.040 of Anaheim's Municipal Code. Compensatory planting should be conducted within the portion of the proposed project limits that would remain undeveloped under the approved plans, or at an off-site location as approved by the City.

**Temporary Impacts.** Proposed project construction activities have the potential to result in temporary impacts due to removal of trees covered under local ordinances. However, as described above, to minimize the impact and ensure consistency with local policies, the City would be required to comply with measures described in Measure BIO-26, regarding tree replacement and preservation, for any trees that would be trimmed.

#### **Operational Impacts**

With regard to post-construction maintenance, or operational, activities (e.g., tree trimming or road maintenance) pertaining to the bridge or other roadway facilities, there would be no new or increased impacts to trees covered under local ordinances that did not exist prior to implementation and completion of the identified proposed project features. Additional drainage improvements are proposed to accommodate runoff from the additional pavement, so proposed project operations would not result in erosion impacts to adjacent habitat, including trees. Therefore, the proposed project as proposed would not result in any new or increased operational impacts to trees covered under local ordinances.



## **CONCLUSION**

The implementation of recommended measures detailed above would ensure consistency with local, regional, State, and federal policies related to biological resources, and would address potential direct and indirect impacts on special-status biological resources. The impacts are based on the proposed project design shown in Figure 2; changes to this design may affect the impacts and additional biological studies may be warranted.



# REFERENCES

- AECOM. 2014. Biological Technical and Jurisdictional Delineation Report for the Santa Ana River Parkway Project. October.
- Anaheim, California Municipal Code Section 13.12.060 & 18.18.040. 2019. Website: http://library.amlegal.com/nxt/gateway.dll/California/anaheim/anaheimmunicipalcode?f=t emplates\$fn=default.htm\$3.0\$vid=amlegal:anaheim\_ca (accessed January 2020).
- Baldwin, B.G., et al., eds. 2012. *The Jepson Manual: Vascular Plants of Californ*ia. 2nd ed. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- BonTerra Consulting. 2006. Habitat Mitigation Plan for the Weir Canyon Road/La Palma Avenue Roadway Improvement Project. December 13.
- California Native Plant Society (CNPS). 2020. Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants of California. Website: http://www.rareplants.cnps.org (accessed 17 January 2020).
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW). 2018. California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB). Special Animals List. November.
- . 2019. California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB). Rarefind Version 5. August. Rarefind query of the United States Geological Survey 7.5-minute quads nine-quadrangle review area—Yorba Linda, Prado Dam, Corona North, Orange, Black Star Canyon, Corona South, Tustin, El Toro, and Santiago Peak, and a geographic information system query of occurrences within a 2 mi buffer (project vicinity).
- County of Orange. 1996. NCCP Plan Summary—County of Orange (Central/Coastal) NCCP/HCP. Website: https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Conservation/Planning/NCCP/Plans/Orange-Coastal (accessed January 2020).
- Google Earth. 2019. Current and historical aerial photographs.
- Jones & Stokes Associates, Inc. 1993. Methods Used to Survey the Vegetation of Orange County Parks and Open Space Areas and the Irvine Company Property. February.
- LSA Associates, Inc. 2014. Santa Ana River Canyon Habitat Management Plan Maintenance and Monitoring Report. February.
- Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS). 2019. Web Soil Survey. Website: http://websoil survey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/WebSoilSurvey.aspx (accessed January 2020).
- OC Flood Control District. 2009. Santa Ana River Canyon Habitat Management Plan and Brush Canyon Habitat Management Plan. January.



- Roberts, F.M., Jr. 2008. The Vascular Plants of Orange County, California: An Annotated Checklist. San Luis Rey, California: F.M. Roberts Publications.
- United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and AECOM. 2001. Biological Opinion on the Prado Mainstem and Santa Ana River Reach 9 Flood Control Projects and Norco Bluffs Stabilization Project, Orange, Riverside, and San Bernardino Counties, California (FWS-SB-909.6). December 5.
- \_\_\_\_\_\_. 2015. Santa Ana River Mainstem Project: Reach 9 Phases 4, 5A, 5B, & BNSF Bridge, Final Supplemental Environmental Assessment and Environmental Impact Report Addendum.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2010. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Revised Critical Habitat for the Santa Ana Sucker; Final Rule. *Federal Register* 75: 77961–78027.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2017. Critical Habitat: What Is It? March. Website: https://www.fws.gov/endangered/esalibrary/pdf/critical\_habitat.pdf (accessed January 2020).
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2019a. Environmental Conservation Online System (ECOS). Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) Trust Resources Report. Website: http://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/ (accessed August 2019).
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2019b. USFWS Critical Habitat Mapper. Website: http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/ (accessed January 2020).
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2019c. Wetlands Mapper. Website: https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/mapper.html (accessed January 2020).
- Yorba Linda, California Municipal Code Section 16.08.030. 2019. Website: http://qcode.us/codes/ yorbalinda/ (accessed January 2020).



This Page Intentionally Left Blank



# **APPENDIX A**

# **FIGURES 1 THROUGH 10**

P:\HNT1901.02 - Yorba Linda\Bio\Biological Resources Report\Biological Resources Report\_YorbaLindaBlvdWidening\_September2020\_RLSO.docx (09/11/20)



SOURCE: USGS 7.5' Quads: Black Star Canyon (1988), Prado Dam (1981), CA



# LEGEND

Project Limits Proposed Right of Way ----- Proposed Striping

Temporary Construction Easement (TCE) Proposed Retaining Wall Permanent Easement (PE) Footing Easement (FE)

Proposed Grading Limits

- Proposed Sidewalk
- Proposed Signage



FEET SOURCE: Google (2018), HNTB (2019)

l:\HNT1901\GIS\MXD\YorbaLindaProposedImprovements\_Mapbook\_withImpacts\_NewFiles.mxd (9/11/2020)

Sheet 1 of 4

Yorba Linda Boulevard Widening Project Project Features



# LSA

FEFT



Proposed Right of Way - Proposed Striping

Temporary Construction Easement (TCE) Proposed Retaining Wall Permanent Easement (PE) Footing Easement (FE)

- Proposed Grading Limits - Proposed Sidewalk
- Proposed Signage



SOURCE: Google (2018), HNTB (2019)

l:\HNT1901\GIS\MXD\YorbaLindaProposedImprovements\_Mapbook\_withImpacts\_NewFiles.mxd (9/11/2020)

Sheet 2 of 4





Project Limits Proposed Right of Way Proposed Striping

Permanent Easement (PE) Footing Easement (FE)

- Proposed Grading Limits
- Proposed Sidewalk
- Proposed Signage



SOURCE: Google (2018), HNTB (2019)

FEE

l:\HNT1901\GIS\MXD\YorbaLindaProposedImprovements\_Mapbook\_withImpacts\_NewFiles.mxd (9/11/2020)

Yorba Linda Boulevard Widening Project Project Features



I:\HNT1901\GIS\MXD\YorbaLindaProposedImprovements\_Mapbook\_withImpacts\_NewFiles.mxd (9/11/2020)

Project Features







SOURCE: Nearmap (9/23/19)

Coyote Brush Scrub

Herbaceous Riparian

Ruderal

Vegetation within the Biological Study Area





FIGURE 3 Sheet 4 of 7



Yorba Linda Boulevard Widening Project Vegetation within the Biological Study Area



# LEGEND



SOURCE: Nearmap (9/23/19)

Biological Study Area

Vegetation California Encelia Scrub

Disturbed California Encelia Scrub Cottonwood Willow Riparian Forest Coyote Brush Scrub Developed Disturbed

Freshwater Marsh

Mixed Coastal Sage Scrub

Ornamental Ruderal Ruderal Herbaceous Scrub-Chaparral Ecotone

Open Water

I:\HNT1901\GIS\MXD\Vegetation.mxd (4/6/2020)



Yorba Linda Boulevard Widening Project Vegetation within the Biological Study Area

FIGURE 3 Sheet 5 of 7









▲ ©

Legend



Project Limits

FIGURE 4

FEET

SOURCE: Nearmap (9/23/19)

I:\HNT1901\GIS\MXD\Soils.mxd (3/18/2020)

Yorba Linda Boulevard Widening Project Soils within the Biological Study Area


### LSA



SOURCE: Nearmap (9/23/19); County of Orange (2012)

Yorba Linda Boulevard Widening Project

Santa ANa Sucker Critical Habitat

I:\HNT1901\GIS\MXD\SantaAnaSuckerCritHab.mxd (3/18/2020)

Г

Biological Study Area

Santa Ana Sucker Critical Habitat

Project Limits







LEGEND

Г



Project Limits

Central/Coastal Orange County, NCCP/HCP

0 20 FEET

SOURCE: Nearmap (9/23/19); County of Orange (2012)

400

I:\HNT1901\GIS\MXD\NCCP.mxd (3/23/2020)

FIGURE 6

Yorba Linda Boulevard Widening Project

County of Orange Central/COastal NCCP-HCP







¢







Santa Ana River Canyon HMA Boundary (1,123.6 acres)

FEET

SOURCE: Nearmap (9/23/19); County of Orange (2012)

I:\HNT1901\GIS\MXD\SantaAnaRiverCanyonHabManArea.mxd (3/23/2020)

Yorba Linda Boulevard Widening Project

Santa Ana River Habitat Management Area

FIGURE 7





FEET

SOURCE: Nearmap (9/23/19); County of Orange (2012)

I:\HNT1901\GIS\MXD\AnaheimHabMitPlan.mxd (3/23/2020)

Biological Study Area

CSS Habitat Mitigation Areas

Project Limits

Yorba Linda Boulevard Widening Project

City of Anaheim Habitat Mitigation Plan





SOURCE: Nearmap (9/23/19)



Temporary Impact

Sample Pits

Wetland Waters of the U.S.

Nonwetland Waters of the U.S.



I:\HNT1901\GIS\MXD\JD\_USACE\_Impacts.mxd (4/3/2020)

Yorba Linda Boulevard Widening Project Potential Impacts to USACE 404 Jurisdictional Waters of the U.S.



Temporary Impact

Jurisdictional Delineation Study Area

Permanent Impact

CDFW 1602 Jurisdiction

Nonriparian Streambed

Riparian Vegetation in Streambed



I:\HNT1901\GIS\MXD\JD\_CDFW\_Impacts.mxd (4/3/2020)

A N

FEET

SOURCE: Nearmap (9/23/19)

Yorba Linda Boulevard Widening Project Potential Impacts to CDFW 1602 Jurisdictional Waters



### **APPENDIX B**

### **REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOS**



Ornamental landscaping and developed areas are found throughout the project area. Photo taken from the southern end of Weir Canyon Road, looking north. November 7, 2019.



View of coast live oak woodland adjacent to Old Canal Road, looking west. November 7, 2019.

LSA

APPENDIX B Page 1 of 5



Ruderal herbaceous vegetation adjacent to the Santa Ana River active channel east of the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge, looking west. November 7, 2019.



View of the pier walls and cottonwood-willow riparian forest on the east side of the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge, looking south. The proposed project will require lengthening of the pier walls. November 7, 2019.

LSA

APPENDIX B Page 2 of 5



View of the existing habitat enhancement area at the intersection of Yorba Linda Boulevard and La Palma Avenue, looking southwest. November 7, 2019.



View of the Santa Ana River looking east from the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge. November 7, 2019.

LSA

APPENDIX B Page 3 of 5



View of the Santa Ana River looking west from beneath the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge. November 7, 2019.



View of the existing bike trail along La Palma Avenue and adjacent mixed coastal sage scrub, looking east. November 7, 2019.

LSA

APPENDIX B Page 4 of 5



View of scrub-chaparral ecotone adjacent to La Palma Avenue, looking east. December 12, 2019.



View of eucalyptus woodland adjacent to La Palma Avenue, looking north. December 12, 2019.

LSA

APPENDIX B Page 5 of 5



### **APPENDIX C**

### PLANT AND ANIMAL SPECIES OBSERVED

#### PLANT SPECIES OBSERVED

\* = introduced species not native to California

#### **G**YMNOSPERMS

#### Pinaceae

\* Pinus spp.

#### **EUDICOTS**

### Adoxaceae

Sambucus nigra ssp. caerulea

#### Aizoaceae

\* Carpobrotus edulis

#### Amaranthaceae

\* Amaranthus albus

#### Anacardiaceae

- Malosma laurina Rhus integrifolia Rhus ovata
- \* Schinus molle
- \* Schinus terebinthifolius Toxicodendron diversilobum

#### Apiaceae

- Conium maculatum Daucus pusillus
- \* Foeniculum vulgare

#### Apocynaceae

- \* Carissa macrocarpa
- \* Nerium oleander
- \* Vinca major

#### Araliaceae

\* Hedera helix

#### Asteraceae

Ambrosia acanthicarpa Ambrosia psilostachya

- Pine Family Pine
- Muskroot Family Blue elderberry
- Iceplant Family Hottentot-fig
- Amaranth Family Tumbling pigweed
- **Sumac Family** 
  - Laurel sumac Lemonade berry Sugar bush Peruvian pepper tree Brazilian pepper tree Poison oak

#### **Carrot Family**

Poison hemlock Rattlesnake weed Sweet fennel

#### **Dogbane Family**

Natal plum Oleander Blue periwinkle

#### Ginseng Family English ivy

Sunflower Family Annual bur-sage Western ragweed Artemisia californica Artemisia douglasiana Baccharis pilularis ssp. consaguinea Baccharis salicifolia ssp. salicifolia

- \* Bidens pilosa
- \* Carduus pycnocephalus
- \* Centaurea melitensis Encelia californica Ericameria palmeri Erigeron canadensis
- \* Gazania linearis
- \* Glebiones coronaria Helianthus annuus
- \* Helminthotheca echiodes Heterotheca grandiflora
- \* Hypochaeris glabra
  Isocoma menziesii var. menziesii
  Lepidospartum squamatum
  Pluchea odorata
  Pseudognaphalium californicum
- \* Pseudognaphalium lutealbum
- \* Pulicaria paludosa
- \* Senecio vulgaris
- \* Silybum marianum
- \* Sonchus sp.
- \* Taraxacum officinale Xanthium strumarium

#### Bignoniaceae

\* Jacaranda mimosifolia

#### Boraginaceae

\* Echium candicans
 Phacelia cicutaria var. hispida

#### Brassicaceae

- \* Brassica nigra
- \* Hirschfeldia incana
- \* Lepidium latifolium
- \* Sisymbrium irio

#### Cactaceae

Opuntia littoralis

California sagebrush Mugwort Coyote brush Mulefat Common beggar-ticks Italian thistle Tocalote California encelia Palmer's goldenbush Common horseweed Gazania Garland chrysanthemum Western sunflower Bristly ox-tongue **Telegraph weed** Smooth cat's-ear Menzies' goldenbush Scale-broom Marsh fleabane California everlasting Weedy cudweed Spanish sunflower Common groundsel Milk thistle Sow-thistle Common dandelion Common cocklebur

#### Trumpet–Creeper Family Jacaranda

#### **Borage Family**

Pride of Madeira Caterpillar phacelia **Mustard Family** Black mustard Shortpod mustard Broad-leaved peppergrass London rocket

#### **Cactus Family**

Coastal prickly pear

## LSA

#### Chenopodiaceae

- \* Bassia hyssopifolia
- \* Chenopodium album
- \* Chenopodium murale
- \* Salsola tragus

#### Convolvulaceae

\* Convolvulus arvensis Cuscuta californica

#### Cucurbitaceae

Cucurbita foetidissima Marah macrocarpus

#### Euphorbiaceae

Croton californicus Croton setigerus

- \* Euphorbia maculata
- \* Euphorbia peplus
- \* Ricinus communis

#### Fabaceae

- Acacia sp.
  Acmispon americanus var. americanus
- \* Medicago polymorpha
- \* Melilotus albus
- \* Melilotus indicus

#### Fagaceae

Quercus agrifolia var. agrifolia

#### Geraniaceae

\* Erodium cicutarium

#### Grossulariaceae

Ribes speciosum

#### Hamamelidaceae

\* Liquidambar styraciflua

#### Lamiaceae

- \* Lamium amplexicaule
- Marrubium vulgare
  Salvia apiana
  Salvia mellifera

#### Malvaceae

- Hibiscus sp.
  Malacothamnus fasciculatus
- \* Malva parviflora

#### **Goosefoot Family**

Five-hook bassia Lamb's quarters Nettle-leaved goosefoot Russian-thistle

#### **Morning-glory Family**

Field bindweed California witch's hair

#### **Gourd Family**

Calabazilla Wild cucumber

#### **Spurge Family**

California croton Doveweed Spotted spurge Petty spurge Castor bean

#### **Legume Family**

Acacia Spanish lotus Common burclover White sweetclover Sourclover

#### **Oak Family**

Coast live oak

#### Geranium Family Redstem filaree

Gooseberry Family

Fuchsia-flowered gooseberry

#### Witch-hazel Family Sweetgum

#### **Mint Family**

Henbit Horehound White sage Black sage

#### **Mallow Family**

Hibiscus Chaparral mallow Cheeseweed



#### Moraceae

- \* Ficus carica
- \* Morus sp.

#### Myrsinaceae

\* Anagallis arvensis

#### Myrtaceae

- \* Callistemon sp.
- \* Eucalyptus spp.
- \* Eucalyptus camaldulensis

#### Nyctaginaceae

\* Bougainvillea sp.

Onagraceae Epilobium ciliatum ssp. ciliatum Oenothera elata ssp. hirsutissima

#### Oxalidaceae

\* Oxalis pes-caprae

Phrymaceae Mimulus aurantiacus

#### Plantaginaceae

- \* Plantago lanceolata
- \* Plantago major
- \* Veronica anagallis-aquatica

#### Platanaceae

Platanus racemosa

#### Plumbaginaceae

\* Limonium perezii

#### Polygonaceae

Eriogonum fasciculatum Persicaria lapathifolia

\* Rumex crispus

Ranunculaceae Clematis ligusticifolia

Rosaceae Heteromeles arbutifolia Rubus ursinus

Salicaceae Populus fremontii ssp. fremontii Salix gooddingii Mulberry Family Edible fig Mulberry

Myrsine Family Scarlet pimpernel

Myrtle Family Bottlebrush Gum trees

River red gum

Four O'clock Family Bougainvillea

#### Evening-primrose Family Green willow-herb Marsh evening primrose

Oxalis Family Bermuda buttercup

Lopseed Family Bush monkey flower

#### Plantain Family English plantain Common plantain

Water speedwell

Sycamore Family Western sycamore

Leadwort Family Perez's sea-lavender

Buckwheat Family California buckwheat Willow weed Curly dock

Buttercup Family Western virgin's bower

Rose Family Toyon California blackberry

Willow Family Fremont cottonwood Goodding's black willow



Salix laevigata Salix lasiolepis

#### Sapindaceae

Cupaniopsis anacardioides

#### Scrophulariaceae

- Myoporum laetum
- \* Verbascum virgatum

#### Simaroubaceae

\* Ailanthus altissima

#### Solanaceae

- Datura wrightii
- \* Nicotiana glauca Solanum douglasii

#### Tamaricaceae

- \* Tamarix sp.
- \* Tamarix ramosissima

#### Tropaeolaceae

\* Tropaeolum majus

#### Ulmaceae

\* Ulmus parvifolia

#### Urticaceae

Urtica dioica ssp. holosericea \* Urtica urens

#### Verbenaceae

\* Lantana camara

Vitaceae Vitis girdiana

#### Μονος

#### Arecaceae

- \* Phoenix canariensis
- \* Washingtonia robusta

#### Cyperaceae

- Carex sp. Cyperus eragrostis
- \* Cyperus involucratus Schoenoplectus californicus

Red willow Arroyo willow

Soapberry Family Carrotwood

Figwort Family Myoporum Wand mullein

Simarouba Family Tree of heaven

#### Nightshade Family

Jimsonweed Tree tobacco Douglas' nightshade

Tamarisk Family Tamarisk Saltcedar

Nasturtium Family Garden nasturtium

Elm Family Chinese elm

#### Nettle Family Hoary nettle Dwarf nettle

Vervain Family Lantana

Grape Family Desert wild grape

Palm Family Canary Island palm Mexican fan palm

#### **Sedge Family**

Sedge Tall umbrella-sedge Africa umbrella-sedge Southern bulrush

#### Poaceae

- \* Arundo donax
- \* Avena barbata
- \* Avena fatua
- \* Brachypodium distachyon
- \* Bromus diandrus
- \* Bromus madritensis ssp. rubens
- \* Cynodon dactylon Distichlis spicata
- \* Echinochloa crus-galli
  Elymus glaucus ssp. glaucus
  Elymus triticoides
- \* Festuca myuros var. myuros
- \* Hordeum murinum ssp. leporinum
- \* Hordeum vulgare
- \* Lamarckia aurea
- \* Paspalum dilatatum
- \* Pennisetum setaceum
- \* Phalaris aquatica
- \* Poa annua
- \* Polypogon monspeliensis
- \* Schismus barbatus
- \* Sorghum halepense

#### Typhaceae

Typha domingensis Typha latifolia

#### **ANIMAL SPECIES OBSERVED**

#### **ANISOPTERA**

#### Libellulidae

Sympetrum corruptum

#### **BIVALVIA**

#### Cyrenidae

\* Corbicula sp.

#### **O**STEICHTHEYS

#### Cyprinidae

\* Cyprinus carpio

#### Grass Family

Giant reed Slender wild oat Wild oat Purple false brome **Ripgut grass** Red brome Bermuda grass Salt grass **Barnyard** grass Blue wildrye Beardless wild-rye Rattail fescue Hare barley Common barley Goldentop Dallis grass Crimson fountain grass Harding grass Annual blue grass Rabbitfoot grass Mediterranean grass Johnson grass

#### **Cattail Family**

Southern cattail Broad-leaved cattail

#### **TYPICAL DRAGONFLIES**

#### Skimmers

Variegated meadowhawk

#### **BIVALVES**

Clams Asian clams

#### **BONY FISHES**

Minnows Common carp



#### REPTILIA

Phrynosomatidae Sceloporus occidentalis Uta stansburiana

#### Aves

Anatidae Spatula cyanoptera Anas platyrhynchos

Odontophoridae Callipepla californica

Podicipedidae Aechmophorus occidentalis

Columbidae Zenaida macroura

Apodidae Aeronautes saxatilis

Trochilidae Calypte anna Selasphorus rufus/sasin

Rallidae Fulica americana

Phalacrocoracidae Phalacrocorax auritus

Ardeidae Egretta thula

Accipitridae Accipiter cooperii Buteo jamaicensis

**Tyrannidae** Sayornis nigricans Sayornis saya

Corvidae Corvus brachyrhynchos

Hirundinidae Petrochelidon pyrrhonota

Aegithalidae Psaltriparus minimus

#### REPTILES

Phrynosomatid Lizards Western fence lizard Common side-blotched lizard

#### BIRDS

Ducks, Geese, and Swans Cinnamon teal Mallard

New World Quail California quail

Grebes Western grebe

Pigeons and Doves Mourning dove

Swifts White-throated swift

Hummingbirds Anna's hummingbird Rufous/Allen's hummingbird

Rails, Gallinules, and Coots American coot

Cormorants Double-crested cormorant

Herons, Bitterns, and Allies Snowy egret

Hawks, Kites, Eagles, and Allies Cooper's hawk Red-tailed hawk

Tyrant Flycatchers Black phoebe Say's phoebe

Crows and Jays American crow

Swallows Cliff swallow

Long-Tailed Tits and Bushtits Bushtit



Troglodytidae Troglodytes aedon

Polioptilidae Polioptila caerulea Polioptila californica californica

Mimidae Mimus polyglottos

Fringillidae Haemorhous mexicanus Spinus psaltria

Passerellidae Melozone crissalis

Parulidae Geothlypis trichas Setophaga coronata

#### MAMMALIA

Molossidae Tadarida brasiliensis

Vespertilionidae Myotis yumanensis

Canidae Canis latrans

Procyonidae Procyon lotor

Cervidae Odocoileus hemionus

#### Wrens

House wren

Gnatcatchers and Gnatwrens Blue-gray gnatcatcher Coastal California gnatcatcher

Mockingbirds and Thrashers Northern mockingbird

Fingilline and Cardueline Finches and Allies House finch Lesser goldfinch

New World Sparrows California towhee

#### Wood Warblers Common yellowthroat Yellow-rumped warbler

#### MAMMALS

Free-Tailed Bats Mexican free-tailed bat

Evening Bats Yuma myotis

Foxes, Wolves, and Allies Coyote

Raccoons and Allies Northern raccoon

Deer, Elk, and Allies Mule deer



### **APPENDIX D**

### SPECIAL-STATUS SPECIES IDENTIFIED AS POTENTIALLY OCCURRING IN THE BIOLOGICAL STUDY AREA

LSA

Common Name	Scientific Name	Status	General Habitat Description	Flowering Period	Likelihood of Occurrence in the BSA and Rationale
Chaparral sand- verbena	Abronia villosa var. aurita	US: - CA: - CNPS: 1B 1	Annual herb. Occurs on sandy soils in chaparral, coastal scrub, and desert dune babitats between 75 and 1 600	January–September	<b>Not expected.</b> While marginally suitable habitat is present within the proposed project vicinity <sup>1</sup> , the one known occurrence was in 1931, and this species
		0.0.0.10.1	m in elevation.		is presumed extirpated.
Braunton's milk- vetch	Astragalus brauntonii	US: FE CA: - CNPS: 1B.1	Perennial herb. Occurs in chaparral, coastal scrub, and valley and foothill grassland in recent burns or disturbed areas, usually in sandstone with carbonate layers between 4 and 640 m in elevation.	January–August	Not expected. While there are known occurrences in the proposed project vicinity, suitable habitat in the BSA is marginal. The portion of the proposed project area with the most suitable soils has been previously developed.
Coulter's saltbush	Atriplex coulteri	US: - CA: - CNPS: 1B.2	Perennial herb. Occurs on alkaline or clay soils in coastal dune, coastal scrub, and valley and foothill grassland habitats up to 460 m in elevation.	March–October	Not expected. There are no known occurrences in the vicinity of the BSA, and only marginally suitable habitat is present in the proposed project area.
South coast saltscale	Atriplex pacifica	US: - CA: - CNPS: 1B.2	Annual herb. Occurs in coastal bluff scrub, coastal dunes, coastal scrub, and playas up to 140 m in elevation.	March–October	Not expected. There are no known occurrences in the vicinity of the BSA, and only marginally suitable habitat is present in the BSA.
Davidson's saltscale	Atriplex serenana var. davidsonii	US: - CA: - CNPS: 1B.2	Annual herb. Found on alkaline soils in coastal bluff scrub and coastal scrub up to 200 m in elevation.	April–October	Not expected. There are no known occurrences in the vicinity of the BSA, and only marginally suitable habitat is present in the BSA.
Malibu baccharis	Baccharis malibuensis	US: - CA: - CNPS: 1B.1	Perennial deciduous shrub. Found in chaparral, cismontane woodland, coastal scrub, and riparian woodland between 150 and 305 m in elevation.	August	<b>Absent.</b> This perennial shrub was not detected during the November 2019 field survey, and the BSA is outside this species' elevation range.
Thread-leaved brodiaea	Brodiaea filifolia	US: FT CA: CE CNPS: 1B.1	Perennial bulbiferous herb. Often occurs on clay, in chaparral (openings), cismontane woodland, coastal scrub, playas, valley and foothill grassland, and vernal pools between 25 and 1,120 m in elevation.	March–June	Not expected. There are no known occurrences in the vicinity of the BSA, and only marginally suitable habitat is present in the BSA.
Intermediate mariposa lily	Calochortus weedii var. intermedius	US: - CA: - CNPS: 1B.2	Perennial bulbiferous herb. Occurs in chaparral, coastal scrub, and valley and foothill grassland. Often in dry, rocky soils. From 120 to 855 m in elevation.	May–July	<b>Low.</b> While there are multiple occurrence records within the vicinity of the BSA, suitable habitat in the BSA is marginal.



Common Name	Scientific Name	Status	General Habitat Description	Flowering Period	Likelihood of Occurrence in the BSA and Rationale
Lucky morning- glory	Calystegia felix	US: - CA: - CNPS: 1B.1	Annual rhizomatous herb. Occurs in meadows, seeps, and alluvial riparian scrub habitats (sometimes alkaline soils) up to 215 m in elevation.	March–September	<b>Low.</b> While there are no known occurrences in the vicinity of the BSA, some suitable habitat is present in the BSA.
Lewis' evening- primrose	Camissoniopsis lewisii	US: - CA: - CNPS: 3	Annual herb. Occurs on sandy and clay soils in coastal scrub, cismontane woodland, and grassland habitats up to 300 m in elevation.	March–May(June)	Not expected. There are no known occurrences in the vicinity of the BSA, and only marginally suitable habitat is present in the BSA.
Southern tarplant	Centromadia parryi ssp. australis	US: - CA: - CNPS: 1B.1	Annual herb. Occurs in vernal pools, margins of marshes and swamps, and vernally mesic valley and foothill grasslands, sometimes in disturbed areas with shallow depressions, such as dirt roads and vacant lots. Up to 427 m in elevation.	May–November	<b>Low.</b> There are no known occurrences in the vicinity of the BSA, and suitable conditions in the study area are marginal.
Smooth tarplant	Centromadia pungens ssp. laevis	US: - CA: - CNPS: 1B.1	Annual herb. Found in chenopod scrub, meadows and seeps, playas, riparian woodland, and valley and foothill grassland in alkaline soils between 0 and 640 m elevation.	April–September	<b>Not expected.</b> There are no known occurrences in the vicinity of the BSA, and suitable habitat is absent from the BSA.
San Fernando Valley spineflower	Chorizanthe parryi var. fernandina	US: FC CA: CE CNPS: 1B.1	Annual herb. Found on sandy soils in coastal scrub and valley and foothill grasslands between 150 to 1,220 m in elevation.	April–July	<b>Not expected.</b> There are no known occurrences in the vicinity of the BSA, and the BSA is outside this species' elevation range.
Long-spined spineflower	Chorizanthe polygonoides var. longispina	US: - CA: - CNPS: 1B.2	Annual herb. Habitat types include chaparral, CSS, meadows and seeps, valley and foothill grassland, and vernal pools. Often occurs in clay soils between 30 and 1,530 m in elevation.	April–July	<b>Low.</b> There is one occurrence record within the vicinity of the BSA, and marginally suitable habitat is present in the BSA.
San Miguel savory	Clinopodium chandleri	US: - CA: - CNPS: 1B.2	Perennial shrub. Occurs on rocky, gabbroic, or metavolcanic soils within chaparral, cismontane woodland, coastal scrub, riparian woodland, and valley and foothill grassland between 120 and 1,075 m in elevation.	March–July	<b>Absent.</b> This perennial shrub was not detected during the November 2019 field survey, and suitable habitat in the BSA is marginal.



Common Name	Scientific Name	Status	General Habitat Description	Flowering Period	Likelihood of Occurrence in the BSA and Rationale
Summer holly	Comarostaphylis diversifolia ssp. diversifolia	US: - CA: - CNPS: 1B.2	Perennial evergreen shrub. Occurs within chaparral and cismontane woodland between 30 and 790 m in elevation.	April–June	<b>Absent.</b> This perennial shrub was not detected during the November 2019 field survey, and suitable habitat is absent from the BSA.
Slender-horned spineflower	Dodecahema leptoceras	US: FE CA: CE CNPS: 1B.1	Annual herb. Found in chaparral, cismontane woodland, and coastal scrub in alluvial fan sandy soils between 200 and 760 m elevation.	April–June	<b>Not expected.</b> There are no known occurrences in the vicinity of the BSA, and the BSA is outside this species' elevation range.
Santa Monica dudleya	Dudleya cymosa ssp. ovatifolia	US: FT CA: - CNPS: 1B.1	Perennial herb. Occurs on volcanic or sedimentary, rocky soils within chaparral and CSS between 150 to 1,675 m in elevation.	March–June	<b>Not expected.</b> There are no known occurrences in the vicinity of the BSA, and the BSA is outside this species' elevation range.
Many-stemmed dudleya	Dudleya multicaulis	US: - CA: - CNPS: 1B.2	Perennial herb. Occurs in chaparral, coastal scrub, and valley and foothill grassland usually in heavy, often clayey soils. From 15 to 790 m in elevation.	April–July	<b>Low.</b> While there are multiple occurrence records within the vicinity of the BSA, suitable habitat in the BSA is marginal.
Santa Ana River woolly-star	Eriastrum densifolium ssp. sanc torum	US: FE CA: CE CNPS: 1B.1	Perennial herb. Occurs on sandy substrates within chaparral and alluvial fan scrub habitats between 91 and 610 m in elevation.	April–September	<b>Low.</b> While there is one occurrence record within the vicinity of the BSA, potentially suitable conditions in the BSA are lacking.
Los Angeles sunflower	Helianthus nuttallii ssp. parishii	US: - CA: - CNPS: 1A	Perennial rhizomatous herb. Occurs within marshes and swamps (coastal salt and freshwater) between 10 and 1,525 m in elevation.	August-October	Not expected. While suitable habitat is present in the BSA, there are no known occurrences in the vicinity of the BSA, and this species is presumed extirpated.
Tecate cypress	Hesperocyparis forbesii	US: - CA: - CNPS: 1B.1	Perennial evergreen tree. Occurs on clay, gabbroic, or metavolcanic soils within close-cone coniferous forest and chaparral between 80 and 1,500 m in elevation.	N/A	<b>Absent.</b> This perennial evergreen tree was not detected during the November 2019 field survey, and suitable habitat is absent from the BSA.
Vernal barley	Hordeum intercedens	US: - CA: - CNPS: 3.2	Annual herb. Occurs in coastal dunes, coastal scrub, valley and foothill grassland (saline flats and depressions), and vernal pools between 5 and 1,000 m in elevation.	March–June	Not expected. There are no known occurrences in the vicinity of the BSA, and only marginally suitable habitat is present in the BSA.



Common Name	Scientific Name	Status	General Habitat Description	Flowering Period	Likelihood of Occurrence in the BSA and Rationale
Mesa horkelia	Horkelia cuneata var. puberula	US: - CA: - CNPS: 1B.1	Perennial herb. Occurs on sandy and gravelly soils in chaparral, cismontane woodland, and coastal scrub habitats between 70 and 810 m in elevation	February–September	<b>Low.</b> Although there is one known occurrence in the vicinity of the BSA, suitable habitat in the BSA is marginal.
Coulter's goldfields	Lasthenia glabrata ssp. coulteri	US: - CA: - CNPS: 1B.1	Annual herb. Occurs in marshes and swamps, playas, and vernal pools up to 1,220 m in elevation.	February–June	<b>Low.</b> There are no known occurrences in the vicinity of the BSA, and suitable habitat present in the BSA is highly disturbed.
Mud nama	Nama stenocarpa	US: - CA: - CNPS: 2B.2	Annual/perennial herb. Occurs in marshes and swamps (lake margins, riverbanks) between 5 and 500 m in elevation.	January–July	<b>Low.</b> There are no known occurrences in the vicinity of the BSA, and suitable habitat present in the BSA is highly disturbed.
Gambel's water cress	Nasturtium gambelii	US: FE CA: CT CNPS: 1B.1	Perennial rhizomatous herb. Occurs in marshes and swamps (freshwater or brackish) between 5 and 330 m in elevation.	April–October	Not Expected. There are no known occurrences in the vicinity of the BSA, and suitable habitat present in the BSA is highly disturbed.
Chaparral nolina	Nolina cismontana	US: - CA: - CNPS: 1B.2	Perennial evergreen shrub. Habitat type includes chaparral and coastal scrub. Occurs on sandstone or gabbro soils between 140 and 1,275 m in elevation.	March–July	<b>Absent.</b> This perennial evergreen shrub was not detected during the November 2019 field survey, and the BSA is outside this species' elevation range.
Allen's pentachaeta	Pentachaeta aurea ssp. allenii	US: - CA: - CNPS: 1B.1	Annual herb. Occurs in chaparral and coastal scrub openings and valley grassland habitats from 75 to 520 m in elevation.	March–June	<b>Low.</b> There are no known occurrences in the vicinity of the BSA, and only marginally suitable habitat is present in the BSA.
White rabbit- tobacco	Pseudognaphalium leucocephalum	US: - CA: - CNPS: 2B.S	Perennial herb. Found in chaparral, cismontane woodland, coastal scrub, and riparian woodland between 0 and 2,100 m elevation in sandy, gravelly soils.	July–November	<b>Low.</b> While there is one known occurrence in the vicinity of the BSA, suitable habitat in the BSA marginal. This species was not detected during the November 2019 field survey.
Chaparral ragwort	Senecio aphanactis	US: - CA: - CNPS: 2B.2	Annual herb. Sometimes occurs on alkaline soils. Occurs in chaparral, cismontane, and coastal scrub habitats between 15 and 800 m in elevation.	January–April	Not expected. There are no known occurrences in the vicinity of the BSA, and only marginally suitable habitat is present in the BSA.



Common Name	Scientific Name	Status	General Habitat Description	Flowering Period	Likelihood of Occurrence in the BSA and Rationale
Salt spring	Sidalcea	US: -	Perennial herb. Found in alkaline and	March–June	Not expected. There are no known occurrences in
checkerbloom	neomexicana	CA: -	mesic soils within chaparral, coastal		the vicinity of the BSA, and only marginally suitable
		CNPS: 2B.2	scrub, lower montane coniferous		habitat is present in the BSA.
			forest, Mojavean desert scrub, and		
			playas from 15 to 1,530 m in		
			elevation.		
Estuary sea-blite	Suaeda esteroa	US: -	Perennial herb. Found in marshes	(May) July–October	Not Expected. There are no known occurrences in
		CA: -	and swamps (coastal salt) up to 5 m	(January)	the BSA, and suitable habitat is absent from the BSA.
		CNPS: 1B.2	in elevation.		
San Bernardino	Symphyotrichum	US: -	Perennial rhizomatous herb. Occurs	July–November	Low. There are no known occurrences in the vicinity
aster	defoliatum	CA: -	near ditches, springs, and streams in		of the BSA, and suitable habitat present in the BSA is
		CNPS: 1B.2	cismontane woodland, coastal scrub,		highly disturbed.
			lower montane coniferous forest,		
			meadows and seeps, mashes and		
			swamps, and grasslands between 2		
			and 2,040 m in elevation.		

Source: Compiled by LSA Associates Inc. (2020).

<sup>1</sup> Biological Study Area= proposed project limits plus a 3 mi buffer

Listing Status or Designations for special-status plant species:

Status: Federal Endangered (FE), Federal Threatened (FT), Federal Candidate (FC), Federal Proposed (FP, FPE, FPT), Federal Delisted (FD), California Endangered (CE), California Threatened (CT), California Species of Special Concern (SSC), California Fully Protected Species (CFP), California Special Plant (CSP), California Special Animal (CSA), NCCP Identified Species (IS), NCCP Target Species (TS), NCCP Conditionally Covered Species (CCS), S1 = Critically Imperiled, S2 = Imperiled, S3 = Vulnerable, S4 = Apparently Secure

CA = California

ft = foot/feet

#### **CNPS** Designations:

1A = presumed extirpated in California and either rare or extinct elsewhere

1B = rare, threatened, or endangered in California and elsewhere

2B = rare, threatened, or endangered in California but not elsewhere

3 = not very endangered in California

4 = plants of limited distribution—watch list

#### Abbreviation/Acronym Definitions:

m = meter/meters CNDDB = California Natural Diversity Database mi = mile/miles CNPS = California Native Plant Society N/A = not applicableCSS = coastal sage scrub NCCP = Natural Communities Conservation Plan US = United States



Common Name	Scientific Name	Status Listing	Habitat and Comments	Likelihood of Occurrence in the BSA and Rationale
			INVERTEBRATES	
San Diego fairy shrimp	Branchinecta sandiegonensis	US: FE CA: -	Endemic to vernal pools in Orange and San Diego Counties. Usually appears in late fall, winter, and spring when rains fill the small, shallow, seasonal pools.	<b>Not Expected.</b> There are no occurrence records in the BSA <sup>1</sup> , and suitable habitat is absent from the BSA.
Crotch bumble bee	Bombus crotchii	US: - CA: CE	Nectars on Antirrhinum, Phacelia, Clarkia, Dendromecon, Eschscholzia, and Eriogonum in coastal California east to the Sierra-Cascade crest and south into Mexico.	<b>Moderate.</b> While there are no occurrence records in the BSA, suitable habitat and food plants are present.
Quino checkerspot butterfly	Euphydryas editha quino	US: FE CA: -	Meadows or openings within CSS or chaparral below about 5,000 ft where food plants ( <i>Plantago erecta</i> and/or <i>Orthocarpus purpurascens</i> ) are present. Currently known only from southwestern Riverside County, southern San Diego County, and northern Baja California.	<b>Not Expected.</b> Not found in Orange County since the 1930s and believed to be extirpated.
Riverside fairy shrimp	Streptocephalus woottoni	US: FE CA: -	Restricted to deep vernal pools and ponds within annual grasslands, which may be interspersed with chaparral or CSS vegetation.	<b>Not Expected.</b> There are no occurrence records in the BSA, and suitable habitat is absent from the BSA.
			FISH	•
Santa Ana sucker	Catostomus santaanae	US: FT CA: -	Occurs in shallow portions of rivers and streams. Prefers coarse substrates consisting of gravel, rubble, and boulders with growths of algae.	<b>Presumed Present.</b> There are multiple occurrence records in the BSA, and suitable habitat is present in the BSA. Because fish surveys were not conducted during the November and December 2019 field surveys, this species is presumed present.
Arroyo chub	Gila orcuttii	US: - CA: SSC	Inhabits sandy and muddy bottoms of flowing pools and runs of headwaters creeks and small to medium rivers. Often found in intermittent streams.	Low. There are no known occurrences in the vicinity of the BSA, although marginally suitable habitat is present in the BSA.
Steelhead—Southern California DPS	Oncorhynchus mykiss irideus pop. 10	US: FE CA: -	Requires streams with adequate dissolved oxygen. Spawning habitat consists of gravel substrates free of excessive silt.	<b>Not Expected.</b> While there is suitable habitat within the BSA, this species is presumed extirpated below the Prado Dam.
Santa Ana speckled dace	Rhinichthys osculus ssp. 3	US: - CA: SSC	Found mainly in perennial streams fed by cool springs. Prefers substrates dominated by gravel and cobble.	<b>Not Expected.</b> There are no occurrence records in the BSA, and suitable habitat is absent from the BSA.
		-	AMPHIBIANS	
Arroyo toad	Anaxyrus californicus	US: FE CA: SSC	Prefers shallow pools and open, sandy stream terraces with cottonwoods, oaks, or willows. Gravel or cobbles may be a part of its habitat, but fine sand is essential, since adults and juveniles burrow or overwinter on sandy terraces.	<b>Low.</b> While there are no known occurrences in the vicinity of the BSA, some suitable habitat is present in the BSA.



Common Name	Scientific Name	Status Listing	Habitat and Comments	Likelihood of Occurrence in the BSA and Rationale
Northern leopard frog (native populations only)	Lithobates pipiens	US: - CA: SSC	Aquatic habitats with permanent or semipermanent water, submerged and emergent aquatic vegetation, and shoreline cover. Native range is east of the Sierra Nevada/Cascade crest. Not native to Southern California.	Low. While there are no known occurrences in the vicinity of the BSA, some suitable habitat is present in the BSA. However, this species is not native to Southern California.
Western spadefoot	Spea hammondii	US: - CA: SSC	Occurs primarily in grassland and other relatively open habitats. Found in elevations ranging from sea level to 4,500 ft. Requires temporary pools for breeding.	Low. There are no known occurrences in the BSA, and suitable habitat is absent from the BSA However, suitable seasonal pond habitat is present adjacent to the BSA.
Coast Range newt	Taricha torosa	US: - CA: SSC	Associated with woodlands that are often interspersed with grasslands and chaparral. Breeding takes place in streams, ponds, lakes, and reservoirs. Breeding takes place from December to May. Estivation occurs in underground retreats and perhaps in rotting logs from July to early fall.	<b>Low.</b> While there are no known occurrences in the vicinity of the BSA, some suitable habitat is present in the BSA.
			REPTILES	
California glossy snake	Arizona elegans	US: - CA: SSC	Found in a wide variety of habitat types, including open desert, grass land, shrublands, chaparrals, and woodlands. Records show that this species occurs in relatively open patches in a surrounding matrix of denser vegetation.	<b>Low.</b> While there are no known occurrences in the vicinity of the BSA, some suitable habitat is present in the BSA.
Southern California legless lizard	Anniella stebbinsi	US: - CA: SSC	Found in a wide variety of habitat types, including sandy washes, alluvial fans, sparsely vegetated desert scrub, chaparral, and pine-oak woodlands. Requires moisture and leaf litter/surface objects. Most prevalent in coastal dune habitats in coastal counties to Baja California.	<b>Low.</b> While there are no known occurrences in the vicinity of the BSA, some suitable habitat is present in the BSA.
Coastal whiptail	Aspidoscelis tigris stejnegeri	US: - CA: SSC	Found in a variety of ecosystems, primarily hot and dry open areas with sparse foliage within chaparral, woodland, and riparian areas.	<b>Low.</b> While there are no known occurrences in the vicinity of the BSA, some suitable habitat is present in the BSA.
San Diego banded gecko	Coleonyx variegatus abbotti	US: - CA: SSC	Prefers rocky areas in coastal sage and chaparral. Hides in burrows or under surface objects during daylight.	<b>Low.</b> While there are no known occurrences in the vicinity of the BSA, some suitable habitat is present in the BSA.
Red-diamond rattlesnake	Crotalus ruber	US: - CA: SSC	Associated with chaparral, woodland, grassland, and desert communities from Los Angeles County to Baja California Sur. Prefers rocky areas with dense vegetation. Needs rodent burrows, cracks in rocks, or surface cover objects for shelter.	<b>Moderate.</b> There are multiple known occurrences in the vicinity of the BSA, and some suitable habitat is present in the BSA.



Common Name	Scientific Name	Status Listing	Habitat and Comments	Likelihood of Occurrence in the BSA and Rationale
Western pond turtle	Emys marmorata	US: - CA: SSC	Occurs in a variety of habitats, including woodland, grassland, and open forest. Thoroughly aquatic, existing in good-quality ponds, marshes, rivers, streams, and irrigation ditches that have rocky or muddy bottoms. Requires basking sites such as partially submerged logs, vegetation mats, or open mud banks.	<b>Low.</b> While there are no known occurrences in the vicinity of the BSA, some suitable habitat is present in the BSA.
Coast horned lizard	Phrynosoma blainvillii	US: - CA: SSC	Occurs in CSS, open chaparral, riparian woodland, and annual grassland habitats that support adequate prey species.	<b>Moderate.</b> There are known occurrence records in the BSA, and some suitable habitat is present in the BSA.
Coast patch-nosed	Salvadora hexalepis	US: -	Occupies desert scrub, coastal chaparral, washes, sandy flats, and	Low. While there are no known occurrences in the
snake	virgultea	CA: SSC	rocky areas.	vicinity of the BSA, some suitable habitat is present in the BSA.
Two-striped gartersnake	Thamnophis hammondii	US: - CA: SSC	Aquatic-feeding specialist, inhabiting permanent and intermittent drainages of the seasonally arid regions of southwest California. Prefers watercourses with good riparian stands; feeds on aquatic invertebrates.	<b>Low.</b> While there are no known occurrences in the vicinity of the BSA, some suitable habitat is present in the BSA.
			BIRDS	•
Tricolored blackbird (nesting colony)	Agelaius tricolor	US: - CA: SSC	Highly colonial nester largely endemic to California. Most numerous in the Central Valley and vicinity. Requires open water, protected nesting substrate, and a foraging area with insect prey within a few kilometers of the colony.	<b>Low.</b> While there are no known occurrences in the vicinity of the BSA, some suitable habitat is present in the BSA.
Grasshopper sparrow (nesting)	Ammodramus savannarum	US: - CA: SSC	Occurs in dense grasslands, preferring native grasslands with a mixture of forbs and shrubs.	Not Expected. While there is one known occurrence in the vicinity of the BSA, suitable habitat in the BSA is absent.
Long-eared owl (nesting)	Asio otus	US: - CA: SSC	Rare resident in Southern California coastal and foothill areas and uncommon resident in desert areas. Dense willow-riparian woodland and oak woodland. Breeds from valley foothill hardwood up to ponderosa pine habitat.	<b>Low.</b> While there are no known occurrences in the vicinity of the BSA, some suitable habitat is present in the BSA.
Golden eagle (nesting & wintering)	Aquila chrysaetos	US: FP CA: -	Generally open country of the Temperate Zone worldwide. Nesting primarily in rugged mountainous country. Uncommon resident in Southern California.	Not Expected. There are no known occurrences in the BSA, and suitable habitat is absent from the BSA.
Burrowing owl (burrow sites and some wintering sites)	Athene cunicularia	US: - CA: SSC	Burrows in open, dry, annual or perennial grasslands; deserts; and scrublands characterized by low-growing vegetation. Subterranean nester, dependent upon burrowing mammals, most notably the California ground squirrel.	<b>Not Expected.</b> There are no known occurrences in the BSA, and suitable habitat is absent from the BSA.
Swainson's hawk (nesting)	Buteo swainsoni	US: - CA: CT	Found in open habitats (e.g., grasslands, sage flats, and prairies) in western North America; migrates south to Argentina during the winter.	<b>Not Expected.</b> There are no known occurrences in the BSA, and suitable habitat is absent from the BSA.



Common Name	Scientific Name	Status Listing	Habitat and Comments	Likelihood of Occurrence in the BSA and Rationale
Coastal cactus wren	Campylorhynchus	US: -	Occurs in CSS habitats. Requires tall Opuntia cactus for nesting and	Low. While there are known occurrences within the
	brunneicapillus	CA: SSC	roosting.	BSA, suitable cactus habitat in the BSA is absent.
	sandiegensis			Marginal cactus habitat exists adjacent to the BSA.
Northern harrier	Circus hudsonius	US: -	Breed in wide-open habitats. Nests are concealed on the ground in	Low. There are no known occurrences in the
(nesting)		CA: SSC	grasses or wetland vegetation.	vicinity of the BSA, although limited suitable
				habitat is present within the BSA.
Western yellow-billed	Coccyzus americanus	US: FT	Nests in riparian forests along the broad lower flood-bottoms of larger	Moderate. There are known occurrence records in
cuckoo (nesting)	occidentalis	CA: CE	river systems. Nests in riparian jungles of willow, often mixed with	the BSA, and some suitable habitat is present in the
			cottonwoods with an understory of blackberry, nettle, or grape.	BSA.
Yellow rail	Coturnicops	US: -	Occurs in shallow marshes and wet meadows. During winter, may	Low. While there are no known occurrences in the
	noveboracensis	CA: SSC	occupy drier freshwater and brackish marshes as well as dense, deep	vicinity of the BSA, some suitable habitat is present
			grass and rice fields.	in the BSA.
White-tailed kite	Elanus leucurus	US: -	Breeds in riparian trees such as oaks, willows, and cottonwoods in	Moderate. There are known occurrence records in
(nesting)		CA: CFP	lower-elevation areas, particularly coastal valleys and plains.	the BSA, and some suitable nesting habitat is
				present in the BSA.
Southwestern willow	Empidonax traillii	US: FE	Occurs in relatively dense riparian tree and shrub communities	Moderate. There are known occurrence records in
flycatcher (nesting)	extimus	CA: CE	associated with rivers, swamps, and other wetlands including lakes	the BSA, and some suitable habitat is present in the
			and reservoirs.	BSA.
American peregrine	Falco peregrinus	US: FD	Occurs in open habitats, usually near water. Generally requires cliffs,	Not Expected. There are no known occurrences of
falcon (nesting)	anatum	CA: CFP	very tall buildings, or similar situations for nesting.	this species in the BSA, and suitable nesting habitat
				is absent from the BSA. Moderate potential of
				foraging in the BSA.
Bald eagle (nesting	Haliaeetus	US: FD	lypically nests in forested areas adjacent to large bodies of water. May	<b>Low.</b> There are no known occurrences in the
and wintering)	leucocephalus	CA: CE	congregate around fish-processing plants and dumps, and below dams	vicinity of the BSA, and suitable habitat in the BSA
Vallau, husested shat	lataria vizana	110.	where fish concentrate.	is marginal.
(posting)	icteria virens	05: -	Live in thickets and other dense, regrowing areas such as bramble	the DCA and some suitable babitat is present in the
(nesting)		CA: SSC	bushes, clearcuts, power line corritors, and shrubs along streams.	
California black rail	Laterallus igmaiconsis		Nosts in tidal salt marshes, shallow freshwater marshes, wet	Low There are no known occurrences in the
	coturniculus	03. FP	meadows, and flooded grassy vegetation	vicinity of the BSA, and limited suitable babitat is
	coturniculus	CA. CI	meadows, and housed grassy vegetation.	nresent in the BSA
Belding's savannah	Passerculus	115	Breeds in open areas with low vegetation. Usually found in open	Not Expected There are no known occurrences in
sparrow	sandwichensis heldinai	CA: CF	meadows nastures edges of marshes alfalfa fields and nastures	the BSA and suitable babitat is absent from the
sparrow	sunumenensis setungi	C/ L. CL		BSA.
Coastal California	Polioptila californica	US: FT	Obligate, permanent resident of CSS habitats below 2.500 ft in	Present. There are many known occurrences within
gnatcatcher	californica	CA: SSC	elevation in Southern California.	the BSA, suitable habitat is present in the BSA, and
				this species was observed during the November
				2019 field survey.



Common Name	Scientific Name	Status Listing	Habitat and Comments	Likelihood of Occurrence in the BSA and Rationale
Light-footed Ridgway's	Rallus obsoletus	US: FE	Found primarily in coastal salt marshes but can also be found in	Low. There are no known occurrences in the
rail	levipes	CA: CE	brackish and freshwater cattail or bulrush marshes.	vicinity of the BSA, and limited suitable habitat is
				present in the BSA.
Yellow warbler	Setophaga petechia	US: -	Requires habitats with riparian plant associations in close proximity to	Moderate. While there are no known occurrences
(nesting)		CA: SSC	water. Also nests in montane shrubbery in open conifer forests.	in the vicinity of the BSA, some suitable habitat is
			Frequently found nesting and foraging in willow shrubs and thickets	present in the BSA.
			and in other riparian plants, including cottonwoods.	
Least Bell's vireo	Vireo bellii pusillus	US: FE	Occurs in moist thickets and riparian areas that are predominantly	High. There are many known occurrence records in
(nesting)		CA: CE	composed of willow and mulefat.	the BSA, and suitable habitat is present in the BSA.
	-		MAMMALS	
Pallid bat	Antrozous pallidus	US: -	Roosts in a variety of places but favors rocky outcrops. Also occurs in	Not Expected. There are no known occurrences in
		CA: SSC	oak and pine forested areas and open farmland. Can be found roosting	the BSA, and suitable habitat is absent from the
			in caves, rock crevices, mines, hollow trees, and buildings.	BSA
Northwestern San	Chaetodipus fallax	US: -	Found in sandy herbaceous areas, usually associated with rocks or	Low. There are no known occurrences in the
Diego pocket mouse	fallax	CA: SSC	coarse gravel in coastal scrub, chaparral, grasslands, and sagebrush,	vicinity of the BSA, and limited suitable habitat is
			from Los Angeles County through southwestern San Bernardino,	present in the BSA.
			western Riverside, and San Diego Counties to northern Baja California.	
Mexican long-tongued	Choeronycteris	US: -	Occasionally found in San Diego County. Feeds on nectar and pollen of	Not Expected. There are no known occurrences in
bat	mexicana	CA: SSC	night-blooming succulents. Roosts in relatively well-lit caves as well as	the BSA, and suitable habitat is absent from the
			in and around buildings.	BSA.
Stephens' kangaroo	Dipodomys stephensi	US: FE	Found in open grasslands and CSS habitats. Prefers sparse perennial	Low. There are no known occurrences in the
rat		CA: CT	plant cover. Requires soft, well-drained substrates for building	vicinity of the BSA, and limited suitable habitat is
			burrows and is typically found in areas with sandy soil.	present in the BSA.
Western mastiff bat	Eumops perotis	US: -	Inhabits many open, semiarid to arid habitats including conifer and	Low. There are no known occurrences in the
	californicus	CA: SSC	deciduous woodlands, coastal scrub, grasslands, and chaparral	vicinity of the BSA, and limited suitable habitat is
			communities. Roosts in crevices in cliff faces, high buildings, trees, and	present in the BSA.
			tunnels.	
Western yellow bat	Lasiurus xanthinus	US: -	Occurs in Southern California in palm oases and in residential areas	Low. There are no known occurrences in the
		CA: SSC	with untrimmed palm trees. Roosts primarily in trees, especially the	vicinity of the BSA, and limited suitable habitat is
			dead fronds of palm trees. Forages over water and among trees.	present in the BSA.
San Diego desert	Neotoma lepida	US: -	Found in open habitats from desert to CSS. Feeds on succulent plants,	Low. There are no known occurrences in the
woodrat	intermedia	CA: SSC	including stems and pad of cholla and prickly pear cactus and leaves of	vicinity of the BSA, and there is little suitable
Deal at a d face a table d	N	110	yucca.	foraging habitat in the BSA.
Pocketed free-tailed	Nyctinomops	05: -	Spotty distribution in California, ranging from Southern California	<b>Not Expected.</b> There are no known occurrences in
bat	jemorasaccus	CA: SSC	south to the Baja Peninsula, and through southwestern Arizona to at	the BSA, and suitable habitat is absent from the
			reast central iviexico. In California, typically found in rocky, desert	BSA.
1	1	1	areas with relatively flight tills.	



Common Name	Scientific Name	Status Listing	Habitat and Comments	Likelihood of Occurrence in the BSA and Rationale
Southern grasshopper	Onychomys torridus	US: -	Nests in small burrows; burrows are often deserted by other rodents.	Not Expected. There are no known occurrences in
mouse	ramona	CA: SSC	Found in shortgrass prairies and desert scrub. Prefers xeric areas at	the BSA, and suitable habitat is absent from the
			low elevations.	BSA.
Pacific pocket mouse	Perognathus	US: FE	Occurs in chaparral or shrubland with firm sandy soil. Lives on fine-	Not Expected. There are no known occurrences in
	longimembris pacificus	CA: SSC	grain, sandy substrates and historically inhabited coastal dunes, river	the vicinity of the BSA, and suitable habitat is
			alluvium, and sage scrub habitats growing on marine terraces within	absent from the BSA.
			approximately 2.4 mi of the ocean.	
Southern California	Sorex ornatus	US: -	Occurs in coastal marshes with dense vegetative ground cover. Nesting	Not Expected. There are no known occurrences in
saltmarsh shrew	salicornicus	CA: SSC	sites are located above the high-tide line.	the BSA, and suitable habitat is absent from the
				BSA.

Source: Compiled by LSA Associates Inc. (2020).

<sup>1</sup> Biological Study Area = proposed project limits plus a 3 mi buffer

Listing Status or Designations for special-status animal species:

Status: Federal Endangered (FE), Federal Threatened (FT), Federal Candidate (FC), Federal Proposed (FP, FPE, FPT), Federal Delisted (FD), California Endangered (CE), California Threatened (CT), California Species of Special Concern (SSC), California Fully Protected Species (CFP), California Special Plant (CSP), California Special Animal (CSA)

Abbreviation/Acronym Definitions:

- CA = California
- CSS = coastal sage scrub
- DPS = Distinct population segments
- ft = foot/feet
- mi = mile/miles
- US = United States



### **APPENDIX E**

### **BAT ROOSTING HABITAT SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT AND NIGHTTIME SURVEY REPORT**

# LSA

CARLSBAD FRESNO IRVINE LOS ANGELES PALM SPRINGS POINT RICHMOND RIVERSIDE ROSEVILLE SAN LUIS OBISPO

#### MEMORANDUM

DATE:	January 20, 2020
то:	Pat Somerville, HNTB Corporation
FROM:	Jill Carpenter, LSA Senior Biologist/Bat Specialist
SUBJECT:	Bat Roosting Habitat Suitability Assessment and Nighttime Survey at the Yorba Linda Boulevard Bridge over the Santa Ana River for the Yorba Linda Boulevard Widening Project, Orange County, California

This memorandum documents the results of a bat roosting habitat suitability assessment and follow-up nighttime survey conducted at the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge over the Santa Ana River for the Yorba Linda Boulevard Widening Project (project) in Orange County, California. The bat roosting habitat suitability assessment was conducted to ascertain whether any features suitable for use by roosting bats (including maternity colonies) are present within the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge, and a follow-up nighttime survey was performed to determine whether bats are roosting within any suitable features observed. In addition to providing the results of the habitat suitability assessment and nighttime emergence survey, this memorandum includes recommendations to minimize potential impacts to bats from activities associated with the proposed widening of the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge over the Santa Ana River.

#### **Project Summary**

The City of Yorba Linda, as the Lead Agency under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), in cooperation with the City of Anaheim, is proposing roadway improvements along Yorba Linda Boulevard as well as a bicycle and pedestrian improvements along La Palma Avenue and Yorba Linda Boulevard, which includes widening the bridge over the Santa Ana River. The proposed bike path would also extend along La Palma Avenue and would connect with the existing SART. The proposed project would improve corridor operations and provide additional storage for turning movements, as the intersections within the project limits have inadequate vehicle storage due to short turn pocket lengths. Improvements within the project limits include intersection and lane reconfiguration, restriping, and roadway widening.

The proposed project includes other improvements such as excavation, paving, curbs and gutters, grading, drainage, curb ramps, utility relocations, signing and striping, street lighting, traffic signal modifications, retaining walls, and landscaping.

#### **BAT NATURAL HISTORY AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK**

Bats are the primary predators of nocturnal flying insects and are largely adapted to a variety of habitats. Bat populations are generally declining throughout Southern California due to various factors, including loss of natural roosting and foraging habitats, exposure to pesticides and pathogens, and extermination (Johnston et al. 2004; Miner and Stokes 2005). Because bats have low reproductive turnover (most species have only one young per year, and only a few species have twins or multiple births) and high juvenile mortality, it can take many years for a colony of bats to recover from any impacts that result in mortality or even in a decrease in reproductive ability. As natural roost sites become scarcer due to urban development and changes in land use, the use of human-made structures (e.g., bridges, culverts, and buildings) for roost sites by some bat species has increased as bats seek alternative roosting options (Keeley and Tuttle 1999; Erickson et al. 2003). The importance and ecological value of anthropogenic structures as roosts has consequently increased to the point that many of these "artificial" roost sites are becoming essential to the survival of local bat populations (Johnston et al. 2004; Smith and Stevenson 2013). However, these human-made roosting sites are also highly vulnerable because bats may be driven out or killed once they are discovered occupying these structures. Therefore, as urban and suburban development occurs across the landscape, many of these areas may act as habitat "sinks"<sup>1</sup> where bats may at first appear to be relatively common and may even be attracted to human-made structures, but then decrease in abundance over time as urbanization of that area continues (Miner and Stokes 2005; Remington 2000). The protection of bat roosting habitat, particularly habitat identified as maternity or nursery sites, is vitally important in preventing adverse effects to, and further loss of, remaining bat populations.

Day roosts protect bats from predators and the elements during the day while they are resting and/ or rearing their young. Examples of day-roosting sites include, but are not limited to, human-made structures, trees, cliff or rock crevices, caves, and mines. In human-made structures (e.g., bridges and culverts), day roosts are typically in expansion joints, hinges, or other crevices. Some types of day roosts where bats are particularly vulnerable to disturbance include maternity colonies, where female bats congregate in the spring and summer months to give birth and raise young, and hibernacula, where bats enter a period of hibernation during the winter months. A night roost, on the other hand, refers to a structure or structural feature (natural or human-made) in which bats roost during the evening between foraging bouts. Examples of night roosts include crevices, cavities, corners, and recessed open spaces that are sheltered from the wind. Night roosts are typically situated in or near a foraging area and play an important role in the energetics and social interaction of bats. When a night roost is eliminated, the energetics for bats to successfully use the surrounding foraging area may be negatively affected. Day roosts may also double as night roosts, particularly if they are situated in or near a foraging area.

Many bats use crevices or hollow cavities in bridges and culverts as day roosts and/or the open spaces between bridge beams or girders for night roosting. Bat species that commonly use humanmade structures for day and/or night roosting include the Mexican free-tailed bat (*Tadarida brasiliensis*), big brown bat (*Eptesicus fuscus*), pallid bat (*Antrozous pallidus*), and Yuma myotis

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A habitat sink refers to an area where the productivity of a given species is insufficient to offset mortality.

(*Myotis yumanensis*). Other species that may use these types of roosts occasionally include the western small-footed myotis (*Myotis ciliolabrum*), California myotis (*Myotis californicus*), western mastiff bat (*Eumops perotis californicus*), canyon bat (*Parastrellus hesperus*), and Townsend's bigeared bat (*Corynorhinus townsendii*). Because bats have separate roosting and foraging habitat requirements, it is expected that some bats may use one area for foraging and another for roosting. While more extensive and direct impacts to bats occur through roost removal, destruction, or disturbance, indirect impacts (e.g., decline of the prey base due to loss or modification of foraging habitat) can also be substantial. Therefore, when one is assessing an area with regard to proposed alterations to habitat, a landscape-level approach is required to adequately determine potential impacts to bats.

Various regulations afford protections to bats, which are classified as indigenous nongame mammal species regardless of their status under the California or Federal Endangered Species Acts. These regulations include Title 14, Section 251.1, of the California Code of Regulations, which prohibits harassment (defined in that section as an intentional act that disrupts an animal's normal behavior patterns, including breeding, feeding, or sheltering) of nongame mammals (e.g., bats), and California Fish and Game Code Section 4150, which prohibits "take"<sup>1</sup> or possession of all nongame mammals or parts thereof. Any activities resulting in bat mortality (e.g., the destruction of an occupied bat roost that results in the death of bats), disturbance that causes the loss of a maternity colony of bats (resulting in the death of young), or various modes of nonlethal pursuit or capture may be considered "take" as defined in Section 86 of the California Fish and Game Code. In addition, impacts to bat maternity colonies, which are considered native wildlife nursery sites, could be considered potentially significant under the California Environmental Quality Act.

#### **METHODS**

#### **Daytime Habitat Assessment**

During the daylight hours on September 12, 2019, LSA Senior Biologist and bat specialist Jill Carpenter, accompanied by LSA Assistant Biologist Jessica Lieuw, conducted a daytime bat habitat suitability assessment to evaluate whether roosting habitat for bats was present at the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge. For purposes of this bat habitat suitability assessment, the study area was confined primarily to the bridge structure but also included existing trees immediately adjacent to the bridge. During the habitat assessment, the structure was visited on foot, and potential bat roosting sites were identified by examining the sides and underside of the bridge with a highpowered light-emitting diode (LED) spotlight for any structural features such as crevices or recessed spaces that may be suitable for use as day- or night-roosting habitat. Structural features suitable for day-roosting bats include crevices (e.g., hinges or expansion joints, weep holes, or cavities), while structural features used by night-roosting bats include features suitable for day roosting as well as recessed areas (e.g., concrete girders that can trap warm air, or the walls of concrete box culverts). The structure was also inspected for the presence of bats or any bat sign (e.g., guano, urine staining, or vocalizations) indicating current or past use of an area by roosting bats. Locations containing

1/20/20 «P:\HNT1901.02 - Yorba Linda\Bio\Biological Resources Report\Appendix E - Bat Report\Bat Study Memo\_final.docx»

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Take is defined in Section 86 of the Fish and Game Code as "hunt, pursue, catch, capture, or kill, or attempt to hunt, pursue, catch, capture, or kill."
suitable day-roosting habitat were also assessed for potential use as maternity roost sites based on indications that the observed roost feature supports or may support a large congregation of bats.

Potential foraging habitat was assessed within and immediately adjacent to the structures on the basis of vegetation composition, the presence of water, connectivity to other areas providing suitable foraging or roosting habitat, and accessibility. Large trees in the vicinity of the bridge that are suitable for foliage-roosting species were noted during the assessment, but due to the inherent difficulty of confirming bat roosting activity in trees (e.g., foliage-roosting species tend to roost singly, beneath leaves, and may roost in a different location each night), no effort was made to identify or map specific tree roosts during these surveys.

#### Nighttime Emergence Survey

A follow-up nighttime acoustic and emergence survey was performed by Jill Carpenter and Jessica Lieuw on October 1, 2019. The nighttime emergence survey was initiated 0.5 hour before sunset and continued until 1 hour after sunset, for a total of 1.5 hours. During the emergence period, one observer was stationed on each side of the bridge to watch for bats emerging from the west and east sides of the bridge hinge. Due to lower levels of ambient light after sunset, both observers used night vision goggles (military grade PVS-7, Generation 3) during the latter part of the emergence period. The number of bats exiting or entering the bridge was recorded using handheld tally counters, and species were identified using a combination of visual and acoustic techniques.

Anabat Express (Titley Scientific) ultrasound detectors were used to collect acoustic data to aid in identifying any bat species that may roost within the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge over the Santa Ana River or that occur in the vicinity, and secure digital (SD) memory cards were used to record the call files. Due to the possibility of theft or vandalism given the evident human traffic beneath the bridge, it was not possible to leave acoustic detectors out overnight at this location to gather more complete information about bat activity throughout the evening. The acoustic detectors were deployed prior to the start of the emergence period at various locations around the bridge and were retrieved at the conclusion of the emergence period. The data collected during the surveys were subsequently analyzed using AnalookW. Species identifications of acoustic data, where possible, were made by comparing call recordings with a library of "voucher" calls from known hand-released bats.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **Daytime Habitat Assessment**

The Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge is a concrete box girder bridge that carries Yorba Linda Boulevard over the Santa Ana River. Crevice habitat suitable for day-roosting bats, including maternity colonies, is present at a single hinge near the middle of the bridge structure (Figure 1; all figures are attached). This crevice could also be used by night-roosting bats. It was not possible to extrapolate potential use of this hinge from the presence and quantity of bat sign (i.e., guano) because the presence of the Santa Ana River directly beneath the hinge crevice prevents any guano from accumulating. Due to this deep-flowing water, which would have necessitated the use of a boat for access beneath the hinge, it was also not possible to get any vantage point allowing visibility into this crevice to confirm the depth of the crevice and presence/absence of bats. Consequently, a

follow-up nighttime emergence survey was performed to determine whether bats roost within this crevice.

Suitable crevice-roosting habitat was also observed at the northern bridge abutment; however, this crevice habitat was marginal in quality, and no bats or bat sign was observed. This type of bridge also contains hollow interior spaces beneath the roadway that can be accessed by bats using weep holes designed for drainage. Due to the nature of this roosting behavior, in which bats are roosting within the bridge where they are not visible (and any guano would collect inside the bridge structure rather than on the ground below), the presence or absence of bats in this type of roosting situation cannot be confirmed during a daytime assessment. Although bat use of bridge interiors via weep holes is less frequent than use of crevice habitat, the probability of bats using the weep holes is moderate due to the high-quality foraging habitat surrounding the bridge. In addition to the crevice and weep hole roosting habitat observed on the bridge structure, cliff swallow (*Petrochelidon pyrrhonota*) mud nests were present along the pier walls. Although many of these nests were dilapidated, some were suitable for use by roosting bats. Yuma myotis and Mexican free-tailed bats have been documented day roosting in swallow mud nests, and heavy use of swallow nests by Yuma myotis bats has been documented along the Santa Ana River in this area.

Open water beneath the bridge, along with adjacent riparian and wetland vegetation, provides highquality foraging habitat for a variety of bat species and increases the desirability of the bridge and culvert structures for use by roosting bats. Mature trees including cottonwoods (*Populus fremontii*) and willows (*Salix* spp.) were observed on the east side of the bridge structure. In addition to providing foraging habitat, these trees also provide roosting habitat for bat species that roost in foliage such as the western red bat (*Lasiurus blossevillii*) and hoary bat (*Lasiurus cinereus*).

#### Nighttime Emergence Survey

During the nighttime emergence survey, an estimated 159 bats were observed emerging from the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge structure. The majority of bats exited the bridge on the western, or downstream, side. Based upon visual observation and analysis of the acoustic data collected during this survey, the bridge was occupied primarily by Mexican free-tailed bats, with some Yuma myotis bats also present.

#### **CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

Crevice habitat suitable for day- and night-roosting bats is present at the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge over the Santa Ana River. During the nighttime acoustic and emergence survey performed on October 1, 2019, 159 bats were counted emerging from the hinge in this structure. Given the time of year that the emergence survey was performed, as well as the large number of bats observed, it is likely that the Mexican free-tailed bats use this bridge as a migratory or overwintering roost. In addition to confirming the suitability of the hinge crevice for use by large numbers of bats (including maternity colonies), it is also useful to know that large numbers of bats are present during the fall months because September and October are when humane evictions/exclusions are conducted. However, because this focused bat survey was performed outside the bat maternity season (April 1– August 31), and given the suitability of the crevice habitat observed at this structure for maternity roosting, a preconstruction nighttime survey will need to be performed at this location during the

summer months (i.e., June–August) in order to confirm whether this structure serves as a maternity roost and to determine the numbers and species of any bats roosting there.

To minimize potential impacts to maternity-roosting bats at the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge over the Santa Ana River, the following measures are recommended:

- A bat biologist approved by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) will perform
  a nighttime acoustic and emergence survey at the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge over the Santa
  Ana River during the summer months (i.e., June–August), when maternity colonies are fully
  established, to confirm the presence of a maternity colony or colonies and to determine the
  numbers and species of bats present. This information will be used to determine site-specific
  measures to minimize impacts to roosting bats including a predetermined protective buffer
  between any maternity colonies and construction work areas during maternity season. This
  survey should be performed as far in advance of construction as possible to allow adequate time
  for mitigation planning for the specific species and numbers of bats observed.
- To avoid disturbance of maternity-roosting bats (if confirmed present) during project-related activities at the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge over the Santa Ana River, work activities within the predetermined buffer distance of the maternity roost sites will avoid the recognized bat maternity season (April 1—August 31) unless otherwise directed by CDFW. The buffer distance will be determined by a CDFW-approved bat biologist and will be based on what bat species are found to make up the maternity colony, since different bat species are known to have different tolerance levels for certain construction activities.
- If necessary to avoid direct mortality, humane evictions and exclusions of roosting bats should be performed under the supervision of a CDFW-approved bat biologist in the fall (September or October) prior to the initiation of project-related construction activities at the bridge structure. To avoid potential mortality of flightless juvenile bats, evictions and exclusions of bats cannot be performed during the maternity season (April 1–August 31). Winter months are also inappropriate for bat eviction because not all individuals in a roost will emerge on any given night. In addition, long-distance movements to other roost sites are more difficult during the winter when prey availability is scarce, resulting in high mortality rates of evicted bats.
- If bats are excluded from more than 25 percent of the available roosting habitat at the bridge, or if permanent impacts to bat roosting habitat will occur, alternate bat roosting habitat should be provided prior to performing the humane eviction/exclusion. Alternate bat roosting habitat should be provided in a 0.5:1 ratio for temporary impacts and in a 1:1 ratio for permanent impacts. The specifications for this roosting habitat should be designed in consultation with a qualified, CDFW-approved bat biologist. An example of design plans used to create alternate bat roosting habitat as successful mitigation for other bridge projects on the Santa Ana River is provided on Figure 2.

To avoid potential impacts to bats day roosting in the swallow mud nests at the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge over the Santa Ana River, the following measure is recommended:

If swallow nests are removed to prevent swallows from nesting within the project limits during
construction activities, they should be removed in the fall (i.e., September or October), prior to
expected or potential overwintering use by bats, and in a manner that ensures they do not fall
to the ground or are otherwise destroyed unless the absence of bats is confirmed through
inspection by a qualified bat biologist.

Due to the cryptic and often ephemeral nature of tree roosts, surveys for this type of roosting activity are typically performed within a short period prior to construction activities. Therefore, if mature trees or snags will be removed for project construction, additional surveys may be needed to determine the potential extent of bat roosting activity within those trees; these surveys should be performed within one week prior to tree removal. If any of the trees are identified as bat roosts or likely bat roosts, measures should be implemented to avoid direct mortality to tree-roosting bats. To reduce potential impacts to special-status tree-roosting bats, the following measures are recommended:

- The removal of mature trees and snags should be minimized to the greatest extent practicable.
- If trimming or removal of mature trees and snags is necessary for project construction, LSA recommends that tree trimming/removal activities be performed outside the bat maternity season, which occurs from April 1 through August 31, to avoid direct impacts to nonvolant (flightless) young that may roost in trees within the study area. This period also coincides with the bird nesting season (typically February 15–September 1).
- If trimming or removal of trees during the bat maternity season (April 1 through August 31) cannot be avoided, LSA recommends that a qualified biologist monitor tree removal unless nighttime surveys conducted within 1 week of removal indicate no tree-roosting bat activity within the study area.

To minimize any potential indirect impacts to bats foraging and night roosting at the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge over the Santa Ana River, the following measures are recommended:

- To minimize temporary indirect impacts during nighttime work for project construction within 200 feet of the bridge structure, night lighting shall be used only in the area actively being worked on and shall be focused on the direct area of work, and airspace access to and from the roost features of a structure shall not be obstructed except in direct work areas.
- To avoid permanent indirect impacts to roosting and foraging bats, bridge lighting on the new bridge shall be designed and installed in such a way that light overspill into the Santa Ana River and beneath the bridge is limited to the greatest extent practicable.

Implementation of the above measures will reduce impacts to special-status bat species and bat maternity colonies to less than significant levels.

Attachments: References Figure 1: Representative Site Photos Figure 2: Alternate Bat Roosting Habitat Panel Specifications

#### **REFERENCES**

- Erickson, G.A., et al. 2003. *Bat and Bridges Technical Bulletin (Hitchhiker's Guide to Bat Roosts).* Sacramento: California Department of Transportation.
- Johnston, D.S., G. Tatarian, and E.D. Pierson. 2004. *California Bat Mitigation: Techniques, Solutions, and Effectiveness.* Prepared for the California Department of Transportation, Sacramento, and the University Foundation at Sacramento State.
- Keeley, B., and M.D. Tuttle. 1999. Bats in American Bridges. Austin, Texas: Bat Conservation International. Website: https://www.batcon.org/pdfs/bridges/BatsBridges2.pdf (accessed December 14, 2016).
- Miner, K.L., and D.C. Stokes. 2005. *Bats in the South Coast Ecoregion: Status, Conservation Issues, and Research Needs.* United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service General Technical Report. PSW-GTR-195.
- Moreno, C.E., and G. Halffter. 2000. Assessing the Completeness of Bat Biodiversity Inventories Using Species Accumulation Curves. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 37:149–158.
- Remington, Stephanie. July 18, 2017. Personal communication.
- Remington, S. 2000. *The Distribution and Diversity of Bats in Orange County, California.* Master's thesis. Pomona, California: California State Polytechnic University.
- Smith, H.J., and J.S. Stevenson. 2013. The Thermal Environment of a Concrete Bridge and Its Influence on Roost Site Selection by Bats (Mammalia chiroptera). Proceedings of the 2013 International Conference on Ecology and Transportation (ICOET 2013).



View looking east at the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge over the Santa Ana River and the surrounding environment. The hinge containing bat roosting habitat suitable for maternity roosting is visible near the middle of the frame.



Representative view of cliff swallow nests and weep holes found at the Yorba Linda Boulevard Bridge. Both of these features provide suitable bat roosting habitat.



Representative view of crevices with potential bat roosting habitat that were observed at the north abutment of the Yorba Linda Boulevard Bridge.



View looking south at the mature trees on the east side of the Yorba Linda Boulevard Bridge. These trees may be used by foliage-roosting bats for roosting.

FIGURE 1

Yorba Linda Boulevard Bridge Widening Project Focused Bat Survey Report Representative Site Photos



Yorba Linda Boulevard Bridge Widening Project Focused Bat Survey Report

Alternate Bat Roosting Habitat Panel Specifications: Basic Oregon Wedge Design

SOURCE: SOHA ENGINEERS

I:\HNT1901.02\G\Designs Alt Bat Habitat.cdr (12/17/2019)



## **APPENDIX F**

## JURISDICTIONAL DELINEATION REPORT

P:\HNT1901.02 - Yorba Linda\Bio\Biological Resources Report\Biological Resources Report\_YorbaLindaBlvdWidening\_September2020\_RLSO.docx (09/11/20)

### JURISDICTIONAL DELINEATION REPORT

### YORBA LINDA BOULEVARD WIDENING PROJECT ORANGE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

Prepared for:

City of Yorba Linda Public Works Department 4845 Casa Loma Avenue Yorba Linda, California 92886 Contact: Tony Wang, Traffic Engineering Manager (714) 961-7170

Prepared by:

LSA 20 Executive Park, Suite 200 Irvine, California 92614 (949) 553-0666

Under contract to:

HNTB Corporation 200 East Sandpointe Avenue, Suite 200 Santa Ana, California 92707 (714) 460-1600

LSA Project No. HNT1901.02



January 2020



#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	2
Project Summary	2
REGULATORY BACKGROUND	4
United States Army Corps of Engineers	4
California Department of Fish and Wildlife Regional Water Quality Control Board	9 10
METHODOLOGY	10
RESULTS	12
United States Army Corps of Engineers Jurisdiction California Department of Fish and Wildlife Jurisdiction	12 14
CONCLUSIONS	15
United States Army Corps of Engineers Jurisdiction	15
California Department of Fish and Wildlife Jurisdiction	15
Regional Water Quality Control Board Jurisdiction	16
Disclaimer	16
REFERENCES	17

#### **TABLES**

Table A: Hydrophytic Vegetation	7
Table B: Total United States Army Corps of Engineers Jurisdictional Areas within the	
Jurisdictional Study Area	15
Table C: Total California Department of Fish and Wildlife Jurisdictional Areas within the	
Jurisdictional Study Area	15

#### **APPENDICES**

A:	FIGURES

- Figure 1: Project Location Figure 2: Jurisdictional Study Area Figure 3: Jurisdictional Areas—USACE Figure 4: Jurisdictional Areas—CDFW Figure 5: Representative Site Photos
- B: WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORMS



#### **INTRODUCTION**

The Yorba Linda Boulevard Widening Project (project) is located in the eastern portion of Yorba Linda on the border between Yorba Linda and Anaheim (see Figure 1; all figures are attached in Appendix A). The project is located on the United States Geological Survey (USGS) 7.5-minute *Black Star Canyon, California,* and *Prado Dam, California,* topographical quadrangle maps and extends approximately 0.40 mile (mi) along Yorba Linda Boulevard between La Palma Avenue and the State Route 91 (SR-91) westbound on-ramp and 0.10 mi along South Weir Canyon Road between the SR-91 eastbound off-ramp and Santa Ana Canyon Road.

Adjacent land uses within the project vicinity consist of commercial/retail development on the east and west sides of Yorba Linda Boulevard and South Weir Canyon Road. The Santa Ana River is within the project limits and runs under Yorba Linda Boulevard between La Palma Avenue and Savi Ranch Parkway. The Santa Ana River Trail (SART) is a Class I, off-street bike path located on the northern side of the river, and a flood control access road is located on the south side of the river.

The Santa Ana River is the mainstem river of the Santa Ana River Watershed, which covers an area of approximately 210 square miles (sq mi) in Orange County. The headwaters of the entire 2,650 sq mi Santa Ana River Watershed begin in the San Bernardino Mountains and cross Riverside and Orange Counties before ultimately entering the Pacific Ocean. Flows within the Santa Ana River Can be attributed to general winter storms and local storms within the Santa Ana River Watershed. Urban runoff and wastewater treatment plants also contribute to flows within the Santa Ana River.

The climate is classified as Mediterranean (i.e., an arid climate with hot, dry summers and moderately mild, wet winters). The average annual precipitation is approximately 15.48 inches. Although most of the precipitation occurs from November through May, thunderstorms may occur at other times of the year and can cause extremely high precipitation rates. Temperatures typically range between 44 and 88 degrees Fahrenheit (°F).

The technical study area for purposes of this jurisdictional delineation will be referred to as the Jurisdictional Study Area (JSA) and consists of the area within the project limits plus an additional 100-foot (ft) buffer around the entire perimeter of the project limits (see Figure 2). Within the JSA is the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge that spans northwest to southeast over the Santa Ana River. The Santa Ana River conveys a perennial flow under the bridge and, within the JSA, is an earthen river with banks fortified by riprap.

This Jurisdictional Delineation Report presents a description of the delineation of aquatic resources potentially affected by the project and contains supporting information to be submitted to the appropriate resource agencies during project environmental review and permitting.

#### **Project Summary**

The City of Yorba Linda, as the Lead Agency under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), in cooperation with the City of Anaheim, is proposing roadway improvements along Yorba Linda Boulevard as well as bicycle and pedestrian improvements along La Palma Avenue and Yorba Linda Boulevard, which includes widening the bridge over the Santa Ana River. The proposed bike path would also extend along La Palma Avenue and would connect with the existing SART. The proposed



project would improve corridor operations and provide additional storage for turning movements, as the intersections within the project limits have inadequate vehicle storage due to short turn pocket lengths. Improvements within the project limits include intersection and lane reconfiguration, restriping, and roadway widening.

The proposed project includes other improvements such as excavation, paving, curbs and gutters, grading, drainage, curb ramps, utility relocations, signing and striping, street lighting, traffic signal modifications, retaining walls, and landscaping.



#### **REGULATORY BACKGROUND**

#### **United States Army Corps of Engineers**

The United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) regulates discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States (WOTUS). These waters include wetland and nonwetland bodies of water that meet specific criteria. USACE regulatory jurisdiction pursuant to Section 404 of the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) is founded on a connection, or nexus, between the water body in question and interstate commerce. This connection may be direct (through a tributary system linking a stream channel with traditional navigable waters [TNWs] used in interstate or foreign commerce) or may be indirect (through a nexus identified in USACE regulations). For several decades, the operable definition of WOTUS was provided in 33 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 328.3, but implementation of this definition has been shaped by the courts and subsequent guidance over the years, most substantially by the 2001 United States Supreme Court decision in Solid Waste Agency of Northern Cook County v. United States Army Corps of Engineers, No. 99-1178 (SWANCC) and the 2006 Supreme Court decision in the consolidated cases Rapanos v. United States and Carabell v. United States (126 S. Ct. 2208), collectively referred to as Rapanos. The United States Supreme Court concluded that wetlands are "waters of the United States" if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of other covered waters more readily understood as navigable. Based, in part, on the Rapanos decision, a new rule defining WOTUS was promulgated in the Federal Register on June 29, 2015. Following a series of legal challenges and the current presidential administration's attempt to delay the implementation of this rule, on August 16, 2018, the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina enjoined the delay of the WOTUS Rule implementation for failure to comply with the Administrative Procedure Act. This decision means that the 2015 WOTUS definition is in effect in 26 states where federal district court judges have not stayed it, including California. A summary of the currently operable definition of WOTUS is provided below:

Several categories of waters are defined as WOTUS directly by the Rule, without the need for a significant nexus evaluation:

- 1. All waters which are currently used, were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
- 2. All interstate waters, including interstate wetlands.
- 3. The territorial seas.
- 4. All impoundments of waters otherwise identified as WOTUS under this section.
- 5. All tributaries of waters identified in paragraphs 1 through 3 of this definition; tributary is further defined as a water that contributes flow, either directly or through another water, to a water identified in paragraphs 1 through 3 of this definition that is characterized by the presence of the physical indicators of a bed and banks and an ordinary high-water mark.

6. All waters adjacent to a water identified in paragraphs 1 through 5 of this definition, including wetlands, ponds, lakes, oxbows, impoundments, and similar waters; the term adjacent means bordering, contiguous, or neighboring a water identified above, including waters separated by constructed dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like. Neighboring includes waters within 100 ft of the ordinary high-water mark of these waters and within the 100-year floodplain but not more than 1,500 ft from the ordinary high-water mark. Neighboring also includes waters within 1,500 ft of waters in paragraphs 1 through 3, including the Great Lakes.

In addition to the waters defined as WOTUS by rule, above, two categories of waters can be considered WOTUS pursuant to a significant nexus evaluation and determination:

- 7. Certain depressional wetlands where they are determined, on a case-specific basis, to have a significant nexus to a water identified in paragraphs 1 through 3 of this definition. The waters identified in this category are considered similarly situated and shall be combined, for purposes of a significant nexus analysis, in the watershed that drains to the nearest water identified in paragraphs 1 through 3 of this definition. Waters identified in this paragraph shall not be combined with waters identified in paragraph 6 of this definition when a significant nexus analysis is performed. If waters identified in this paragraph are also an adjacent water under paragraph 6, they are an adjacent water, and no case-specific significant nexus analysis is required. The depressional wetlands that are specifically identified in this paragraph occur in various regions throughout the country. In California they include western vernal pools. Western vernal pools are seasonal wetlands located in parts of California and associated with topographic depression, soils with poor drainage, mild, wet winters, and hot, dry summers.
- 8. All waters located within the 100-year floodplain of a water identified in paragraphs 1 through 3 of this definition and all waters located within 4,000 ft of the high-tide line or ordinary high-water mark of a water identified in paragraphs 1 through 5 of this definition where they are determined on a case-specific basis to have a significant nexus to a water identified in paragraphs 1 through 3 of this definition. For waters determined to have a significant nexus, the entire water is a water of the United States if a portion is located within the 100-year floodplain of a water identified in paragraphs 1 through 3 of this definition or within 4,000 ft of the high-tide line or ordinary high-water mark. Waters identified in this paragraph shall not be combined with waters identified in paragraph 6 of this definition when a significant nexus analysis is performed. If waters identified in this paragraph are also an adjacent water under paragraph 6 of this definition, they are an adjacent water, and no case-specific significant nexus analysis is required.

Finally, the 2015 WOTUS Rule specifies several categories of waters that are excluded from CWA jurisdiction, even if they otherwise meet the terms of paragraphs 4 through 8 above. The excluded waters are waste treatment systems, prior converted cropland and ditches with ephemeral or intermittent flow that are not a relocated tributary or excavated in a tributary, and ditches that do not flow into waters in categories 1 through 3 above. However, a ditch with intermittent flow that drains wetlands and flows to waters in categories 1 through 3 may not be excluded. Also excluded are artificial reflecting pools or swimming pools, ornamental waters, and incidental created depressions, provided these were created in dry land. Other excluded waters are erosional features



that do not meet the definition of tributary; puddles; groundwater; storm water control features created in dry land; and wastewater recycling structures, basins, and distributary structures constructed in dry land.

USACE typically considers any body of water displaying an ordinary high-water mark (OHWM) for designation as WOTUS, subject to the 2015 WOTUS Rule. USACE jurisdiction over nontidal WOTUS extends laterally to the OHWM or beyond the OHWM to the limit of any contiguous wetlands, if present. The OHWM is defined as "that line on the shore established by the fluctuations of water and indicated by physical characteristics such as a clear natural line impressed on the bank, shelving, changes in the character of soil, destruction of terrestrial vegetation, the presence of litter and debris, or other appropriate means that consider the characteristics of the surrounding area" (33 CFR 328.3). Jurisdiction typically extends upstream to the point where the OHWM is no longer perceptible.

Waters found to be isolated and not subject to CWA regulation may still be regulated by the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) under the State Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act (Porter-Cologne Act).

#### Wetlands

Wetland delineations for Section 404 purposes must be conducted according to the *Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Arid West Region* (Regional Supplement) (USACE 2008) and the *Wetland Delineation Manual* (1987 Manual) (USACE 1987). Where there are differences between the two documents, the Regional Supplement takes precedence over the 1987 Manual.

USACE and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) define wetlands as follows:

Those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or groundwater at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted to life in saturated soil conditions.

In order to be considered a jurisdictional wetland under Section 404, an area must possess three wetland characteristics: hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils, and wetland hydrology. Each characteristic has a specific set of mandatory wetland criteria that must be satisfied in order for that particular wetland characteristic to be met. Several indicators may be analyzed to determine whether the criteria are satisfied.

Hydrophytic vegetation and hydric-soil indicators provide evidence that episodes of inundation have lasted more than a few days or have occurred repeatedly over a period of years, but do not confirm that an episode has occurred recently. Conversely, wetland hydrology indicators provide evidence that an episode of inundation or soil saturation occurred recently, but do not provide evidence that episodes have lasted more than a few days or have occurred repeatedly over a period of years. Because of this, if an area lacks one of the three characteristics under normal circumstances, the area is considered nonwetland under most circumstances.



Determination of wetland limits may be obfuscated by a variety of natural environmental factors or human activities, collectively called "difficult wetland situations," including cyclic periods of drought and flooding or highly ephemeral stream systems. During periods of drought, for example, bank return flows are reduced and water tables are lowered. This results in a corresponding lowering of ordinary high water and invasion of upland plant species into wetland areas. Conversely, extreme flooding may create physical evidence of high water well above what might be considered ordinary and may allow the temporary invasion of hydrophytic species into nonwetland areas. In the highly ephemeral systems typical of Southern California, these problems are encountered frequently. In these situations, professional judgment based on years of practical experience and extensive knowledge of local ecological conditions comes into play in delineating wetlands. The Regional Supplement provides additional guidance for difficult wetland situations.

#### Hydrophytic Vegetation

Hydrophytic vegetation is plant life that grows and is typically adapted for life in permanently or periodically saturated soils. The hydrophytic-vegetation criterion is met if more than 50 percent of the dominant plant species from all strata (tree, shrub, herb, and woody vine layers) are considered hydrophytic. Hydrophytic species are those included on the National Wetland Plant List (USACE 2018).

Each species on the list is rated according to a wetland indicator category, as shown in Table A. To be considered hydrophytic, the species must have wetland indicator status (i.e., be rated as Obligate Wetland (OBL), Facultative Wetland (FACW), or Facultative (FAC).

Category		Probability
Obligate Wetland	OBL	Almost always occurs in wetlands (estimated probability >99 percent)
Facultative Wetland	FACW	Usually occurs in wetlands (estimated probability 67–99 percent)
Facultative	FAC	Equally likely to occur in wetlands and nonwetlands (estimated probability 34–66 percent)
Facultative Upland	FACU	Usually occurs in nonwetlands (estimated probability 67–99 percent)
Obligate Upland	UPL	Almost always occurs in nonwetlands (estimated probability >99 percent)

#### **Table A: Hydrophytic Vegetation**

The delineation of hydrophytic vegetation is typically based on the most dominant species from each vegetative stratum (strata are considered separately); when more than 50 percent of these dominant species are hydrophytic (i.e., FAC, FACW, or OBL), the vegetation is considered hydrophytic. In particular, USACE recommends the use of the "50/20" rule (also known as the dominance test) from the Regional Supplement for determining dominant species. Under this method, dominant species are the most abundant species that immediately exceed 50 percent of the total dominance measure for the stratum, plus any additional species composing 20 percent or more of the total dominance measure for the stratum. In cases where indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology are present but the vegetation initially fails the dominance test, the prevalence index must be used. The prevalence index is a weighted average of all plant species within a sampling plot. The prevalence index is particularly useful when communities only have one or two



dominants, where species are present at roughly equal coverage, or when strata differ greatly in total plant cover. In addition, USACE guidance provides that morphological adaptations may be considered when determining hydrophytic vegetation when indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology are present (USACE 2008). If the plant community passes either the dominance test or prevalence index after reconsideration of the indicator status of any plant species that exhibit morphological adaptations for life in wetlands, then the vegetation is considered hydrophytic.

#### Hydric Soils

Hydric soils<sup>1</sup> are defined as soils that formed under conditions of saturation, flooding, or ponding long enough during the growing season to develop anaerobic conditions in the upper part.<sup>2</sup> Soils are considered likely to meet the definition of a hydric soil when one or more of the following criteria are met:

- All Histels except Folistels, and all Histosols except Folists
- Soils that are frequently ponded for a long duration or very long duration<sup>3</sup> during the growing season
- Soils that are frequently flooded for a long duration or very long duration during the growing season

Hydric soils develop under conditions of saturation and inundation combined with microbial activity in the soil that causes a depletion of oxygen. While saturation may occur at any time of year, microbial activity is limited to the growing season, when soil temperature is above biologic zero (the soil temperature at a depth of 50 centimeters (19.7 inches), below which the growth and function of locally adapted plants are negligible). Biogeochemical processes that occur under anaerobic conditions during the growing season result in the distinctive morphologic characteristics of hydric soils. Based on these criteria, a national list of hydric soils was created from the National Soil Information System (NASIS) database and is updated annually (USDA 2018a).

The Regional Supplement has a number of field indicators that may be used to identify hydric soils. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has also developed a number of field indicators that may demonstrate the presence of hydric soils (USDA 2018b). These indicators include hydrogen sulfide generation; the accumulation of organic matter; and the reduction, translocation, and/or accumulation of iron and other reducible elements. These processes result in soil characteristics that persist during both wet and dry periods. Separate indicators have been developed for sandy soils and for loamy and clayey soils.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The hydric soil definition and criteria included in the *Wetland Delineation Manual* (USACE 1987) are obsolete. Users of the Manual are directed to the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resources Conservation Service website (https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/site/national/home/) for the most current information on hydric soils.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Current definition of hydric soils is identified on page 27 of the Arid West Regional Supplement (USACE 2008).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A long duration is defined as a single event ranging from 7 to 30 days; a very long duration is defined as a single event that lasts longer than 30 days.



#### Wetland Hydrology

Under natural conditions, development of hydrophytic vegetation and hydric soils is dependent on a third characteristic: wetland hydrology. Areas with wetland hydrology are those where the presence of water has an overriding influence on vegetation and soil characteristics due to anaerobic and reducing conditions, respectively (USACE 1987). The wetland hydrology parameter is satisfied if the area is seasonally inundated or saturated to the surface for a minimum of 14 consecutive days during the growing season in most years (USACE 2008).

Hydrology is often the most difficult criterion to measure in the field due to seasonal and annual variations in water availability. Some of the indicators that are commonly used to identify wetland hydrology include visual observation of inundation or saturation, watermarks, recent sediment deposits, surface scour, and oxidized root channels (rhizospheres) resulting from prolonged anaerobic conditions.

#### Deepwater Aquatic Habitat

Deepwater aquatic habitats are areas that are permanently inundated at mean annual water depths greater than 6.6 ft or permanently inundated areas less than 6.6 ft in depth that do not support rooted-emergent or woody plant species.<sup>1</sup> Deepwater aquatic waters do not qualify as wetland waters due to the lack of hydrophytic terrestrial vegetation. Deepwater aquatic waters are recognized as having a high habitat value due to their use as a fish and wildlife resource and limited distribution in the Arid West region.

#### California Department of Fish and Wildlife

The California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW), through provisions of the California Fish and Game Code (Sec. 1600 et seq.), is empowered to issue agreements for any alteration of a river, stream, or lake where fish or wildlife resources may be adversely affected. Streams (and rivers) are defined by the presence of a channel bed and banks and at least an intermittent flow of water. CDFW regulates wetland areas only to the extent that those wetlands are part of a river, stream, or lake as defined by CDFW.

CDFW has various definitions and descriptions of the terms "channel bed" and "banks." The following definitions are taken from Appendix C: Legal Opinions of CDFW's *A Field Guide to Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreements Sections 1600–1607, California Fish and Game Code* (CDFW 1994) to characterize the bed and bank:

The extent of a stream bed and banks can be measured by several means: (1) flood plain, depending on the return frequency considered and if the riparian vegetation is present in the flood plain; (2) the outer edge of riparian vegetation used as a line of demarcation; (3) the bank, channel, or levee that confines flows; and (4) the extent of riparian vegetation outside of a levee.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Areas less than 6.6 feet mean annual depth that support only submergent aquatic plants are vegetated shallows, not wetlands.

The following concepts are also described in *A Field Guide to Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreements,* prepared by the CDFW Environmental Services Division in January 1994:

Streams can include intermittent ephemeral streams, dry washes, canals, aqueducts, irrigation ditches if they support aquatic life, riparian vegetation, or seasonally stream-dependent terrestrial wildlife, such as amphibians.

Natural attributes or biological components of a stream include aquatic and riparian vegetation, and all aquatic animals, including fish, amphibians, reptiles, invertebrates, and terrestrial species, which derive benefits from the stream system.

CDFW regulates wetland areas only to the extent that those wetlands are a part of a river, stream, or lake as defined by CDFW. CDFW jurisdiction typically extends beyond the streambed/banks to the limits of the riparian vegetation (if present) associated with streams, rivers, or lakes.

In obtaining CDFW agreements, the limits of wetlands are not typically determined. The reason for this is that CDFW generally includes, within the jurisdictional limits of streams and lakes, any riparian habitat present. Riparian habitat includes willows (*Salix* spp.), mule fat (*Baccharis salicifolia*), and other vegetation typically associated with the banks of a stream or lake shorelines and may not be consistent with USACE definitions. In most situations, wetlands associated with a stream or lake would fall within the limits of riparian habitat. Thus, defining the limits of CDFW jurisdiction based on riparian habitat will automatically include any wetland areas and may include additional areas that do not meet USACE criteria for soils and/or hydrology (e.g., where riparian woodland canopy extends beyond the banks of a stream, away from frequently saturated soils).

#### **Regional Water Quality Control Board**

RWQCBs are responsible for the administration of Section 401 of the CWA. Typically, the areas subject to RWQCB jurisdiction coincide with those of USACE jurisdiction (i.e., WOTUS, including any wetlands). The RWQCB may also assert authority over waters of the State under waste discharge requirements pursuant to the Porter-Cologne Act.

#### **METHODOLOGY**

Prior to conducting the fieldwork associated with this jurisdictional delineation, LSA established the JSA based on a 100 ft buffer around the maximum extent of the project disturbance limits. The JSA was overlaid on a series of September 2019 Nearmap aerial photographs.

The fieldwork for this evaluation was conducted by LSA biologists Bo Gould and Jessica Lieuw on November 7, 2019. Areas in the JSA supporting species of plant life potentially indicative of wetlands, exhibiting a bed and bank, and/or an OHWM, were evaluated according to the most current federal and State jurisdictional-delineation procedures and guidelines. Those areas identified on topographic maps and current aerial photographs as potential WOTUS/streambeds and riparian resources were examined in the field for evidence of jurisdiction (wetland parameters, OHWM, streambed and bank, and/or riparian habitat).The JSA was surveyed on foot where accessible to the biologists. Dense vegetation and ponded wetland areas that were inaccessible were delineated based on observations in areas with similar plant species composition, soil



conditions, hydrologic characteristics, topography, and aerial-photo interpretation. All culverts and other drainage facilities were located and assessed for potential jurisdictional status and were mapped (Figures 3 and 4).

The boundaries of the potential jurisdictional areas within the JSA were observed in the field and were mapped on an aerial photograph (on a scale of 1 inch = approximately 150 ft) that shows the potential JSA. Measurements of federal and State jurisdictional areas mapped during the course of the field investigation were determined by a combination of direct measurements taken in the field and measurements taken from the aerial photographs. Hydrological conditions, including any surface inundation, saturated soils, groundwater levels, and/or other wetland hydrology indicators, were also noted. In addition, general site characteristics were noted throughout all potential jurisdictional areas.



#### RESULTS

Based on close examination of historical and recent aerial photographs and fieldwork, LSA identified one major drainage feature (Drainage 1) occurring in the JSA (i.e., the Santa Ana River). One channelized tributary to the Santa Ana River was identified north of the Santa Ana River's channel banks. Site-specific conditions and channel measurements were collected, and the drainage feature was mapped.

The Santa Ana River conveys a continuous perennial flow of surface water under the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge. Within the JSA, the Santa Ana River is an earthen, compound channel, measuring 400 ft in length and 450 ft in width, with alluvial deposits and varying sizes of cobble and sand. The dominant riparian species within the JSA are cattails (*Typha* sp.), Spanish sunflower (*Pulicaria paludosa*), mule fat, arroyo willow (*Salix lasiolepis*), mugwort (*Artemisia douglasiana*), willow weed (*Persicaria lapathifolia*), tamarisk (*Tamarix* sp.), and hoary nettle (*Urtica dioica*). Portions of the drainage within the JSA were determined to be wetland given the data extrapolated from three of the 10 sample points. Hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils, and wetland hydrology were identified within specific areas of the JSA. The OHWM was determined by the presence of sediment deposits, drift deposits, and watermarks.

The tributary to the Santa Ana River is a concrete-and-riprap-lined drainage that primarily receives urban ephemeral storm water runoff and measures approximately 165 ft long with an average width of 75 ft. The concrete channel is completely devoid of vegetation. Wetland waters are not present within the tributary. The OHWM was identified in the field based on watermarks.

#### **United States Army Corps of Engineers Jurisdiction**

#### Wetland Waters of the United States

Within the JSA, the Santa Ana River has earthen banks, or levees, armored with riprap and serves as a major flood control channel. Portions of the Santa Ana River within the JSA support hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils, and wetland hydrology, thereby meeting the criteria for federal jurisdictional wetlands. The Santa Ana River, which is a TNW, ultimately conveys flows directly to the Pacific Ocean.

**Vegetation.** Areas within the JSA exhibiting a prevalence of hydrophytic vegetation are associated with riparian and/or freshwater marsh plant communities, including disturbed freshwater marsh, freshwater marsh, herbaceous riparian vegetation, and cottonwood willow riparian forest. The dominant hydrophytes include cattails (OBL), Spanish sunflower (FAC), mule fat (FAC), arroyo willow (FACW), mugwort (FAC), willow weed (FACW), tamarisk (FAC), and hoary nettle (FAC). Due to the prevalence of hydrophytic species, 0.891 acres of the JSA would meet the USACE wetland criterion for hydrophytic vegetation. The remaining portions of the JSA consist of open water within the Santa Ana River and support species associated with the fluvial islands adjacent to the wetland areas that include shortpod mustard (*Hirschfeldia incana*; Not Listed), castor bean (*Ricinus communis*;



FACU), annual bur-sage (*Ambrosia acanthicarpa*; Not Listed), and common horseweed (*Erigeron canadensis*; FACU).

**Hydrology.** The annual growing season in this part of Orange County is generally considered to extend for the entire year. For the Arid West region, the wetland hydrology parameter is satisfied if the area is seasonally inundated or saturated to the surface for a minimum of 14 consecutive days during the growing season in most years.

Due to the intermittent and/or perennially inundated conditions of the Santa Ana River within the JSA, wetland hydrology is evident up to the OHWMs. Field observations and analysis of historic aerial photographs support the conclusion that in most years, wetland hydrology is present up to the OHWM throughout much of the Santa Ana River bottom in the JSA.

**Soils.** The Soil Survey of Orange County and Western Part of Riverside County, California, describes the soils expected to be found within the section of the JSA pertaining to the Santa Ana River as *Corralitos loamy sand* and *Riverwash*.

The Corralitos series consists of deep, somewhat excessively drained soils that formed in recent sandy alluvium derived from acid sandstone and related rocks. These soils are found on alluvial fans and in small valleys at elevations of 25 to 1,000 ft. Corralitos soils have slow runoff and rapid permeability, with some areas subject to localized flooding and deposition. These soils are typically used for range; dryland crops; urban development; and truck crops, alfalfa, citrus, and other fruits under irrigation. Uncultivated areas have a cover of annual grasses, forbs, coyote bush, other shrubs, and a few live oak trees. Corralitos loamy sand occurs under the northwestern portion of Yorba Linda Boulevard, partially within the riverbed.

Riverwash is identified as a hydric soil on the NRCS list of hydric soils and consists of areas of unconsolidated alluvium, generally stratified and varying widely in texture, recently deposited by intermittent streams, and subject to frequent changes through stream overflow. These sandy, gravelly, cobbly, and bouldery deposits support little or no vegetation. Runoff is generally rapid, and erosion hazard is high. Riverwash has little to no agricultural value; however, it does have beneficial functions and values when associated with watercourses, ground water recharge, sand and gravel pits, and wildlife habitat. Riverwash occurs within the Santa Ana River riverbed and extends toward Savi Ranch Parkway within the project footprint.

Sample pits 1, 2, 5, 8, 9, and 10 were excavated within the fluvial terrace adjacent to the open water and intermittent portions of the Santa Ana River (Figure 3). Soils at Sample Pit 2 were composed of fine sand above loamy fine sand that exhibited sandy redox features. At Sample Pit 5, the soil was composed of sandy loam, sand, and sandy clay loam and exhibited a hydrogen sulfide odor. Soil at Sample Pit 9 was composed of sand and sandy clay loam and also exhibited a hydrogen sulfide odor. Sample Pit 9 was composed of sand and sandy clay loam and also exhibited a hydrogen sulfide odor. Sample Pits 1, 8, and 10 did not exhibit typical hydric-soil indicators. While Sample Pit 10 had soil color occurring on the Gley color page (Munsell Color 2000), it did not meet standards to be considered a gleyed matrix (the value was less than 4). Following the procedure to identify problematic hydric soils detailed in the Regional Supplement, Sample Pits 1, 8, and 10 were determined to have problematic hydric soils. Strong indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology, particularly saturation, were noted at each of these Sample Pits. The landscape



setting, a floodplain, is appropriate for problematic hydric soils. Soils were considered to be hydric based on evidence that these areas are vegetated sand and gravel bars in a fluvial setting. Please refer to the attached wetland determination data forms for more detailed information about each soil pit (Appendix B).

#### Nonwetland Waters of the United States

The Santa Ana River has a perceptible OHWM, as well as other visible indicators of hydrology, and conveys flows to the Pacific Ocean (a TNW). The OHWM was determined primarily from a well-defined horizontal watermark/stain visible on the concrete support structure for the bridge. Therefore, the portions of the Santa Ana River that are below this OHWM and do not satisfy all three wetland criteria are classified as nonwetland WOTUS. These areas are shown on Figure 3. The channelized tributary to the Santa Ana River is also considered nonwetland WOTUS (Figure 3).

#### **California Department of Fish and Wildlife Jurisdiction**

#### Jurisdictional Streambeds

The Santa Ana River is an earthen streambed with the banks fortified with riprap to protect against flood flows. The Santa Ana River is defined by the presence of a channel bed and banks and a perennial flow of water in at least a portion of the river. There was flowing water in the river at the time of the fieldwork. The jurisdictional portion of the river within the JSA was measured from bank to bank. The channelized tributary to the Santa Ana River was also measured from bank to bank. Associated riparian vegetation was mapped, although no areas of riparian vegetation extend past the channel banks (Figure 4).



#### **CONCLUSIONS**

The following conclusions are based on the observations of trained and experienced wetlands and jurisdictional delineators. The conclusions are based on the application of pertinent manuals, regulations, and guidance to the conditions observed within the JSA. The conclusions are subject to verification by USACE and CDFW (i.e., regulatory agencies). Potentially jurisdictional areas are represented below.

#### **United States Army Corps of Engineers Jurisdiction**

The Santa Ana River is subject to potential USACE jurisdiction pursuant to Section 404 of the CWA. This river exhibits an OHWM, conveys perennial flows, and has a direct nexus to the Pacific Ocean (a TNW); therefore, Drainage 1 (Santa Ana River) and its tributary would be considered a water of the United States. Some WOTUS meet the wetland criteria, and the rest do not. Table B provides a breakdown of the area that is subject to potential USACE jurisdiction within the JSA.

#### Table B: Total United States Army Corps of Engineers Jurisdictional Areas within the Jurisdictional Study Area

Drainage ID	Nonwetland WOTUS	Wetland WOTUS	Total USACE Jurisdiction
	(ac)	(ac)	(ac)
Drainage 1 (Santa Ana River)	1.33	0.92	2.25

Note: Totals are rounded to two decimal places.

ac = acre/acres

USACE = United States Army Corps of Engineers

WOTUS = waters of the United States

#### **California Department of Fish and Wildlife Jurisdiction**

CDFW jurisdiction in the JSA is associated with Drainage 1 and the channelized tributary. This feature is defined by a channel bed and bank with some associated riparian vegetation. Riparian vegetation does not extend past the channel banks. Therefore, Drainage 1 would be subject to potential CDFW jurisdiction pursuant to Section 1602 of the California Fish and Game Code. Table C provides a quantitative summary of the CDFW jurisdictional areas within the JSA.

# Table C: Total California Department of Fish and Wildlife Jurisdictional Areaswithin the Jurisdictional Study Area

Drainage ID	Jurisdictional Streambed (ac)	Riparian Vegetation in Streambed (ac)	Total CDFW Jurisdiction (ac)
Drainage 1 (Santa Ana River)	2.95	1.27	4.22

Note: Totals are rounded to two decimal places.

ac = acre/acres

CDFW = California Department of Fish and Wildlife



#### **Regional Water Quality Control Board Jurisdiction**

The State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) adopted a State Wetland Definition and Procedures for Discharges of Dredged or Fill Material to Waters of the State (Procedures), for inclusion in the forthcoming Water Quality Control Plan for Inland Surface Waters and Enclosed Bays and Estuaries and Ocean Waters of California. The Procedures consist of four major elements: (1) a wetland definition; (2) a framework for determining if a feature that meets the wetland definition is a water of the State; (3) wetland delineation procedures; and (4) procedures for the submittal, review, and approval of applications for Water Quality Certifications and Waste Discharge Requirements for dredge or fill activities.

The State Water Board adopted the Procedures to address several important issues. There is need to strengthen protection of waters of the State that are no longer protected under the CWA due to United States Supreme Court decisions, since the RWQCBs have historically relied on CWA protections in dredged or fill discharge permitting practices. Second, there is inconsistency across the RWQCBs in requirements for discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the State, including wetlands. There is no single accepted definition of wetlands at the State level, and the RWQCBs may have different requirements and levels of analysis with regard to the issuance of water quality certifications. Finally, current regulations have not been adequate to prevent losses in the quantity and quality of wetlands in California, where there have been especially profound historical losses of wetlands.

The Procedures, formerly known as the Wetland Riparian Area Protection Policy (State Water Resources Control Board 2019), have been renamed in order to communicate that the Procedures apply to all discharges of dredged or fill material to waters of the State, not just wetlands.

The newly adopted State Wetland Definition and Procedures for Discharges of Dredged or Fill Material to Waters of the State will become effective on May 28, 2020. Until that date (e.g., for applications submitted prior to that date), RWQCB jurisdiction is considered coincident with USACE jurisdiction for purposes of Section 401 certification. The RWQCB also takes jurisdiction over waters of the State (which are not under USACE jurisdiction) under the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act.

#### Disclaimer

The findings and conclusions presented in this report, including the locations and extents of wetlands and other waters subject to regulatory jurisdiction (or lack thereof), represent the professional opinion of LSA. These findings and conclusions should be considered preliminary until verified by the appropriate regulatory agencies.



#### REFERENCES

- Baldwin, B.G., et al., eds. 2012. *The Jepson Manual: Vascular Plants of California*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Berkeley, Calif.: University of California Press.
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW). 1988. Departmental Jurisdiction over Waterways (memo). October 17.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 1990. Jurisdictional Issues in the Application of Fish and Game Code Sections 1601 and 1603 (memo). July 2.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 1994. A Field Guide to Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreements Sections 1600–1607, California Fish and Game Code.
- \_\_\_\_\_. ESRI. V5.28.08. California Streams. Biogeographic Information and Observation System (BIOS). Website: https://apps.wildlife.ca.gov/bios/ (accessed November 2017).
- Federal Interagency Committee for Wetland Delineation. 1989. *Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands*.
- Munsell Color. 2000 (rev. ed.). *Munsell Soil Color Charts*. Macbeth Division of Kollmorgen Instruments Corporation, New Windsor, NY.
- Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Soil Survey Staff. Web Soil Survey. Website: http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/ (accessed November 2019).
- Schoeneberger, P.J., et al. 2012. *Field Book for Describing and Sampling Soils*, Ver. 3.0. Lincoln, Nebraska: Natural Resources Conservation Service.
- State Water Resources Control Board. 2019. *Wetland Riparian Area Protection Policy*. Website: https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water\_issues/programs/cwa401/wrapp.html (accessed November 2019).
- United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). 1987. Wetlands Delineation Manual. Technical Report Y-87-1.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 1991. CECW-OR Memorandum: Questions and Answers on the 1987 Manual.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 1992. CECW-OR Memorandum: Clarification and Interpretation of the 1987 Manual.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 1993. Draft Training Package, Wetland Delineator Certification Program.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2007. CECW-OR Memorandum: Clean Water Act Jurisdiction Following the United States Supreme Court's Decision in Rapanos vs. United States & Carabell vs. United States.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2008. Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Arid West Region (Version 2.0), J.S. Wakeley, R.W. Lichvar, and C.V. Noble, eds., ERDC/EL TR-08-28.



- \_\_\_\_\_. 2018. NWPL—National Wetland Plant List. Website: http://wetlandplants.usace.army.mil/nwpl\_static/v33/home/home.html (accessed November 2019).
- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). 1975. *Soil Taxonomy*. Agriculture Handbook No. 436. Washington, D.C.: United States Government Printing Office.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2018a. Hydric Soils List.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2018b. *Field Indicators of Hydric Soils in the United States*. Ver. 8.2. L.M. Vasilas, G.W. Hurt, and J.F. Berkowitz, eds.



## **APPENDIX A**

## **FIGURES**

Figure 1: Project Location

- Figure 2: Jurisdictional Study Area
- Figure 3: Jurisdictional Areas—USACE
- Figure 4: Jurisdictional Areas—CDFW
- Figure 5: Representative Site Photos



SOURCE: USGS 7.5' Quads: Black Star Canyon (1988), Prado Dam (1981), CA

**Project Location** 





SOURCE: Nearmap (9/23/19)

I:\HNT1901\GIS\MXD\JD\_StudyArea.mxd (12/17/2019)

Yorba Linda Boulevard Widening Project Jurisdictional Study Area







USACE 404 Jurisdiction



Nonwetland Waters of the U.S.



I:\HNT1901\GIS\MXD\JD\_USACE.mxd (12/17/2019)

SOURCE: Nearmap (9/23/19)

T N

FEET

Yorba Linda Boulevard Widening Project USACE 404 Jurisdictional Waters of the U.S.



CDFW 1602 Jurisdiction

Project LImits

Jurisdictional Streambed

Riparian Vegetation in Streambed



I:\HNT1901\GIS\MXD\JD\_CDFW.mxd (12/17/2019)

SOURCE: Nearmap (9/23/19)

FEET

Yorba Linda Boulevard Widening Project CDFW 1602 Jurisdictional Waters



View of the Santa Ana River looking east from the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge. November 7, 2019.



View of the Santa Ana River looking west from the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge. November 7, 2019.



View of the tributary looking north from the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge. November 7, 2019.



View of waters and wetland areas looking north toward the downstream side of the Yorba Linda Boulevard bridge. November 7, 2019.

FIGURE 5 Sheet 1 of 3

Yorba Linda Boulevard Jurisdictional Delineation Report Representative Site Photographs



View of the ordinary high-water mark east of Yorba Linda boulevard, facing west. November 7, 2019.



Water marks on the pier wall, showing the ordinary high-water mark. November 7, 2019.



Sediment deposits showing the ordinary high-water mark. November 7, 2019.



Sample Pit 1; soil type is coarse sand to cobble. The pit was dug in a problematic area. November 7, 2019.

FIGURE 5 Sheet 2 of 3

Yorba Linda Boulevard Jurisdictional Delineation Report Representative Site Photographs



Sample Pit 2; soil type is sand to loamy fine sand, with sandy redox features present. November 7, 2019.



Sample Pit 5; soil type is sand to sandy clay loam and exhibited a hydrogen sulfide odor. Water table is present. November 7, 2019.



Sample Pit 9; soil type is sand to sandy clay loam and exhibited a hydrogen sulfide odor. Water table is present. November 7, 2019.



Sample Pit 10; soil type is coarse sand and clay. The pit was dug in a problematic area. November 7, 2019.

FIGURE 5 Sheet 3 of 3

Yorba Linda Boulevard Jurisdictional Delineation Report Representative Site Photographs


# **APPENDIX B**

# WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORMS

Project/Site: Yorba Linda Boulevard Widening	City/County:Yo	rba Linda	Sampling Date: 11/7/2019		
Applicant/Owner: City of Yorba Linda		State:CA	Sampling Point:1		
Investigator(s): Jessica Lieuw, Bo Gould	Section, Townsl	hip, Range:S29, T3S, R8W			
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Riverine	Local relief (cor	ncave, convex, none):Convex	Slope (%):5		
Subregion (LRR):C - Mediterranean California Lat:3	3.8742649	Long:-117.7488607	Datum: WGS84		
Soil Map Unit Name: Riverwash		NWI classifi	cation:L2USCh		
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of	ıf year? Yes 🌘	No (If no, explain in F	Remarks.)		
Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology significar	ntly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances"	present? Yes 🕢 No 🎧		
Are Vegetation Soil 🗙 or Hydrology naturally	<pre>roblematic?</pre>	(If needed, explain any answe	ers in Remarks.)		
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing	ng sampling po	oint locations, transects	, important features, etc.		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes 🜔	No 💽				
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes (	No 🕞	Is the Sampled Area			
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes 🌘	No 🌘	within a Wetland?	Yes	•	No C
Remarks:						

#### VEGETATION

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test	workshee	et:		
Tree Stratum (Use scientific names.)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Domina	ant Specie	es		
1				That Are OBL, FA	CW, or FA	AC:	3	(A)
2.				Total Number of D	ominant			
3.				Species Across Al	3	(B)		
4				Percent of Domina				
Total Cover Sapling/Shrub Stratum	: * %			That Are OBL, FA	100.0 %	(A/B)		
1.Baccharis salicifolia	2	Yes	FAC	Prevalence Index	workshe	et:		
2.			-	Total % Cover	ultiply by:			
3.				OBL species	·*	x 1 =	0	
4.				FACW species	12	x 2 =	24	
5.	-			FAC species	17	x 3 =	51	
Total Cover	: 2 %			FACU species	stra	x 4 =	0	
Herb Stratum	1 Sector			UPL species	4 <u>8</u>	x 5 =	0	
1.Pulicaria paludosa	10	Yes	FAC	Column Totals:	29	(A)	75	(B)
<sup>2</sup> .Cyperus eragrostis	2	No	FACW					
<sup>3</sup> .Xanthium strumarium	5	No	FAC	Prevalence I	ndex = B	/A =	2:59	
4 Persicaria lapathifolia	10	Yes	FACW	Hydrophytic Vege	etation In	dicators		
5				🛛 💥 Dominance Te	est is >50°	%		
6				Prevalence Ind	dex is ≤3.	0'		
7.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Morphological	Adaptatio	ons <sup>1</sup> (Pro	vide support	ing
8.					vdrophyti	c Vegeta	tion <sup>1</sup> (Evplai	2)
Total Cover	27 %				yaropnyu	c vegela		<i>יי</i>
voody vine Stratum				<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydr	ic soil an	d wetlan	d bydrology	muet
	-			be present.		u wetlan	a nyarology	musi
2	-			the describe of the				
Total Cover	. %			Vegetation				
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 73 % % Cover	of Biotic C	rust	%	Present?	Yes (	N	٥O	
Remarks:	_			<u></u>				

US Army Corps of Engineers

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the depth I	needed to docu	ment the i	ndicator	or confirm	the absence of	indicators.)
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Features				
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture <sup>3</sup>	Remarks
0-16		100					Sand	Coarse sand - cobble
		·					*/	
		<u> </u>						
		·						
Type: C=C		otion DM-De	ducod Matrix	<sup>2</sup> Logation		Lining P(	C-Root Channel	N-Motrix
<sup>3</sup> Soil Texture	s: Clay Silty Clay S	andy Clay 1 c	am Sandy Clay	Location.	ndv Loam	Clav Loa	m Silty Clay Loa	m Silt Loam Silt Loamy Sand Sand
Hydric Soil I	dicators: (Applicabl	e to all I RRs	unless otherwis	a noted )	ay Loan	, Oldy Lou	Indicators for	Problematic Hydric Soils
Histosol	(A1)	e to an Errita,	Sandy Red	ox (S5)				ck (A9) (I RR C)
Histic Er	pipedon (A2)		Stripped M	atrix (S6)			2 cm Mu	ck (A10) (LRR B)
Black Hi	stic (A3)		Loamy Mu	cky Mineral	(F1)		Reduced	Vertic (F18)
Hydroge	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gle	yed Matrix	(F2)		Red Pare	ent Material (TF2)
Stratified	l Layers (A5) ( <b>LRR C</b>	;)	Depleted N	latrix (F3)			Other (E:	xplain in Remarks)
1 cm Mu	ick (A9) (LRR D)		Redox Dar	k Surface (I	F6)			
	Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Depleted D	ark Surface	e (F7)			
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)		Redox Dep	pressions (F	-8)		41 1. 1. 1.	
Sandy N	lucky Mineral (S1)			ois (F9)			Indicators of	hydrophytic vegetation and
Bostrictivo	aver (if present):						wettanding	drology must be present.
Tunor	_ayer (ii present):							
Type:	-L \.							
Deptn (Ind	cnes):			, . , ,		0	Hydric Soll Pi	resent? Yes ( No (
Remarks: N	RCS mapped this s	section as Ri	verwash, which	h is a hyd	ric soil.	Because	there are strong	, indicators of hydrophytic
ve	getation and hydro	ology (satura	tion), soils at	this sampl	e pit wei	e determ	ined to be hydr	tic even though they lack typical
in	dicators. Solis are	on vegetated	i sand and grav	el bar wi	inin a fic	oapiain.		
HYDROLO	GY							
Wetland Hy	drology Indicators:						Seconda	any Indicators (2 or more required)
Primany India	ators (any one indic	ntor is sufficier	at)				Seconda	er Marks (B1) (Piverine)
				(D44)				
	tor Table (A1)			(BII) at (B12)			X Sed	Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
	(A2)			SI (D IZ)	(012)			Deposits (B3) (Riverine)
	orko (R1) (Nonsivesi	<b>no</b> )		Sulfide Od	$\operatorname{or}(C1)$			Seesee Water Table (C2)
	ans (DT) (Normer (B2) (Nor			Suinue Ou Rhizospher	es along i	iving Roo		Muck Surface (C7)
	n Deposits (B2) (Nonriver	ine)		of Reduce	d Iron (C4	)		wish Burrows (C8)
	Soil Cracks (B6)			n Reductio		/ ed Soils ((	C6) C12	uration Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
	on Visible on Aerial II	mageny (B7)		nlain in Rer	marks)			llow Aquitard (D3)
Water-S	tained Leaves (B9)	nagery (D7)		piani in reci	nanxoy			Neutral Test (D5)
Field Obser	vations:							
Surface Wate	pr Present? V		C Depth (ir	ches).				
Water Table	Brosont?		Depth (ir	choc):		-		
Contraction D			<ul> <li>Depth (in</li> <li>Depth (in</li> </ul>			_		
(includes car	esent? Ye pillary fringe)	es (e No	C Depth (Ir	icnes):		- Wetla	and Hydrology F	Present? Yes 💽 No 🤇
Describe Red	corded Data (stream	gauge, monito	oring well, aerial	photos, pre	vious ins	pections),	if available:	
Remarks:								
								<i>N</i>

Project/Site: Yorba Linda Boulevard Widening		City/County:Yo	rba Linda	Sampling Date: 11/7/2019			
Applicant/Owner: City of Yorba Linda			5	State:CA	Sampling Po	pint:2	
Investigator(s): Jessica Lieuw, Bo Gould		Section, Towns	hip, Range:S29		-		
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Riverine		Local relief (co	ncave, convex,	Slope (%):5			
Subregion (LRR):C - Mediterranean California	Lat:33.8	8745385	Long:	-117.7480175	Datum: WGS84		
Soil Map Unit Name: Riverwash				NWI classific	ation:PFOC		
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for	r this time of ye	ear?Yes 🌘	No C (	If no, explain in R	emarks.)		
Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology	significantly	disturbed?	Are "Normal	Circumstances"	present? Yes	s (e No (	
Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology	naturally pro	oblematic?	(If needed, e	xplain any answe	rs in Remarks	S.)	
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site ma	ap showing	sampling p	oint location	ns, transects	, importan	t features, etc.	
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes	No 💽						
Hydric Soil Present? Yes (	No 🌘	Is the S	ampled Area				
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes (	No 🌘	within a	Wetland?	No C			
Remarks:							

Tree Stratum (Use scientific names.)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test v	vorkshee	it:		
1.Salix lasiolenis	70	Yes	FACW	That Are OBL. FAC	nt Specie XV. or FA	s C:	4	(A)
2.			-					¢ 9
3.				I otal Number of Do Species Across All	ominant Strata		6	(B)
4.			-		on and.		0	(0)
	70 %			<ul> <li>Percent of Dominal That Are OBL_EAC</li> </ul>	nt Specie:	s vC·	667 01	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum					///		00.7 %	(~0)
1.Baccharis salicifolia	20	Yes	FAC	Prevalence Index	workshe	et:		
2.Conium maculatum	5	No	FACW	Total % Cover	of:	Mu	ltiply by:	
<sup>3</sup> .Hirschfeldia incana	25	Yes	Not Listed	OBL species	. ¥.	x 1 =	0	
4.				FACW species	75	x 2 =	150	
5				FAC species	23	x 3 =	69	
Total Cover	50 %			FACU species	2	x 4 =	8	
Herb Stratum				UPL species	25	x 5 =	125	
<sup>1</sup> .Pulicaria paludosa	2	Yes	FAC	Column Totals:	125	(A)	352	(B)
<sup>2</sup> Artemisia douglasiana	1	Yes	FAC			<i>.</i> .		
3				Prevalence In	.dex = B/	A =	2.82	
4				Hydrophytic Vege	tation in	dicators:		
5				X Dominance Te	st is >50%	/6 - 1		
6				Prevalence Ind	ex is ≤3.0	)'		
7				Morphological .	Adaptatio	ns' (Prov	(ide support	ing
8.					dronhytic	Vegetat	ion <sup>1</sup> (Evolai	<b>n</b> )
Total Cover	3 %				alophytic	, vegetati		''
	0	37		Indicators of hydri	e soil and	d wotland	bydrology	must
1. V IIIs giraiana	2	Yes	FACU	be present.	s son and	1 wetland	nyurology	musi
2		<u>.</u>						
Total Cover:	2 %			Hydrophytic Vegetation				
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 10 % Cover	of Biotic C	Crust	%	Present?	Yes (	No	°C	
Remarks:				L				

Profile Description: (Describe to the de	pth needed to docum	nent the indicator	or confirm	n the absence of i	indicators.)								
Depth Matrix	Redox	Features		0									
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist)	<u>% Type'</u>	_Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks								
0-6 100				Sand	Fine sand								
6-16 2.5Y5/2 75	2.5Y5/6	25 C	Μ	Loamy fine sand									
( <u></u> ,)													
·													
	12 -												
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Concentration D=Depletion RM=Reduced Matrix <sup>2</sup> location: PL=Pore Lining RC=Root Channel M=Matrix													
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix. <sup>2</sup> Location: PL=Pore Lining, RC=Root Channel, M=Matrix. <sup>3</sup> Soil Textures: Clay, Silty Clay, Sandy Clay, Loam, Sandy Clay Loam, Sandy Loam, Clay Loam, Silty Clay Loam, Silt Loam, Silt, Loamy Sand, Sand													
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all I	Rs unless otherwise	noted )	n, olay Loc	Indicators for l	Problematic Hydric Soils								
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Redox	(\$5)		1 cm Mucl	k (A9) (LRR C)								
Histic Epipedon (A2)	Stripped Ma	trix (S6)			k (A10) (LRR B)								
Black Histic (A3)		(y Mineral (F1)		Reduced V	Vertic (F18)								
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Gley	ed Matrix (F2)		Red Parer	nt Material (TF2)								
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)	Depleted Ma	atrix (F3)		Other (Exp	plain in Remarks)								
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)	Redox Dark	Surface (F6)		·									
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Depleted Da	rk Surface (F7)											
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Redox Depre	essions (F8)											
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Vernal Pools	s (F9)		<sup>4</sup> Indicators of h	hydrophytic vegetation and								
Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)				wetland hyd	drology must be present.								
Restrictive Layer (if present):													
Туре:													
Depth (inches):				Hydric Soil Pre	esent? Yes 🕢 No 🤇								
Remarks:													
HIDROLOGI													
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:				Secondar	y Indicators (2 or more required)								
Primary Indicators (any one indicator is suf	ficient)			Wate	r Marks (B1) ( <b>Riverine</b> )								
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (	(B11)		Sedir	ment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)								
High Water Table (A2)	Biotic Crust	t (B12)		X Drift	Deposits (B3) (Riverine)								
Saturation (A3)	Aquatic Inv	ertebrates (B13)		Drain	age Patterns (B10)								
Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)	Hydrogen S	Sulfide Odor (C1)		Dry-S	Season Water Table (C2)								
Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)	Oxidized R	hizospheres along	Living Roo	ots (C3)	Muck Surface (C7)								
Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine)	Presence o	of Reduced iron (C	4)	Cray	fish Burrows (C8)								
Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	Recent Iror	Reduction in Ploy	wed Soils (	C6) 🗍 Satur	ration Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)								
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (E	37) Other (Exp	lain in Remarks)		Shall	ow Aquitard (D3)								
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)				FAC-	Neutral Test (D5)								
Field Observations:													
Surface Water Present? Yes C	No ( Depth (inc	hes):											
Water Table Present? Yes C	No ( Depth (inc	hes):											
Saturation Present? Yes	No C Depth (inc	hes):											
(includes capillary fringe)		-	Wetl	and Hydrology Pr	resent? Yes 💽 No 🌔								
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, m	onitoring well, aerial p	hotos, previous in:	spections),	if available:									
Remarks:													

Project/Site: Yorba Linda Boulevard Widening	City/Cou	nty:Yorba Linda	Sampling Date:11/7/2019				
Applicant/Owner: City of Yorba Linda			State:CA	Sampling Point:3			
Investigator(s): Jessica Lieuw, Bo Gould	Section,	Section, Township, Range:S29, T3S, R8W					
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Riverine	Local re	lief (concave, conve	Slope (%):5				
Subregion (LRR):C - Mediterranean California	Lat:33.8746649	Lon	Long:-117.7480316 Datum: WG				
Soil Map Unit Name: Riverwash			NWI classifie	cation:PFOC			
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this	time of year? Yes	• No (	(If no, explain in F	Remarks.)			
Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology si	gnificantly disturbed	Are "Norm	al Circumstances"	present? Yes 💽 No 🎧			
Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology na	aturally problematic	oroblematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)					
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map s	howing sampli	ing point locati	ons, transects	, important features, etc.			
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes 🌘 No							
Hydric Soil Present? Yes 😱 No	) (i) Is	the Sampled Area					
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes 🕥 No	v 🖲 🛛 🗤	ithin a Wetland?	Yes C	No 🔎			

Remarks;

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test v	vorkshee	et:			
Tree Stratum (Use scientific names.)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Domina	int Specie	es			
1.				That Are OBL, FAC	CW, or FA	AC:	0	(A)	
2,				Total Number of De	ominant				
3.	-			Species Across All Strata: 2					
4.				Percent of Domina	nt Specie	e			
Total Cover Sapling/Shrub Stratum	r: 🕷 %			That Are OBL, FAC	CW, or FA	AC:	0.0 %	(A/B)	
1.Hirschfeldia incana	90	Yes	Not Listed	Prevalence Index	workshe	et:			
2.			( <u>)</u>	Total % Cover	of:	Mult	iply by:	5	
3.				OBL species	- A-	x 1 =	0		
4.				FACW species	<i>fi</i> .	x 2 =	0		
5.			( <del>)</del>	FAC species	399 -	x 3 =	0		
Total Cover	90 %	<u>95</u>		FACU species	-	x 4 =	0		
Herb Stratum				UPL species	01	x 5 =	455		
1. Ambrosia acanthicarpa	1	Yes	Not Listed	Column Totals	01	(A)	455	(B)	
2.			/		21	0.9	400	<b>\-</b> /	
3.	( <del></del>			Prevalence Ir	ndex = B	/A =	5.00		
4.				Hydrophytic Vege	tation In	dicators:			
5.			Č.	🗧 🌒 Dominance Te	est is >50	%			
6.	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			Prevalence Inc	dex is ≤3.	0 <sup>1</sup>			
7		· · · · ·		Morphological	Adaptatio	ons <sup>1</sup> (Provie on a separa	de supporti ite sheet)	ng	
8				Problematic H	vdrophyti	c Vegetatio	n <sup>1</sup> (Explain	ა	
Total Cover Woody Vine Stratum	1 %				yaropitya	o rogolalio		,	
1.				<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydri	ic soil an	d wetland	hydrology	nust	
2.				be present.					
Total Cover	: * %			Hydrophytic Vegetation					
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 50 % % Cover	of Biotic C	Crust	%	Present?	Yes (	No	•		
Remarks:									

Profile Des	cription: (Describe 1	to the de	pth needed to docu	ment the	indicator	or confirn	n the abs	ence of	indicators.)			
Depth (inchor)	Matrix	0/	Redo	x Feature	es Turci	1 2	Test	3		Demarka		
		70	Color (moist)			LOC-	Textu	ire <sup>*</sup>		Remarks	N	
0-8		100		• •			Sand		Fine sand			
8-16	2.5Y5/3	75	2.5Y5/6	25	<u>C</u>	M	Loamy fi	ne sand				
			0									
( <del></del>												
			-									
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=C	concentration, D=Depl	letion, RM	I=Reduced Matrix.	<sup>2</sup> Locatio	on: PL=Por	e Lining, R	C=Root C	Channel,	M=Matrix.			
*Soil Texture	es: Clay, Silty Clay, S	Sandy Cla	y, Loam, Sandy Clay	Loam, S	andy Loan	n, Clay Loa	am, Silty C	Clay Loan	n, Silt Loam, Sil	t, Loamy Sa	and, Sand.	
Hydric Soil I	ndicators: (Applicabl	e to all LF	RRs, unless otherwise	noted.)			Indica	ators for I	Problematic Hy	Iric Soils:		
	l (Al) ninedon (A2)		Sandy Redo	X (S5) atrix (S6)				cm Muc	K (A9) (LRR C)	1)		
Black H	listic (A3)			kv Miner	al (F1)		Há	cm wuc Peduced V	K (ATU) (LKK D Vertic (E18)	)		
Hydrog	en Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gle	ved Matr	ar (F2)		H	Red Parei	nt Material (TE2	n		
Stratifie	d Lavers (A5) (LRR C	;)	Depleted N	atrix (F3	)		Ha	Other (Exi	plain in Remark	s)		
1 cm M	uck (A9) (LRR D)	,	Redox Darl	Surface	(F6)					,		
Deplete	d Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Depleted D	ark Surfa	ice (F7)							
Thick D	ark Surface (A12)		Redox Dep	ressions	(F8)							
Sandy I	Mucky Mineral (S1)		🔲 Vernal Poo	ls (F9)			⁴Indic	ators of h	nydrophytic veg	etation and		
Sandy (	Gleyed Matrix (S4)						we	etland hyd	drology must be	present.		
Restrictive	Layer (if present):											
Туре:												
Depth (in	ches):						Hydric	: Soil Pre	esent? Yes (	Ω N	0 🔎	
Remarks: R	edox features prese	ent, but r	ot within upper 6	inches.								
	GY											
									1 1 1 10			
Wetland Hy	drology Indicators:						2	Secondar	ry Indicators (2	or more req	uired)	
Primary Indi	cators (any one indica	ator is suf	ficient)					Wate	er Marks (B1) (F	liverine)		
Surface	Water (A1)		Salt Crust	(B11)			[	Sedir	ment Deposits (	B2) (Riveri	ne)	
High Wa	ater Table (A2)		Biotic Cru	st (B12)			[	X Drift	Deposits (B3) (I	Riverine)		
Saturati	on (A3)		Aquatic In	vertebrat	es (B13)			Drain	nage Patterns (I	310)		
Water N	larks (B1) (Nonriveri	ne)	Hydrogen	Sulfide (	Odor (C1)			Dry-S	Season Water T	able (C2)		
Sedime	nt Deposits (B2) (Non	riverine)		Rhizosph	eres along	Living Roc	ots (C3)	Thin	Muck Surface (	C7)		
	posits (B3) (Nonriver	ine)		of Reduc	ed Iron (C	4) 1 0 1 1			fish Burrows (C	8)	(	
	Soll Cracks (B6)	(		n Reduc	tion in Plov	ed Soils (	C6)	Satur	ration Visible or	Aerial Ima	gery (C9)	
		nagery (E	(Exp	plain in R	emarks)		ļ		ow Aquitard (D	3)		
Vvater-S	stained Leaves (B9)							FAC-	-Neutral Test (L	5)		
Field Obser	vations:	~										
Surface Wat	er Present? Ye	es (	No ( Depth (in	ches):								
Water I able	Present? Ye	es ( )	No ( Depth (in	ches):		_						
Saturation P	resent? Ye	es 🗋	No 💽 Depth (in	ches):		- Wetla	and Hydr	ology Pr	resent? Yes			
Describe Re	corded Data (stream	gauge, m	onitoring well, aerial	ohotos, p	revious ins	pections).	if availab	e:		<b>X</b>		
			0			, , ,						
Remarks:												

Torba Linua Boulevar	a widening	(	Sity/County: Yorba	Sampling Date: 11/7/2019			
Applicant/Owner: City of Yorba Lind	la			Stat	Sampling Point:4		
Investigator(s): Jessica Lieuw, Bo G	ould	5	Section, Township,	3S, R8W			
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): $\operatorname{Riv}$	erine		Local relief (concav	ne):Convex	Slope (%):5		
Subregion (LRR):C - Mediterranean	California	Lat:33.87	3747484 Long:-117.7483850 Datum: V				
Soil Map Unit Name: Riverwash					NWI classific	ation:PFOC	
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on	the site typical fo	r this time of yea	ar?Yes 🌔 🛛 N	o <b>C</b> (lfn	o, explain in R	emarks.)	
Are Vegetation Soil or	Hydrology	significantly of	disturbed? A	re "Normal Cir	cumstances" p	oresent? Yes ( No	
Are Vegetation Soil or I	Hydrology	naturally prol	blematic? (I	f needed, expla	ain any answe	rs in Remarks.)	
	ttach site m	n showing	sampling poin	t locations	trancasta	incompany for the second	
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - A	ittaon site ini	.p enemig		c locations,	transects	, important features,	
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - A Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes (	No (			IT ATISECTS,	, important reatures,	
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - A Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present?	Yes (	No (a No (a	Is the Samp	led Area		, important features,	

Tree Stratum (Use scientific names)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator	Dominance Test	worksheet	t:		
1.Arundo donax	90	Yes	FACW	Number of Domin	ant Species	s C:	2	(A)
2.			-				2	
3.				Total Number of I Species Across A	Dominant		2	(B)
4.					in offata.		3	(0)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum	: <b>90</b> %			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 66.7			66.7 %	(A/B)
1.Hirschfeldia incana	40	Yes	Not Listed	Prevalence Index	x workshee	et:		
2. Urtica dioica	5	Yes	FAC	Total % Cove	er of:	Mul	tiply by:	5
3.			<u>8</u>	OBL species	100	x 1 =	0	
4.			<del>;</del>	FACW species	90	x 2 =	180	
5.				FAC species	5	x 3 =	15	
Total Cover.	45 %			FACU species	- 225	x 4 =	0	
Herb Stratum				UPL species	40	x 5 =	200	
1				Column Totals:	135	(A)	395	(B)
2.					100			2000
3.				Prevalence	Index = B//	<b>۹</b> =	2.93	
4.				Hydrophytic Veg	etation ind	licators:		
5.				🖌 🗶 Dominance T	est is >50%	0		
6.				🗙 Prevalence Ir	ndex is ≤3.0	1		
7				data in Re	l Adaptation marks or or	ns <sup>1</sup> (Provi n a separ	de supporti ate sheet)	ng
8				Problematic H	-lvdrophytic	Vegetati	on <sup>1</sup> (Explain	
Moody Vine Stratum	* %				.,			<i>′</i>
1				<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hyd	ric soil and	wetland	hydrology	must
2.				be present.		nonana	, =. =. = ; ; ;	
Total Cover:	¥8 %			Hydrophytic				
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum % % Cover	of Biotic C	rust	%	Vegetation Present?	Yes (	No	C	
Remarks:								

Profile Desc	cription: (Describe	to the dep	th needed to docu	ment the	indicator	or confirm	n the absence o	f indicators.)
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Features	s			_
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	_Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks
0-16		100		<u></u>			Sand	Coarse sand
		· · · · · · ·				·		
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=C	oncentration, D=Dep	oletion, RM=	Reduced Matrix.	<sup>2</sup> Location	n: PL=Pore	Lining, R	C=Root Channel	, M=Matrix.
<sup>3</sup> Soil Texture	es: Clay, Silty Clay,	Sandy Clay	, Loam, Sandy Clay	Loam, Sa	indy Loam	, Clay Loa	am, Silty Clay Loa	m, Silt Loam, Silt, Loamy Sand, Sand.
Hydric Soil I	ndicators: (Applicab	le to all LR	Rs, unless otherwis	e noted.)			Indicators for	r Problematic Hydric Soils:
Histosol	(A1)		Sandy Redo	ox (S5)				ck (A9) (LRR C)
	Dipedon (A2)			atrix (S6) sky Misera			2 cm Mu	ICK (A10) (LRR B)
	en Sulfide (A4)			ved Matrix	(F1) (F2)		Reduced Red Par	ent Material (TE2)
Stratified	d Lavers (A5) (LRR (	C)		Aatrix (F3)	(		Other (E	xplain in Remarks)
1 cm Mu	uck (A9) (LRR D)	- /	Redox Dar	k Surface	(F6)			,,
Deplete	d Below Dark Surfac	e (A11)	Depleted D	ark Surfac	æ (F7)			
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)		Redox Dep	pressions (	F8)			
Sandy N	Aucky Mineral (S1)		Vernal Poo	ols (F9)			<sup>4</sup> Indicators of	hydrophytic vegetation and
Restrictive	aver (if present):						wetland n	yarology must be present.
Type	Layer (il present).							
Dopth (in	ahoa):							
Bomarka: M	DCS manual this		Discoursels and is	1. Co. a 1	4		Hydric Soli P	
	KCS mapped this	section as	Kiverwash, whic	n is a nyo	aric soll.	However	r, no indicators	of hydric soll were present.
HYDROLO	GY							
Wetland Hy	drology indicators:						Second	ary Indicators (2 or more required)
Primary India	cators (any one indic	ator is suffi	cient)				U Wa	ter Marks (B1) (Riverine)
Surface	Water (A1)		Salt Crust	t (B11)			Sec	iment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
∣ └── │	ater Table (A2)		Biotic Cru	st (B12)				t Deposits (B3) ( <b>Riverine</b> )
Saturatio	on (A3)		Aquatic Ir	ivertebrate	es (B13)			inage Patterns (B10)
Water M	larks (B1) ( <b>Nonriver</b>	ine)	Hydrogen	Sulfide O	dor (C1)		🖵 Dry	-Season Water Table (C2)
Sedimer	nt Deposits (B2) (No	nriverine)	Oxidized	Rhizosphe	res along	Living Roo	ots (C3) 🗍 Thi	n Muck Surface (C7)
Drift Dep	oosits (B3) ( <b>Nonrive</b>	rine)	Presence	of Reduce	ed Iron (C4	ŀ)	Cra	yfish Burrows (C8)
Surface	Soil Cracks (B6)		Recent In	on Reducti	on in Plow	ed Soils (	C6) 🗌 Sat	uration Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Inundati	on Visible on Aerial	Imagery (B7	7) 🗌 Other (Ex	plain in Re	emarks)		Sha	allow Aquitard (D3)
Water-S	tained Leaves (B9)						FAC	C-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Obser	vations:							
Surface Wate	er Present? Y	es C	No 🌀 Depth (ir	iches):				
Water Table	Present? Y	es 🔿 👘 🕴	No ( Depth (ir	iches):				
Saturation P	resent? Y	es C	No 🌀 🔹 Depth (ir	iches):		Wet	and Hudralamu	Present Vac C No C
(Includes cap Describe Rep	oillary fringe) corded Data (stream	dande wo	nitoring well aerial	nhotos pr	evious ins	pections)	if available:	resent? res () No (•
20001001100	Service Service (or company	. googo, mo		P.1000, pr	211043 113			
Remarks:								
, temanto.								

Project/Site: Yorba Linda Boulevard Widening	City/County:Yc	orba Linda	Sampling Date: 11/7/2019			
Applicant/Owner: City of Yorba Linda		State:CA	Sampling Point:5			
Investigator(s): Jessica Lieuw, Bo Gould	Section, Towns	Section, Township, Range: S29, T3S, R8W				
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Riverine	Local relief (co	ncave, convex, none):Convex	Slope (%):5			
Subregion (LRR):C - Mediterranean California Lat:3	3.8741922	Long:-117.7484648	Datum: WGS84			
Soil Map Unit Name: Riverwash	NWI classification:L2USCh					
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of	f year? Yes 🌘	No 🌈 (If no, explain in F	Remarks.)			
Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology significar	ntly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances"	present? Yes 💿 No 🤇			
Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology naturally	problematic?	(If needed, explain any answe	ers in Remarks.)			
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing	ng sampling p	oint locations, transects	, important features, etc.			

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes 🌘	No 💽			
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🌘	No 🕞	Is the Sampled Area		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes 🜘	No 🕝	within a Wetland?	Yes (•	No C
Remarks:					

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test	worksheet	t:		
Tree Stratum (Use scientific names.) 1.	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Domina That Are OBL, FAC	ant Species CW, or FA	s C:	3	(A)
2.				Total Number of D	ominant			
3.				Species Across All	Strata:		3	(B)
4.		·					1.000	· ŕ
Total Cover	. %		<del>.</del> _	- Percent of Domina	Int Species	6 C: 1	00.0.0/	(Δ/R)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum				matrice obe, inte	511, 01170	0. 1	00.0 %	(~0)
1.Xanthium strumarium	10	Yes	FAC	Prevalence Index	workshee	et:		
2.				Total % Cover	of:	Mult	tiply by:	-
3.				OBL species		x 1 =	0	
4.				FACW species	62	x 2 =	124	
5.				FAC species	15	x 3 =	45	
Total Cover	10 %			FACU species	Take .	x 4 =	0	
Herb Stratum				UPL species	1000	x 5 =	0	
<sup>1</sup> .Pulicaria paludosa	5	Yes	FAC	Column Totals:	77	(A)	169	(B)
<sup>2</sup> .Persicaria lapathifolia	60	Yes	FACW					
<sup>3</sup> .Cyperus eragrostis	2	No	FACW	Prevalence Ir	ndex = B//	<i>۹</i> =	2.19	
4.				Hydrophytic Vege	etation ind	licators:		
5.				🗙 Dominance Te	est is >50%	0		
6.			**	🗙 Prevalence Ind	dex is ≤3.0	1		
7.	-			Morphological	Adaptation	ns <sup>1</sup> (Provi	de support	ng
8,	·				udranhutia	Vogetetic		
Total Cover:	67 %	-			yaropnytic	vegetatit	ni (⊏xpiair	9
				<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydri	ic soit and	wetland	hydrology	must
l,				be present.		wettand	nyarology	nust
2								
l otal Cover:	* %			Vegetation				
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 5 % % Cover	of Biotic C	crust	%	Present?	Yes (	No	C	
Remarks:								
	· · ·							

Profile Des	scription: (Describe	to the depth	needed to document the indicator or cor	nfirm the absence of indicators.)	
(inches)	Color (moist)		Redox Features Color (moist) % Type <sup>1</sup> Loc	2 <sup>2</sup> Texture <sup>3</sup> Remarks	
0-8	10YR2/2	100		Sandy loam	
9-16	Glev2.5/N	90		Sand	
	$\frac{10 \text{YR}^{2}}{2}$	10		Sand	
15-16	Glev2 5/N	100		Sandy Clay Learn	
			• • • • • • • •		
	<i>(</i>				
	S		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
·		·			
17			2		
<sup>3</sup> Soil Textur	Concentration, D=Dep res: Clay, Silty Clay, S	Sandy Clav. Lo	educed Matrix.	g, RC=Root Channel, M≕Matrix. Loam. Silty Clay Loam. Silt Loam. Silt. Loamy Sand.	Sand.
Hydric Soil	Indicators: (Applicab	le to all LRRs,	unless otherwise noted.)	Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:	
Histoso	ol (A1)		Sandy Redox (S5)	1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)	
Histic E	Epipedon (A2)		Stripped Matrix (S6)	2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)	
Black H	Histic (A3)		Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)	Reduced Vertic (F18)	
Hydrog	ien Sulfide (A4)	•	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	Red Parent Material (TF2)	
	ed Layers (A5) (LRK (	(م	Depleted Matrix (F3)	U Other (Explain in Remarks)	
	ad Below Dark Surfac	o (A11)	Depleted Dark Surface (F0)		
	ark Surface (A12)	e (ATT)	Redox Depressions (F8)		
H Sandy	Mucky Mineral (S1)		Vernal Pools (F9)	<sup>4</sup> Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and	
Sandy	Gleyed Matrix (S4)			wetland hydrology must be present.	
Restrictive	Layer (if present):				_
Туре:					
Depth (ir	nches):			Hydric Soil Present? Yes 💿 No 🔿	
Remarks:					
	DGY				
Wetland Hy	/drology Indicators:			Secondary Indicators (2 or more required	4)
Primary Ind	icators (any one indic	ator is sufficier	nt)	Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)	2
	Water (A1)		Salt Crust (B11)	Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)	
	ater Table (A2)		Biotic Crust (B12)	Drift Denosits (B3) (Riverine)	
Saturat	ion (A3)		Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Drainage Patterns (B10)	
Water I	Marks (B1) (Nonriver	ine)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
	ent Denosits (B2) (No	nriverine)	Oxidized Bhizospheres along Living	Roots (C3) Thin Muck Surface (C7)	
	enosits (B3) (Nonrive	rine)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		
	Soil Cracks (B6)	inte)	Recent Iron Reduction in Ployed So	ils (C6) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery	(C9)
	tion Visible on Aerial I	magery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)	(00)
Water-S	Stained Leaves (B9)			FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
Field Obse	rvations:				
Surface Wa	ter Present?	es 🙆 No	C Depth (inches):		
Water Table	Present? V		C Depth (inches):		
Seturation F	Propost?		Depth (inches):		
(includes ca	pillary fringe)	es ( No	(• Depth (incres):	Vetland Hydrology Present? Yes 💿 No (	
Describe Re	ecorded Data (stream	gauge, monito	pring well, aerial photos, previous inspection	ns), if available:	
Remarks:					

Project/Site: Yorba Linda Boulevard Widening	City/County:Yo	rba Linda	Sampling Date: 11/7/2019		
Applicant/Owner: City of Yorba Linda		State:CA	Sampling Point:6		
Investigator(s): Jessica Lieuw, Bo Gould	Section, Towns	A			
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Riverine	Local relief (co	ncave, convex, none): Concave	Slope (%):5		
Subregion (LRR):C - Mediterranean California Lat:33	3.874230	Long:-117.748529	Datum: WGS84		
Soil Map Unit Name: Riverwash		NWI classific	ation:L2USCh		
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of	year? Yes 🌘	No 🌔 (If no, explain in R	emarks.)		
Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology significant	tly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances" p	resent? Yes 🕢 No 🎧		
Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology naturally p	problematic?	(If needed, explain any answe	rs in Remarks.)		
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showin	ig sampling p	oint locations, transects,	important features, etc.		
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes 🕟 No 🕥					

riyurophytic vegetation riesenti	103 (					
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 💽	No 🌘	Is the Sampled Area			
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes 🜘	No 🌘	within a Wetland?	Yes	No (	
Remarks:			-1.			

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test	worksheet	:		
Tree Stratum     (Use scientific names.)       1.	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0			0	(A)
2.				Total Number of D	)ominant			
3.				Species Across A	Il Strata:		1	(B)
4.				Bereast of Damin	ant Crasica			
Total Cover	r: 🕫 %			That Are OBL, FA	CW, or FAC	<b>:</b>	0.0 %	(A/B)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum								<u> </u>
1				Prevalence Index	workshee	t:		
2				Total % Cove	r of:	Mult	ply by:	
3.				OBL species	12	x 1 =	0	
4.				FACW species	- 185	x 2 =	0	
5				FAC species	100	x 3 =	0	
Total Cover	: ¥ %			FACU species	10	x 4 =	40	
Herb Stratum				UPL species	1.51A	x 5 =	0	
1. Erigeron canadensis	10	Yes	FACU	Column Totals:	10	(A)	40	(B)
3				Prevalence I	ndex = B/A	\ =	4.00	
4				Hydrophytic Veg	etation Ind	icators:		-
5				Dominance T	est is >50%			
6			<u></u>	Prevalence in	dex is ≤3.0	1		
7					Adaptation	s <sup>1</sup> (Provid	le support	ina
, o	· · · ·			- data in Re	marks or on	a separa	te sheet)	
0				- Problematic -	lydrophytic	Vegetatio	n <sup>1</sup> (Explain	n)
Woody Vine Stratum	10 %							
1				<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydr	ric soil and	wetland I	nydrology	must
2	-			be present.				
Total Cover				Hydrophytic				
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 90 % % Cover	of Biotic C	crust	%	Vegetation Present?	Yes C	No	•	
Remarks:		-		1				

#### Sampling Point: 6

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	n needed to docume	nt the indicator o	or confirm	the absence of	indicators.)
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist)	% Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture <sup>3</sup>	Remarks
0-16 100				Sand	Coarse sand
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=F <sup>3</sup> Soil Textures: Clay, Silty Clay, Sandy Clay, I Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRR: Histosol (A1) Histoc Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C) 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Reduced Matrix. <sup>2</sup> Lu Loam, Sandy Clay Loa s, unless otherwise no Sandy Redox (S Stripped Matrix Loamy Mucky Loamy Gleyed Depleted Matri Redox Dark Su Depleted Dark	ocation: PL=Pore am, Sandy Loam, ted.) 35) < (S6) Mineral (F1) Matrix (F2) x (F3) urface (F6) Surface (F7)	Lining, RC Clay Loarr	=Root Channel, n, Silty Clay Loan Indicators for 2 cm Muc Reduced Red Pare Other (Ex	M=Matrix. n, Silt Loam, Silt, Loamy Sand, Sand. Problematic Hydric Soils <sup>4</sup> : k (A9) (LRR C) k (A10) (LRR B) Vertic (F18) nt Material (TF2) plain in Remarks)
Thick Dark Surface (A12) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	Redox Depress	sions (F8) <sup>-</sup> 9)		<sup>4</sup> Indicators of I wetland hy	nydrophytic vegetation and drology must be present.
Type: Depth (inches): Remarks: NRCS mapped this section as I	Riverwash, which is	s a hydric soil. I	However,	Hydric Soil Pro no indicators c	esent? Yes No ( No (
HYDROLOGY					
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:				Secondar	ry Indicators (2 or more required)
Primary Indicators (any one indicator is suffici	ent)			Wate	er Marks (B1) ( <b>Riverine</b> )
<ul> <li>Surface Water (A1)</li> <li>High Water Table (A2)</li> <li>Saturation (A3)</li> <li>Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)</li> <li>Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)</li> <li>Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine)</li> <li>Surface Soil Cracks (B6)</li> <li>Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)</li> <li>Water-Stained Leaves (B9)</li> </ul>	Salt Crust (B1 Biotic Crust (B Aquatic Invert Hydrogen Sul Oxidized Rhiz Presence of F Recent Iron R Other (Explain	11) 312) fide Odor (C1) cospheres along L Reduced Iron (C4) Reduction in Plowe n in Remarks)	iving Roots ) ed Soils (Cl	Sedii Sedii Drift Drair Dry-5 s (C3) Thin Cray 6) Satur Shall FAC-	ment Deposits (B2) ( <b>Riverine</b> ) Deposits (B3) ( <b>Riverine</b> ) nage Patterns (B10) Season Water Table (C2) Muck Surface (C7) fish Burrows (C8) ration Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ow Aquitard (D3) -Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:         Surface Water Present?       Yes C       Network         Water Table Present?       Yes C       Network         Saturation Present?       Yes C       Network         (includes capillary fringe)       Ves C       Network         Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, month       Network	Depth (inche Depth (inche Depth (inche Depth (inche itoring well, aerial pho	s):	Wetlar vections), if	nd Hydrology Pr available:	resent? Yes () No (

Remarks:

Project/Site: Yorba Linda Boulevard Widening	City/County:Yorba Linda	Sampling Date: 11/7/2019		
Applicant/Owner: City of Yorba Linda		State:CA	Sampling Point:7	
Investigator(s): Jessica Lieuw, Bo Gould	Section, Township, Range:S	29, T3S, R8W		
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Riverine	Local relief (concave, conve	Slope (%):5		
Subregion (LRR):C - Mediterranean California Lat:33.	8747955 Lon	g:-117.7488856	Datum: WGS84	
Soil Map Unit Name: Riverwash		NWI classific	cation:L2UBHh	
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of ye	ear? Yes 🜔 No 🎧	(If no, explain in R	temarks.)	
Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology significantly	disturbed? Are "Norm	al Circumstances" p	present? Yes 🕢 No 🎧	
Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology naturally pro	oblematic? (If needed	explain any answe	rs in Remarks.)	

### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes (						
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes (	No (	within a Wetland?	Yes (	ĥ	No (	
Remarks:							

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test	workshee	et:		
Tree Stratum (Use scientific names.)	% Cover	Species	Status	Number of Dominant Species				
1.Salix lasiolepis	70	Yes	FACW	That Are OBL, FA	CW, or FA	C:	3	(A)
2				Total Number of D	Dominant			
3				Species Across A	II Strata:		3	(B)
4				Percent of Domin	ant Snecie	e		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum Total Cover	r: 70 %			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 10		100.0 %	(A/B)	
1.				Prevalence Index	k workshe	et:		
2.				Total % Cove	er of:	Mu	Itiply by:	~
3.			0	OBL species	50	x 1 =	50	
4.	<u> </u>		-	FACW species	70	x 2 =	140	
5.			-	FAC species	5	x 3 =	15	
Total Cover	: * %			FACU species	elte.	x 4 =	O	
Herb Stratum	1000			UPL species	.464	x 5 =	0	
1.Typha sp.	50	Yes	OBL	Column Totals:	125	(A)	205	(B)
<sup>2</sup> Artemisia douglasiana	5	Yes	FAC		145	6.9	200	(-,
3.	-		-	Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.64				
4.	-			Hydrophytic Veg	etation In	dicators		
5.		·		🖌 🗙 Dominance T	est is >50%	6		
6,	-		*	🗙 Prevalence In	ndex is ≤3.(	) <sup>1</sup>		
7.	č <del></del>		-	Morphologica	Adaptatio	ns <sup>1</sup> (Prov	ide support	ing
8.				data in Re	marks or o	n a sepa	rate sheet)	
Total Cover	55 0/	j. <del></del>		- Problematic H	lydrophytic	: Vegetat	ion <sup>1</sup> (Explair	ו)
Woody Vine Stratum	35 %							
1.				<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hyd	ric soil and	d wetland	l hydrology	must
2.				be present.				
Total Cover	: %			Hydrophytic				
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 40 % % Cover	of Biotic C	rust	%	Vegetation Present?	Yes (	No	$\sim$	
Remarks:				1				

-		
~		
-	<b>-</b>	

Profile Des	scription: (Describe to the d	epth needed to docur	ment the indicator	or confirm	n the abse	ence of indicato	ors.)
Depth (inches)	Matrix	Redo:	x Features	1	Tation	- 3	Deveete
(incries)		Color (moist)		_Loc-	Textur	e	Remarks
0-16	<u>10YR2/2</u> 100				Sandy loar	n	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=0 <sup>3</sup> Soil Textur	Concentration, D=Depletion, R res: Clay, Silty Clay, Sandy Cl	M=Reduced Matrix. ay, Loam, Sandy Clay	<sup>2</sup> Location: PL=Pore Loam, Sandy Loam	E Lining, R	C=Root Cl Im, Silty Cl	nannel, M=Matri ay Loam, Silt Lo	x. bam, Silt, Loamy Sand, Sand
Hydric Soil Histosc Histoc E Black H Hydrog Stratific 1 cm M Deplete Thick E	Indicators: (Applicable to all L b) (A1) Epipedon (A2) Histic (A3) Jen Sulfide (A4) ed Layers (A5) (LRR C) Muck (A9) (LRR D) ed Below Dark Surface (A11) Dark Surface (A12)	RRs, unless otherwise Sandy Redo: Stripped Ma Loamy Muc Loamy Gley Depleted M Redox Dark Depleted Da Redox Depl	r noted.) x (S5) atrix (S6) ky Mineral (F1) ved Matrix (F2) atrix (F3) x Surface (F6) ark Surface (F7) ressions (F8)			ors for Problem cm Muck (A9) (L cm Muck (A10) educed Vertic (F ed Parent Mater ther (Explain in F	atic Hydric Soils <sup>4</sup> : .RR C) (LRR B) (18) ial (TF2) Remarks)
Sandy Sandy	Mucky Mineral (S1) Gleyed Matrix (S4)	Vernal Pool	s (F9)		*Indica wet	itors of hydrophy land hydrology i	/tic vegetation and must be present.
Restrictive	ELayer (if present):						
i ype: Depth (ir	nches):				Hydric	Soil Present?	Yes 🎧 🔹 No 🔎
Remarks: N	NRCS mapped this section	as Riverwash, which	h is a hydric soil.	However	, no indic	ators of hydri	c soil were present.
Wetland Hy	vdrology Indicators:				S	econdary Indica	tors (2 or more required)
Primary Ind	icators (any one indicator is su	ifficient)			>	Water Marks	(B1) (Riverine)
Surface	e Water (A1)	Salt Crust	(B11)		E	Sediment De	posits (B2) ( <b>Riverine</b> )
High W	/ater Table (A2)	Biotic Crus	st (B12)		Þ	C Drift Deposits	s (B3) ( <b>Riverine</b> )
Saturat	lion (A3)	Aquatic Inv	vertebrates (B13)			Drainage Pat	terns (B10)
Water I	Marks (B1) ( <b>Nonriverine</b> )	Hydrogen	Sulfide Odor (C1)		Ľ	] Dry-Season \	Nater Table (C2)
Sedime	ent Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine	e) 🗌 Oxidized R	hizospheres along	Living Roo	ots (C3)	] Thin Muck Si	urface (C7)
Drift De	eposits (B3) (Nonriverine)	Presence	of Reduced Iron (C4	l)	E	Crayfish Burr	rows (C8)
Surface	e Soil Cracks (B6)	Recent Iro	n Reduction in Plow	ed Soils (	C6)	Saturation Vi	sible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Inundat	tion Visible on Aerial Imagery	(B7) Other (Exp	olain in Remarks)		E	Shallow Aqui	tard (D3)
Water-S	Stained Leaves (B9)				Г	FAC-Neutral	Test (D5)

Remarks: Water marks and drift deposits are indicative of an extraordinary event, not ordinary. Based on analysis of height above water table (~3 ft) and historical aerials, this area is not often inundated/saturated and would not meet the indicator of wetland hydrology.

Depth (inches):

Depth (inches):

Depth (inches):

Field Observations: Surface Water Present?

Water Table Present?

(includes capillary fringe)

Saturation Present?

Yes C

Yes (

Yes (

No (

No (

No (

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

 $\mathbf{O}$ 

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes

No (

Project/Site: Yorba Linda Boulevard Widening	City/County: Yorba Linc	la	Sampling Date: 11/7/2019
Applicant/Owner: City of Yorba Linda		State:CA	Sampling Point:8
Investigator(s): Jessica Lieuw, Bo Gould	Section, Township, Rang	e:S29, T3S, R8W	·
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Riverine	Local relief (concave, co	nvex, none):Concave	Slope (%):5
Subregion (LRR):C - Mediterranean California Lat:33.	8746556	Long:-117.7489734	Datum: WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name: Riverwash		NWI classific	cation:L2UBHh
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of ye	ear? Yes 🌔 No 🌔	(If no, explain in F	Remarks.)
Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology significantly	v disturbed? Are "N	ormal Circumstances"	present? Yes 🙆 No 🎧
Are Vegetation Soil 🔀 or Hydrology 🗌 naturally pro	oblematic? (If nee	ded, explain any answe	ers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing	sampling point loc	ations, transects	, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ( No (			

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes (•	No (			
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🌘	No (	Is the Sampled Area		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes 🌘	No 🕢	within a Wetland?	Yes (	No C
Remarks:			2 <b>1</b>		

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test v	vorkshee	et:		
Tree Stratum (Use scientific names.)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Domina	nt Specie	s		
1				That Are OBL, FAC	W, or FA	C:	5	(A)
2.				Total Number of Do	ominant			
3.				Species Across All	Strata:		5	(B)
4.				Percent of Domina	nt Snacia	•		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum Total Cove	r: 🐲 %			That Are OBL, FAC	W, or FA	iC:	100.0 %	(A/B)
1.Typha sp.	80	Yes	OBL	Prevalence Index	workshe	et:		
2. Urtica dioica	2	Yes	FAC	Total % Cover	of:	M	ultiply by:	-
3. Salix lasiolepis	2	Yes	FACW	OBL species	80	x 1 =	80	
4. Baccharis salicifolia	2	Yes	FAC	FACW species	2	x 2 =	4	
5.				FAC species	9	x 3 =	27	
Total Cover	: 86 %			FACU species	104-	x 4 =	0	
Herb Stratum				UPL species		x 5 =	0	
<sup>1</sup> .Pulicaria paludosa	5	Yes	FAC	Column Totals:	91	(A)	111	(B)
2.			×					
3.				Prevalence In	idex = B/	'A =	1.22	
4.			0	Hydrophytic Vege	tation in	dicators		
5.				🖌 🗙 Dominance Te	st is >50%	6		
6.				🖌 🗙 Prevalence Ind	lex is ≤3.0	) <sup>1</sup>		
7.				Morphological	Adaptatio	ons <sup>1</sup> (Pro	vide support	ing
8.					arks or o	n a sepa		->
Total Cover	5 %		-		arophytic	c vegeta	tion: (Explai	n)
Woody Vine Stratum				1				
1		-		be present	c soil and	d wetlan	a hydrology	must
2								
Total Cover	: * %			Hydrophytic				
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 40 % % Cover	of Biotic C	crust	%	Present?	Yes (	N	٥C	
Remarks:								

Profile Desc	cription: (Describe )	to the depth nee	ded to docu	ment the indicator	or confirm	the absence of	indicators.)
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Features	- 3	3	
(inches)	Color (moist)	Cole	or (moist)	%Type1	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks
0-16	10YR2/2	100				Loamy sand	Live roots present
							(3) (3)
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		••			
							19
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2	
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=C	oncentration, D=Depl	letion, RM=Reduc	ed Matrix.	<sup>2</sup> Location: PL=Pore	Lining, R	C=Root Channel,	M=Matrix.
<sup>3</sup> Soil Texture	es: Clay, Silty Clay, S	Sandy Clay, Loam	, Sandy Clay	Loam, Sandy Loam	, Clay Loai	m, Silty Clay Loar	n, Silt Loam, Silt, Loamy Sand, Sand.
Hydric Soil I	ndicators: (Applicabl	e to all LRRs, uni	ess otherwise	e noted.)		Indicators for	Problematic Hydric Soils:
Histosol	(A1)		Sandy Redo	x (S5)		1 cm Muc	k (A9) (LRR C)
Histic E	bipedon (A2)		Stripped M	atrix (S6)		2 cm Muc	k (A10) (LRR B)
Black Hi	istic (A3)		Loamy Mud	cky Mineral (F1)		Reduced	Vertic (F18)
	en Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gle	yed Matrix (F2)		Red Pare	nt Material (TF2)
Stratified	a Layers (A5) (LRR C		Depleted IV	latrix (F3)		Other (Ex	plain in Remarks)
	ICK (A9) (LKK D) d Below Dark Surface	(A11)	Depleted D	(Sunace (FO)			
Thick D	ark Surface (A12)		Redox Dep				
Sandy M	Aucky Mineral (S1)	_	Vernal Poo	ls (F9)		<sup>4</sup> Indicators of I	vdrophytic vegetation and
H Sandy G	Sleved Matrix (S4)			13 (1 5)		wetland hv	drology must be present
Restrictive	aver (if present):					1	
Type:	cayer (in present).						
Type.							
Depth (in	cnes):					Hydric Soil Pro	esent? Yes (• No (
Remarks: N	RCS mapped this s	section as River	wash, whic	h is a hydric soil.	Because 1	there are strong	indicators of hydrophytic
Ve	getation and hydro	ology (saturatio	n), soils at t	his sample pit we	re determ	ined to be hydri	c even though they lack typical
in	dicators. Soils are	on vegetated sa	nd and grav	el bar within a flo	odplain.		
	GV						
Wetland Hy	drology indicators:					Seconda	ry Indicators (2 or more required)
Primary India	cators (any one indica	ator is sufficient)				X Wate	er Marks (B1) ( <b>Riverine</b> )
Surface	Water (A1)		Salt Crust	(B11)		Sedi	ment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
🗌 High Wa	iter Table (A2)		Biotic Cru	st (B12)		🗙 Drift	Deposits (B3) ( <b>Riverine</b> )
X Saturatio	on (A3)	Γ	Aquatic In	vertebrates (B13)		Drair	nage Patterns (B10)
Water M	larks (B1) ( <b>Nonriveri</b>	ne) 🗍	Hydrogen	Sulfide Odor (C1)		Dry-	Season Water Table (C2)
Sedimer	nt Deposits (B2) (Non	nriverine)	Oxidized I	Rhizospheres along	Living Roo	ts (C3) 🗍 Thin	Muck Surface (C7)
Drift Dep	oosits (B3) (Nonriver	ine)	Presence	of Reduced Iron (C4	)	Cray	fish Burrows (C8)
Surface	Soil Cracks (B6)	Ē	Recent Irc	n Reduction in Plow	ed Soils (C	C6) 🦳 Satu	ration Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Inundati	on Visible on Aerial Ir	magery (B7)	Other (Ex	plain in Remarks)		Shai	ow Aquitard (D3)
Water-S	tained Leaves (B9)	-	-			FAC	Neutral Test (D5)
Field Obser	vations:						
Surface Wat	er Present? Ye		Depth (in	ches):			
Water Table	Present? V		Depth (in	chec):			
(includes car	resent? Ye	es ( No C	Depth (In	cnes):	Wetla	and Hydrology P	resent? Yes 💿 No 🤇
Describe Re	corded Data (stream	gauge, monitoring	g well, aerial	photos, previous ins	pections), i	if available:	•
					, , ,		
Romarks:							
Remarks.							

Project/Site: Yorba Linda Boulevard Widening	City/County:	Torba Linda	Sampling Date: 11/7/2019
Applicant/Owner: City of Yorba Linda		State:CA	Sampling Point:9
Investigator(s): Jessica Lieuw, Bo Gould	Section, Tow	nship, Range:S29, T3S, R8W	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Riverine	Local relief (	concave, convex, none): Concave	Slope (%):5
Subregion (LRR):C - Mediterranean California	t:33.874620	Long:-117.748953	Datum: WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name: Riverwash		NWI classific	cation:L2UBHh
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time	of year? Yes 🌘	No 🌔 (If no, explain in R	Remarks.)
Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology signific	cantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances"	present? Yes 🕢 No 🔿
Are Vegetation Soil 🗙 or Hydrology natura	Ily problematic?	(If needed, explain any answe	ers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map show	ving sampling	point locations, transects	, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ( No (			

Hydric Soil Present?	Yes (	No 🜘	Is the Sampled Area			
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes 🌘	No 💽	within a Wetland?	Yes (	No C	
Remarks:						

#### VEGETATION

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test v	vorkshee	et:		
Tree Stratum (Use scientific names.) 1.	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Domina That Are OBL, FAC	nt Specie XW, or FA	es NC:	5	(A)
2.	··			Total Number of Dr	ominant			
3.				Species Across All	Strata:		5	(B)
4.				Bercent of Domina	nt Spocio			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum	* %			That Are OBL, FAC	W, or FA	NC:	100.0 %	(A/B)
1.Typha sp.	80	Yes	OBL	Prevalence Index	workshe	et:		
2. Urtica dioica	2	Yes	FAC	Total % Cover	of:	M	ultiply by:	_
3. Salix lasiolepis	2	Yes	FACW	OBL species	80	x 1 =	80	
4. Baccharis salicifolia	2	Yes	FAC	FACW species	2	x 2 =	4	
5.				FAC species	9	x 3 =	27	
Total Cover:	86 %			FACU species	19	x 4 =	0	
Herb Stratum				UPL species	· 347.	x 5 =	0	
<sup>1</sup> .Pulicaria paludosa	5	Yes	FAC	Column Totals:	91	(A)	111	(B)
2.								
3.				Prevalence In	idex = B/	/A =	1.22	
4.				Hydrophytic Vege	tation In	dicators	:	
5.				🖌 🗶 Dominance Te	st is >50%	%		
6.				🛛 🕱 Prevalence Ind	lex is ≤3.0	0 <sup>1</sup>		
7.				Morphological	Adaptatic	ons <sup>1</sup> (Pro	vide support	ing
8.					arks or o	on a sepa	irate sneet)	
Total Cover:	5 %		-	- Problematic Hy	/drophytic	c Végeta	tion' (Explai	(ו
Woody Vine Stratum	<b>6</b> 70							
1				Indicators of hydri	c soil and	d wetland	d hydrology	must
2				- De present.				
Total Cover:	w %			Hydrophytic				
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 40 % % Cover	of Biotic C	Crust	%	Present?	Yes (	N	• C	
Remarks:								1

US Army Corps of Engineers

Profile Des	scription: (Describe t	o the depth	needed to docu	ment the indicator	or confirm	n the absence of indicators.)	
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Features			
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	<u>% Type1</u>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture <sup>3</sup> Remarks	
0-8		100				Sand	
8-16	Gley2.5/N	60				Sandy clay loam	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2 5 1 5 1 2	40		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	- 2.313/3			· ·		<u></u>	
				· <u> </u>			
S <b></b>				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· <del>····································</del>	·······	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=0 <sup>3</sup> Soil Textur	Concentration, D=Depleres: Clay, Silty Clay, S	etion, RM≃R andy Clay, L	educed Matrix. .oam, Sandy Clay	<sup>2</sup> Location: PL=Pore Loam, Sandy Loam	e Lining, R0 , Clay Loai	C=Root Channel, M=Matrix. m, Silty Clay Loam, Silt Loam, Silt, Loamy Sa	nd, Sand.
Hydric Soil	Indicators: (Applicable	e to all LRRs	, unless otherwise	e noted.)		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils	
Histoso	ol (A1)		. Sandy Redo	x (S5)		1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)	1
Histic E	Epipedon (A2)		Stripped M	atrix (S6)		2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)	
Black H	Histic (A3)		Loamy Muc	ky Mineral (F1)		Reduced Vertic (F18)	
Hydrog	jen Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gle	ed Matrix (F2)		Red Parent Material (TF2)	
Stratifie	ed Layers (A5) (LRR C	)	Depleted M	atrix (F3)		Other (Explain in Remarks)	
📙 1 cm N	luck (A9) (LRR D)		Redox Darl	(Surface (F6)			
Deplete	ed Below Dark Surface	: (A11)	Depleted D	ark Surface (F7)			
Thick 🛙	Dark Surface (A12)		Redox Dep	ressions (F8)			
Sandy	Mucky Mineral (S1)		Vernal Poo	ls (F9)		<sup>4</sup> Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and	
Sandy	Gleyed Matrix (S4)		- <del>1</del> 1)			wetland hydrology must be present.	
Restrictive	E Layer (if present):						
Туре:							
Depth (ii	nches):					Hydric Soil Present? Yes ( No	C
Remarks:							
HYDROLO	DGY						
Wetland Hy	vdrology Indicators:	_				Secondary Indicators (2 or more requ	lired)
Primary Ind	licators (any one indica	tor is sufficie	ent)			Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)	
	a Mictor (A1)	ator is sufficie		(D11)			
							ie)
				st (B12)			
Saturat	(ION (A3)		Aquatic In	vertebrates (B13)		Drainage Patterns (B10)	
Water I	Marks (B1) (Nonriverii	ne)	Hydrogen	Sulfide Odor (C1)	=	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
	ent Deposits (B2) (Non	riverine)		Rhizospheres along	Living Roo	ots (C3) Thin Muck Surface (C7)	
	eposits (B3) (Nonriveri	ine)	Presence	of Reduced Iron (C4	H)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)	
Surface	e Soil Cracks (B6)		Recent Irc	n Reduction in Plow	ed Soils (C	C6) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imag	ery (C9)
Inundat	tion Visible on Aerial In	nagery (B7)	Other (Ex	olain in Remarks)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
Water-	Stained Leaves (B9)					FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
Field Obse							
	rvations:						
Surface Wa	rvations: iter Present? Ye	s C No	Depth (in	ches):	_		
Surface Wa Water Table	rvations: ater Present? Ye e Present? Ye	es 🌔 No	Depth (in Depth (in Depth (in	ches): ches): 3 in			
Surface Wa Water Table	rvations: ater Present? Ye e Present? Ye Present? Ye	es C No	Depth (in Depth (in Depth (in	ches): <u>3 in.</u>	_		
Surface Wa Water Table Saturation F (includes ca	rvations: ater Present? Ye e Present? Ye Present? Ye apillary fringe)	es (`No es (`No es (`No	Depth (in Depth (in Depth (in	ches): 3 in. ches): 3 in.	Wetla	and Hydrology Present? Yes ( No	0
Surface Wa Water Table Saturation F (includes ca Describe Re	itvations: ater Present? Ye Present? Ye Present? Ye apillary fringe) ecorded Data (stream g	es (`No es (o`No es (o`No gauge, monit	Depth (in Depth (in Depth (in toring well, aerial	ches): <u>3 in.</u> ches): <u>3 in.</u> ches):	Wetla	and Hydrology Present? Yes ( No	0
Surface Wa Water Table Saturation F (includes ca Describe Re	rvations: ater Present? Ye Present? Ye Present? Ye apillary fringe) ecorded Data (stream g	es (`No es (o No es (o No gauge, monif	Depth (in Depth (in Depth (in toring well, aerial	ches): <u>3 in.</u> ches): <u>3 in.</u> ches): bhotos, previous ins	Wetla pections), i	and Hydrology Present? Yes ( No if available:	0
Surface Wa Water Table Saturation F (includes ca Describe Re Remarks:	rvations: ater Present? Ye e Present? Ye Present? Ye apillary fringe) ecorded Data (stream o	es (`No es (•No es (•No gauge, monif	Depth (in     Depth (in     Depth (in     Depth (in     toring well, aerial	ches): <u>3 in.</u> ches): <u>3 in.</u> ches): bhotos, previous ins	Wetla pections), i	and Hydrology Present? Yes ( No if available:	0
Surface Wa Water Table Saturation F (includes ca Describe Re Remarks:	rvations: ater Present? Ye e Present? Ye Present? Ye apillary fringe) ecorded Data (stream g	es (`No es (•No es (•No gauge, monif	Depth (in Depth (in Depth (in Depth (in toring well, aerial	ches): <u>3 in.</u> ches): <u>3 in.</u> ches):	Wetla pections), i	and Hydrology Present? Yes ( No if available:	0
Surface Wa Water Table Saturation F (includes ca Describe Re Remarks:	rvations: ater Present? Ye e Present? Ye Present? Ye apillary fringe) ecorded Data (stream g	es (`No es (`No es (`No gauge, monit	Depth (in Depth (in Depth (in toring well, aerial	ches): <u>3 in.</u> ches): <u>3 in.</u> ches):	Wetla pections), i	and Hydrology Present? Yes ( No if available:	0
Surface Wa Water Table Saturation F (includes ca Describe Re Remarks:	rvations: ater Present? Ye e Present? Ye Present? Ye apillary fringe) ecorded Data (stream g	es (`No es (`No es (`No gauge, monit	Depth (in Depth (in Depth (in toring well, aerial	ches): <u>3 in.</u> ches): <u>5 in.</u> ches):	Wetla pections), i	and Hydrology Present? Yes ( No if available:	<u>с</u>
Surface Wa Water Table Saturation F (includes ca Describe Re Remarks:	rvations: iter Present? Ye e Present? Ye Present? Ye apillary fringe) ecorded Data (stream g	es (`No es (`No es (`No gauge, monif	Depth (in Depth (in Depth (in toring well, aerial	ches): <u>3 in.</u> ches): <u>3 in.</u> ches):	Wetla pections), i	and Hydrology Present? Yes ( No if available:	<u> </u>

County: Yorba Linda Sampling Date: 11/7/2019
State:CA Sampling Point:10
ion, Township, Range:S29, T3S, R8W
al relief (concave, convex, none):Concave Slope (%):5
829 Long:-117.7490958 Datum: WGS84
NWI classification:L2UBHh
Yes 🕢 No 🌈 (If no, explain in Remarks.)
rbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes 🕢 No 🦳
natic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)
City/ Sect Loc: 8744 ear? / distu

## SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes 🌘	No 🙆	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🌘	No 🕼	Is the Sampled Area
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes 🌘	No 🌘	within a Wetland? Yes ( No (
Remarks:			

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test	workshee	et:		
Tree Stratum (Use scientific names.) 1.	% Cover	Species?	Status_	Number of Domin That Are OBL, FA	ant Specie CW, or FA	es NC:	2	(A)
2.				Total Number of F				
3.			-	Species Across A	Jominant		2	(B)
4.	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-			4	(_)
Saoling/Shrub Stratum	r: 🕫 %			- Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0 % (A/B)				
1 Tomoriz sp	5	Vac	FAC	Prevalence Index worksbeet:				
2	·	103		- Total % Cover of: Multiply by:				
2					1 01.	× 1 =	0 n	Ť I
4			-	EACW/species	1990	× 2 –	0	
4				EAC appaies		x2- x2-	0	5
D				FAC species	10	x3=	30	5 1
Herb Stratum	5 %			FACU species		x 4 =	0	
1 Pediamia makedona	5	Van		UPL species	100	x 5 =	0	
<sup>1</sup> Pulicaria paluaosa		res	FAC	Column Totals:	10	(A)	30	(B)
3.				Prevalence	index = B/	'A =	3.00	
4.	<u> </u>			Hydrophytic Veg	etation Inc	dicators	:	
5.		-		🗙 Dominance T	est is >50%	%		
6.				Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>1</sup>				
7.				Morphologica	I Adaptatio	ons <sup>1</sup> (Prov	vide support	ing
8.		·		data in Re	marks or o	n a sepa	rate sheet)	
Total Cover			÷	Problematic H	lydrophytic	c Vegetat	tion <sup>1</sup> (Explai	ר) (ו
Woody Vine Stratum	%							
1.				<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hyd	ric soil and	d wetland	d hydrology	must
2.	·	·		be present.				
Total Cover	: %%			Hydrophytic Vegetation				
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 90 % % Cover of Biotic Cri			%	Present?	Yes 💽	No	٥C	
Remarks:								

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)										
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Features						
(inches)	Color (moist)	<u>%</u> <u>C</u>	olor (moist)	% Type1	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture <sup>3</sup>	Remarks			
0-8		100				Sand	Coarse sand			
8-16	Glev2.5/N	60				Clay				
	2 5 12	40				·				
	2.313/3	40								
		1								
						-				
1						-				
'Type: C=C	Concentration, D=Depl	letion, RM=Red	uced Matrix.	Location: PL=Por	e Lining, R	C=Root Channel,	M=Matrix.			
Soll Textur	es. Clay, Slity Clay, S	Sandy Clay, Loa	m, Sandy Clay	Loam, Sandy Loam	i, Clay Loa	im, Slity Clay Loar	n, Slit Loam, Slit, Loamy Sand, Sand,			
Hydric Soll	Indicators: (Applicabl	le to all LRRs, u	nless otherwise	e noted.)		Indicators for	Problematic Hydric Soils:			
	nipodon (A2)	Ļ	Sandy Redo	ox (S5) atrix (S6)			(A9) (LRR C)			
Black H	listic (A3)	Ļ		ky Mineral (F1)		2 cm Muc	(ATU) (LRR B) Vertic (E18)			
	en Sulfide (A4)	ŀ	Loamy Gle	ved Matrix (F2)		Red Pare	nt Material (TE2)			
Stratifie	ed Lavers (A5) (LRR C	c)	Depleted N	latrix (F3)		Other (Ex	plain in Remarks)			
1 cm M	luck (A9) (LRR D)	, 	Redox Darl	k Surface (F6)						
Deplete	ed Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Depleted D	ark Surface (F7)						
Thick D	ark Surface (A12)	Ì	Redox Dep	ressions (F8)						
Sandy	Mucky Mineral (S1)	Ī	Vernal Poo	ls (F9)		<sup>4</sup> Indicators of I	hydrophytic vegetation and			
Sandy	Gleyed Matrix (S4)					wetland hy	drology must be present.			
Restrictive	Layer (if present):									
Туре:			-							
Depth (ir	nches):		-			Hydric Soil Pre	esent? Yes 🕢 No 🤇			
Remarks: NRCS mapped this section as Riverwash, which is a hydric soil. Because there are strong indicators of hydronhytic										
v v	egetation and hydro	ology (saturati	on), soils at t	his sample pit we	re determ	ined to be hydri	c even though they lack typical			
i ii	ndicators. Soils are	on vegetated s	sand and grav	el bar within a flo	odplain.	·				
					_					
HYDROLO	DGY									
Wetland Hy	drology Indicators:					Seconda	ry Indicators (2 or more required)			
Primary Ind	icators (any one indica	ator is sufficient)				Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)				
Surface Water (A1)					Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)					
High Water Table (A2)					Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)					
Saturat	Saturation (A3)					Drainage Patterns (B10)				
Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)					Drv-Season Water Table (C2)					
Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)						ots (C3)	Muck Surface (C7)			
Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine)						fish Burrows (C8)				
Surface Soil Cracks (B6)				C6) 🗍 Satu	ration Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)					
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)						low Aquitard (D3)				
Water-S	Stained Leaves (B9)		<u>ш</u>	,			Neutral Test (D5)			
Field Obse	rvations:				1					
Surface Wa	ter Present? Ye		Depth (in	ches):						
Water Table	Present?		Depth (in	ches):			8			
Saturation E	Procent?		) Depth (in Depth (in	ches):						
(includes ca	pillary fringe)	es (• No (	, Deptil (in		- Wetla	and Hydrology P	resent? Yes 💿 No 🎧			
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:										
Remarks:										