

Notice of Completion & Environmental Document Transmittal

Mail to: State Clearinghouse, P.O. Box 3044, Sacramento, CA 95812-3044 (916) 445-0613
 For Hand Delivery/Street Address: 1400 Tenth Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

SCH #

Project Title: _____

Lead Agency: _____ Contact Person: _____

Mailing Address: _____ Phone: _____

City: _____ Zip: _____ County: _____

Project Location: County: _____ City/Nearest Community: _____

Cross Streets: _____ Zip Code: _____

Longitude/Latitude (degrees, minutes and seconds): _____° _____' _____" N / _____° _____' _____" W Total Acres: _____

Assessor's Parcel No.: _____ Section: _____ Twp.: _____ Range: _____ Base: _____

Within 2 Miles: State Hwy #: _____ Waterways: _____

Airports: _____ Railways: _____ Schools: _____

Document Type:

- | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|
| CEQA: <input type="checkbox"/> NOP | <input type="checkbox"/> Draft EIR | NEPA: <input type="checkbox"/> NOI | Other: <input type="checkbox"/> Joint Document |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Early Cons | <input type="checkbox"/> Supplement/Subsequent EIR | <input type="checkbox"/> EA | <input type="checkbox"/> Final Document |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Neg Dec | (Prior SCH No.) _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Draft EIS | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mit Neg Dec | Other: _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> FONSI | _____ |

Local Action Type:

- | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> General Plan Update | <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Plan | <input type="checkbox"/> Rezone | <input type="checkbox"/> Annexation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> General Plan Amendment | <input type="checkbox"/> Master Plan | <input type="checkbox"/> Prezone | <input type="checkbox"/> Redevelopment |
| <input type="checkbox"/> General Plan Element | <input type="checkbox"/> Planned Unit Development | <input type="checkbox"/> Use Permit | <input type="checkbox"/> Coastal Permit |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Community Plan | <input type="checkbox"/> Site Plan | <input type="checkbox"/> Land Division (Subdivision, etc.) | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Development Type:

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Residential: Units _____ Acres _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation: Type _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Office: Sq.ft. _____ Acres _____ Employees _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Mining: Mineral _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial: Sq.ft. _____ Acres _____ Employees _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Power: Type _____ MW _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial: Sq.ft. _____ Acres _____ Employees _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Waste Treatment: Type _____ MGD _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Educational: _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Hazardous Waste: Type _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Recreational: _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Water Facilities: Type _____ MGD _____ | |

Project Issues Discussed in Document:

- | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Aesthetic/Visual | <input type="checkbox"/> Fiscal | <input type="checkbox"/> Recreation/Parks | <input type="checkbox"/> Vegetation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agricultural Land | <input type="checkbox"/> Flood Plain/Flooding | <input type="checkbox"/> Schools/Universities | <input type="checkbox"/> Water Quality |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Air Quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Forest Land/Fire Hazard | <input type="checkbox"/> Septic Systems | <input type="checkbox"/> Water Supply/Groundwater |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Archeological/Historical | <input type="checkbox"/> Geologic/Seismic | <input type="checkbox"/> Sewer Capacity | <input type="checkbox"/> Wetland/Riparian |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Biological Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals | <input type="checkbox"/> Soil Erosion/Compaction/Grading | <input type="checkbox"/> Growth Inducement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Coastal Zone | <input type="checkbox"/> Noise | <input type="checkbox"/> Solid Waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Land Use |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage/Absorption | <input type="checkbox"/> Population/Housing Balance | <input type="checkbox"/> Toxic/Hazardous | <input type="checkbox"/> Cumulative Effects |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Economic/Jobs | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Services/Facilities | <input type="checkbox"/> Traffic/Circulation | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Present Land Use/Zoning/General Plan Designation:

Project Description: (please use a separate page if necessary)

Note: The State Clearinghouse will assign identification numbers for all new projects. If a SCH number already exists for a project (e.g. Notice of Preparation or previous draft document) please fill in.

Reviewing Agencies Checklist

Lead Agencies may recommend State Clearinghouse distribution by marking agencies below with an "X".
If you have already sent your document to the agency please denote that with an "S".

<input type="checkbox"/> Air Resources Board	<input type="checkbox"/> Office of Historic Preservation
<input type="checkbox"/> Boating & Waterways, Department of	<input type="checkbox"/> Office of Public School Construction
<input type="checkbox"/> California Emergency Management Agency	<input type="checkbox"/> Parks & Recreation, Department of
<input type="checkbox"/> California Highway Patrol	<input type="checkbox"/> Pesticide Regulation, Department of
<input type="checkbox"/> Caltrans District # _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Public Utilities Commission
<input type="checkbox"/> Caltrans Division of Aeronautics	<input type="checkbox"/> Regional WQCB # _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Caltrans Planning	<input type="checkbox"/> Resources Agency
<input type="checkbox"/> Central Valley Flood Protection Board	<input type="checkbox"/> Resources Recycling and Recovery, Department of
<input type="checkbox"/> Coachella Valley Mtns. Conservancy	<input type="checkbox"/> S.F. Bay Conservation & Development Comm.
<input type="checkbox"/> Coastal Commission	<input type="checkbox"/> San Gabriel & Lower L.A. Rivers & Mtns. Conservancy
<input type="checkbox"/> Colorado River Board	<input type="checkbox"/> San Joaquin River Conservancy
<input type="checkbox"/> Conservation, Department of	<input type="checkbox"/> Santa Monica Mtns. Conservancy
<input type="checkbox"/> Corrections, Department of	<input type="checkbox"/> State Lands Commission
<input type="checkbox"/> Delta Protection Commission	<input type="checkbox"/> SWRCB: Clean Water Grants
<input type="checkbox"/> Education, Department of	<input type="checkbox"/> SWRCB: Water Quality
<input type="checkbox"/> Energy Commission	<input type="checkbox"/> SWRCB: Water Rights
<input type="checkbox"/> Fish & Game Region # _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Tahoe Regional Planning Agency
<input type="checkbox"/> Food & Agriculture, Department of	<input type="checkbox"/> Toxic Substances Control, Department of
<input type="checkbox"/> Forestry and Fire Protection, Department of	<input type="checkbox"/> Water Resources, Department of
<input type="checkbox"/> General Services, Department of	<input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Health Services, Department of	<input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Housing & Community Development	
<input type="checkbox"/> Native American Heritage Commission	

Local Public Review Period (to be filled in by lead agency)

Starting Date _____ Ending Date _____

Lead Agency (Complete if applicable):

Consulting Firm: _____	Applicant: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City/State/Zip: _____	City/State/Zip: _____
Contact: _____	Phone: _____
Phone: _____	

Signature of Lead Agency Representative: _____ Date: _____

Authority cited: Section 21083, Public Resources Code. Reference: Section 21161, Public Resources Code.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Phillips 66 proposes to modify the existing Rodeo Refinery into a repurposed facility that would process renewable feedstocks into renewable diesel fuel, renewable components for blending with other transportation fuels, and renewable fuel gas. As a result of proposed modifications, the Rodeo Refinery would no longer process crude oil for petroleum-based fuels and would assist California in meeting its stated goals of reducing GHG emissions and ultimately transitioning to carbon neutrality. The Project would also provide a mechanism for complying with California's Low-Carbon Fuel Standard and Cap-and-Trade programs and the federal Renewable Fuels Standard, while continuing to meet regional market demand for transportation fuels. Because the Project would discontinue processing crude oil at the Rodeo Refinery, other sites owned and operated by Phillips 66 located throughout the state would be affected. Therefore, the Project consists of activities at the following four sites:

- Rodeo Site (1380 San Pablo Ave. Rodeo. CA 94572) refers to the 495- acre area within the Rodeo Refinery where the main Project activities would occur.
- Carbon Plant Site (2101 Franklin Canyon Rd. Rodeo, CA 94572) — refers to the current location of the Carbon Plant in Franklin Canyon (within the 1,100- acre Rodeo Refinery). Under the Project, the Carbon Plant would no longer be necessary and would be demolished.
- Santa Maria Site (2555 Willow Rd. Arroyo Grande. CA 93420) — refers to the Santa Maria Refinery, including the applicant -owned buffer land, located near Nipomo, San Luis Obispo County. The Santa Maria Site would no longer be necessary to provide semi-refined feedstock to the Rodeo Refinery and would be demolished under the Project.
- Pipeline Sites — refers to four pipelines (i.e., Lines 100, 200, 300, and 400) that provide crude oil from the Santa Maria Site to the Rodeo Refinery. The pipeline sites would cease to be used under the Project.

Once operational, the Rodeo Refinery would supply up to 107,000 bpd of renewable fuels (67,000 bpd) and petroleum-based transportation fuels or gasoline (40,000 bpd). Of the 67,000 bpd of renewable fuels that would be produced, 55,000 bpd would occur as a result of the Project. This amount would be in addition to the Rodeo Refinery's existing capability (as of 2021) of producing 12,000 bpd from pretreated feedstocks using Unit 250 (previously used to process petroleum-based feedstocks). To maintain the current facility capability to supply regional market demand for transportation fuels, including renewable and conventional fuels, the Rodeo Refinery could receive, blend, and ship up to 40,000 bpd of gasoline and gasoline blendstocks.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

In June 2022, a CEQA lawsuit was filed in the Contra Costa County Superior Court challenging the certification of the 2022 Rodeo Renewed Project EIR (2022 EIR) and the approval of the Project. (*Communities for a Better Environment, et al., and Center for Biological Diversity v.*

County of Contra Costa, et al., Contra Costa County Superior Court Case No. N22-1080.) On July 21, 2023, the Superior Court, the Honorable Edward G. Weil presiding, issued a Statement of Decision in which the Superior Court remanded to the County for reconsideration three issues in the 2022 EIR (refer to Appendix A of the Draft Revised EIR [Draft REIR]). On August 23, 2023, the Superior Court entered judgment and issued a peremptory writ of mandate to the County to decertify the 2022 EIR and to conduct further environmental review in compliance with CEQA to remedy the three issues identified in the Statement of Decision. On October 12, 2023, the Superior Court reaffirmed its Statement of Decision, allowing the Land Use Permit to remain in place and allowing Project construction activities and ruled that the judgment would be modified to enjoin Project operations until further order of the Court. The Superior Court did not identify any other CEQA violations, and the remaining content of the 2022 EIR is valid.

The chapters and sections included in the Draft REIR are those needed to address the deficiencies identified in the Statement of Decision and to provide sufficient additional information so that the reader can understand and assess the REIR's contents. Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15088.5(c), recirculation is limited to those chapters and sections and the additional information. Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15088.5(f)(2), reviewers are hereby notified that reviewers shall limit their comments to the revised chapters or sections of the Draft REIR. The content of this Draft REIR provides sufficient detail and clarity such that the public and decision makers can make an informed decision regarding the adequacy of the issues discussed in this Draft REIR.