

Appendix F

CEQA Energy Review

January 28, 2020

Mr. Mark Cooper
RED Corydon, LLC
25425 Jefferson Avenue, Ste 101
Murrieta, CA 92562

**Subject: Corydon Gateway Development – CEQA Energy Review,
City of Lake Elsinore, CA**

Dear Mr. Cooper:

MD Acoustics, LLC (MD) has completed a CEQA energy review for the proposed Corydon Gateway Development located at the southwest corner of Mission Trail and Lemon Street in Lake Elsinore, CA. The project proposes to develop the site with 4,088 square feet of retail (7-Eleven) with a 16 pump gas station, 5,298 square feet of fast food drive-through, 11,520 square feet of automobile care center, a 4,007 square foot express car wash tunnel, and 11,520 square feet of office use on approximately 6.05 acres.

1.0 Existing Energy Conditions

Overview

California's estimated annual energy use as of 2018 included:

- Approximately 194,842 gigawatt hours of electricity;¹
- Approximately 2,110,829 million cubic feet of natural gas per year²; and
- Approximately 23.2 billion gallons of transportation fuel (for the year 2015)³.

As of 2016, the year of most recent data currently available by the United States Energy Information Administration (EIA), energy use in California by demand sector was:

- Approximately 39.8 percent transportation;
- Approximately 23.7 percent industrial;
- Approximately 17.7 percent residential; and
- Approximately 18.9 percent commercial.⁴

¹California Energy Commission. Energy Almanac. Total Electric Generation. [Online] June 24, 2019.
http://www.energy.ca.gov/almanac/electricity_data/total_system_power.html.

²Natural Gas Consumption by End Use. U.S. Energy Information Administration. [Online] March 29, 2019.
https://www.eia.gov/dnav/ng/ng_cons_sum_dcu_SCA_a.htm.

³California Energy Commission. Revised Transportation Energy Demand Forecast 2018-2030. [Online] April 19, 2018.
<https://www.energy.ca.gov/assessments/>

⁴U.S. Energy Information Administration. California Energy Consumption by End-Use Sector. California State Profile and Energy Estimates. [Online] November 15, 2018 <https://www.eia.gov/state/?sid=CA#tabs-2>

California's electricity in-state generation system generates approximately 194,842 gigawatt-hours each year. In 2018, California produced approximately 68 percent of the electricity it uses; the rest was imported from the Pacific Northwest (approximately 14 percent) and the U.S. Southwest (approximately 18 percent). Natural gas is the main source for electricity generation at approximately 46.54 percent of the total in-state electric generation system power as shown in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Total Electricity System Power (California 2018)

Fuel Type	California In-State Generation (GWh)	Percent of California In-State Generation	Northwest Imports (GWh)	Southwest Imports (GWh)	California Power Mix (GWh)	Percent California Power Mix
Coal	294	0.15%	399	8,740	9,433	3.30%
Large Hydro	22,096	11.34%	7,418	985	30,499	10.68%
Natural Gas	90,691	46.54%	49	8,904	99,644	34.91%
Nuclear	18,268	9.38%	0	7,573	25,841	9.05%
Oil	35	0.02%	0	0	35	0.01%
Other (Petroleum Coke/Waste Heat)	430	0.22%	0	9	439	0.15%
Renewables	63,028	32.35%	14,074	12,400	89,502	31.36%
Biomass	5,909	3.03%	772	26	6,707	2.35%
Geothermal	11,528	5.92%	171	1269	12,968	4.54%
Small Hydro	4,248	2.18%	334	1	4,583	1.61%
Solar	27,265	13.99%	174	5,094	32,533	11.40%
Wind	14,078	7.23%	12,623	6,010	32,711	11.46%
Unspecified Sources of Power	N/A	N/A	17,576	12,519	30,095	10.54%
Total	194,842	100.00%	39,517	51,130	285,488	100.00%

Notes:

¹ Source: California Energy Commission. Total System electric Generation, June 24, 2019.
https://www.energy.ca.gov/almanac/electricity_data/total_system_power.html

A summary of and context for energy consumption and energy demands within the State is presented in “U.S. Energy Information Administration, California State Profile and Energy Estimates, Quick Facts” excerpted below:

- Excluding federal offshore areas, California was the fourth-largest producer of crude oil among the 50 states in 2017, after Texas, North Dakota, and Alaska, and, as of January 2018, third in oil refining capacity after Texas and Louisiana.
- In 2016, California accounted for one-fifth of the nation’s jet fuel consumption.
- California’s total energy consumption is the second-highest in the nation, but, in 2016, the State’s per capita energy consumption ranked 48th, due in part to its mild climate and its energy efficiency programs.
- In 2017, California ranked second in the nation in conventional hydroelectric generation and first as a producer of electricity from solar, geothermal, and biomass resources.
- In 2017, solar PV and solar thermal installations provided about 16 percent of California’s net electricity generation⁵.

⁵State Profile and Energy Estimates. Independent Statistics and Analysis. [Online] [Cited: November 15, 2018].
<http://www.eia.gov/state/?sid=CA#tabs2>.

As indicated above, California is one of the nation’s leading energy-producing states, and California per capita energy use is among the nation’s most efficient. Given the nature of the proposed project, the remainder of this discussion will focus on the three sources of energy that are most relevant to the project—namely, electricity and natural gas for building uses, and transportation fuel for vehicle trips associated with the proposed project.

Electricity

Electricity would be provided to the project by Southern California Edison (SCE). SCE provides electric power to more than 15 million persons, within a service area encompassing approximately 50,000 square miles.⁶ SCE derives electricity from varied energy resources including: fossil fuels, hydroelectric generators, nuclear power plants, geothermal power plants, solar power generation, and wind farms. SCE also purchases from independent power producers and utilities, including out-of-state suppliers.⁷ Table 2 identifies SCE’s specific proportional shares of electricity sources in 2017.

Table 2: SCE 2017 Power Content Mix

Energy Resources	2017 SCE Power Mix
Eligible Renewable	29%
Biomass & Waste	2%
Geothermal	4%
Small Hydroelectric	3%
Solar	10%
Wind	10%
Coal	4%
Large Hydroelectric	15%
Natural Gas	34%
Nuclear	9%
Other	<1%
Unspecified Sources of power*	9%
Total	100%

Notes:

³https://www.sce.com/sites/default/files/inline-files/2017PCL_0.pdf

*Unspecified sources of power means electricity from transactions that are not traceable to specific generation sources.

Natural Gas

Natural gas would be provided to the project by Southern California Gas (SoCalGas). The following summary of natural gas resources and service providers, delivery systems, and associated regulation is excerpted from information provided by the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC).

The CPUC regulates natural gas utility service for approximately 10.8 million customers that receive natural gas from Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E), Southern California Gas (SoCalGas), San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E), Southwest Gas, and several smaller investor-owned natural gas utilities. The CPUC also regulates

⁶ <https://www.sce.com/about-us/who-we-are/leadership/our-service-territory>

⁷ California Energy Commission. Utility Energy Supply plans from 2015. https://www.energy.ca.gov/almanac/electricity_data/supply_forms.html

independent storage operators Lodi Gas Storage, Wild Goose Storage, Central Valley Storage and Gill Ranch Storage.

The vast majority of California's natural gas customers are residential and small commercial customers, referred to as "core" customers, who accounted for approximately 32 percent of the natural gas delivered by California utilities in 2012. Large consumers, like electric generators and industrial customers, referred to as "noncore" customers, accounted for approximately 68 percent of the natural gas delivered by California utilities in 2012.

The PUC regulates the California utilities' natural gas rates and natural gas services, including in-state transportation over the utilities' transmission and distribution pipeline systems, storage, procurement, metering and billing.

Most of the natural gas used in California comes from out-of-state natural gas basins. In 2012, California customers received 35 percent of their natural gas supply from basins located in the Southwest, 16 percent from Canada, 40 percent from the Rocky Mountains, and 9 percent from basins located within California. California gas utilities may soon also begin receiving biogas into their pipeline systems.”⁸

Transportation Energy Resources

The project would attract additional vehicle trips with resulting consumption of energy resources, predominantly gasoline and diesel fuel. Gasoline (and other vehicle fuels) are commercially-provided commodities and would be available to the project patrons and employees via commercial outlets.

The most recent data available (2016) shows the transportation sector emits 41 percent of the total greenhouse gases in the state and about 84 percent of smog-forming oxides of nitrogen (NOx).^{9,10} Petroleum comprises about 92 percent of all transportation energy use, excluding fuel consumed for aviation and most marine vessels.¹¹

2.0 Regulatory Background

Federal and state agencies regulate energy use and consumption through various means and programs. On the federal level, the United States Department of Transportation, the United States Department of Energy, and the United States Environmental Protection Agency are three federal agencies with substantial influence over energy policies and programs. On the state level, the PUC and the California Energy Commissions (CEC) are two agencies with authority over different aspects of energy. Relevant federal and state energy-related laws and plans are summarized below.

⁸California Public Utilities Commission. Natural Gas and California. http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/natural_gas/

⁹CARB. California Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory – 2018 Edition. <https://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/inventory/data/data.htm>

¹⁰CARB. 2016 SIP Emission Projection Data. https://www.arb.ca.gov/app/emsinv/2017/emseic1_query.php?F_DIV=-4&F_YR=2012&F_SEASON=A&SP=SIP105ADJ&F_AREA=CA

¹¹US Energy Information Administration. Use of Energy in the United States Explained: Energy Use for Transportation. https://www.eia.gov/energyexplained/?page=us_energy_transportation

Federal Regulations

Corporate Average Fuel Economy (CAFE) Standards

First established by the U.S. Congress in 1975, the Corporate Average Fuel Economy (CAFE) standards reduce energy consumption by increasing the fuel economy of cars and light trucks. The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) jointly administer the CAFE standards. The U.S. Congress has specified that CAFE standards must be set at the “maximum feasible level” with consideration given for: (1) technological feasibility; (2) economic practicality; (3) effect of other standards on fuel economy; and (4) need for the nation to conserve energy.¹²

Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (ISTEA)

The Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (ISTEA) promoted the development of intermodal transportation systems to maximize mobility as well as address national and local interests in air quality and energy. ISTEA contained factors that Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) were to address in developing transportation plans and programs, including some energy-related factors. To meet the new ISTEA requirements, MPOs adopted explicit policies defining the social, economic, energy, and environmental values guiding transportation decisions.

The Transportation Equity Act of the 21st Century (TEA-21)

The Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21) was signed into law in 1998 and builds upon the initiatives established in the ISTEA legislation, discussed above. TEA-21 authorizes highway, highway safety, transit, and other efficient surface transportation programs. TEA-21 continues the program structure established for highways and transit under ISTEA, such as flexibility in the use of funds, emphasis on measures to improve the environment, and focus on a strong planning process as the foundation of good transportation decisions. TEA-21 also provides for investment in research and its application to maximize the performance of the transportation system through, for example, deployment of Intelligent Transportation Systems, to help improve operations and management of transportation systems and vehicle safety.

State Regulations

Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR)

Senate Bill 1389 requires the California Energy Commission (CEC) to prepare a biennial integrated energy policy report that assesses major energy trends and issues facing the State’s electricity, natural gas, and transportation fuel sectors and provides policy recommendations to conserve resources; protect the environment; ensure reliable, secure, and diverse energy supplies; enhance the state’s economy; and protect public health and safety. The Energy Commission prepares these assessments and associated policy recommendations every two years, with updates in alternate years, as part of the Integrated Energy Policy Report.

¹² <https://www.nhtsa.gov/lawsregulations/corporate-average-fuel-economy>.

The recently-approved 2017 Integrated Energy Policy Report Updated (2017 IEPR) was published in April 2018, and continues to work towards improving electricity, natural gas, and transportation fuel energy use in California. The 2016 IEPR focuses on a variety of topics such as implementation of Senate Bill 350, integrated resource planning, distributed energy resources, transportation electrification, solutions to increase resiliency in the electricity sector, energy efficiency, transportation electrification, barriers faced by disadvantaged communities, demand response, transmission and landscape-scale planning, the California Energy Demand Preliminary Forecast, the preliminary transportation energy demand forecast, renewable gas (in response to Senate Bill 1383), updates on Southern California electricity reliability, natural gas outlook, and climate adaptation and resiliency.¹³

State of California Energy Plan

The CEC is responsible for preparing the State Energy Plan, which identifies emerging trends related to energy supply, demand, conservation, public health and safety, and the maintenance of a healthy economy. The Plan calls for the state to assist in the transformation of the transportation system to improve air quality, reduce congestion, and increase the efficient use of fuel supplies with the least environmental and energy costs. To further this policy, the plan identifies a number of strategies, including assistance to public agencies and fleet operators and encouragement of urban designs that reduce vehicle miles traveled and accommodate pedestrian and bicycle access.

California Building Standards Code (Title 24)

California Building Energy Efficiency Standards (Title 24, Part 6)

The California Building Energy Efficiency Standards for Residential and Nonresidential Buildings (California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 6) were adopted to ensure that building construction and system design and installation achieve energy efficiency and preserve outdoor and indoor environmental quality. The current California Building Energy Efficiency Standards (Title 24 standards) are the 2016 Title 24 standards, which became effective on January 1, 2017. The 2016 Title 24 standards include efficiency improvements to the residential standards for attics, walls, water heating, and lighting and efficiency improvements to the non-residential standards include alignment with the American Society of Heating and Air-Conditioning Engineers.

California Building Energy Efficiency Standards (Title 24, Part 11)

The 2016 California Green Building Standards Code (California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 11), commonly referred to as the CALGreen Code, went into effect on January 1, 2017. The 2016 CALGreen Code includes mandatory measures for non-residential development related to site development; energy efficiency; water efficiency and conservation; material conservation and resource efficiency; and environmental quality. Most mandatory measure changes, when compared to the previously applicable 2013 CALGreen Code, were related to the definitions and to the clarification or addition of referenced manuals, handbooks, and standards. For example, several definitions related to energy that were added or

¹³ California Energy Commission. Final 2017 Integrated Energy Policy Report. April 16, 2018. https://www.energy.ca.gov/2017_energypolicy/

revised affect electric vehicle (EV) chargers and charging and hot water recirculation systems. For new multi-family dwelling units, the residential mandatory measures were revised to provide additional EV charging requirements, including quantity, location, size, single EV space, multiple EV spaces, and identification. For nonresidential mandatory measures, the CALGreen table (Table 5.106.5.3.3) identifying the number of required EV charging spaces has been revised in its entirety.

Senate Bill 350

Senate Bill 350 (SB 350) was signed into law October 7, 2015, SB 350 increases California's renewable electricity procurement goal from 33 percent by 2020 to 50 percent by 2030. This will increase the use of Renewables Portfolio Standard (RPS) eligible resources, including solar, wind, biomass, geothermal, and others. In addition, SB 350 requires the state to double statewide energy efficiency savings in electricity and natural gas end uses by 2030. To help ensure these goals are met and the greenhouse gas emission reductions are realized, large utilities will be required to develop and submit Integrated Resource Plans (IRPs). These IRPs will detail how each entity will meet their customers resource needs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions and ramp up the deployment of clean energy resources.

Assembly Bill 32

In 2006 the California State Legislature adopted Assembly Bill 32 (AB 32), the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006. AB 32 requires CARB, to adopt rules and regulations that would achieve GHG emissions equivalent to statewide levels in 1990 by 2020 through an enforceable statewide emission cap which will be phased in starting in 2012. Emission reductions shall include carbon sequestration projects that would remove carbon from the atmosphere and best management practices that are technologically feasible and cost effective.

Assembly Bill 1493/Pavley Regulations

California Assembly Bill 1493 enacted on July 22, 2002, required CARB to develop and adopt regulations that reduce GHGs emitted by passenger vehicles and light duty trucks. In 2005, the CARB submitted a "waiver" request to the EPA from a portion of the federal Clean Air Act in order to allow the State to set more stringent tailpipe emission standards for CO₂ and other GHG emissions from passenger vehicles and light duty trucks. On December 19, 2007 the EPA announced that it denied the "waiver" request. On January 21, 2009, CARB submitted a letter to the EPA administrator regarding the State's request to reconsider the waiver denial. The EPA approved the waiver on June 30, 2009.

Executive Order S-1-07/Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Executive Order S-1-07 was issued in 2007 and proclaims that the transportation sector is the main source of GHG emissions in the State, since it generates more than 40 percent of the State's GHG emissions. It establishes a goal to reduce the carbon intensity of transportation fuels sold in the State by at least ten percent by 2020. This Order also directs CARB to determine whether this Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS) could be adopted as a discrete early-action measure as part of the effort to meet the mandates in AB 32.

On April 23, 2009 CARB approved the proposed regulation to implement the low carbon fuel standard. The low carbon fuel standard is anticipated to reduce GHG emissions by about 16 MMT per year by 2020. The low carbon fuel standard is designed to provide a framework that uses market mechanisms to spur the steady introduction of lower carbon fuels. The framework establishes performance standards that fuel producers and importers must meet each year beginning in 2011. Separate standards are established for gasoline and diesel fuels and the alternative fuels that can replace each. The standards are “back-loaded”, with more reductions required in the last five years, than during the first five years. This schedule allows for the development of advanced fuels that are lower in carbon than today’s fuels and the market penetration of plug-in hybrid electric vehicles, battery electric vehicles, fuel cell vehicles, and flexible fuel vehicles. It is anticipated that compliance with the low carbon fuel standard will be based on a combination of both lower carbon fuels and more efficient vehicles.

Reformulated gasoline mixed with corn-derived ethanol at ten percent by volume and low sulfur diesel fuel represent the baseline fuels. Lower carbon fuels may be ethanol, biodiesel, renewable diesel, or blends of these fuels with gasoline or diesel as appropriate. Compressed natural gas and liquefied natural gas also may be low carbon fuels. Hydrogen and electricity, when used in fuel cells or electric vehicles are also considered as low carbon fuels for the low carbon fuel standard.

California Air Resources Board

CARB’s Advanced Clean Cars Program

Closely associated with the Pavley regulations, the Advanced Clean Cars emissions control program was approved by CARB in 2012. The program combines the control of smog, soot, and GHGs with requirements for greater numbers of zero-emission vehicles for model years 2015–2025. The components of the Advanced Clean Cars program include the Low-Emission Vehicle (LEV) regulations that reduce criteria pollutants and GHG emissions from light- and medium-duty vehicles, and the Zero-Emission Vehicle (ZEV) regulation, which requires manufacturers to produce an increasing number of pure ZEVs (meaning battery electric and fuel cell electric vehicles), with provisions to also produce plug-in hybrid electric vehicles (PHEV) in the 2018 through 2025 model years.¹⁴

Airborne Toxic Control Measure to Limit Diesel-Fueled Commercial Motor Vehicle Idling

The Airborne Toxic Control Measure to Limit Diesel-Fueled Commercial Motor Vehicle Idling (Title 13, California Code of Regulations, Division 3, Chapter 10, Section 2435) was adopted to reduce public exposure to diesel particulate matter and other air contaminants by limiting the idling of diesel-fueled commercial motor vehicles. This section applies to diesel-fueled commercial motor vehicles with gross vehicular weight ratings of greater than 10,000 pounds that are or must be licensed for operation on highways. Reducing idling of diesel-fueled commercial motor vehicles reduces the amount of petroleum-based fuel used by the vehicle.

Regulation to Reduce Emissions of Diesel Particulate Matter, Oxides of Nitrogen, and other Criteria Pollutants, from In-Use Heavy-Duty Diesel-Fueled Vehicles

¹⁴ California Air Resources Board, California’s Advanced Clean Cars Program, January 18, 2017. www.arb.ca.gov/msprog/acc/acc.htm.

The Regulation to Reduce Emissions of Diesel Particulate Matter, Oxides of Nitrogen and other Criteria Pollutants, from In-Use Heavy-Duty Diesel-Fueled Vehicles (Title 13, California Code of Regulations, Division 3, Chapter 1, Section 2025) was adopted to reduce emissions of diesel particulate matter, oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) and other criteria pollutants from in-use diesel-fueled vehicles. This regulation is phased, with full implementation by 2023. The regulation aims to reduce emissions by requiring the installation of diesel soot filters and encouraging the retirement, replacement, or repower of older, dirtier engines with newer emission-controlled models. The newer emission controlled models would use petroleum-based fuel in a more efficient manner.

Sustainable Communities Strategy

The Sustainable Communities and Climate Protection Act of 2008, or Senate Bill 375 (SB 375), coordinates land use planning, regional transportation plans, and funding priorities to help California meet the GHG reduction mandates established in AB 32.

Senate Bill 375 (SB 375) was adopted September 2008 and aligns regional transportation planning efforts, regional GHG emission reduction targets, and land use and housing allocation. SB 375 requires Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPO) to adopt a sustainable communities strategy (SCS) or alternate planning strategy (APS) that will prescribe land use allocation in that MPOs Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). CARB, in consultation with each MPO, will provide each affected region with reduction targets for GHGs emitted by passenger cars and light trucks in the region for the years 2020 and 2035. These reduction targets will be updated every eight years but can be updated every four years if advancements in emissions technologies affect the reduction strategies to achieve the targets. CARB is also charged with reviewing each MPO's sustainable communities strategy or alternate planning strategy for consistency with its assigned targets.

3.0 Evaluation Criteria and Methodology

Evaluation Criteria

CEQA Energy Questions

In compliance with Appendix G of the State CEQA Guidelines, this report analyzes the project's anticipated energy use to determine if the project would:

- a) Would the project result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources, during project construction or operation?
- b) Would the project conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency?

In addition, Appendix F of the State CEQA Guidelines states that the means of achieving the goal of energy conservation includes the following:

- Decreasing overall per capita energy consumption;

- Decreasing reliance on fossil fuels such as coal, natural gas and oil; and
- Increasing reliance on renewable energy sources.

Methodology

Information from the CalEEMod 2016.3.2 Daily and Annual Outputs contained in the Corydon Gateway Development Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Impact Study (air quality and greenhouse gas analysis) prepared for the proposed project by MD (January 27, 2020), was utilized for this analysis. The CalEEMod outputs detail project related construction equipment, transportation energy demands, and facility energy demands.

4.0 Energy Review

Construction Energy Demand

The construction schedule is anticipated to begin in the beginning of August 2020 and be completed by the end of September 2021 and be completed in one phase. Staging of construction vehicles and equipment will occur on-site.

Construction Equipment Electricity Usage Estimates

As stated previously, electrical service will be provided by the SCE. The focus within this section is the energy implications of the construction process, specifically the power cost from on-site electricity consumption during construction of the proposed project. Based on the 2017 National Construction Estimator, Richard Pray (2017)¹⁵, the typical power cost per 1,000 square feet of building construction per month is estimated to be \$2.32. The project plans to develop the site with 4,088 square feet of retail (7-Eleven) with a 16 pump gas station, 5,298 square feet of fast food drive-through, 11,520 square feet of automobile care center, a 4,007 square foot express car wash tunnel, and 11,520 square feet of office use over the course of approximately fourteen months. Based on Table 3, the total power cost of the on-site electricity usage during the construction of the proposed project is estimated to be approximately \$1,183.34.

Table 3: Project Construction Power Cost and Electricity Usage

Power Cost (per 1,000 square foot of building per month of construction)	Total Building Size (1,000 Square Foot)	Construction Duration (months)	Total Project Construction Power Cost
\$2.32	36.433	14	\$1,183.34

Construction Equipment Fuel Estimates

Fuel consumed by construction equipment would be the primary energy resource expended over the course of project construction. Fuel consumed by construction equipment was evaluated with the following assumptions:

¹⁵ Pray, Richard. 2017 National Construction Estimator. Carlsbad : Craftsman Book Company, 2017.

- Construction schedule of approximately 14 months
- All construction equipment was assumed to run on diesel fuel
- Typical daily use of 8 hours, with some equipment operating from ~6-7 hours
- Aggregate fuel consumption rate for all equipment was estimated at 18.5 hp-hr/day (from CARB’s 2017 Emissions Factors Tables and fuel consumption rate factors as shown in Table D-21 of the Moyer Guidelines: https://www.arb.ca.gov/msprog/moyer/guidelines/2017gl/2017_gl_appendix_d.pdf).
- Diesel fuel would be the responsibility of the equipment operators/contractors and would be sources within the region.
- Project construction represents a “single-event” for diesel fuel demand and would not require on-going or permanent commitment of diesel fuel resources during long term operation.

Using the CalEEMod data input from the air quality and greenhouse gas analysis (MD Acoustics 2020), the project’s construction phase would consume electricity and fossil fuels as a single energy demand, that is, once construction is completed their use would cease. CARB’s 2013 Emissions Factors Tables show that on average aggregate fuel consumption (gasoline and diesel fuel) would be approximately 18.5 hp-hr-gal. Table 4 shows the results of the analysis of construction equipment.

Table 4: Construction Equipment Fuel Consumption Estimates

Phase	Number of Days	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor	HP hrs/day	Total Fuel Consumption (gal diesel fuel) ¹
Site Preparation	10	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	1	8	97	0.37	287	155
Grading	20	Excavators	1	8	158	0.38	480	519
	20	Graders	1	8	187	0.41	613	663
	20	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	8	247	0.4	790	854
	20	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	8	97	0.37	861	931
Building Construction	230	Cranes	1	7	231	0.29	469	5,830
	230	Forklifts	3	8	89	0.2	427	5,311
	230	Generator Sets	1	8	84	0.74	497	6,182
	230	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	7	97	0.37	754	9,370
	230	Welders	1	8	46	0.45	166	2,059
Paving	20	Pavers	2	8	130	0.42	874	944
	20	Paving Equipment	2	8	132	0.36	760	822
	20	Rollers	2	8	80	0.38	486	526
Architectural Coating	20	Air Compressors	1	6	78	0.48	225	243
CONSTRUCTION FUEL DEMAND (gallons of diesel fuel)								34,411

Notes:

¹Using Carl Moyer Guidelines Table D-21 Fuel consumption rate factors (bhp-hr/gal) for engines less than 750 hp.

(Source: https://www.arb.ca.gov/msprog/moyer/guidelines/2017gl/2017_gl_appendix_d.pdf)

As presented in Table 4, project construction activities would consume an estimated 34,411 gallons of diesel fuel. As stated previously, project construction would represent a “single-event” diesel fuel demand and would not require on-going or permanent commitment of diesel fuel resources for this purpose.

Construction Worker Fuel Estimates

It is assumed that all construction worker trips are from light duty autos (LDA) along area roadways. With respect to estimated VMT, the construction worker trips would generate an estimated 383,082 VMT. Data regarding project related construction worker trips were based on CalEEMod 2016.3.2 model defaults.

Vehicle fuel efficiencies for construction workers were estimated in the air quality and greenhouse gas analysis (MD Acoustics 2020) using information generated using CARB’s EMFAC model. An aggregate fuel efficiency of 28.57 miles per gallon (mpg) was used to calculate vehicle miles traveled for construction worker trips. Table 5 shows that an estimated 13,409 gallons of fuel would be consumed for construction worker trips.

Table 5: Construction Worker Fuel Consumption Estimates

Phase	Number of Days	Worker Trips/Day	Trip Length (miles)	Vehicle Miles Traveled	Average Vehicle Fuel Economy (mpg)	Estimated Fuel Consumption (gallons)
Site Preparation	10	18	14.7	2,646	28.57	93
Grading	20	15	14.7	4,410	28.57	154
Building Construction	230	108	14.7	365,148	28.57	12,781
Paving	20	15	14.7	4,410	28.57	154
Architectural Coating	20	22	14.7	6,468	28.57	226
Total Construction Worker Fuel Consumption						13,409

Notes:

¹Assumptions for the worker trip length and vehicle miles traveled are consistent with CalEEMod 2016.3.2 defaults.

Construction Vendor/Hauling Fuel Estimates

Tables 6 and 7 show the estimated fuel consumption for vendor and hauling during building construction and architectural coating. With respect to estimated VMT, the vendor and hauling trips would generate an estimated 68,241 VMT. Data regarding project related construction worker trips were based on CalEEMod 2016.3.2 model defaults.

For the architectural coatings it is assumed that the contractors would be responsible for bringing coatings and equipment with them in their light duty vehicles. Therefore, vendors delivering construction material or hauling debris from the site during grading would use medium to heavy duty vehicles with an average fuel consumption of 8.5 mpg. Tables 6 and 7 show that an estimated 8,028 gallons of fuel would be consumed for vendor and hauling trips.

< Table 6, next page >

Table 6: Construction Vendor Fuel Consumption Estimates (MHD Trucks)¹

Phase	Number of Days	Vendor Trips/Day	Trip Length (miles)	Vehicle Miles Traveled	Average Vehicle Fuel Economy (mpg)	Estimated Fuel Consumption (gallons)
Site Preparation	10	0	6.9	0	8.5	0
Grading	20	0	6.9	0	8.5	0
Building Construction	230	43	6.9	68,241	8.5	8,028
Paving	20	0	6.9	0	8.5	0
Architectural Coating	20	0	6.9	0	8.5	0
Total Construction Worker Fuel Consumption						8,028

Notes:

¹ Assumptions for the vendor trip length and vehicle miles traveled are consistent with CalEEMod 2016.3.2 defaults.

Table 7: Construction Hauling Fuel Consumption Estimates (HHD Trucks)¹

Phase	Number of Days	Hauling Trips/Day	Trip Length (miles)	Vehicle Miles Traveled	Average Vehicle Fuel Economy (mpg)	Estimated Fuel Consumption (gallons)
Site Preparation	10	0	20	0	8.5	0
Grading	20	0	20	0	8.5	0
Building Construction	230	0	20	0	8.5	0
Paving	20	0	20	0	8.5	0
Architectural Coating	20	0	20	0	8.5	0
Total Construction Worker Fuel Consumption						0

Notes:

¹ Assumptions for the hauling trip length and vehicle miles traveled are consistent with CalEEMod 2016.3.2 defaults.

Construction Energy Efficiency/Conservation Measures

Construction equipment used over the approximately fourteen-month construction phase would conform to CARB regulations and California emissions standards and is evidence of related fuel efficiencies. Construction of the proposed commercial development would require the typical use of energy resources. There are no unusual project characteristics or construction processes that would require the use of equipment that would be more energy intensive than is used for comparable activities; or equipment that would not conform to current emissions standards (and related fuel efficiencies). Equipment employed in construction of the project would therefore not result in inefficient wasteful, or unnecessary consumption of fuel.

CARB has adopted the Airborne Toxic Control Measure to limit heavy-duty diesel motor vehicle idling in order to reduce public exposure to diesel particulate matter and other Toxic Air Contaminants. Additionally, as required by California Code of Regulations Title 13, Motor Vehicles, section 2449(d)(3) Idling, limits idling times of construction vehicles to no more than five minutes, thereby minimizing or eliminating unnecessary and wasteful consumption of fuel due to unproductive idling of construction equipment. Enforcement of idling limitations is realized through periodic site inspections conducted by City building officials, and/or in response to citizen complaints. Compliance with these measures would result in a more efficient use of construction-related energy and would minimize or eliminate wasteful or unnecessary consumption of energy. Idling restrictions and the use of newer engines and equipment would result in less fuel combustion and energy consumption.

Furthermore, the project has been designed in compliance with California’s Energy Efficiency Standards and 2016 CALGreen Standards. These measures include, but are not limited to the use of water conserving plumbing, installation of bicycle racks, the use of LED lighting, and water-efficient irrigation systems.

Operation Energy Demand

Energy consumption in support of or related to project operations would include transportation energy demands (energy consumed by employee and patron vehicles accessing the project site) and facilities energy demands (energy consumed by building operations and site maintenance activities).

Transportation Fuel Consumption

The largest source of operational energy use would be vehicle operation of customers. The site is located in an urbanized area at the southwest corner of Mission Trail and Lemon Street. Furthermore, there are existing transit services, provided by RTA, approximately 0.06 mile walking distance of the proposed Project site. The nearest transit service is Riverside Transit Routes 7 and 8, with a stop along Mission Trail just south of Corydon Street.

Using the CalEEMod output from the air quality and greenhouse gas analysis (MD Acoustics 2020), it is assumed that an average trip for autos and light trucks was assumed to be 16.6 miles and 3- 4-axle trucks were assumed to travel an average of 6.9 miles¹⁶. To present a worst-case scenario, it was assumed that vehicles would operate 365 days per year rather than the more likely 253 days (excluding weekends and up to 8 holidays). Table 8 shows the estimated annual fuel consumption for all classes of vehicles from autos to heavy-heavy trucks. The proposed project would generate approximately 3,814 trips per day. The vehicle fleet mix was used from the CalEEMod output from the air quality and greenhouse gas analysis (MD Acoustics 2020). Table 8 shows that an estimated 1,103,137 gallons of fuel would be consumed per year for the operation of the proposed project.

Table 8: Estimated Vehicle Operations Fuel Consumption

Vehicle Type	Vehicle Mix	Number of Vehicles	Average Trip (miles) ¹	Daily VMT	Average Fuel Economy (mpg)	Total Gallons per Day	Total Annual Fuel Consumption (gallons)
Light Auto	Automobile	2,067	16.6	34,312	28.57	1200.99	438,360
Light Truck	Automobile	143	16.6	2,374	14.08	168.59	61,537
Light Truck	Automobile	706	16.6	11,720	14.08	832.36	303,811
Medium Truck	Automobile	452	6.9	3,119	8.5	366.92	133,925
Light Heavy Truck	2-Axle Truck	62	6.9	428	8.5	50.33	18,370
Light Heavy Truck 10,000 lbs +	2-Axle Truck	20	6.9	138	8.5	16.24	5,926
Medium Heavy Truck	3-Axle Truck	66	6.9	455	5.85	77.85	28,414
Heavy Heavy Truck	4-Axle Truck	262	6.9	1,808	5.85	309.03	112,794
Total		7,364	3,814	--	54,353	11.74	3022.29
Total Annual Fuel Consumption							1,103,137

Notes:

¹Based on the size of the site and relative location, trips were assumed to be local rather than regional.

¹⁶ CalEEMod default distance for H-W (home-work) or C-W (commercial-work) is 16.6 miles; 6.9 miles for H-O (home-other) or C-O (commercial-other).

Facility Energy Demands (Electricity and Natural Gas)

Building operation and site maintenance (including landscape maintenance) would result in the consumption of electricity (provided by SCE) and natural gas (provided by Southern California Gas Company). Operation of the proposed project would involve the use of energy for heating, cooling and equipment operation. These facilities would comply with all applicable California Energy Efficiency Standards and 2016 CALGreen Standards.

The annual natural gas and electricity demands were provided per the CalEEMod output from the air quality and greenhouse gas analysis (MD Acoustics 2020) and are provided in Table 9.

Table 9: Project Mitigated Annual Operational Energy Demand Summary¹

Natural Gas Demand	kBTU/year
Automobile Care Center	374,285
Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Thru	1,095,670
Fast Food Restaurant without Drive Thru ²	1,448,690
Gasoline/Service Station	132,819
General Office Building	39,974
Total	3,091,438

Electricity Demand	kWh/year
Automobile Care Center	116,928.0
Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Thru	190,252
Fast Food Restaurant without Drive Thru ²	251,549
Gasoline/Service Station	41,493.2
General Office Building	109,670
Total	709,892.2

Notes:

¹Taken from the CalEEMod 2016.3.2 annual output in the Corydon Gateway Development Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Impact Study prepared for the proposed project by MD Acoustics (January 27, 2020).

²Per the air quality and greenhouse gas analysis (MD Acoustics 2020), CalEEMod does not have a car wash land use available in its database. Generally Automobile Care Center is used for Car Wash uses; however, this project already has an Automobile Care Center land use and CalEEMod does not provide for different trip generation rates within the same land use type. Therefore, the proposed car wash was modeled as a Fast-Food Restaurant w/o Drive-Thru (Institute of Transportation Engineers, Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition, 2017, Land Use Code 933), as this is the next closest land use to a car wash available.

Energy use in buildings is divided into energy consumed by the built environment and energy consumed by uses that are independent of the construction of the building such as in plug-in appliances. In California, the California Building Standards Code Title 24 governs energy consumed by the built environment, mechanical systems, and some types of fixed lighting. Non-building energy use, or “plug-in” energy use can be further subdivided by specific end-use (refrigeration, cooking, appliances, etc.).

Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Plan Consistency

Regarding federal transportation regulations, the project site is located in an already developed area. Access to/from the project site is from existing roads. These roads are already in place so the project would not

interfere with, nor otherwise obstruct intermodal transportation plans or projects that may be proposed pursuant to the ISTEA because SCAG is not planning for intermodal facilities in the project area.

Regarding the State's Energy Plan and compliance with Title 24 CCR energy efficiency standards, the applicant is required to comply with the California Green Building Standard Code requirements for energy efficient buildings and appliances as well as utility energy efficiency programs implemented by the SCE and Southern California Gas Company.

Regarding the State's Renewable Energy Portfolio Standards, the project would be required to meet or exceed the energy standards established in the California Green Building Standards Code, Title 24, Part 11 (CALGreen). CalGreen Standards require that new buildings reduce water consumption, employ building commissioning to increase building system efficiencies, divert construction waste from landfills, and install low pollutant-emitting finish materials.

5.0 Conclusions

As supported by the preceding analyses, neither construction nor operation of the Project would result in wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy, or wasteful use of energy resources. Therefore, impacts related to wasteful energy use would be less than significant. Further, the energy demands of the project can be accommodated within the context of available resources and energy delivery systems. The project would therefore not cause or result in the need for additional energy producing or transmission facilities. The project would not engage in wasteful or inefficient uses of energy and aims to achieve energy conservation goals within the State of California.

The Project has been designed in compliance with California's Energy Efficiency Standards and 2016 CALGreen Standards. These measures include, but are not limited to the use of water conserving plumbing, installation of bicycle racks, the use of LED lighting, and water-efficient irrigation systems. The Project would not conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency; therefore, impacts would be less than significant.

MD is pleased to provide this CEQA Energy review. If you have any questions regarding this analysis, please don't hesitate to call us at (805) 426-4477.

Sincerely,
MD Acoustics, LLC



Mike Dickerson, INCE
Principal

