CITY OF LOS ANGELES VMT CALCULATOR Version 1.3



Project Screening Criteria: Is this project required to conduct a vehicle miles traveled analysis?

Unit

Unit ksf

ksf

ksf

DU

Project Information Existing Land Use Land Use Type Value **Project:** Alternative 3 Housing | Single Family **Scenario:** 11973 W SAN VICENTE BLVD, 90049 **Address:** Click here to add a single custom land use type (will be included in the above list) **Proposed Project Land Use Land Use Type** Retail | General Retail 8.956 Office | General Office 10.815 Retail | General Retail 8.956 Is the project replacing an existing number of residential units with a smaller number of residential units AND is located within one-half

mile of a fixed-rail or fixed-guideway transit O No Yes

Click here to add a single custom land use type (will be included in the above list)

Project Screening Summary

Existing Proposed Land Use								
Q Daily Vehicle Trips	474 Daily Vehicle Trips							
O Daily VMT	4,293 Daily VMT							
Tier 1 Screen	ning Criteria							
Project will have less residential units compared to existing residential units & is within one-half mile of a fixed-rail station.								
Tier 2 Screen	ning Criteria							
The net increase in daily tri	ps < 250 trips	474 Net Daily Trips						
The net increase in daily VM	M T ≤ 0	4,293 Net Daily VMT						
The proposed project consi land uses ≤ 50,000 square for		8.956 ksf						
The proposed project is required to perform VMT analysis.								



CITY OF LOS ANGELES VMT CALCULATOR Version 1.3



Project Information Alternative 3 **Project: Scenario:** 11973 W SAN VICENTE BLVD, 90049 Address: HOLLYWOOD **Proposed Project Land Use Type Value** Unit Office | General Office 10.815 ksf Retail | General Retail 8.956 ksf

TDM Strategies

Select each section to show individual strategies Use v to denote if the TDM strategy is part of the proposed project or is a mitigation strategy **Proposed Project** With Mitigation **Max Home Based TDM Achieved?** No No **Max Work Based TDM Achieved?** No No A **Parking Reduce Parking Supply** city code parking provision for the project site actual parking provision for the project site Proposed Prj Mitigation Unbundle Parking monthly parking cost (dollar) for the project Proposed Prj Mitigation Parking Cash-Out 100 percent of employees eligible Proposed Prj V Mitigation Price Workplace Parking daily parking charge (dollar) percent of employees subject to priced Proposed Prj V Mitigation Residential Area Parking Permits cost (dollar) of annual permit Proposed Prj Mitigation B Transit (0) **Education & Encouragement** D **Commute Trip Reductions** E **Shared Mobility** F **Bicycle Infrastructure** G **Neighborhood Enhancement**

Analysis Results

Proposed Project	With
474	439
Daily Vehicle Trips	Daily Vehicle Trips
4.293	3.971
Daily VMT	Daily VMT
0.0	0.0
Houseshold VMT	Houseshold VMT
per Capita	
13.2	11.1
Work VMT per Employee	Work VMT per Employee
Significant \	VMT Impact?
Household: No	Household: No
Threshold = 7.4	Threshold = 7.4
15% Below APC	15% Below APC
	Work: No
Work: Yes	
Work: Yes Threshold = 11.1	Threshold = 11.1



Report 1: Project & Analysis Overview

Date: January 5, 2022 Project Name: Alternative 3

Project Scenario:



	Project Informa		
Land	Use Type	Value	Units
	Single Family	0	DU
	Multi Family	0	DU
Housing	Townhouse	0	DU
	Hotel	0	Rooms
	Motel	0	Rooms
	Family	0	DU
Affordable Housing	Senior	0	DU
Affordable Housing	Special Needs	0	DU
	Permanent Supportive	O DU O DU O DU Boortive O DU Boortive O DU Boortive O DU Boortive O Co O O Co O O Co Store O O O O Co Sit-Down O Co O Co Co Sit-Down Co Co Sit-Down Co Co Co Co Co Sit-Down Co Co Sit-Down	
	General Retail	8.956	ksf
	Furniture Store	0.000	ksf
	Pharmacy/Drugstore	0.000	ksf
Retail	Supermarket	0.000	ksf
	Bank	0.000	ksf
	Health Club	0.000	ksf
	High-Turnover Sit-Down		
	Restaurant	0.000	KSf
	Fast-Food Restaurant	0.000	ksf
	Quality Restaurant	0.000	ksf
	Auto Repair	0.000	ksf
	Home Improvement	0.000	ksf
	Free-Standing Discount	0.000	ksf
	Movie Theater	0	Seats
Office	General Office	10.815	ksf
Office	Medical Office	0.000	ksf
	Light Industrial	0.000	ksf
Industrial	Manufacturing	0.000	ksf
	Warehousing/Self-Storage	0.000	ksf
	University	0	Students
	High School	0	Students
School	Middle School	0	Students
	Elementary	0	Students
	Private School (K-12)	0	Students
Other	Project and Analysis Ove	. 0	Trips

Report 1: Project & Analysis Overview

Date: January 5, 2022 Project Name: Alternative 3

Project Scenario:

Project Address: 11973 W SAN VICENTE BLVD, 90049



version 1.3

Report 1: Project & Analysis Overview

Date: January 5, 2022 Project Name: Alternative 3

Project Scenario:



	Analysis Res	sults			
	Total Employees:	61			
	Total Population:	0			
Propose	ed Project	With Mitigation			
474	Daily Vehicle Trips	439	Daily Vehicle Trips		
4,293	Daily VMT	3,971	Daily VMT		
	Household VMT		Household VMT per		
0	per Capita	0	Capita		
12.2	Work VMT	44.4	Work VMT per		
13.2	per Employee	11.1	Employee		
	Significant VMT	Impact?			
	APC: West Los A	Angeles			
	Impact Threshold: 15% Belo	ow APC Average			
	Household = 7	7.4			
	Work = 11.1				
Propose	ed Project		itigation		
VMT Threshold	Impact	VMT Threshold	Impact		
Household > 7.4	No	Household > 7.4	No		
Work > 11.1	Yes	Work > 11.1	No		

Report 2: TDM Inputs

Date: January 5, 2022 Project Name: Alternative 3

Project Scenario:





Stra	itegy Type	Description	Proposed Project	Mitigations
	Reduce parking supply	City code parking provision (spaces)	0	84
	neduce parking supply	Actual parking provision (spaces)	0	80
	Unbundle parking Parking cash-out	Monthly cost for parking (\$)	\$0	\$0
Parking		cash-out Employees eligible (%)		100%
	Price workplace	Daily parking charge (\$)	\$0.00	\$6.00
	parking	Employees subject to priced parking (%)	0%	100%
	Residential area parking permits	Cost of annual permit (\$)	\$0	\$0

(cont. on following page)

Report 2: TDM Inputs

Date: January 5, 2022 Project Name: Alternative 3

Project Scenario:





Strate	еду Туре	Description	Proposed Project	Mitigations	
		Reduction in headways (increase in frequency) (%)	0%	0%	
Transit	Reduce transit headways	Existing transit mode share (as a percent of total daily trips) (%)	0%	0%	
		Lines within project site improved (<50%, >=50%)	0	0	
	Implement neighborhood shuttle	Degree of implementation (low, medium, high)	0	0	
	neighbornood shuttle	Employees and residents eligible (%)	0%	0%	
		Employees and residents eligible (%)	0%	0%	
	Transit subsidies	Amount of transit subsidy per passenger (daily equivalent) (\$)	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Education &	Voluntary travel behavior change program	Employees and residents participating (%)	0%	0%	
Encouragement	Promotions and marketing	Employees and residents participating (%)	0%	0%	

Report 2: TDM Inputs

Date: January 5, 2022 Project Name: Alternative 3

Project Scenario:



Strate	gy Type	Description	Proposed Project	Mitigations	
	Required commute trip reduction program	Employees participating (%)	0%	0%	
	Alternative Work Schedules and	Employees participating (%)	0%	0%	
	Telecommute	Type of program	0	0	
Commute Trip Reductions		Degree of implementation (low, medium, high)	0	0	
	Employer sponsored vanpool or shuttle	Employees eligible (%)	0%	0%	
		Employer size (small, medium, large)	0	0	
	Ride-share program	Employees eligible (%)	0%	0%	
	Car share	Car share project setting (Urban, Suburban, All Other)	0	0	
Shared Mobility	Bike share	Within 600 feet of existing bike share station - OR- implementing new bike share station (Yes/No)	0	0	
	School carpool program	Level of implementation (Low, Medium, High)	0	0	

Report 2: TDM Inputs

Date: January 5, 2022 Project Name: Alternative 3

Project Scenario:



	TDM Strategy Inputs, Cont.									
Strate	egy Type	Description	Proposed Project	Mitigations						
	Implement/Improve on-street bicycle facility	Provide bicycle facility along site (Yes/No)	0	0						
Bicycle	Include Bike parking per LAMC	Meets City Bike Parking Code (Yes/No)	0	Yes						
Infrastructure	Include secure bike parking and showers	Includes indoor bike parking/lockers, showers, & repair station (Yes/No)	0	Yes						
	Traffic calming	Streets with traffic calming improvements (%)	0%	0%						
Neighborhood	improvements	Intersections with traffic calming improvements (%)	0%	0%						
Enhancement	Pedestrian network improvements	Included (within project and connecting offsite/within project only)	0	within project and connecting off-site						

Date: January 5, 2022 Project Name: Alternative 3 Project Scenario:

Project Address: 11973 W SAN VICENTE BLVD, 90049



Report 3: TDM Outputs

TDM Adjustments by Trip Purpose & Strategy

Place t	ype: S	Subur	ban (Center
---------	--------	-------	-------	--------

						Place type		n Center						
			ased Work duction		ased Work action		ased Other luction		ased Other action		Based Other		Based Other action	Source
		Proposed	Mitigated	Proposed	Mitigated	Proposed	Mitigated	Proposed	Mitigated	Proposed	Mitigated	Proposed	Mitigated	
	Reduce parking supply	0%	2%	0%	2%	0%	2%	0%	2%	0%	2%	0%	2%	
	Unbundle parking	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	TDM Strategy
Parking	Parking cash-out	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	Appendix, Parkii
	Price workplace parking	0%	0%	0%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	sections 1 - 5
	Residential area parking permits	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
	Reduce transit headways	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	TDM Strategy
Transit	Implement neighborhood shuttle		0%	0%	0%	Appendix, Trans sections 1 - 3								
	Transit subsidies	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				
Education &	Voluntary travel behavior change program	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	TDM Strategy Appendix, Education &
Encouragement	Promotions and marketing	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	Encouragemen sections 1 - 2
	Required commute trip reduction program	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	TDM Strategy Appendix, Commute Trip Reductions sections 1 - 4
Commute Trip Reductions	Alternative Work Schedules and Telecommute Program	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Employer sponsored vanpool or shuttle	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Ride-share program	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Car-share	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	TDM Strategy
Shared Mobility	Bike share	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	Appendix, Share
•	School carpool program	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	Mobility sections 1 - 3

Report 3: TDM Outputs

Date: January 5, 2022 Project Name: Alternative 3

Project Scenario:





				TDM Ad	ljustment	s by Trip	Purpose	& Strateg	y, Cont.					
						Place type	Suburbar	Center						
		Home B	ased Work	Home B	ased Work	Ноте Ва	sed Other	Ноте Во	ased Other	Non-Home	Based Other	Non-Home	Based Other	
		Prod	duction	Attr	action	Production		Attr	Attraction Prod		luction	Attr	raction	Source
		Proposed	Mitigated	Proposed	Mitigated	Proposed	Mitigated	Proposed	Mitigated	Proposed	Mitigated	Proposed	Mitigated	
Bicycle Infrastructure	Implement/ Improve on-street bicycle facility	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	TDM Strategy
	Include Bike parking per LAMC	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	Appendix, Bicyc
	Include secure bike parking and showers	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	sections 1 - 3
Neighborhood Enhancement	Traffic calming improvements	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	TDM Strategy Appendix,
	Pedestrian network improvements	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	2.0%	Neighborhood Enhancement

	Final Combined & Maximum TDM Effect											
	Home Based Work Home Based Work Production Attraction		Home Based Other Production		Home Based Other Attraction		Non-Home Based Other Production		Non-Home Based Other Attraction			
	Proposed	Mitigated	Proposed	Mitigated	Proposed	Mitigated	Proposed	Mitigated	Proposed	Mitigated	Proposed	Mitigated
COMBINED TOTAL	0%	6%	0%	16%	0%	6%	0%	6%	0%	6%	0%	6%
MAX. TDM EFFECT	0%	6%	0%	16%	0%	6%	0%	6%	0%	6%	0%	6%

= Minimum (X%, 1-[(1-A)*(1-B)])				
where X%=				
PLACE	urban	75%		
TYPE	compact infill	40%		
MAX:	suburban center	20%		
	suburban	15%		

Note: (1-[(1-A)*(1-B)...]) reflects the dampened combined effectiveness of TDM Strategies (e.g., A, B,...). See the TDM Strategy Appendix (*Transportation Assessment Guidelines Attachment G*) for further discussion of dampening.

Report 4: MXD Methodology

Date: January 5, 2022 Project Name: Alternative 3

Project Scenario:





Version 1.3

MXD Methodology - Project Without TDM						
	Unadjusted Trips	MXD Adjustment	MXD Trips	Average Trip Length	Unadjusted VMT	MXD VMT
Home Based Work Production	0	0.0%	0	7.5	0	0
Home Based Other Production	0	0.0%	0	5.7	0	0
Non-Home Based Other Production	97	-2.1%	95	8.8	854	836
Home-Based Work Attraction	89	-3.4%	86	9.4	837	808
Home-Based Other Attraction	219	-9.6%	198	9.3	2,037	1,841
Non-Home Based Other Attraction	97	-2.1%	95	8.5	825	808

MXD Methodology with TDM Measures						
	Proposed Project			Project with Mitigation Measures		
	TDM Adjustment	Project Trips	Project VMT	TDM Adjustment	Mitigated Trips	Mitigated VMT
Home Based Work Production	0.0%			-5.5%		0
Home Based Other Production	0.0%			-5.5%		
Non-Home Based Other Production	0.0%	95	836	-5.5%	90	790
Home-Based Work Attraction	0.0%	86	808	-15.9%	72	679
Home-Based Other Attraction	0.0%	198	1,841	-5.5%	187	1,739
Non-Home Based Other Attraction	0.0%	95	808	-5.5%	90	763

MXD VMT Methodology Per Capita & Per Employee					
Total Population: 0					
	Total Employees: 61				
	APC: West Los Angeles				
	Proposed Project	Project with Mitigation Measures			
Total Home Based Production VMT	0	0			
Total Home Based Work Attraction VMT	808	679			
Total Home Based VMT Per Capita	0.0	0.0			
Total Work Based VMT Per Employee	13.2	11.1			

Report 4: MXD Methodologies

12 of 12