CHARLTON H. BONHAM, Director

DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE Central Region 1234 East Shaw Avenue Fresno, California 93710 www.wildlife.ca.gov

November 12, 2020

Governor's Office of Planning & Research

November 12, 2020

STATE CLEARINGHOUSE

Jeremy Ballard Stanislaus County Planning and Community Development 1010 10th Street, Suite 3400 Modesto, California 95354

**Subject: Early Consultation for Ordinance Amendment Application** 

No. PLN2020-0105 - Hemp Cultivation

Dear Mr. Ballard:

The California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) has received a referral for early consultation on Stanislaus County (County) Ordinance Amendment Application No. PLN2020-0105 - Hemp (Ordinance) pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and CEQA Guidelines.1 The Ordinance addresses cultivation and processing of hemp in the unincorporated Stanislaus County jurisdiction.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments and recommendations regarding those activities involved in the Ordinance that may affect California fish and wildlife. Likewise, CDFW appreciates the opportunity to provide comments regarding those aspects of the Ordinance CDFW, by law, may be required to carry out or approve through the exercise of its own regulatory authority under Fish and Game Code (FGC).

As defined in the Ordinance, Section 6.85.030 defines "Hemp cultivation" as any activity involving the planting, growing, harvesting, drying, curing, grading, or trimming of hemp, including activities carried out by hemp breeders. For the purposes of this chapter, hemp cultivation shall include cultivation by an established agricultural research institution (EARI) as defined in Section 81000 of the California Food and Agricultural Code. According to Section 6.85.050 D., each hemp cultivation license shall permit the outdoor cultivation of not more than a cumulative total of forty (40) acres of land. Section 6.85.060 A. states each parcel upon which a license application is submitted shall be a minimum of ten acres in size and located in the A-2 (General Agricultural) zoning district but outside of a Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) Sphere of Influence (SOI) of a city or Urban Transition (UT) General Plan designation of the County.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> CEQA is codified in the California Public Resources Code in section 21000 et seq. The "CEQA Guidelines" are found in Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations, commencing with section 15000.

## **CDFW ROLE**

CDFW is California's **Trustee Agency** for fish and wildlife resources and holds those resources in trust by statue for all the people of the State (Fish & G. Code, §§ 711.7, subd. (a) & 1802; Pub. Resources Code, § 21070; CEQA Guidelines § 15386, subd. (a)). CDFW, in its trustee capacity, has jurisdiction over the conservation, protection, and management of fish, wildlife, native plants, and habitat necessary for biologically sustainable populations of those species (*Id.*, § 1802).

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION SUMMARY

**Proponent:** Stanislaus County

**Objective:** Stanislaus County is requesting to amend Chapter 6.85 Hemp Cultivation, of the Stanislaus County Code, and to adopt a permanent ordinance for the cultivation of hemp in the A-2 (General Agriculture) zoning district of the unincorporated areas of Stanislaus Count. Hemp cultivation in Stanislaus County has been permitted by a pilot program that was enacted in 2019, extended through 2020, and concludes on May 1, 2021. The County's pilot program limited hemp cultivation to parcels located in the A-2 (General Agriculture) zoning district only when outside a Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) adopted Sphere of Influence of a city, if the parcel is 10 acres or above in size, and no more than 40 acres total will be cultivated. The proposed ordinance amendment will make the pilot program permanent, as well as add requirements for signage, define allowances for on-site processing, and requirements for bonding. This project is being deemed Exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act under CEQA Guidelines Section 15183, Consistency with a General Plan for which an EIR was adopted, and CEQA Guidelines Section 15061 the Common-Sense Exemption.

**Location**: Unincorporated Stanislaus County

## COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

CDFW is concerned the Ordinance, as drafted, could result in impacts to both unlisted and special status species known to occur in the County. Review of the California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB) reveals records for the special status species listed in the Table 1 and 2 (CDFW, 2020). CDFW's recommendations are included below to minimize impacts to fish and wildlife resources and their habitat.

r <u> </u>				
Table 1 Special Status Species	s Known to Occur in Stanisla	aus County.		
Common Name	Scientific Name	State Status	Federal Status	
Invertebrates				
Conservancy fairy	Branchinecta		endangered	
shrimp	conservatio			
Crotch bumble bee	Bombus crotchii	candidate endangered		
Valley elderberry longhorn beetle	Desmocerus californicus dimorphus		threatened	
vernal pool fairy shrimp	Branchinecta lynchi		threatened	
vernal pool tadepole shrimp	Lepidurus packardi		endangered	
Fish		1		
hardhead	Mylopharodon	SSC		
	conocephalus			
Steelhead - Central	Oncorhynchus mykiss		threatened	
Valley DPS	irideus pop. 11			
Sacramento splittail	Pogonichthys macrolepidotus	SCC		
San Joaquin roach	Lavinia symmetricus ssp. 1	SCC		
Amphibians		_		
California red-legged frog	Rana draytonii	SSC	threatened	
California tiger	Ambystoma	threatened	threatened	
salamander	californiense			
foothill yellow-legged frog	Rana boylii	endangered		
western spadefoot	Spea hammondii	SSC		
Reptiles				
Alameda whipsnake	Masticophis lateralis euryxanthus	threatened	threatened	
coast horned lizard	Phrynosoma blainvillii	SSC		
Northern California legless lizard	Anniella pulchra	SCC		
San Joaquin coachwhip	Masticophis flagellum ruddocki	SSC		
western pond turtle	Emys marmorata	SSC		
Birds				

Table 1
Special Status Species Known to Occur in Stanislaus County.

Common Name	Scientific Name	State Status	Federal Status	
bald eagle	Haliaeetus	endangered,		
Ü	leucocephalus	FP ,		
burrowing owl	Athene cunicularia	SSC		
Cackling goose	Branta hutchinsii	WL		
	leucopareia			
California horned lark	Eremophila alpestris actia	WL		
golden eagle	Aquila chrysaetos	FP		
least Bell's vireo	Vireo bellii pusillus	endangered	endangered	
loggerhead shrike	Lanius Iudovicianus	SSC		
merlin	Falco columbarius	WL		
mountain plover	Charadrius montanus	SSC		
prairie falcon	Falco mexicanus	WL		
Swainson's hawk	Buteo swainsoni	threatened		
tricolored blackbird	Agelaius tricolor	threatened		
western yellow-billed	Coccyzus americanus	endangered	threatened	
cuckoo	occidentalis			
yellow-breasted chat	Lcteria virens	SCC		
Mammals				
American badger	Taxidea taxus	SSC		
pallid bat	Antrozous pallidus	SSC		
riparian brush rabbit	Sylvilagus bachmani riparius	endangered	endangered	
riparian woodrat	Neotoma fuscipes riparia	SCC	endangered	
San Joaquin kit fox	Vulpes macrotis mutica	threatened	endangered	
Townsend's big-eared	Corynorhius townsendii	SSC		
bat				
western mastiff bat	Eumops perotis californicus	SSC		
western red bat	Lasiurus blossevillii	SSC		
Status Definitions				

Status Definitions

State:
FP – Fully Protected
SSC – Species of Special Concern

WL – Watch List

Table 2
Special Status Plant Species Known to Occur in Stanislaus County.

Common Name	Scientific Name	CNPS	State	Federal
		Status	Status	Status
Alkali-sink goldfields	Lasthenia chrysantha	1B.1		
beaked clarkia	Clarkia rostrata	1B.3		
big tarplant	Blepharizonia	1B.1		
	plumosa			
California alkali grass	Puccinellia simplex	1B.2		
chaparral harebell	Campanula exigua	1B.2		
Colusa grass	Neostapfia colusana	1B.1	endangered	threatened
Delta button-celery	Eryngium racemosum	1B.1	endangered	
diamond-petaled	Eschscholzia	1B.1		
California poppy	rhombipetala			
dwarf downingia	Downingia pusilla	2B.2		
forked hare-leaf	Lagophylla dichotoma	1B.1		
Greene's tuctoria	Tuctoria greenei	1B.1	rare	endangered
Hairy Orcutt grass	Orcuttia Pilosa	1B.1	endangered	endangered
Hall's bush-mallow	Malacothamnus hallii	1B.2		
Hartweg's golden	Pseudobahia bahiifolia	1B.1	endangered	endangered
sunburst				
heartscale	Atriplex cordulata var.	1B.2		
	cordulata			
Hoover's calycadenia	Calycadenia hooveri	1B.3		
Hoover's cryptantha	Cryptantha hooveri	1A		
Hoover's spurge	Euphorbia hooveri	1B.2		threatened
Hospital Canyon	Delphinium	1B.2		
larkspur	californicum ssp.			
	interius			
Lemmon's jewelflower	Caulanthus lemmonii	1B.2		
lesser saltscale	Atriplex minuscula	1B.1		
Lime Ridge navarretia	Navarretia gowenii	1B.1		
Mariposa cryptantha	Cryptantha mariposae	1B.3		
Mt. Diablo phacella	Phacelia phacelioides	1B.2		
Mt. Hamilton	Leptosyne hamiltonii	1B.2		
coreopsis				
Mt. Hamilton lomatium	Lomatium	1B.2		
	observatorium			
Mt. Hamilton thistle	Cirsium fontinales var.	1B.2		
	camplyon			

Table 2

Jeremy Ballard Stanislaus County Planning and Community Development November 12, 2020 Page 6

Special Status Plant Species Known to Occur in Stanislaus County.				
Common Name	Scientific Name	CNPS Status	State Status	Federal Status
prairie wedge grass	Sphenopholis obtusata	2B.2		
red-flowered bird's- foot trefoil	Acmispon rubriflorus	1B.1		
San Benito pentachaeta	Pentachaeta exillis ssp.aeolica	1B.2		
San Joaquin Valley Orcutt grass	Orcuttia inaequalis	1B.1	endangered	threatened
Sharsmith's harebell	Campanula sharsmithiae	1B.2		
Sharsmith's onion	Allium sharsmithiae	1B.3		

1B.2

1B.2

4.2

1B.1

1B.2

1B.2

1B.2

1B.2

2B.1

3.2

endangered

rare

threatened

Status Definitions

shining navarretia

celery

stinkbells

Stanislaus

monkeyflower

subtle orache

talus fritillary

Tracy's eriastrum

spiny-sepaled button-

succulent owl's-clover

vernal pool smallscale

watery popcornflower

California Native Plant Society (CNPS):

- 1A: Plants presumed extirpated in California and either rare or extinct elsewhere
- 1B: Plants rare, threatened, or endangered in California and elsewhere

Navarretia

radians

Eryngium

nigelliformis ssp.

spinosepalum

Atriplex subtilis

var. succulenta

Fritilaria falcata

Eriastrum tracvi

**Plagiobothrys** 

verrucosus

Atriplex persistens

Castilleja campestris

**Erythranthe** 

marmorata

Fritillaria agrestis

- 2A: Plants presumed extirpated in California but common elsewhere
- 2B: Plants rare, threatened, or endangered in California but more common elsewhere
- 3: Review List: Plants about which more information is needed
- 4: Watch List: Plants of limited distribution

**Responsible Agency Authority:** CDFW has regulatory authority over projects that could result in the take of any species listed by the State as threatened or endangered, pursuant to FGC section 2081. If a project could result in take of any species listed as

threatened or endangered under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA), CDFW may need to issue a State Incidental Take Permit (ITP) for the Project.

Many areas that have been previously farmed, graded, filled, or otherwise disturbed in the County support fish and wildlife resources, including special status species. Even recently and currently cultivated lands can support special status species like California tiger salamander (CTS), Swainson's hawk (SWHA), San Joaquin kit fox, and tricolored blackbird. Pesticides and fertilizers used in cannabis cultivation could decrease fitness or survival of, or cause abnormalities in, CTS, mostly at the larval stage if contaminants drift into breeding pools (Egea-Serrano et al., 2012). Ponds and vernal pools can quickly accumulate these types of pollutants from run-off, making CTS particularly sensitive to pesticide exposure. Concentrated toxins in rodenticide-treated grain placed in ground squirrel burrows could come into direct contact with the permeable skin of CTS (Bolster, 2010). Rodenticides that control small mammal populations would also reduce available burrows, making the habitat no longer suitable for CTS (Laredo et al., 1996). The primary threat to SWHA in California continues to be habitat loss, both nesting and foraging habitat, due to urban development and incompatible agriculture (CDFW, 2016). Current surveys have indicated a smaller population of SWHA occupying a restricted range that includes the core habitat areas of the Central Valley and Great Basin (CDFW, 2016). Noise from road use, generators, and other equipment may be disruptive to hunting SWHA, and exposure to vehicle noise has been shown to increase stress hormone levels in some raptor species (Hayward et al., 2011).

Land Conversion: Not all parcels located in the A-2 (General Agriculture) zoning district have been developed. Land conversion may result in habitat loss for special status species, migration/movement corridor limitations, or fragmentation of sensitive habitat. Loss of habitat to development and agriculture are contributing factors to the decline of many special status species and game species. Many parcels meeting the land use categories for hemp cultivation contain outdoor agriculture which can provide habitat for special status species. Some parcels are undeveloped and potentially contain suitable habitat and special status species.

Hemp activities have the potential to reduce the number or restrict the range of endangered, rare, or threatened species (as defined in § 15380 of CEQA) and therefore, impact biological resources. CDFW recommends the County remove hemp cultivation from the ministerial permitting process and require discretionary review for a hemp license, thus prompting the County to determine if the project is exempt under the CEQA. CEQA requires a Mandatory Finding of Significance if a project is likely to substantially impact threatened or endangered species (§§ 21001(c), 21083, Guidelines §§ 15380, 15064, 15065). Impacts must be avoided or mitigated to less than significant levels unless the CEQA Lead Agency makes and supports a Statement of Overriding Consideration (SOC). The CEQA Lead Agency's SOC does not eliminate the Project proponent's obligation to comply with FGC section 2080 and would preclude CDFW

from utilizing the CEQA document to support State ITP issuance. If the Lead Agency chooses not to mitigate impacts to CESA-listed species to less than significant levels, then CDFW would become CEQA Lead Agency for State ITP issuance, which would result in delays and additional costs to applicants. If there is no CEQA document and the project proponent seeks acquisition of a State ITP, pursuant to FGC section 2081(b), CDFW would become CEQA Lead Agency for State ITP issuance. Furthermore, the issuance of an Exemption or Negative Declaration would preclude CDFW from utilizing the CEQA document to support State ITP issuance. If the Lead Agency proceeds with an Exemption or Negative Declaration, then CDFW would become CEQA Lead Agency for ITP issuance.

Riparian Habitat, Wetlands, and Watercourses: CDFW recommends the County include hemp cultivation setbacks of at least 50 feet from the upland extent of riparian vegetation of any watercourse and 100 feet from any wetland. Riparian habitat. wetlands, and watercourses are of extreme importance to a wide variety of plant and wildlife species. Riparian habitat, wetlands, and watercourses are known to exist within the County, including historic seeping from the earth lined canals, shallow first encountered groundwater, and along natural and human made watercourses. CDFW considers projects that impact these resources as significant if they result in a net loss of acreage or habitat value. CDFW has a no-net-loss policy regarding impacts to wetlands. When wetland habitat cannot be avoided, CDFW recommends that impacts to wetlands be compensated with the creation of new habitat, preferably on-site, at a minimum of an acre-for-acre basis. Wetlands that have been inadvertently created by leaks, dams or other structures, or failures in man-made water systems are not exempt from this policy. In addition, CDFW recommends a delineation be conducted for proposed Projects to assess riparian, wetland, and watercourse impacts to guide project proponents in establishing appropriate no-disturbance buffers.

Enforcement: The California Department of Food and Agriculture (CDFA) is the licensing authority for the State for commercial cannabis cultivation. CDFA and CDFW are members of a multi-agency task force created to protect the State's resources from the adverse impacts of cannabis cultivation (Fish & G. Code, § 12029). Like cannabis cultivation, hemp cultivation has the potential to affect fish, wildlife, plants, and their habitats. Cannabis inspections have led to violations for water diversions and storage, grading, chemical use, wildlife threats, timber conversion, and public safety. CDFW's Law Enforcement Division (LED) is a critical component of enforcement. CDFW's LED works with cannabis cultivators to bring their facilities into compliance, aids in remediating criminal environmental violations, coordinates with other agencies to remove illegal grows, growers, illegal water impoundments, and extremely toxic chemicals frequently associated with illegal grows, and protects California's unique and diverse natural resources.

CDFW recommends amending Requirements for issuance of a license Section 6.85.050 G. to read as follows: "By submitting an application for a hemp cultivation license, applicants consent to all inspections at any time, without notice, including crop and/or product testing by agents of the, The California Department of Fish and Wildlife, and the California Department of Food and Agriculture/Weights and Measures or at the discretion of the Agricultural Commissioner."

CDFW recommends amending Grounds for Suspension or Revocation Section 6.85.130 to include "Reckless or intentional cultivation of industrial hemp, or cannabis, exceeding the THC content greater than that established under Section 81000 of the Food and Agricultural Code or Section 11018.5 of the Health and Safety Code, as they may be amended, or evidence of intent to sell industrial hemp or cannabis that exceeds the established THC content, and may also result in a referral to state or local law enforcement." as grounds for suspension or revocation of a hemp license.

CDFW appreciates the opportunity to work with County staff and comment on the Ordinance. Questions or further coordination regarding this letter should be directed to Kelley Aubushon, Senior Environmental Scientist (Specialist), at (559) 573-6117 or <a href="mailto:kelley.aubushon@wildlife.ca.gov">kelley.aubushon@wildlife.ca.gov</a>.

Sincerely,

--- DocuSigned by:

Inne Ferranti \_041A77B10D78486...

Julie A. Vance

Regional Manager

ec: Caption Andrew Halverson

Ryan Mathis Sarah Paulson James Rosauer Matthew Jones

California Department of Fish and Wildlife

FOR

## References

Bolster, B. C., 2010. A status review of the California tiger salamander (*Ambystoma californiense*). A Report to the Fish and Game Commission, Nongame Wildlife Program Report 2010-4, California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento, CA, USA.

CDFW, 2016. Status review: Swainson's hawk (*Buteo swainsoni*) in California. Reported to California Fish and Game Commission. Five years status report.

California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW), 2020. Biogeographic Information and Observation System (BIOS). https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Data/BIOS. Accessed November 4, 2020.

Egea-Serrano, A., R. A. Relyea, M. Tejedo, and M. Torralva, 2012. Understanding of the impact of chemicals on amphibians: A meta-analytic review. Ecology and Evolution 2:1382–1397.

Hayward, L. S., A. E. Bowles, J. C. Ha, and S. K. Wasser, 2011. Impacts of acute and long-term vehicle exposure on physiology and reproductive success of the northern spotted owl. Ecosphere 2:art65.

Laredo, I., D. Van Vuren, and M. L. Morrison, 1996. Habitat use and migration behavior of the California tiger salamander. Journal of Herpetology 30:282–285.