


11973 San Vicente Boulevard

ASCE 41-13 Seismic Assessment

Los Angeles, California



June 6, 2022

Job No. 12-L038B

11973 San Vicente Boulevard

ASCE 41-13 Seismic Assessment

Los Angeles, California

Submitted to:

Alston & Bird LLP
333 South Hope Street
16th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
(213) 576-2526
Attn: Mr. Greg Berlin

June 6, 2022

Job No. 12-L038B



888 S. Figueroa Street
18th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90017

323.733.6673 T
323.733.8682 F

www.englekirk.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	Introduction	1
2.0	Information Reviewed	1
3.0	Building Structural Design	1
4.0	Seismicity	2
4.1	Ground Motion Estimates for Seismic Review (ASCE 41-13)	2
4.2	Seismic or Geotechnical Hazards	2
5.0	Seismic Evaluation Summary	3
5.1	ASCE 41-13 Tier 1	3
6.0	Voluntary Seismic Evaluation	4
6.1	North Wing	5
6.2	East Wing	5
6.3	South Wing	5
6.4	West Wing	5
6.5	Typical Existing Roof and Floor Diaphragm	5
7.0	Voluntary Seismic Retrofit Scheme	6
7.1	Strengthening the Existing Shear Wall	6
8.0	Conclusions	6

Appendix A – Tier 1 Checklists

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report summarizes findings of the Seismic assessment per ASCE 41-13 (Tier 1) for the existing building located at 11973 San Vicente Boulevard. A seismic retrofit scheme was also developed for the report, based on ASCE 41-13.

This building is also considered a Historical Building and thus can be considered to be subject to the 2016 California Historical Building Code.

2.0 INFORMATION REVIEWED

Existing building plans were provided to our office. The existing building plans were prepared by Milton Caughey Architect for the "Office and Store Building Mr. David Barry" building. There is no construction date shown on these plans. These plans include Sheets 1 through 8, and include the foundation plan and typical framing sections. Based on the site visit performed on March 27, 2012, the existing building condition generally matched the existing building plans. Some discrepancies were observed. These discrepancies include new windows, new doorways, and modified interior demising walls. These discrepancies appear to have been created due to various tenant improvement revisions during the life of the building. This report was performed as an observation of the visible portions of the building and based on the available drawings. No destructive testing was performed.

3.0 BUILDING STRUCTURAL DESCRIPTION

The existing building is a two-story wood framed structure. The floor plan is 100' x 107' with an open 43' x 56' courtyard. The courtyard essentially separates the building into four wings. The north and south wings at the second floor and roof are raised by about 1'-6" from the east and west wings. This essentially creates four separate structural building elements with no common floor or roof diaphragm.

The first floor consists of a 4" concrete slab on grade. The second floor system consists of a 2" diagonal sheathed wood floor supported by sawn lumber joists. The roof system consists of 1" diagonal sheathing supported by sawn lumber joists. Both the floor and roof levels have a ceiling. Typical bearing walls are 2x4 studs. The story height is about 12' at the first floor and 11'-6" at the second floor.

The lateral bracing for this building consists of the horizontal floor and roof diaphragms and the perimeter vertical shear walls. The second floor and roof consist of diagonal sheathing. The nailing pattern for the

sheathing is unknown. This diagonal sheathed floor and roof diaphragm span to the exterior perimeter walls. These exterior walls serve as the vertical shear walls that brace this building. The interior demising walls do not form a complete lateral bracing system as they are discontinuous between floors, and several of these walls have been removed and the wall locations are irregularly distributed.

The foundation system consists of continuous and spread footings that bear on the foundation soil. The plans note that the design bearing pressure is 2,000 psf. The bearing walls are founded on an 8" continuous stem wall which is then supported on a 16" wide x 8" deep continuous footing.

The south wing that faces San Vicente Boulevard utilizes a pass-through at the ground floor that accesses the interior courtyard. As a result, there are no bearing walls that extend to the foundation. Instead, the second floor is supported on a series of steel columns. There are some exterior walls on the eastern side, but they are discontinuous between floors.

4.0 SEISMICITY

4.1 Ground Motion Estimates for Seismic Review (ASCE 41-13)

A geotechnical report was not provided for review. Site geotechnical conditions were assumed to be consistent with Site Class D. The spectral accelerations were obtained from probabilistic hazard mapping software developed by the United States Geological Survey (USGS).

Spectral accelerations were obtained from the USGS for the Basic Safety Earthquake-1E (BSE-1E) hazard level. The BSE-1E hazard level corresponds to an earthquake with an average return period of 225 years or 20% probability of exceedance in 50 years. BSE-1E spectral accelerations are used to evaluate the level of seismicity of the site as required for the Tier 1 Checklist. The ordinates are illustrated in Figure 4.1.

Base on the 0.2 second and 1.0 second spectral accelerations, in accordance with ASCE 41 Table 2-4, the level of seismicity at this site is defined as High. This classification determines the ASCE 41-13 structural checklists required for use in evaluating the building.

4.2 Seismic or Geotechnical Hazards

The state of California has issued a set of regulatory maps detailing regions of potential liquefaction, landside and ground fault rupture. This site is in the Beverly Hills Quadrangle, as shown in Figure 4.2. Areas shown in white have not been identified as locations of potential liquefaction, landside or ground

fault rupture. The map indicates that the site, shown in Figure 4.2, has not been identified as a potential location for any of these seismic or geotechnical hazards.

5.0 SEISMIC EVALUATION SUMMARY

5.1 ASCE 41-13 Tier 1

The building site is classified as “high seismicity” and in accordance with Tier 1 evaluation requirements, the following checklists were reviewed, and applicable “quick checks” were performed:

- 16.1 Basic Checklist
- 16.1.2LS Life Safety Basic Configuration Checklist
- 16.3LS Life Safety Structural Checklist for Building Type W2: Wood Frames, Commercial and Industrial

A copy of the checklists is found in Appendix A. A summary is provided in Table 5.1 below for items that were found “Non-Compliant” or “Unknown”.

Table 5.1: Summary of Checklist Findings

16.1 Basic Checklist		
Item	Non-Compliant/Unknown	Description
Load Path	Non-Compliant	Discontinuous horizontal diaphragms occur at second floor and roof. Vertical elements of seismic-force-resisting system (such as wood shear walls or frames) were not found at all sides of the perimeter. Interior demising walls do not form a complete seismic-force-resisting system as they are discontinuous between floors.
16.1.2LS Life Safety Basic Configuration Checklist		
Item	Non-Compliant/Unknown	Description
Load Path	Non-Compliant	See 16.1 for Description
Weak Story	Non-Compliant	Vertical discontinuities of seismic-force-resisting system were not found at all sides of the perimeter. Interior demising walls do not form a complete lateral bracing system as they are discontinuous between floors.

Soft Story	Unknown	Stiffness of the seismic-force-resisting system cannot be confirmed, as the seismic-force-resisting system (wood shear walls) are not found at all sides of perimeter, and wood shear walls are found discontinuous between floors.
Vertical Irregularities	Non-Compliant	Vertical elements of seismic-force-resisting system (Wood shear walls) were found discontinuous between floors.
Torsion	Unknown	The story center of rigidity cannot be confirmed.
Overturning		
16.3LS Life Safety Checklist for Building Type W2: Wood Frames, Commercial and Industrial		
Item	Non-Compliant/Unknow	Description
Redundancy	Non-Compliant	Vertical discontinuities of seismic-force-resisting system were not found at all sides of the perimeter.
Shear Stress Check	Non-Compliant	The shear stress check provides an assessment of the overall level of demand on the structure. Existing shear walls are found to be overstressed.
Stucco (Exterior Plaster) Shear Wall	Unknown	Plywood sheathing on existing exterior wall shear walls cannot be confirmed. Existing shear walls could be a stucco shear wall
Gypsum Wallboard or Plaster Shear Walls	Non-Compliant	Existing interior demising walls are found to be Gypsum board.
Narrow Wood Shear Walls	Non-Compliant	Existing shear walls were found with an aspect ratio less than 2-to-1.

6.0 VOLUNTARY SEISMIC EVALUATION

Based on the potential deficiencies outlined in Section 5.1, additional analyses were performed to review the elements of the seismic-force-resisting system. Shear stress of shear walls and diaphragms were reviewed. The Basic Safety Earthquake-1E (BSE-1E) hazard level per ASCE/SEI 41-13 was used to determine building element ‘demand over capacity ratios’ (DCRs). These ratios compare the seismic demand versus the estimated capacity to provide a comparative estimate as to what level these building elements are overstressed. The lateral capacity of existing building elements is based on ASCE 41-13 Table 12-1, “The Default Expected Strength Values for Wood and Light Frame Shear Walls,” and Table 12-2, “The Default Expected Strength Values for Wood Diaphragms.”

The existing building geometry structurally separates the building into four separate wings. Discontinuities at the second floor and roof occur at each wing interface, thereby creating discontinuous horizontal

diaphragms between each wing. Because they are separate wings, each wing cannot rely on the adjacent wings to resist seismic loads. Therefore, each wing was evaluated individually.

6.1 North Wing

In the north-south direction, roughly 120 feet of existing walls are located, such that they act as lateral resisting elements. In the east-west direction, roughly 42 feet of existing walls are located, such that they act as lateral resisting elements. The DCR for the walls in the north-south direction is 230% overstressed. The DCR for the walls in the east-west direction is 650% overstressed.

6.2 East Wing

In the north-south direction, there is no existing wall located as a lateral resisting element. The exterior wall along grid H and the interior courtyard wall along grid G do not contain structural elements that can be identified as a lateral resisting element. In the east-west direction, roughly 90 feet of existing walls are located as lateral resisting element. The DCR for walls in the north-south direction cannot be determined since no lateral resisting element can be identified. Significant lateral displacement may be expected in the north-south direction of the east wing during a seismic event. The DCR for walls in the east-west direction is 190% overstressed.

6.3 South Wing

There is no existing wall or lateral resisting element to resist seismic loads from the second floor and roof in either the north-south or east-west directions. As a result, significant lateral displacement may be expected during a seismic event. The steel posts that support this wing will be subjected to this potential lateral displacement. Since the steel posts do not possess any lateral resistance, a possible collapse of this wing can result during a seismic event.

6.4 West Wing

In the north-south direction, roughly 50 feet of existing walls are located, such that they act as a lateral resisting element. In the east-west direction, roughly 40 feet of existing walls are located, such that they act as a lateral resisting element. There is no wall located at the south end of the wing. Significant lateral displacement may be expected in the east-west direction during a seismic event. The DCR for the walls in the north-south direction is 360% overstressed. The DCR for the walls in the east-west direction is 400% overstressed.

6.5 Typical Existing Roof and Floor Diaphragm

The DCR for the typical diaphragm at the roof and second floor is highly overstressed. Diaphragm shear stress cannot be determined at areas where vertical seismic-force resisting elements are not found.

7.0 Voluntary Seismic Retrofit Scheme

To conform to the seismic force resisting requirements for a new structure, we propose a seismic retrofit scheme that includes strengthening the existing walls, adding new 2-story shear walls, and new steel moment frames. (See Figure 7.1 for conceptual shear wall and steel moment frame locations)

7.1 Strengthening Existing Shear Wall

The existing shear walls need to be continuous between floors. The strengthening requirements include adding new plywood sheathing and nailing, new hold-down anchors at each end of the wall, new floor to wall connection, and new footing/enhancing for the existing footing.

New Shear Wall: New wood shear walls need to be continuous between floors. The new wood shear wall construction includes new 2x stud wall framing, new plywood sheathing and nailing, new hold-down anchors at each end of the wall, and new footing.

New Floor and Roof Diaphragm Sheathing: New $\frac{3}{4}$ " plywood sheathing over the entirety of the existing floor and roof sheathing.

Steel Moment Resisting Frame: Two-story steel moment resisting frames are to be introduced at the south wing where no continuous shear wall may be feasible. The steel moment resisting frames consist of new wide flange steel columns, wide flange steel beams, and new concrete footings.

Consideration for Reducing Impact of Retrofit on Historical Fabric: The above seismic retrofit can be done to minimize the impact on the building historic fabric. The addition of new plywood shear walls can be performed on the inside face of the exterior walls to avoid removing or damage the exterior skin. The new walls can be located to avoid closing any existing historic windows. The new steel moment resisting frames that are located at the front wing can be placed interior to the building footprint. The second floor and roof diaphragm will require enhanced nailing to allow the adjustment of the frame relocations.

Seismic Retrofit Cost: The cost to retrofit the building can vary, depending on the specific repair details, sequencing, and potential unforeseen conditions. We estimate the retrofit cost will be about \$2.0M to \$2.5M. This cost does not include any costs such as possible code required upgrades such as the American Disability Act (ADA), plumbing, mechanical, lighting, etc. Also, the addition of new shear walls may render portions of the building less rentable because of the shear wall obstruction at storefront windows, office windows, etc.

8.0 CONCLUSIONS

Based on our evaluation per the ASCE/SEI 41-13 Tier 1 checklist, the seismic force resisting system of the subject property is generally highly overstressed.

The analysis indicates high demand over capacity ratios for all parts of the existing building. These high ratios indicate that the building is likely to suffer significant damage when subject to a moderate to strong earthquake in the Los Angeles basin. Some portions of the building have no significant seismic resisting elements that can resist the seismic forces from the roof and second floor and can result in a possible collapse when subject to a moderate to strong earthquake. These structural deficiencies represent life safety hazards to occupants in and around the building. The above mentioned seismic retrofits would correct the structural deficiencies identified in this report.

The California Historical Building Code allows an analysis and retrofit to meet 75% of the current building code forces. A direct comparison of this force level to ASCE 41-13 was not performed. However, based on the level of overstress, it is our opinion that the same conclusion and retrofit recommendations will apply.

RT:gh




Figure 3.1: Existing Shear Wall Locations

May 26, 2021
Job No. 12-L038B

USGS Design Maps Summary Report


User-Specified Input

Report Title 11973 San Vicente Blvd
Wed May 31, 2017 18:40:24 UTC

Building Code Reference Document ASCE 41-13 Retrofit Standard, BSE-1E
(which utilizes USGS hazard data available in 2008)


Site Coordinates 34.05251°N, 118.47185°W

Site Soil Classification Site Class D - "Stiff Soil"



USGS-Provided Output

$S_{s,20/50}$ 0.760 g $S_{XS,BSE-1E}$ 0.909 g
 $S_{1,20/50}$ 0.274 g $S_{X1,BSE-1E}$ 0.508 g



Although this information is a product of the U.S. Geological Survey, we provide no warranty, expressed or implied, as to the accuracy of the data contained therein. This tool is not a substitute for technical subject-matter knowledge.

Figure 4.1: Spectral Ordinates per ASCE 41-13

May 26, 2021
Job No. 12-L038B





Figure 4.2: State of California Regulatory Map for Seismic Hazards (Beverly Hills Quadrangle)


May 26, 2021
Job No. 12-L038B



AT ALL (E) STRENGTHENED WALLS OR NEW WALLS, ADD OR ENLARGE (E) FOOTINGS TO MINIMUM 4'-0" WIDE FOOTINGS, 30" DEEP. EXTEND FOOTING MIN 3'-0" BEYOND END OF STRENGTHENED OR NEW WALLS.

- 10'-0" LONG WOOD SHEAR WALL w/1/2" PLYWOOD & 10d@3":12, ADD (2) SIMPSON HD14 AND 4x8 POST EA END.
- - - - STRENGTHEN (E) WOOD SHEAR WALL w/1/2" PLYWOOD & 10d@3":12, ADD (2) SIMPSON HD14 AND 4x8 POST EA END.

TYPICAL AT ALL FLOOR AND ROOF:
ADD 3/4" FLOOR AND ROOF PLYWOOD OVER (E) SHEATHING AND NAIL w/10d@4:12.



NEW FOOTINGS 6'-0" x 6'-0" x 30" DEEP FOOTINGS UNDER ALL SMF COLUMNS, U.N.O.

Figure 7.1: Conceptual Shear Wall and Steel Moment Frame Locations

APPENDIX A
Tier 1 Checklists

Chapter 16.0 Tier 1 Checklist

STRUCTURAL COMPONENTS	
C NC U NA	LOAD PATH. The structure shall contain a complete, well-defined load path, including structural elements and connections that serves to transfer the inertial forces associated with the mass of all elements of the building to the foundation. (Commentary: Sec. A.2.1.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.1.1)
C NC U NA	WALL ANCHORAGE. Exterior concrete or masonry walls that are dependent on the diaphragm for lateral support are anchored for out-of-plane forces at each diaphragm level with steel anchors, reinforcing dowels, or straps that are developed into the diaphragm. Connections shall have adequate strength to resist the connection force calculated in the Quick Check procedure of Section 4.5.3.7. (Commentary: Sec. A.5.1.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.1)

16.1.2LS Life Safety Basic Configuration Checklist

Low Seismicity

Building System

GENERAL	
C <input checked="" type="radio"/> NC <input type="radio"/> U <input type="radio"/> NA	LOAD PATH. The structure shall contain a complete, well defined load path, including structural elements and connections that serves to transfer the inertial forces associated with the mass of all elements of the building to the foundation. (Commentary: Sec. A.2.1.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.1.1)
C <input type="radio"/> NC <input type="radio"/> U <input checked="" type="radio"/> NA	ADJACENT BUILDING. The clear distance between the building being evaluated and any adjacent building is greater than 4% of the height of the shorter building. This statement shall not apply for the following building types: W1, W1a, and W2. (Commentary: Sec. A.2.1.2. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.1.2)
C <input type="radio"/> NC <input type="radio"/> U <input checked="" type="radio"/> NA	MEZZANINES. Interior mezzanine levels are braced independently from the main structure or are anchored to the seismic-force-resisting elements of the main structure. (Commentary: Sec. A.2.1.3. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.1.3)
BUILDING CONFIGURATION	
C <input checked="" type="radio"/> NC <input type="radio"/> U <input type="radio"/> NA	WEAK STORY. The sum of the shear strengths of the seismic-force resisting system in any story in each direction is not less than 80% of the strength in the adjacent story above. (Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.2. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.1)
C <input type="radio"/> NC <input checked="" type="radio"/> U <input type="radio"/> NA	SOFT STORY. The stiffness of the seismic-force-resisting system in any story is not less than 70% of the seismic-force-resisting system stiffness in an adjacent story above or less than 80% of the average seismic-force-resisting system stiffness of the three stories above. (Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.3. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.2)
C <input checked="" type="radio"/> NC <input type="radio"/> U <input type="radio"/> NA	VERTICAL IRREGULARITIES. All vertical elements in the seismic-force-resisting system are continuous to the foundation. (Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.4. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.3)
C <input type="radio"/> NC <input type="radio"/> U <input checked="" type="radio"/> NA	GEOMETRY. There are no changes in the horizontal dimension of the seismic-force-resisting system of more than 30% in a story relative to adjacent stories, excluding one-story penthouses and mezzanines. (Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.5. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.4)
<input checked="" type="radio"/> C <input type="radio"/> NC <input type="radio"/> U <input type="radio"/> NA	MASS. There is no change in effective mass more than 50% from one story to the next. Light roofs, penthouses, and mezzanines need not be considered. (Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.6. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.5)
C <input type="radio"/> NC <input checked="" type="radio"/> U <input type="radio"/> NA	TORSION. The estimated distance between the story center of mass and the story center of rigidity is less than 20% of the building width in either plan dimension. (Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.7. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.6)

Moderate Seismicity (Complete the following items in addition to the items for Low Seismicity)

GEOLOGIC SITE HAZARDS	
<input checked="" type="radio"/> C <input type="radio"/> NC <input type="radio"/> U <input type="radio"/> NA	LIQUEFACTION. Liquefaction-susceptible, saturated, loose granular soils granular soils that could jeopardize the building's seismic performance shall not exist in the foundation soils at depths within 50 ft. under the building. (Commentary: Sec. A.6.1.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.1)
<input checked="" type="radio"/> C <input type="radio"/> NC <input type="radio"/> U <input type="radio"/> NA	SLOPE FAILURE. The building site sufficiently remote from potential earthquake-induced slope failures or rockfalls to be unaffected by such failures or is capable of accommodating any predicted movements without failure. (Commentary: Sec. A.6.1.2. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.1)

C NC U NA	SURFACE FAULT RUPTURE. Surface fault rupture and surface displacement at the building site are not anticipated. (Commentary: Sec. A.6.1.3. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.1)
-----------	--

High Seismicity (Complete the following items in addition to the items for Low and Moderate Seismicity)

FOUNDATION CONFIGURATION	
C NC U NA	OVERTURNING. The ratio of the least horizontal dimension of the seismic-force-resisting system at the foundation level to the building height (base/height) is greater than $0.6S_a$. (Commentary: Sec. A.6.2.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.3)
C NC U NA	THIS BETWEEN FOUNDATION ELEMENTS. The foundation has ties adequate to resist seismic forces where footings, piles, and piers are not restrained by beams, slabs, or soils classified as Site Class A, B, or C. (Commentary: Sec. A.6.2.2. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.4)

16.3LS Life Safety Structural Checklist for Building Type W2: Wood Frames, Commercial and Industrial

Low and Moderate Seismicity

LATERAL-SEISMIC-FORCE-RESISTING SYSTEM	
C <input checked="" type="radio"/> NC <input type="radio"/> U <input type="radio"/> NA	REDUNDANCY. The number of lines of shear walls in each principal direction is greater than or equal to 2. (Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.1.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.1.1, and)
C <input checked="" type="radio"/> NC <input type="radio"/> U <input type="radio"/> NA	SHEAR STRESS CHECK: The shear stress in the shear walls, calculated using the Quick Check procedure of Section 4.5.3.3, is less than the following values (Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.7.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.1.1): Structural panel sheathing 1,000 lb/ft Diagonal sheathing 700 lb/ft Straight sheathing 100 lb/ft All other conditions 100 lb/ft
C <input checked="" type="radio"/> NC <input type="radio"/> U <input type="radio"/> NA	STUCCO (EXTERIOR PLASTER) SHEAR WALLS. Multi-story buildings do not rely on exterior stucco walls as the primary seismic-force-resisting system. (Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.7.2. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.6.1)
C <input checked="" type="radio"/> NC <input type="radio"/> U <input type="radio"/> NA	GYPHUM WALLBOARD OR PLASTER SHEAR WALLS. Interior plaster or gypsum wallboard is not used as shear walls on buildings over one story in height with the exception of the uppermost level of a multistory building. (Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.7.3. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.6.1)
C <input checked="" type="radio"/> NC <input type="radio"/> U <input type="radio"/> NA	NARROW WOOD SHEAR WALLS. Narrow wood shear walls with an aspect ratio greater than 2-to-1 are not used to resist seismic forces. (Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.7.4. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.6.1)
C <input type="radio"/> NC <input checked="" type="radio"/> U <input type="radio"/> NA	WALLS CONNECTED THROUGH FLOORS. Shear walls have an interconnection between stories to transfer overturning and shear forces through the floor. (Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.7.5. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.6.2)
C <input type="radio"/> NC <input type="radio"/> U <input checked="" type="radio"/> NA	HILLSIDE SITE. For structures that are taller on at least one side by more than one-half story due to a sloping site, all shear walls on the downhill slope have an aspect ratio less than 1-to-1. (Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.7.6. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.6.3)
C <input type="radio"/> NC <input type="radio"/> U <input checked="" type="radio"/> NA	CRIPPLE WALLS. Cripple walls below first-floor-level shear walls are braced to the foundation with wood structural panels. (Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.7.7. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.6.4)
C <input type="radio"/> NC <input type="radio"/> U <input checked="" type="radio"/> NA	OPENINGS: Walls with openings greater than 80% of the length are braced with wood structural panel shear walls with aspect ratios of not more than 1.5-to-1 or are supported by adjacent construction through positive ties capable of transferring the seismic forces. (Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.7.8. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.6.5)
CONNECTIONS	
C <input type="radio"/> NC <input checked="" type="radio"/> U <input type="radio"/> NA	WOOD POSTS. There is a positive connection of wood posts to the foundation. (Commentary: Sec. A.5.3.3. Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.3.3)
C <input type="radio"/> NC <input checked="" type="radio"/> U <input type="radio"/> NA	WOOD SILLS. All wood sills are bolted to the foundation. (Commentary: Sec. A.5.3.4. Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.3.3)
C <input type="radio"/> NC <input checked="" type="radio"/> U <input type="radio"/> NA	GIRDER/COLUMN CONNECTION. There is a positive connection using plates, connection hardware, or straps between the girder and the column support. (Commentary: Sec. A.5.4.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.4.1)

High Seismicity (Complete the following items in addition to the items for Low and Moderate Seismicity)

DIAPHRAGMS	
C <input checked="" type="radio"/> NC <input type="radio"/> U <input type="radio"/> NA	DIAPHRAGM CONTINUITY. The diaphragms are not composed of split-level floors and do not have expansion joints. (Commentary: Sec. A.4.1.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.1.1)
C <input type="radio"/> NC <input checked="" type="radio"/> U <input type="radio"/> NA	ROOF CHORD CONTINUITY. All chord elements are continuous, regardless of changes in roof elevation. (Commentary: Sec. A.4.1.3. Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.1.1)
C <input type="radio"/> NC <input checked="" type="radio"/> U <input type="radio"/> NA	DIAPHRAGM REINFORCEMENT AT OPENINGS. There is reinforcing around all diaphragm openings larger than 50% of the building width in either major plan dimension. (Commentary: Sec. A.4.1.8. Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.1.5)
C <input type="radio"/> NC <input type="radio"/> U <input checked="" type="radio"/> NA	STRAIGHT SHEATHING. All straight sheathed diaphragms have aspect ratios less than 2-to-1 in the direction being considered. (Commentary: Sec. A.4.2.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.2)
C <input checked="" type="radio"/> NC <input type="radio"/> U <input type="radio"/> NA	SPANS. All wood diaphragms with spans greater than 24 ft. consist of wood structural panels or diagonal sheathing. Wood commercial and industrial buildings may have rod-braced systems. (Commentary: Sec. A.4.2.2. Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.2)
C <input checked="" type="radio"/> NC <input type="radio"/> U <input type="radio"/> NA	DIAGONALLY SHEATHED AND UNBLOCKED DIAPHRAGMS. All diagonally sheathed or unblocked wood structural panel diaphragms have horizontal spans less than 40 feet and aspect ratios less than or equal to 4-to-1. (Commentary: Sec. A.4.2.3. Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.2)
C <input type="radio"/> NC <input type="radio"/> U <input checked="" type="radio"/> NA	OTHER DIAPHRAGMS. The diaphragm does not consist of a system other than wood, metal deck, concrete, or horizontal bracing. (Commentary: Sec. A.4.7.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.5)
CONNECTIONS	
C <input type="radio"/> NC <input checked="" type="radio"/> U <input type="radio"/> NA	WOOD SILL BOLTS. Sill bolts are spaced at 6 feet or less, with proper edge and end distance provided for wood and concrete. (Commentary: A.5.3.7. Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.3.3)