
**GENERAL PLAN CONSISTENCY ANALYSIS
FOR THE
TEMESCAL VALLEY COMMERCE CENTER
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
SCH NO. 2020120546**

Riverside County, California

**GENERAL PLAN CONSISTENCY ANALYSIS
FOR THE
TEMESCAL VALLEY COMMERCE CENTER
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
SCH NO. 2020120546**

Lead Agency

Riverside County Planning Department
4080 Lemon Street, 12th Floor
Riverside, CA 92501

CEQA Consultant

T&B Planning, Inc.
3200 El Camino Real, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92602

Project Applicant

Dawson Canyon LLC
11777 San Vicente Boulevard, Suite 780
Los Angeles, CA 90049

July 21, 2021



1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose and Intent

The purpose of this report is to analyze the consistency of the Temescal Valley Commerce Center Project (SCH NO. 2020120546, herein, “Project”) with the policies of the Riverside County General Plan and the Temescal Canyon Area Plan (TCAP). Table 1, *Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies*, provides the General Plan Policy Number, the policy, a brief analysis of the Project’s consistency with the Policy, and a conclusion as to whether or not the Project is consistent with the Policy. Table 2, *Project Consistency with the Temescal Canyon Area Plan Land Use Policies*, utilizes the same format as Table 1 and provides an analysis of the proposed Project’s consistency with the TCAP.

1.2 Riverside County General Plan

The Riverside County General Plan covers the entire unincorporated portion of Riverside County and is augmented by 19 more detailed area plans covering Riverside County’s territory with the exception of the undeveloped desert areas and the March Air Reserve Base. The thrust of the General Plan is to manage the overall pattern of development within Riverside County more effectively. The area plans provide clear and more focused opportunities to enhance community identity within Riverside County and stimulate the quality of life at the community level. (Riverside County, 2019a, p. I-1)

1.3 General Plan Elements and Policies

Land development patterns in the unincorporated areas of Riverside County are guided by Riverside County General Plan (herein “General Plan”). The General Plan is organized into eight separate elements, including Land Use, Circulation, Multipurpose Open Space, Safety, Noise, Housing, Air Quality, and Healthy Communities, which establish countywide policies to guide Riverside County’s vision for future development. Each policy is identified by both its element and sequential number. Policies can also be followed by a set of number in parenthesis which denotes a reference to the action related to the policy. (Riverside County, 2019a, p. I-10)

1.4 Project Description

For purposes of this analysis, the term “Project” refers to the Project’s discretionary applications for a General Plan Amendment (GPA 200007), Change of Zone (CZ 2000028), and Conditional Use Permit (CUP 200044) to allow for future development of a 46.16-acre property located east of Temescal Canyon Road and Interstate 15 (I-15), and southeast and southwest of Dawson Canyon Road. Approximately 35.42 acres of the Project site are proposed for development with a 181,495 square-foot (s.f.) last mile delivery station warehouse building with 15 loading dock spaces and associated parking areas for passenger vehicles, vans, and truck trailers, as well as vehicle maintenance areas. Approximately 1.35 acres in the northern corner of the Project site would be dedicated to the Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP) Reserve System for long-term conservation. Additionally, as part of the Project the Coldwater Canyon Wash drainage channel would be realigned from the western Project site boundary to the southeast Project site boundary on approximately 5.70 acres of the Project site. As part of the Project, approximately 3.23 acres would be dedicated for the realignment of Temescal Canyon Road along the southwestern boundary of the Project site, with the realigned roadway forming a new three-way intersection extending southeasterly along the southwestern Project site boundary and southwest towards I-15. Approximately 0.46 acre in the



northern portion of the site would be dedicated as right-of-way for the northwest/southeast-aligned portion of Dawson Canyon Road. Access to the site is proposed via two driveways along the realigned Temescal Canyon Road, two driveways along the southwest/northeast-aligned portion of Dawson Canyon Road, and two driveways along the northwest/southeast-aligned portion of Dawson Canyon Road.

1.5 Project Location

The 46.16-acre Project site that is the subject of this EIR is located within the Temescal Canyon portion of unincorporated Riverside County, east of I-15, south of State Route 91 (SR 91), and northwest of State Route 74 (SR 74). More specifically, the 46.16-acre Project site is located east of Temescal Canyon Road and the I-15, and southeast and southwest of Dawson Canyon Road. The Project site encompasses Assessor's Parcel Number (APN) 283-160-043. For a complete description of the Project's location, please refer to Section 2.0, *Environmental Setting*, of the Project's EIR.



1.6 Analysis of Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan

Table 1, *Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies*, provides an analysis of the proposed Project’s consistency with applicable policies of the County of Riverside General Plan.

Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
GENERAL PLAN LAND USE ELEMENT	
LU 1.1 – LU 1.12: Land Use Element Administration Policies.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policies LU 1.1 through LU 1.12 provide guidance to County staff and decision makers with respect to administration of the Land Use Element. The Project would not conflict with such policies.
<p>LU 2.1: Accommodate land use development in accordance with the patterns and distribution of use and density depicted on the General Plan Land Use Map (Figure LU-1) and the Area Plan Land Use Maps, in accordance with the following: (Action Items (AIs) 1, 3, 5, 9, 27, 29, 30, 41, 60, 91)</p> <p>a. Provide a land use mix at the countywide and area plan levels based on projected need and supported by evaluation of impacts to the environment, economy, infrastructure, and services.</p> <p>b. Accommodate a range of community types and character, from agricultural and rural enclaves to urban and suburban communities.</p> <p>c. Provide for a broad range of land uses, intensities, and densities, including a range of residential, commercial, business, industry, open space, recreation, and public facilities uses.</p> <p>d. Concentrate growth near community centers that provide a mixture of commercial, employment, entertainment, recreation, civic, and cultural uses to the greatest extent possible.</p> <p>e. Concentrate growth near or within existing urban and suburban areas to maintain the rural and open space character of Riverside County to the greatest extent possible.</p> <p>f. Site development to capitalize upon multi-modal transportation opportunities and promote compatible land use arrangements that reduce reliance on the automobile.</p> <p>g. Prevent inappropriate development in areas that are environmentally sensitive or subject to severe natural hazards.</p>	<u>Consistent.</u> The Project Applicant proposes a General Plan Amendment (GPA) to change the land use designations as applied to the Project site to allow for development with light industrial land uses. In addition, proposed GPA 200007 would remove the 46.12-acre property from the boundaries of the Serrano Policy Area, as identified by the Temescal Canyon Area Plan of the Riverside County General Plan. The land uses proposed as part of the Project are consistent with the site’s underlying Foundation Component designation of Community Development. With approval of the proposed GPA, the Project would be fully consistent with the General Plan Land Use Map. The Project accommodates a mixture of open space and light industrial land uses supported by evaluation of impacts to the environment, economy, infrastructure, and services. Although transit service is not currently available, development of the Project site as proposed would support the establishment of new bus routes to serve the local area. As documented throughout the Project’s EIR, the Project site is not environmentally sensitive or subject to severe natural hazards.
LU 3.1: Accommodate land use development in accordance with the patterns and distribution of use and density depicted on the General Plan Land Use Maps (Figure LU-1) and the Area Plan Land Use Maps in accordance with the following concepts: (AI 1, 3, 9, 10, 125)	<u>Consistent.</u> The Project as proposed would not conflict with any concepts in Policy LU 3.1. The Project would accommodate land use development in general conformance to the patterns and distribution of use and density depicted on the General Plan Land



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>a. Accommodate communities that provide a balanced mix of land uses, including employment, recreation, shopping, public facilities and housing.</p> <p>b. Assist in and promote the development of infill and underutilized parcels which are located in Community Development areas, as identified on the General Plan Land Use Map.</p> <p>c. Promote parcel consolidation or coordinated planning of adjacent parcels through incentive programs and planning assistance.</p> <p>d. Create street and trail networks that directly connect local destinations, and that are friendly to pedestrians, equestrians, bicyclists, and others using non-motorized forms of transportation.</p> <p>e. Re-plan existing urban cores and specific plans for higher density, compact development as appropriate to achieve the RCIP Vision.</p> <p>f. In new towns, accommodate compact, transit-adaptive infrastructure (based on modified standards that take into account transit system facilities or street network).</p> <p>g. Provide the opportunity to link communities through access to multi-modal transportation systems.</p>	<p>Use Maps and TCAP Land Use Map. The Project site is currently located in the Community Development Foundation Component and proposes development with light industrial and open space land uses to re-use a formerly underutilized (vacant/disturbed former concrete pipe manufacturing facility) parcel of land. As part of the Project, and in conformance with TCAP Figure 8, the Project would accommodate a proposed design guidelines/historic trail along the Project site’s frontage with Temescal Canyon Road. This trail would be 10 feet wide within a 21-foot-wide parkway along the eastern edge of the roadway. A Community Trail also is expected to be constructed by others in the future along the southern edge of the realigned Coldwater Canyon Wash drainage channel in the southeastern portion of the Project site. Although there are currently no existing bus routes that serve the roadways in close proximity to the proposed Project, the Project does not preclude the Riverside Transit Authority or Riverside County from adding nearby transit routes and stops in the future. Other concepts of Policy LU 3.1 are not applicable to the Project because they provide guidance to County staff and decision makers or are not relevant to the Project (which is not located in a new town or an urban core).</p>
<p>LU 3.2: Use open space, greenways, recreational lands, and watercourses as community separators. (AI 25, 40, 60, 61)</p>	<p><u>Consistent</u>. There is no residential development in the Project vicinity east of I-15; thus, there is no need to accommodate community separators. However, the Project would preserve Temescal Wash in the northern portion of the site where 1.35 acres of the Project site are proposed to be dedicated to the Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP) Reserve System and would be designated for Open Space-Conservation Habitat (OS-CH) uses. Additionally, as part of the Project the Coldwater Canyon Wash drainage channel would be realigned from the western Project site boundary along the southeast Project site boundary on approximately 5.70 acres of the Project site.</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>LU 3.3: Promote the development and preservation of unique communities in which each community exhibits a special sense of place and quality of design. (AI 14, 30)</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> The Project application materials identify a variety of elements, including architecture and landscape architecture, that would ensure the site is developed with a special sense of place and quality of design. The Project also would be consistent with surrounding land uses located east of I-15, which include undeveloped lands, open space, business park, light industrial uses, and a landfill. Thus, the Project would be consistent with Policy LU 3.3.</p>
<p>LU 3.4: Allow techniques, such as incentives or transfer of development credit programs or other mechanisms, to achieve more efficient use of land. (AI 9, 30)</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy LU 3.4 provides guidance to County staff and decision makers.</p>
<p>LU 3.5: Prepare a community separators map or overlay that will illustrate the intent of the County of Riverside and its residents that Riverside County’s distinctive community identities be maintained. The map should be a bubble diagram rather than attempting to delineate policy boundaries. Topographical and geographical features such as mountains, hills, rivers, and floodplains should constitute the community separators in most cases. The map should be used as a tool for Riverside County’s use in inter-governmental matters, such as commenting on proposals submitted to or by LAFCO, cities, or tribal authorities. (AI 4)</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy LU 3.5 provides guidance to County staff and decision makers. The Project would not conflict with Policy LU 3.5.</p>
<p>LU 4.1: Require that new developments be located and designed to visually enhance, not degrade the character of the surrounding area through consideration of the following concepts: (AI 1, 3, 6, 14, 23, 24, 41, 62)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Compliance with the design standards of the appropriate area plan land use category. b. Require that structures be constructed in accordance with the requirements of Riverside County’s zoning, building, and other pertinent codes and regulations. c. Require that an appropriate landscape plan be submitted and implemented for development projects subject to discretionary review. d. Require that new development utilize drought tolerant landscaping and incorporate adequate drought-conscious irrigation systems. e. Pursue energy efficiency through street configuration, building orientation, and landscaping to capitalize on shading and facilitate solar energy, as provided for in Title 24 Part 6 and/or Part 11, of the California Code of Regulations (CCR). f. Incorporate water conservation techniques, such as groundwater recharge basins, use of porous 	<p><u>Consistent/Not Applicable.</u> The Project would not conflict with any of the concepts of Policy LU 4.1. The Project is required to comply with Riverside County’s zoning, building, and other pertinent codes and regulations. EIR Figure 3-8, <i>Conceptual Landscape Plan</i>, depicts the Project’s conceptual landscape plan, which incorporates drought tolerant landscaping and adequate drought-conscious irrigation systems. The Project also would be subject to compliance with Title 24 Part 6 and/or Part 11, of the California Code of Regulations. The Project has been designed to provide adequate space for pedestrian connectivity and access, recreational trails, vehicular access and parking, supporting functions, open space, and other pertinent elements. Through Project design features, by following County ordinances, and through required mitigation measures as described in the Project’s EIR, noise, odors, lighting, wildfire, and other impacts would not adversely affect surrounding properties. Other</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>pavement, drought tolerant landscaping, and water recycling, as appropriate.</p> <p>g. Encourage innovative and creative design concepts.</p> <p>h. Encourage the provision of public art that enhances the community’s identity, which may include elements of historical significance and creative use of children’s art.</p> <p>i. Include consistent and well-designed signage that is integrated with the building’s architectural character.</p> <p>j. Provide safe and convenient vehicular access and reciprocal access between adjacent commercial uses.</p> <p>k. Locate site entries and storage bays to minimize conflicts with adjacent residential neighborhoods.</p> <p>l. Mitigate noise, odor, lighting, and other impacts on surrounding properties.</p> <p>m. Provide and maintain landscaping in open spaces and parking lots.</p> <p>n. Include extensive landscaping.</p> <p>o. Preserve natural features, such as unique natural terrain, arroyos, canyons, and other drainage ways, and native vegetation, wherever possible, particularly where they provide continuity with more extensive regional systems.</p> <p>p. Require that new development be designed to provide adequate space for pedestrian connectivity and access, recreational trails, vehicular access and parking, supporting functions, open space, and other pertinent elements.</p> <p>q. Design parking lots and structures to be functionally and visually integrated and connected.</p> <p>r. Site buildings access points along sidewalks, pedestrian areas, and bicycle routes, and include amenities that encourage pedestrian activity.</p> <p>s. Establish safe and frequent pedestrian crossings.</p> <p>t. Create a human-scale ground floor environment that includes public open areas that separate pedestrian space from auto traffic or where mixed, it does so with special regard to pedestrian safety.</p> <p>u. Recognize open space, including hillsides, arroyos, riparian areas, and other natural features as amenities that add community identity, beauty, recreational opportunities, and monetary value to adjacent developed areas.</p>	<p>concepts of Policy LU 4.1 are not applicable to the Project because there are no residential uses near the Project east of I-15.</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
v. Manage wild land fire hazards in the design of development proposals located adjacent to natural open space.	
<p>LU 4.2: Require property owners to maintain structures and landscaping to a high standard of design, health, and safety through the following: (AI 5)</p> <p>a. Provide proactive code enforcement activities.</p> <p>b. Promote programs and work with local service organizations and educational institutions to inform residential, commercial, and industrial property owners and tenants about property maintenance methods.</p> <p>c. Promote and support community and neighborhood-based efforts for the maintenance, upkeep, and renovation of structures and sites.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy LU 4.2 provides direction to County staff and would apply to maintenance of on-site areas following buildout of the Project site as proposed.</p>
LU 4.3: Create programs to ensure historic preservation. (AI 1)	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy LU 4.3 provides direction to County staff and decision makers, and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
LU 4.4: Encourage the appropriate interpretive use of historic or prehistoric resources for such things as educational kiosks, specially designed play equipment, or historical landscaping.	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy LU 4.4 provides direction to County staff and decision makers, and is not applicable to the proposed Project. However, as documented in EIR Subsection 4.5, <i>Cultural Resources</i>, only one potential historic site, Site RIV-4111H, occurs within areas that would be impacted by the proposed Project. Site RIV-4111H consists of the current location of two reconstructed early nineteenth century tanning vats and three historical monuments. All three of the monuments contain plaques that commemorate the Third Serrano Adobe (CHL No. 224) and tanning vats (CHL No. 186) which were originally located some distance east of the monuments and outside of the Project’s impact area. One of the monuments is an official State of California Landmark plaque, while the other two were erected by the Boy Scouts of America and a local historic group. Site RIV-4111H would be directly impacted with implementation of the Project. Although not significant, RIV-4111H contains an official CHL plaque, and Mitigation Measure MM 4.5-1 has been imposed on the Project to require the Project Applicant to contact the Billy Holcomb Chapter of E Clampus Vitus and/or the</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
	Temescal Valley Historical Society to inform them of the Project and impacts to the monuments and reconstructed tanning vats, to allow for relocation of the resources, and to identify these resources as a California Historic Landmark, if accepted by the Office of Historic Preservation. As such, the Project is consistent with this policy.
LU 4.5: Permit historically significant buildings to vary from building and zoning codes in order to maintain the historical character of Riverside County; providing that the variations do not endanger human life and buildings comply with the State Historic Building Code. (AI 1)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . As documented in EIR Subsection 4.5, <i>Cultural Resources</i> , there are no historic buildings on the Project site, which is vacant disturbed land under existing conditions.
LU 4.6: Promote the availability of day care centers including locating such centers at or near work sites, within high-density residential projects, and near transportation hubs.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy LU 4.5 provides direction to County staff and decision makers, and is not applicable to the proposed Project which is not within a high-density residential project or near a transportation hub.
LU 5.1: Ensure that development does not exceed the ability to adequately provide supporting infrastructure and services, such as libraries, recreational facilities, educational and day care centers transportation systems, and fire/police/medical services. (AI 3, 4, 32, 74)	<u>Consistent</u> . Potential impacts to public services and transportation systems are evaluated in EIR Subsections 4.16, <i>Public Services</i> , 4.18, <i>Transportation</i> , and 4.20, <i>Utilities and Service Systems</i> . As documented in these Subsections, adequate supporting infrastructure and public services exist or are planned in the area to serve the land uses proposed by the Project. The Project does not propose any residential uses, and therefore would not directly adversely affect recreation, libraries, or school services.
LU 5.2: Monitor the capacities of infrastructure and services in coordination with service providers, utilities, and outside agencies and jurisdictions to ensure that growth does not exceed acceptable levels of service. (AI 3, 4, 32, 74)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy LU 5.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project. EIR Subsection 4.20, <i>Utilities and Service Systems</i> , documents capacities of service providers.
LU 5.3: Review all projects for consistency with individual urban water management plans. (AI 3)	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project site is located within the service boundaries of the Temescal Valley Water District (TVWD) for water and sewer service, and the TVWD 2015 Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP), dated December 2017, was used in the analysis in EIR Subsection 4.20, <i>Utilities and Service Systems</i> . As concluded in EIT Subsection 4.20, the Project would not conflict with the TVWD UWMP.



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>LU 5.4: Ensure that development and conservation land uses do not infringe upon existing essential public facilities and public utility corridors, which include county regional landfills, fee owned rights-of-way and permanent easements, whose true land use is that of public facilities. This policy will ensure that the public facilities designation governs over what otherwise may be inferred by the large-scale general plan maps. (AI 3)</p>	<p><u>Consistent</u>. The Project would not infringe upon existing essential public facilities and public utility corridors, which include county regional landfills, fee owned rights-of-way, and permanent easements, whose true land use is that of public facilities.</p>
<p>LU 6.1 Provide opportunities to develop a wide range of quality day care facilities, including large and small family day care homes and public and private day care facilities. (AI 126, 127, 128)</p> <p>a. Permit day care facilities where Riverside County usually permits single family residential uses except for areas designated Community Development-Heavy Industrial and Open Space designations of Mineral Resources, Conservation Habitat, Conservation, and Water and areas where day care facilities are prohibited pursuant to adopted Airport Land Use Compatibility Plans. Limit the capacity of new (or expanded capacity of existing) day care facilities in areas subject to hazards or risk that are not amenable to mitigation such as aircraft accident potential.</p> <p>b. When feasible, require day care facilities in new residential, commercial, office/industrial and larger mixed use developments, except in areas where day care facilities are prohibited pursuant to adopted Airport Land Use Compatibility Plans. Limit the capacity of new (or expanded capacity of existing) day care facilities in areas subject to hazards or risks that are not amenable to mitigation such as aircraft accident potential.</p> <p>c. Promote in high-need locations, especially in conjunction with schools, parks, faith-based institutions, community centers and senior centers.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable</u>. Policy LU 6.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>LU 6.2: Encourage cities to adopt day care facility policies that are consistent with Riverside County’s day care facility policies.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable</u>. Policy LU 6.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>LU 7.1: Require land uses to develop in accordance with the General Plan and area plans to ensure compatibility and minimize impacts. (AI 1, 3)</p>	<p><u>Consistent</u>. Although the Project involves an amendment to the General Plan, the range of land uses are consistent with the Community Development Foundation Component applied to the Project site by the General Plan Land Use Map.</p>
<p>LU 7.2: Notwithstanding the Public Facilities designation, public facilities shall also be allowed in any other land use designation except for the Open Space-Conservation and Open Space-Conservation Habitat land use designations. For purposes of this policy, a public facility shall include all facilities operated by the federal government, the State of California, the County of</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable</u>. Policy LU 7.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>Riverside, any special district governed by or operating within the County of Riverside or any city, and all facilities operated by any combination of these agencies.</p>	
<p>LU 7.3: Consider the positive characteristics and unique features of the project site and surrounding community during the design and development process. (AI 3)</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> The Project site is traversed by Temescal Wash in the northern corner, where the proposed land use is OS-CH. The Coldwater Canyon Wash drainage would be realigned on site within a 180-foot-wide easement encompassing approximately 5.70 acres. Although the Project would involve the realignment of the Coldwater Canyon Wash drainage from the western portion of the Project site to the eastern portion of the Project site, the Coldwater Canyon Wash was previously realigned from the eastern portion of the Project site to the western portion of the Project site to facilitate the site’s historic use as a concrete pipe manufacturing facility. Additionally, improvements are proposed at the proposed outfall into Temescal Wash in order to ensure that the realigned drainage does not adversely affect the Temescal Wash drainage channel. In addition, the proposed Project would not be incompatible with any of the existing or planned land uses surrounding the Project site.</p>
<p>LU 7.4: Retain and enhance the integrity of existing residential, employment, agricultural, and open space areas by protecting them from encroachment of land uses that would result in impacts from noise, noxious fumes, glare, shadowing, and traffic. (AI 3)</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> Approximately 35.42 acres of the Project site are proposed for development with a 181,495 s.f. last mile delivery station warehouse building with 15 loading dock spaces and associated parking areas for passenger vehicles, vans, and truck trailers, as well as vehicle maintenance areas. Under existing conditions, lands surrounding the Project site consist of a mixture of open space/undeveloped lands, light industrial/business park uses, mining activities, a driving range, and the El Sobrante Landfill. Lands surrounding the Project site are designated by the Riverside County General Plan for a mixture of light industrial, community center, commercial retail, mineral resources extraction, and open space land uses. The proposed Project would not be incompatible with any of the existing or planned land uses surrounding the Project site. Furthermore, impacts associated with the Project’s potential land use compatibility with</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
	surrounding uses have been evaluated throughout this EIR under the appropriate subject headings. As documented in the Project’s EIR, the Project would not result in impacts from noise, noxious fumes, glare, or shadowing (refer to EIR Subsections 4.1, 4.3, and 4.13). Traffic impacts have been mitigated to the maximum feasible extent, as discussed in EIR Subsection 4.18, <i>Transportation</i> .
LU 7.5: Require buffering to the extent possible between urban uses and adjacent rural/equestrian oriented land uses. (AI 3).	<u>Not Applicable</u> . There are no rural/equestrian oriented land uses adjacent to the Project site thus, Policy LU 7.5 is not applicable to the proposed Project.
LU 7.6: Require buffering to the extent possible and/or the maintaining of a natural edge for proposed development directly adjacent to National Forests. (AI 3)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . The Project site is not adjacent to any National Forest; thus, Policy LU 7.6 is not applicable to the proposed Project.
LU 7.7: Require buffers to the extent possible between development and watercourses, including their associated habitat.	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project is designed to preserve as open space lands approximately 1.35 acres in the northern portions of the Project site where the Temescal Wash traverses the Project site, and the Coldwater Canyon Wash drainage would be realigned on site within a 180-foot-wide easement encompassing approximately 5.70 acres within which no development would occur. These areas provide buffers between development and watercourses.
LU 7.8: Require new developments in Fire Hazard Severity Zones to provide for a fuel clearance/modification zone, as required by the Fire Department.	<u>Consistent</u> . As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.21, <i>Wildfire</i> , according to Riverside County Geographic Information Systems (GIS), under existing conditions the Project site and areas surrounding the Project site are classified as having a “Very High” susceptibility to wildfire hazards. The Project would accommodate a minimum 190-foot buffer between the proposed warehouse building on site and off-site areas subject to wildland fire hazards. Areas within the buffer zone would not contain any flammable vegetation that could exacerbate wildfire risks in the local area.
LU 7.9: Require buffers between urban uses and adjacent solid waste disposal facilities.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . There are no active solid waste disposal facilities abutting the Project site.



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>LU 7.10: The proponent for new development proposals on forested lands with at least 10% coverage of mature conifer trees, forest land or timber in which three or more acres of forested lands will be cleared (removed) of trees must demonstrate to the County of Riverside compliance with any/all applicable state regulations regarding the protection and operation of said forest resources. As used here, the term, “native trees,” shall only apply to naturally-occurring conifers growing above 5,000 feet AMSL elevation. Additionally, replacement trees for all qualifying mature trees removed must be planted at a ratio of 1:1. The replacement trees must be planted on the project site or, where that is infeasible because the entire site must be permanently cleared, on property in an acceptable alternate location, preferably nearby.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> No forested lands with at least 10% coverage of mature conifer trees, forest land, or timber occur on the Project site.</p>
<p>LU 8.1: Accommodate the development of a balance of land uses that maintain and enhance Riverside County’s fiscal viability, economic diversity, and environmental integrity. (AI 20)</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> The Project accommodates Light Industrial (LI) and OS-CH land uses that would maintain and enhance Riverside County’s fiscal viability, economic diversity, and environmental integrity.</p>
<p>LU 8.2: Promote and market the development of a variety of stable employment and business uses that provide a diversity of employment opportunities. (AI 17)</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> The Project promotes stable employment and business uses that would contribute to a diversity of employment opportunities in Riverside County.</p>
<p>LU 8.3: Promote the development of focused employment centers rather than inefficient strip commercial development.</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> The Project proposes development of a 181,495 s.f. last mile delivery station warehouse building and would not comprise strip commercial development.</p>
<p>LU 8.4: Allow the flexibility to reevaluate the appropriateness of employment and business land use designations that are non-viable and inefficient. (AI 17, 19)</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy LU 8.4 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>LU 8.5: Stimulate cooperative arrangements with adjacent cities, counties, regions, and states where programs and projects of mutual benefit can be undertaken. (AI 4, 18)</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy LU 8.5 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>LU 8.6: Create practical incentives for business development, and avoid disincentives. (AI 9, 17)</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy LU 8.6 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>LU 8.7: Promote the development and dissemination of marketing information to make business enterprises aware of the opportunities and advantages of location/expansion in Riverside County. (AI 17)</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy LU 8.7 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>LU 8.8: Stimulate industrial/business-type clusters that facilitate competitive advantage in the marketplace, provide attractive and well landscaped work environments, and fit with the character</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy LU 8.8 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
of our varied communities. (AI 17, 19)	Project. However, the Project would entail development of a 181,495 s.f. last mile delivery station warehouse building with 15 loading dock spaces and associated parking areas for passenger vehicles, vans, and truck trailers, as well as vehicle maintenance areas. The proposed use would facilitate competitive advantage in the marketplace, and would provide attractive and a well-landscaped work environment. Additionally, and as discussed in EIR Subsection 4.1, <i>Aesthetics</i> , the Project would be visually compatible with existing and planned developments in the surrounding area, and the last mile delivery station uses would not conflict with the character of the surrounding area.
LU 8.9: Allow home enterprise and home occupation activities consistent with preserving the quality of the residential environment in which they are located.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy LU 8.9 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project, which does not include any residential uses.
LU 8.10: Locate job centers so they have convenient access to Riverside County’s multi-modal transportation facilities.	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project site is located immediately east of I-15 with proximate access to the I-15 on- and off-ramps at Temescal Canyon Road. Although there are currently no existing bus routes that serve the roadways in close proximity to the proposed Project, the Project does not preclude the Riverside Transit Authority or Riverside County from adding nearby transit routes and stops in the future.
LU 8.11: Encourage the involvement of business leaders in overall economic development strategies. (AI 17)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy LU 8.11 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
LU 8.12: Improve the relationship and ratio between jobs and housing so that residents have an opportunity to live and work within the county.	<u>Consistent</u> . As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.15, <i>Population and Housing</i> , the Project proposes light industrial uses and would result in approximately 906 future employees. Riverside County currently suffers from a poor jobs-housing ratio, wherein there are not enough jobs within the County to prevent the need for County residents to travel outside the region for employment. Thus, by developing the Project site with employment-generating land uses, the Project would assist the County in improving its jobs-housing balance so that residents have expanded



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>LU 9.1: Provide for permanent preservation of open space lands that contain important natural resources, cultural resources, hazards, water features, watercourses including arroyos and canyons, and scenic and recreational values. (AI 10)</p>	<p>opportunities to live and work within the County. <u>Consistent.</u> In conformance with this policy, the Project includes dedication of 1.35 acres adjacent to Temescal Wash to the MSHCP Reserve System and would be designated for OS-CH. No other portions of the Project site contain important natural resources, cultural resources, hazards, water features, watercourses including arroyos and canyons, or scenic/recreational values.</p>
<p>LU 9.2: Require that development protect environmental resources by compliance with the Multipurpose Open Space Element of the General Plan and federal and state regulations such as CEQA, NEPA, the Clean Air Act, and the Clean Water Act. (AI 3, 10)</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> The Project would not impact environmental resources identified by the Multipurpose Open Space Element, and as demonstrated herein the Project would comply with the Multipurpose Open Space Element of the General Plan. The Project also is subject to CEQA, and the Project would not conflict with the Clean Air Act (as discussed in EIR Subsection 4.3, <i>Air Quality</i>) or the Clean Water Act (as discussed in EIR Subsection 4.10, <i>Hydrology and Water Quality</i>).</p>
<p>LU 9.3: Incorporate open space, community greenbelt separators, and recreational amenities into Community Development areas in order to enhance recreational opportunities and community aesthetics, and improve the quality of life. (AI 9, 28)</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> The proposed Project accommodates open space areas for the conservation of Temescal Wash where it traverses the site. As part of the Project, and in conformance with TCAP Figure 8, the Project would accommodate a proposed design guidelines/historic trail along the Project site’s frontage with Temescal Canyon Road. This trail would be 10 feet wide within a 21-foot-wide parkway along the eastern edge of the roadway. A Community Trail also is expected to be constructed by others in the future along the southern edge of the realigned Coldwater Canyon Wash drainage channel in the southeastern portion of the Project site. The Project does not propose any residential uses, and would therefore have no direct demand for recreational resources.</p>
<p>LU 9.4: Allow development clustering and/or density transfers in order to preserve open space, natural resources, cultural resources, and biologically-sensitive resources. Wherever possible, development on parcels containing 100-year floodplains, blue-line streams and other higher-order watercourses, and areas of steep slopes adjacent to them shall be clustered to keep development out</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> No clustering of development or density transfers are proposed as part of the Project. As demonstrated throughout the Project’s EIR, with mitigation the Project would not significantly impact natural resources, cultural resources, or</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
of watercourse and adjacent steep slope areas, and to be compatible with other nearby land uses. (AI 1, 9)	biologically-sensitive resources. The portions of the Project site proposed for development as part of the Project are not located within a 100-year floodplain. The on-site portion of Temescal Wash is proposed to be conserved as natural open space.
LU 9.5: In conjunction with the CEQA review process, evaluate the potential for residential projects not located within existing parks and recreation districts or County Service Areas (CSAs) that provide for neighborhood and community park development and maintenance to be annexed to such districts or CSAs, and require such annexation where appropriate and feasible. (AI 3)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy LU 9.5 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project. The Project does not entail any residential development.
LU 9.6: If any area is classified by the State Geologist as an area that contains mineral deposits and is of regional or statewide significance, and Riverside County either has designated that area in its general plan as having important minerals to be protected pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 2761 of the Surface Mining and Reclamation Act, or has otherwise not yet acted pursuant to subdivision (a), then prior to permitting a use which would threaten the potential to extract minerals in that area, Riverside County shall prepare, in conjunction with its project CEQA documentation, a statement specifying its reason for permitting the proposed use, and shall forward a copy to the State Geologist and the State Mining and Geology Board for review.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> The Project site is not classified by the State Geologist as an area that contains mineral deposits. According to mapping information available from the California Department of Conservation, the Project site is classified as MRZ-4, which indicates that the Project site occurs in an area of unknown mineral resources potential. Accordingly, the Project site does not contain any areas of known mineral resources.
LU 9.7: Protect lands designated by the State Mining and Geology Board as being of regional or statewide significance from encroachment of incompatible land uses, such as high-density residential, low-density residential with high values, sensitive public facilities, institutions (e.g., schools, hospitals), etc., by requiring incorporation of buffer zones or visual screening into the incompatible land use.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> The Project site is not classified by the State Mining and Geology Board as being of regional or statewide significance. According to mapping information available from the California Department of Conservation, the Project site is classified as MRZ-4, which indicates that the Project site occurs in an area of unknown mineral resources potential. Accordingly, the Project site does not contain any areas of known mineral resources.
LU 10.1: Require that new development contribute their fair share to fund infrastructure and public facilities such as police and fire facilities. (AI 3)	<u>Consistent.</u> The Project Applicant would be required to contribute fees to the County’s Development Impact Fee (DIF) and Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fee (TUMF) programs, and also would be required to contribute a fair share cost towards improvements not identified for improvement as part of DIF or TUMF, which would provide funding for infrastructure and public facilities.
LU 10.2: Require a fiscal impact analysis for specific plans and major development proposals so as not to have a negative fiscal impact on the County of Riverside. (AI 3)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Although the Project includes applications for a General Plan Amendment and Change of Zone, the portions of



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
	the Project site planned for development as part of the Project are designated by the General Plan for “Light Industrial (LI)” and “Community Center (CC)” land uses. The proposed 181,495 s.f. last mile delivery station warehouse building generally would be consistent with the range of land uses anticipated for the LI and CC land use designations. The employment-generating land use proposed as part of the Project would not have a negative fiscal impact on the County.
LU 11.1: Provide sufficient commercial and industrial development opportunities in order to increase local employment levels and thereby minimize long-distance commuting. (AI 1, 17)	<u>Consistent.</u> The Project would accommodate light industrial employment-generating land uses that would increase local employment levels and thereby minimize long-distance commuting.
LU 11.2: Ensure adequate separation between pollution producing activities and sensitive emission receptors, such as hospitals, residences, child care centers and schools. (AI 3)	<u>Consistent.</u> A Project-specific Health Risk Assessment (HRA) was prepared for the Project (refer to <i>Technical Appendix B2</i> to the Project’s EIR), which is summarized in Subsection 4.3, <i>Air Quality</i> , of the Project’s EIR. As concluded therein, the Project would not subject nearby sensitive emission receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations.
LU 11.3: Accommodate the development of community centers and concentrations of development to reduce reliance on the automobile and help improve air quality.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy LU 11.3 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
LU 11.4: Provide options to the automobile in communities, such as transit, bicycle and pedestrian trails, to help improve air quality.	<u>Consistent.</u> The Project would implement trail designations through the Project site as required by Figure 8 of the TCAP as described in EIR Subsection 4.17, <i>Recreation</i> . Additionally, the intensity of employment-generating land uses on site could be served in the future by new RTA bus routes.
LU 11.5: Ensure that all new developments reduce Greenhouse Gas emissions as prescribed in the Air Quality Element and Climate Action Plan.	<u>Consistent.</u> As documented in EIR Subsection 4.8, <i>Greenhouse Gas Emissions</i> , the Project would reduce Greenhouse Gases to the maximum feasible extent through compliance with the provisions of the Riverside County Climate Action Plan (CAP) Update. As documented herein, the Project would not conflict with any of the policies prescribed by the General Plan Air Quality Element, and the analysis in EIR Subsection 4.8



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>LU 12.1: Apply the following policies to areas where development is allowed and that contain natural slopes, canyons, or other significant elevation changes, regardless of land use designation: (AI 1, 23, 24)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Require that hillside development minimize alteration of the natural landforms and natural vegetation. b. Allow development clustering to retain slopes in natural open space whenever possible. c. Require that areas with slope be developed in a manner to minimize the hazards from erosion and slope failures. d. Restrict development on visually significant ridgelines, canyon edges and hilltops through sensitive siting and appropriate landscaping to ensure development is visually unobtrusive. e. Require hillside adaptive construction techniques, such as post and beam construction, and special foundations for development when the need is identified in a soils and geology report which has been accepted by the County of Riverside. f. In areas at risk of flooding, limit grading, cut, and fill to the amount necessary to provide stable areas for structural foundations, street rights-of-way, parking facilities, and other intended uses. 	<p>demonstrates that the Project would not conflict with any of the CAP Update provisions.</p> <p><u>Consistent.</u> The Project site and areas immediately surrounding the Project site do not contain steep slopes capable of producing landslide hazards that could affect future development on site. Although hillsides occur to the northeast, the Project site is separated from these hillsides by Temescal Wash, and any localized landslide events would be confined to the Temescal Wash drainage channel and would not affect future development on the site.</p>
<p>LU 13.1: Provide land use arrangements that reduce reliance on the automobile and improve opportunities for pedestrian, bicycle, and transit use in order to minimize congestion and air pollution.</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> The Project would accommodate trail designations through the Project site as required by Figure 8 of the TCAP as described in EIR Subsection 4.17, <i>Recreation</i>. Additionally, the intensity of employment-generating land uses on site could support future expanded RTA transit service in the local area.</p>
<p>LU 13.2: Locate employment and service uses in areas that are easily accessible to existing or planned transportation facilities.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy LU 13.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project. Notwithstanding, and as documented in EIR Subsection 4.18, adequate transportation facilities exist or are planned in the local area and would be adequate to accommodate traffic generated by the proposed Project.</p>
<p>LU 13.3: Locate transit stations in community centers and at places of public, employment, entertainment, recreation, and residential concentrations.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> This policy provides guidance for the siting of transit stations, and is not applicable to the Project.</p>
<p>LU 13.4: Incorporate safe and direct multi-modal linkages in the design and development of</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> As part of the Project, and in conformance with</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>projects, as appropriate. (AI 24, 26, 41)</p>	<p>TCAP Figure 8, the Project would accommodate a proposed design guidelines/historic trail along the Project site’s frontage with Temescal Canyon Road. This trail would be 10 feet wide within a 21-foot-wide parkway along the eastern edge of the roadway. A Community Trail also is expected to be constructed by others in the future along the southern edge of the realigned Coldwater Canyon Wash drainage channel in the southeastern portion of the Project site. These uses would accommodate safe and direct multi-modal linkages in the local area.</p>
<p>LU 13.5: Allow traffic-calming elements, such as narrow streets, curb bulbs, textured paving, and landscaping, where appropriate.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy LU 13.5 refers to areas where traffic-calming elements are desirable to protect non-vehicular modes of transportation, such as biking or walking. The areas surrounding the Project site do not consist of residential uses where traffic-calming elements are desirable. Furthermore, the roadways abutting the Project site do not serve residential uses. As such, traffic-calming elements are not appropriate for the proposed Project.</p>
<p>LU 13.6: Require that adequate and accessible circulation facilities exist to meet the demands of a proposed land use. (AI 3)</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.18, <i>Transportation</i>, the Project would mitigate its impacts to transportation to the maximum feasible extent, and would be served by adequate existing and proposed circulation facilities.</p>
<p>LU 13.7: Review projects for consistency with Riverside County’s Transportation Demand Ordinance. (AI 3)</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> In conformance with the County’s Transportation Demand Ordinance (Riverside County Ordinance No. 726), a Traffic Impact Analysis was prepared for the Project and is included as EIR <i>Technical Appendix M2</i>. Additionally, and in conformance with Ordinance No. 726, Mitigation Measure MM 4.18-2 has been imposed on the Project requiring a commute trip reduction program, which would serve to reduce the amount of Vehicle Miles Travelled (VMT) associated with the Project.</p>
<p>LU 14.1 Preserve and protect outstanding scenic vistas and visual features for the enjoyment of the traveling public. (AI 32, 79)</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> As documented in EIR Subsection 4.1, <i>Aesthetics</i>, under existing conditions the Project site does not contribute to any prominent scenic vistas visible to the public, as the site is largely disturbed and consists of heavily disturbed unvegetated</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
	soils with occasional patches of ruderal vegetation. As such, the Project would not result in impacts to scenic vistas or visual features, in conformance with this policy.
LU 14.2: Incorporate riding, hiking, and bicycle trails and other compatible public recreational facilities within scenic corridors. (AI 33, 41)	<u>Consistent.</u> There are no officially-designated scenic highway corridors within the Project’s viewshed. The proposed development would be visible from nearby segments of I-15, which is identified as a State Eligible scenic highway. As part of the Project, and in conformance with TCAP Figure 8, the Project would accommodate a proposed design guidelines/historic trail along the Project site’s frontage with Temescal Canyon Road. This trail would be 10 feet wide within a 21-foot-wide parkway along the eastern edge of the roadway. A Community Trail also is expected to be constructed by others in the future along the southern edge of the realigned Coldwater Canyon Wash drainage channel in the southeastern portion of the Project site. No other recreational facilities are required or proposed as part of the Project.
LU 14.3: Ensure that the design and appearance of new landscaping, structures, equipment, signs, or grading within Designated and Eligible State and County scenic highway corridors are compatible with the surrounding scenic setting or environment. (AI 3, 32, 39)	<u>Consistent.</u> The Project site is located approximately 0.1 mile east of I-15, which is identified as a State Eligible scenic highway. The proposed Project would be visible from nearby segments of I-15. The Project would result in the conversion of the property from a disturbed and undeveloped parcel of land to a developed property containing a 181,495 s.f. last mile delivery station warehouse building and associated parking areas. Although this represents a substantial change to views along the I-15, the Project site under existing conditions appears as a disturbed and largely unvegetated parcel of land. As part of the Project, the site would be fully landscaped, including along the site’s frontage with Temescal Canyon Road where street trees, shrubs, and groundcover are proposed, so that the Project will be compatible with the existing surrounding development.
LU 14.4: Maintain an appropriate setback from the edge of the right-of-way for new development adjacent to Designated and Eligible State and County Scenic Highways based on local surrounding	<u>Consistent.</u> At its closest, the Project is 0.1 mile (approximately 500 feet) from I-15, which is classified as a State Eligible scenic



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
development, topography, and other conditions. (AI 3)	highway. Thus, the Project would not entail development within 50 feet of the edge of the right-of-way for any Designated or Eligible State and County Scenic Highways.
LU 14.5: Require new or relocated electric or communication distribution lines, which would be visible from Designated and Eligible State and County Scenic Highways, to be placed underground. (AI 3, 32)	<u>Consistent.</u> I-15 is 0.1 mile from the Project site and is classified as a State Eligible scenic highway. As part of the Project, any new or relocated electric or communication distribution lines would be placed underground.
LU 14.6: Prohibit offsite outdoor advertising displays that are visible from Designated and Eligible State and County Scenic Highways. (AI 3,79)	<u>Consistent.</u> I-15 is 0.1 mile from the Project site and is classified as a State Eligible scenic highway. No off-site outdoor advertising displays are proposed or would be allowed as part of the Project.
LU 14.7: Require that the size, height, and type of on-premises signs visible from Designated and Eligible State and County Scenic Highways be the minimum necessary for identification. The design, materials, color, and location of the signs shall blend with the environment, utilizing natural materials where possible. (AI 3)	<u>Consistent.</u> The Project site is located approximately 0.1 mile east of I-15, which is identified as a State Eligible scenic highway. As part of its review of future implementing building permits, Riverside County would review proposed signage plans for compliance with this policy.
LU 14.8: Avoid the blocking of public views by solid walls. (AI 3)	<u>Consistent.</u> The only solid wall proposed as part of the Project is an 8-foot-tall concrete masonry unit (CMU) wall, which would extend along the Project’s frontage with Temescal Canyon Road between the northern driveway and the southern parking lot. This wall would screen views of the proposed development, but would not obstruct any public views of surrounding areas.
LU 15.1: Allow airport facilities to continue operating in order to meet existing and future needs respecting potential noise and safety impacts.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy LU 15.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
LU 15.2: Review all proposed projects and require consistency with any applicable airport land use compatibility plan as set forth in Appendix I-1 and as summarized in the Area Plan’s Airport Influence Area section for the airport in question. (AI 3)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> The Project site is not located within two miles of a public airport or within an airport land use plan, and there are no components of the proposed Project that would affect airport operations.
LU 15.3: Review all subsequent amendments to any airport land use compatibility plan and either amend the General Plan to be consistent with the compatibility plan or overrule the Airport Land Use Commission as provided by law (Government Code Section 65302.3). (AI 3)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> The Project does not entail any amendments to an airport land use compatibility plan.
LU 15.4: Prior to the adoption or amendment of the General Plan or any specific plan, or the	<u>Not Applicable.</u> The Project site is not located within two miles



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
adoption or amendment of a zoning ordinance or building regulation within the Airport Influence Area of any airport land use compatibility plan, refer such proposed actions to the ALUC for review and determination as provided by the Airport Land Use Law. (AI 3)	of a public airport or within an airport land use plan, and there are no components of the proposed Project that would affect airport operations.
LU 15.5: If the General Plan has not been found consistent with the applicable Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP), and the County of Riverside has not overruled the ALUC, refer all actions, regulations, or permits within the Airport Influence Area to the ALUC for review and determination as provided by the Airport Land Use Law.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy LU 15.5 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project, which is not located within two miles of a public airport or within an airport land use plan.
LU 15.6: If the General Plan has been found consistent with the applicable ALUCP, the County of Riverside may elect to voluntarily submit proposed actions, regulations, or permits to the ALUC for an advisory review if: a. There is a question as to the purpose, intent or interpretation of an ALUCP; or b. Assistance is needed in airport land use matters.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy LU 15.6 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project, which is not located within two miles of a public airport or within an airport land use plan.
LU 15.7: Allow the use of development clustering and/or density transfers to meet airport compatibility requirements as set forth in the applicable airport land use compatibility plan. (AI 3)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy LU 15.7 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project, which is not located within two miles of a public airport or within an airport land use plan.
LU 15.8: In accordance with FAA criteria, avoid locating sanitary landfills and other land uses that are artificial attractors of birds within 10,000 feet of any runway used by turbine-powered aircraft and within 5,000 feet of other runways. Also avoid locating attractors of other wildlife that can be hazardous to aircraft operations in locations adjacent to airports. (AI 3)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . The Project site is not located within 10,000 feet of any runway used by turbine-powered aircraft or within 5,000 feet of other runways. The nearest airport is the Corona Municipal Airport located roughly 10 miles northwest of the Project site.
LU 15.9: Ensure that no structures or activities encroach upon or adversely affect the use of navigable airspace. (AI 3)	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project would not encroach upon or adversely affect the use of navigable airspace.
LU 16.1 – LU 16.3: Wind Energy Resources.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policies LU 16.1 through LU 16.3 are related to wind energy resources and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
LU 17.1: Permit and encourage solar energy systems as an accessory use to any residential, commercial, industrial, mining, agricultural or public use.	<u>Consistent</u> . Pursuant to the Title 24 regulations that will be in effect at Project buildout, and as required by Measure R2-CE1 of Riverside County Climate Action Plan (CAP), the Project Applicant would be required to show that 20% of the building’s energy demand has been offset through on-site renewable energy production (including but not limited to solar), unless such



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
	offsets are demonstrated by the Project Applicant to be infeasible. This is discussed in EIR Subsection 4.6, <i>Energy</i> .
LU 17.2: Permit and encourage, in an environmentally and fiscally responsible manner, the development of renewable energy resources and related infrastructure, including but not limited to, the development of solar power plants in the County of Riverside.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy LU 17.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
LU 18.1: Ensure compliance with Riverside County’s water-efficient landscape policies. Ensure that projects seeking discretionary permits and/or approvals develop and implement landscaping plans prepared in accordance with the Water-Efficient Landscape Ordinance (Ordinance No. 859), the County of Riverside Guide to California Friendly Landscaping and Riverside County’s California Friendly Plant List. Ensure that irrigation plans for all new development incorporate weather-based controllers and utilize state-of-the-art water-efficient irrigation components.	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project’s CUP application materials include a landscape plan, which has been reviewed by Riverside County and determined to be consistent with Riverside County Ordinance No. 859, the County of Riverside Guide to California Friendly Landscaping, and Riverside County’s California Friendly Plant List.
LU 18.2: Minimize use of turf. Minimize the use of natural turf in landscape medians, front-yard typical designs, parkways, other common areas, etc. and use drought tolerant planting options, mulch, or a combination thereof as a substitute. Limit the use of natural turf to those areas that serve a functional recreational element. Incorporate other aesthetic design elements such as boulders, stamped concrete, pavers, flagstone, decomposed granite, manufactured rock products to enhance visual interest and impact.	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project Conceptual Landscape Plan is shown on EIR Figure 3-8, which incorporates minimal areas of turf and incorporates water-efficient and drought-tolerant plant species.
LU 18.3: Design and field check irrigation plans to reduce run-off. Emphasize the use of subsurface irrigation techniques for landscape areas adjoining non-permeable hardscape. Utilize subsurface irrigation or other low volume irrigation technology in association with long, narrow, or irregularly shaped turf areas. Minimize use of irregularly shaped turf areas.	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project’s conceptual landscape plan, which is included as part of the Project’s CUP application materials, requires the use subsurface or low-volume irrigation for irregularly shaped areas or areas less than 8 feet in width.
LU 18.4: Coordinate Riverside County water-efficiency efforts with those of local water agencies. Support local water agencies’ water conservation efforts.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy LU 18.4 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
LU 18.5: Emphasize and expand the use of recycled water in conjunction with local water agencies. Recycled water determined to be available pursuant to Section 13550 of the California State Water Code shall be used for appropriate non-potable uses whenever it: a) provides a beneficial use to the customer; b) is economically and technically feasible; c) is consistent with applicable regulatory requirements; and d) is in the best interests of public health, safety, and welfare. With the exception of non-common areas of single-family home residential developments, all other irrigation systems must be designed and installed to accommodate the current or future use of recycled water for irrigation. If no recycled water availability exists or is imminent in the vicinity of a project (as determined by prevailing water agency), all subsurface piping shall be	<u>Not Applicable</u> . The Project is located within the service area of the Temescal Valley Water District (TVWD), which does not provide recycled water services in the Project area. Remaining portions of this policy provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>installed as “recycled water ready” to reduce future retrofit costs. Such irrigation plans shall be developed in accordance with standards and policies of the applicable recycled water purveyor. Recycled water systems shall be designed to meet regulatory requirements of the California Department of Public Health and the local recycled water purveyor.</p>	
<p>LU 18.6: Encourage Public Participation in Water Conservation Efforts. More outreach is needed to change the public perception of water-efficient landscaping and the design/care of such landscapes as they are a departure from that “green” paradigm with which many Riverside County residents are familiar. To achieve this objective the County of Riverside will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Develop tools designed to assist landowners with converting to attractive, drought tolerant landscapes. b. Participate in outreach efforts designed to educate the developers, landscape personnel, nurseries, retail establishments, and the public on water-efficient landscaping and wise water-use programs. c. Promote the use of drought tolerant plants and irrigation components. 	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy LU 18.6 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>LU 19.1: Where appropriate, use any adopted Density Transfer Program to help implement Rural Village Overlay Study Areas and the Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Program.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> The Project does not propose any density transfers, and is not located within a Rural Village Overlay Study Area. As documented in EIR Subsection 4.4, <i>Biological Resources</i>, the Project would not conflict with any provisions of the MSCHP (with the implementation of mitigation measures). No density transfers are proposed to accommodate the Project’s proposed dedication of 1.35 acres of the northern portions of the Project site to the MSHCP Reserve System.</p>
<p>LU 20.1 – LU 20.12: Agriculture.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policies LU 20.1 through LU 20.12 relate to designation of agricultural lands, and are not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>LU 21.1 – LU 21.7: Rural.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policies LU 21.1 through LU 21.7 relate to designation of rural lands, and are not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>LU 22.1 – LU 22.8: Rural Community.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policies LU 22.1 through LU 22.8 relate to designation of rural community lands, and are not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>LU 23.1: An amendment to, but not from, the Open Space Foundation Component and the</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> Under existing conditions, only the northernmost</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
corresponding change from any other Foundation Component may be treated as an entitlement/policy amendment and processed as defined in Section 2.4 General Plan Technical Amendments and Entitlement/Policy Amendments of Ordinance No. 348.	portions of the Project site are designated within the Open Space Foundation Component. These areas, which are currently designated as “Open Space – Water (OS-W),” would be redesignated for “Open Space – Conservation Habitat (OS-CH)” uses as part of the Project’s GPA. Thus, the Project would not involve an amendment from the Open Space Foundation Component to any other Foundation Component designations.
LU 23.2: Require that structures be designed to maintain the environmental character in which they are located. (AI 3)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> This policy applies only to properties designated under the Open Space Foundation Component. No structures are proposed to be built in the 1.35 acres of the Project site that are designated within the Open Space Foundation Component, as the 1.35 acres in the northern portion of the Project site would be preserved as natural open space and dedicated to the MSHCP Reserve System.
LU 24.1: Open Space-Conservation, Open Space-Conservation Habitat, or Open Space-Water. Cooperate with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW), United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), and any other appropriate agencies in establishing programs for the voluntary protection, and where feasible, voluntary restoration of significant environmental habitats. (AI 10)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy LU 24.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
LU 25.1 – LU 25.4: Open Space – Recreation.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policies LU 25.1 through LU 25.4 relate to lands designated for Open Space – Recreation, and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
LU 26.1 – LU 26.6: Open Space – Rural.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policies LU 26.1 through LU 26.6 relate to lands designated for Open Space – Rural, and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
LU 27.1 – LU 27.5: Open Space – Mineral Resources.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policies LU 27.1 through LU 27.5 relate to lands designated for Open Space – Mineral Resources, and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
LU 28.1 – LU 28.12: Residential.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policies LU 28.1 through LU 28.12 relate to residential area plan land use designations. The proposed Project consists of LI and OS-CH land uses where no residential uses are identified in the General Plan. The Project does not include residential development.



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
LU 29.1 – LU 29.10: Commercial.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policies LU 29.1 through LU 29.10 apply to land designated for commercial development. Under existing conditions, the Riverside County General Plan designates the 46.16-acre Project site for LI, CC, and OS-W land uses. As part of GPA 200007, areas designated for LI land uses would be expanded to encompass approximately 41.12 acres of the Project site. The northern 1.35 acres of the Project site are proposed to be dedicated to the MSHCP Reserve System and would be designated for OS-CH uses.
LU 30.1: Accommodate the continuation of existing and development of new industrial, manufacturing, research and development, and professional offices in areas appropriately designated by General Plan and area plan land use maps. (AI 1, 2, 6)	<u>Consistent.</u> With approval of the Project’s GPA a majority of the Project site would be designated for light industrial land uses to accommodate development of a 181,495 s.f. last mile delivery station warehouse building. Additionally, the Project site is designated for employment-generating land uses under existing conditions.
LU 30.2: Control heavy truck and vehicular access to minimize potential impacts on adjacent properties. (AI 43)	<u>Consistent.</u> Roadways planned as part of the Project have been designed to convey Project-related truck traffic directly to and from I-15.
LU 30.3: Protect industrial lands from encroachment of incompatible or sensitive uses, such as residential or schools that could be impacted by industrial activity. (AI 3)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy LU 30.3 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project. No sensitive uses are located in the immediate Project vicinity.
LU 30.4: Concentrate industrial and business park uses in proximity to transportation facilities and utilities, and along transit corridors.	<u>Consistent.</u> The Project entails the development of light industrial land uses within approximately 0.1 mile from the nearest on and off ramps at I-15.
LU 30.5: Allow for the inclusion of day care centers, public meeting rooms, and other community-oriented facilities in industrial districts.	<u>Consistent.</u> Policy LU 30.5 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project. No day care uses are proposed as part of the Project.
LU 30.6: Control the development of industrial uses that use, store, produce, or transport toxins, generate unacceptable levels of noise or air pollution, or result in other impacts. (AI 1)	<u>Consistent.</u> As indicated in EIR Subsections 4.3, <i>Air Quality</i> , 4.9, <i>Hazards and Hazardous Materials</i> , and 4.13, <i>Noise</i> , with mitigation the Project would result in less-than-significant impacts due to toxic substances, noise, and localized air pollution.



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
LU 30.7: Require that adequate and available circulation facilities, water resources, and sewer facilities exist to meet the demands of the proposed land use. (AI 3)	<u>Consistent.</u> As indicated in EIR Subsections 4.18, <i>Transportation</i> , and 4.20, <i>Utilities and Service Systems</i> , adequate circulation facilities, water resources, and sewer facilities exist or are planned to meet the demands of the proposed Project.
LU 30.8: Require that industrial development be designed to consider their surroundings and visually enhance, not degrade, the character of the surrounding area. (AI 3)	<u>Consistent.</u> Refer to EIR Subsection 4.1, <i>Aesthetics</i> . As noted therein, the Project would result in the conversion of the property from a disturbed and undeveloped parcel of land to a developed property containing a 181,495 s.f. last mile delivery station warehouse building and associated parking areas. The Project site appears as a disturbed and largely unvegetated parcel of land under existing conditions. As part of the Project, the site would be fully landscaped, including along the site’s frontage with Temescal Canyon Road where street trees, shrubs, and groundcover are proposed. As such, the Project has been designed to visually enhance, not degrade, the character of the surrounding area.
LU 30.9: Floor to Area Ratio (FAR) is intended for planning purposes only. The Planning Director or his/her designee shall have the discretion to authorize the use of a FAR that is less intense in order to encourage good project design and efficient site utilization.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy LU 30.9 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
LU 31.1 – LU 31.7: Public Facility.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policies 31.1 through LU 31.7 relate to Public Facility designated properties and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
LU 32.1 – LU 32.12: Community Center.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policies LU 32.1 through LU 32.12 apply to land designated as Community Center. Although portions of the Project site are currently designated for Community Center land uses under existing conditions, with approval of the Project’s proposed GPA there would be no areas designated for Community Center land uses on site. As such, these policies do not apply to the proposed Project.
LU 33.1 and LU 33.2: Mixed Use Area.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policies LU 33.1 and LU 33.2 apply to land uses within the Mixed Use Area land use designation, and the Project site is not currently designated for and does not entail Mixed Use Area development. As such, these policies do not apply to the



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
	proposed Project.
LU 33.1 – LU 33.3: Community Center Overlay.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policies LU 33.1 through LU 33.3 do not apply as the Project site is not designated as part of a Community Center Overlay and no Community Center Overlay designations are proposed as part of the Project.
LU 34.1 – LU 34.5: Rural Village Overlay and Rural Village Land Use Overlay.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policies LU 34.1 through LU 34.5 do not apply as the Project site is not designated as part of a Rural Village Overlay and Rural Village Land Use Overlay.
LU 35.1: Require that proposed projects on properties designated with the Closed Landfill Policy Area be reviewed by the Department of Waste Management and the Department of Environmental Health to assure that future development is designed to protect public health and safety.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . The Project site is not located within the Closed Landfill Policy Area.
LU 36.1 – LU 36.4: Eastern Riverside County Desert Areas (Non-Area Plan).	<u>Not Applicable</u> . The Project site is not located within the eastern Riverside County desert areas.
LU 37.1 – 37.5: Areas Subject to Indian Jurisdiction	<u>Not Applicable</u> . The Project site is not subject to Native American jurisdiction.
GENERAL PLAN CIRCULATION ELEMENT	
C 1.1: Design the transportation system to respond to concentrations of population and employment activities, as designated by the Land Use Element and in accordance with the Circulation Plan, Figure C-1. (AI 49)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy C 1.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 1.2: Support development of a variety of transportation options for major employment and activity centers including direct access to transit routes, primary arterial highways, bikeways, park-n-ride facilities, and pedestrian facilities.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy C 1.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 1.3: Support the development of transit connections between Riverside County and regional activity centers in other counties as well as transit connections that link the community centers located throughout the county and as identified in the Land Use Element and in the individual Area Plans. (AI 26)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy C 1.3 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 1.4: Utilize existing infrastructure and utilities to the maximum extent practicable and provide for the logical, timely, and economically efficient extension of infrastructure and services.	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project would utilize existing infrastructure and utilities to the maximum extent practicable, and would extend infrastructure only as necessary to serve future uses on site.
C 1.5: Evaluate the planned circulation system as needed to enhance the arterial highway network to respond to anticipated growth and mobility needs. (AI 49)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy C 1.5 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
C 1.6: Cooperate with and where appropriate lead local, regional, state, and federal agencies to establish an efficient circulation system. (AI 4, 41, 46, 50)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy C 1.6 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 1.7: Encourage and support the development of projects that facilitate and enhance the use of alternative modes of transportation, including pedestrian-oriented retail and activity centers, dedicated bicycle lanes and paths, and mixed-use community centers.	<u>Consistent.</u> As part of the Project, and in conformance with TCAP Figure 8, the Project would accommodate a proposed design guidelines/historic trail along the Project site’s frontage with Temescal Canyon Road. This trail would be 10 feet wide within a 21-foot-wide parkway along the eastern edge of the roadway. A Community Trail also is expected to be constructed by others in the future along the southern edge of the realigned Coldwater Canyon Wash drainage channel in the southeastern portion of the Project site.
C 1.8: Ensure that all development applications comply with the California Complete Streets Act of 2008 as set forth in California Government Code Sections 65040.2 and 65302.	<u>Consistent.</u> The Project has been reviewed by the County for compliance with the California Complete Streets Act.
<p>C 2.1: The following minimum target levels of service have been designated for the review of development proposals in the unincorporated areas of Riverside County with respect to transportation impacts on roadways designated in Riverside County Circulation Plan (Figure C-1) which are currently County maintained, or are intended to be accepted into the County maintained roadway system:</p> <p>LOS C shall apply to all development proposals in any area of Riverside County not located within the boundaries of an Area Plan, as well those areas located within the following Area Plans: REMAP, Eastern Coachella Valley, Desert Center, Palo Verde Valley, and those non- Community Development areas of the Elsinore, Lake Mathews/Woodcrest, Mead Valley and Temescal Canyon Area Plans.</p> <p>LOS D shall apply to all development proposals located within any of the following Area Plans: Eastvale, Jurupa, Highgrove, Reche Canyon/Badlands, Lakeview/Nuevo, Sun City/Menifee Valley, Harvest Valley/Winchester, Southwest Area, The Pass, San Jacinto Valley, Western Coachella Valley and those Community Development Areas of the Elsinore, Lake Mathews/Woodcrest, Mead Valley and Temescal Canyon Area Plans.</p> <p>LOS E may be allowed by the Board of Supervisors within designated areas where transit-oriented development and walkable communities are proposed.</p> <p>Notwithstanding the forgoing minimum LOS targets, the Board of Supervisors may, on occasion</p>	<u>Consistent.</u> An analysis of the Project’s impacts to transportation is provided in EIR Subsection 4.18, <i>Transportation</i> . As indicated in Subsection 4.18, the Project would be conditioned for the implementation of improvements, payment of fair-share fees, and/or payment of DIF and TUMF fees in order to achieve the County’s minimum LOS standards at all study area facilities within the jurisdiction of Riverside County.



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
by virtue of their discretionary powers, approve a project that fails to meet these LOS targets in order to balance congestion management considerations in relation to benefits, environmental impacts and costs, provided an Environmental Impact Report, or equivalent, has been completed to fully evaluate the impacts of such approval. Any such approval must incorporate all feasible mitigation measures, make specific findings to support the decision, and adopt a statement of overriding considerations. (AI 3)	
C 2.2: Require that new development prepare a traffic impact analysis as warranted by Riverside County Traffic Impact Analysis Preparation Guidelines or as approved by the Director of Transportation. Apply level of service targets to new development per Riverside County Traffic Impact Analysis Preparation Guidelines to evaluate traffic impacts and identify appropriate mitigation measures for new development. (AI 3)	<u>Consistent.</u> A traffic impact analysis has been prepared for the proposed Project, is included as EIR <i>Technical Appendix M2</i> , and is summarized in EIR Subsection 4.18, <i>Transportation</i> . The analysis complies with Riverside County Traffic Impact Analysis Preparation Guidelines. Appropriate conditions of approval have been identified to ensure acceptable LOS is achieved at study areas facilities within the jurisdiction of the County.
C 2.3: Traffic studies prepared for development entitlements (tracts, public use permits, conditional use permits, etc.) shall identify project related traffic impacts and determine the significance of such impacts in compliance with CEQA and Riverside County Congestion Management Program Requirements. (AI 3)	<u>Consistent.</u> A Project-specific traffic analysis was prepared and is included as EIR <i>Technical Appendix M2</i> . Additionally, EIR Subsection 4.18, <i>Transportation</i> , includes an analysis of impacts resulting from buildout of the proposed Project, and identified conditions of approval to ensure acceptable LOS is achieved at all study area facilities within the jurisdiction of Riverside County.
C 2.4: The direct project related traffic impacts of new development proposals shall be mitigated via conditions of approval requiring the construction of any improvements identified as necessary to meet level of service targets.	<u>Consistent.</u> A Project-specific traffic analysis was prepared and is included as EIR <i>Technical Appendix M2</i> . Additionally, EIR Subsection 4.18, <i>Transportation</i> , includes an analysis of the Project’s effects on LOS, and conditions of approval are identified to ensure acceptable LOS is achieved at all study area facilities within the jurisdiction of Riverside County.
C 2.5: The cumulative and indirect traffic impacts of development may be mitigated through the payment of various impact mitigation fees such as County of Riverside Development Impact Fees, Road and Bridge Benefit District Fees, and Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fees to the extent that these programs provide funding for the improvement of facilities impacted by development.	<u>Consistent.</u> The Project would be conditioned to require construction of improvements, payment of DIF and TUMF fees, and payment of fair-share contributions towards improvements not included in any existing fee programs. The Project site is not located within an area subject to Road and Bridge Benefit District (RBBD) fees.
C 2.6: Accelerate the construction of transportation infrastructure in the Highway 79 corridor	<u>Not Applicable.</u> The Project site is not located within the



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>between Temecula, Hemet, San Jacinto, and Banning. The County of Riverside shall require that all new development projects demonstrate adequate transportation infrastructure capacity to accommodate the added traffic growth. The County of Riverside shall coordinate with cities in the Highway 79 corridor to accelerate the usable revenue flow of existing funding programs, thus expediting the development of the transportation infrastructure.</p>	<p>Highway 79 corridor, which occurs approximately 23.6 miles east of the Project site.</p>
<p>C 2.7: Maintain a program to reduce overall trip generation in the Highway 79 Policy Area (Figure C-2) by creating a trip cap on residential development within this policy area which would result in a net reduction in overall trip generation of 70,000 vehicle trip per day from that which would be anticipated from the General Plan Land Use designations as currently recommended. The policy would generally require all new residential developments proposals within the Highway 79 Policy Area to reduce trip generation proportionally, and require that residential projects demonstrate adequate transportation infrastructure capacity to accommodate the added growth.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> The Project site is not located within the Highway 79 Policy Area; thus, Policy C 2.7 is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>C 2.8: Riverside County shall coordinate with Caltrans, RCTC, and adjacent local jurisdictions in conformance with Riverside County Congestion Management Program to determine the appropriate LOS threshold for determining significance when reviewing development proposals that directly impact nearby State Highway facilities or city streets.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy C 2.8 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>C 3.1: Design, construct, and maintain Riverside County roadways as specified in Riverside County Road Improvement Standards and Specifications. The standards shown in Figure C-4 may be modified by Specific Plans, Community Guidelines, or as approved by the Director of Transportation if alternative roadway standards are desirable to improve sustainability for the area.</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> All roadway improvements proposed as part of the Project would be consistent with the Riverside County Road Improvement Standards and Specifications.</p>
<p>C 3.2: Maintain the existing transportation network, while providing for future expansion and improvement based on travel demand, and the development of alternative travel modes.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy C 3.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>C 3.3: Implement design guidelines that identify intersection improvements consistent with the lane geometrics in Table C-2 unless additional lanes are needed to maintain consistency with Policy C 2.2. Where roadway classifications change on a continuous alignment, the standards of the higher classification will normally be transitioned on a portion of the roadway that has the lower classification, particularly where the change takes place at roadway intersections. This may result in additional right of way or lanes being required above the standards shown in Figure C-4 for the segment with the lower classification to accommodate the transition.</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> The Project implements the requirements specified in Circulation Element Table C-2.</p>
<p>C 3.4: Allow roundabouts or other innovative design solutions such as triple left turn lanes, continuous flow intersections, or other capacity improvements, when a thorough traffic impact assessment has been conducted demonstrating that such an intersection design alternative would</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy C 3.4 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
manage traffic flow, and improve safety, if it is physically and economically feasible.	
C 3.5: Require all major subdivisions to provide adequate collector road networks designed to feed traffic onto General Plan designated highways.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> The Project does not entail any subdivision of land.
C 3.6: Require private developers to be primarily responsible for the improvement of streets and highways that serve as access to developing commercial, industrial, and residential areas. These may include road construction or widening, installation of turning lanes and traffic signals, and the improvement of any drainage facility or other auxiliary facility necessary for the safe and efficient movement of traffic or the protection of road facilities.	<u>Consistent.</u> The Project Applicant is primarily responsible for the improvement of roadways within and abutting the Project site, in addition to any off-site improvements warranted as part of the Project’s traffic analysis.
C 3.7: Design interior collector street systems for commercial and industrial subdivisions to accommodate the movement of heavy trucks.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> The Project does not entail any subdivision of land. Existing and planned roadways in the local area have been designed to accommodate the movement of heavy trucks.
C 3.8: Restrict heavy duty truck through-traffic in residential and community center areas and plan land uses so that trucks do not need to traverse these areas.	<u>Consistent.</u> The Project has been designed to convey traffic towards I-15, and there are no existing or planned residential uses between the Project site and the I-15 on and off ramps.
C 3.9: Design off-street loading facilities for all new commercial and industrial developments so that they do not face surrounding roadways or residential neighborhoods. Truck backing and maneuvering to access loading areas shall not be permitted on the public road system, except when specifically permitted by the Transportation Department.	<u>Consistent.</u> Off-street loading areas (i.e., the proposed 15 loading dock spaces) face southeast, away from surrounding roadways, and there are no residential neighborhoods in the vicinity of the Project site.
C 3.10: Require private and public land developments to provide all onsite auxiliary facility improvements necessary to mitigate any development-generated circulation impacts. A review of each proposed land development project shall be undertaken to identify project impacts to the circulation system and its auxiliary facilities. The Transportation Department may require developers and/or subdividers to provide traffic impact studies prepared by qualified professionals to identify the impacts of a development.	<u>Consistent.</u> A Project-specific traffic analysis was prepared for the Project, and is included in EIR <i>Technical Appendix M2</i> . Measures are identified in EIR Subsection 4.18, <i>Transportation</i> , which would reduce the Project’s effects on LOS to the maximum feasible extent. The Project Applicant would be conditioned to require construction of improvements, payment of DIF and TUMF fees, and payment of fair-share contributions towards improvements not included in any existing fee programs.
C 3.11: Generally locate commercial and industrial land uses so that they take driveway access from General Plan roadways with a classification of Secondary Highway or greater, consistent with design criteria limiting the number of such commercial access points and encouraging shared access. Exceptions to the requirement for access to a Secondary Highway or greater would be considered for isolated convenience commercial uses, such as standalone convenience stores or gas stations at an isolated off ramp in a remote area. Industrial park type developments may be provided individual parcel access via an internal network of Industrial Collector streets.	<u>Consistent.</u> A majority of Project traffic would be conveyed to driveways along Temescal Canyon Road, which is classified as an “Arterial (128’ ROW).”



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
C 3.12: Improve highways serving as arterials through mountainous and rural areas to adequately meet travel demands and safety requirements while minimizing the need for excessive cut and fill.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> The Project site does not occur in mountainous or rural areas.
C 3.13: Design street intersections, where appropriate, to assure the safe, efficient passage of through-traffic and the negotiation of turning movements.	<u>Consistent.</u> The Project Applicant would be conditioned to require construction of improvements, payment of DIF and TUMF fees, and payment of fair-share contributions towards improvements not included in any existing fee programs as necessary to achieve acceptable LOS, thereby assuring the safe, efficient passage of through-traffic and the negotiation of turning movements.
C 3.14 Design curves and grades to permit safe movement of vehicular traffic at the road’s design speed. Design speed should be consistent with and complement the character of the adjacent area.	<u>Consistent.</u> All proposed curves and grades have been designed to applicable County standards.
C 3.15: Provide adequate sight distances for safe vehicular movement at a road’s design speed and at all intersections.	<u>Consistent.</u> The County reviewed the Project’s application materials and determined that all sight distances proposed as part of the Project would be adequate for safe vehicular movement.
C 3.16: Dedicate necessary rights-of-way as part of the land division and land use review processes.	<u>Consistent.</u> In conformance with this policy, approximately 3.23 acres along the western boundary of the Project site would be dedicated as right-of-way for the realignment of Temescal Canyon Road, while approximately 0.46 acre in the northern portion of the site would be dedicated as right-of-way for the northwest/southeast-aligned portion of Dawson Canyon Road. No other roadway dedications are required of the Project.
C 3.17: Ensure dedications are made, where necessary, for additional rights-of-way or easements outside the road rights-of-way that are needed to establish slope stability, or drainage and related structures. These dedications shall be made by land dividers or developers to the responsible agency during the land division and land use review process. (AI 44, 51, 52)	<u>Consistent.</u> In conformance with this policy, approximately 3.23 acres along the western boundary of the Project site would be dedicated as right-of-way for the realignment of Temescal Canyon Road, while approximately 0.46 acre in the northern portion of the site would be dedicated as right-of-way for the northwest/southeast-aligned portion of Dawson Canyon Road. No other roadway dedications are required of the Project.
C 3.18: Align right-of-way dedications with existing dedications along adjacent parcels and maintain widths consistent with the ultimate design standard of the road, including required turning lanes. (AI 51)	<u>Consistent.</u> All right-of-way dedications proposed as part of the Project would align with existing dedications.
C 3.19: Coordinate with Caltrans to identify and protect ultimate freeway rights-of-way, including those for exclusive use by transit and those necessary for interchange expansion. Ultimate right-of-	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy C 3.19 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
way needs shall be based upon build out traffic forecasts, with facilities sized to provide the appropriate level of service per state highway planning criteria. The County of Riverside, in consultation with Caltrans, will undertake a program to acquire such areas where additional right-of-way is required. (AI 44, 51)	Project.
C 3.20: Determine location of General Plan road rights of way and levels of road improvements needed based primarily upon land uses and travel demand.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy C 3.20 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 3.21: Consider granting a reduction in improvement requirements for land divisions involving parcels greater than 20 acres in size and designated as agriculture on the General Plan Land Use map.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy C 3.21 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project site, which is not designated as agriculture on the General Plan Land Use map.
C 3.22: Limit through-traffic movements to General Plan designated roads. Provisions shall be made for highways capable of carrying high volumes of through-traffic between major trip generators.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy C 3.22 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 3.23: Consider the utilization of traffic-calming techniques in the design of new community local street and road systems and within existing communities where such techniques will improve safety and manage traffic flow through sensitive neighborhoods.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy C 3.23 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 3.24: Provide a street network with quick and efficient routes for emergency vehicles, meeting necessary street widths, turn-around radius, secondary access, and other factors as determined by the Transportation Department in consultation with the Fire Department and other emergency service providers.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy C 3.24 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 3.25: Restrict on-street parking to reduce traffic congestion and improve safety in appropriate locations such as General Plan roadways.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy C 3.25 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 3.26: Plan off-street parking facilities to support and enhance the concept of walkable and transit-oriented communities.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy C 3.26 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 3.27: Evaluate proposed highway extensions or widening projects for potential noise impacts on existing and future land uses in the area. Require that the effects of truck mix, speed limits, and ultimate motor vehicle volumes on noise levels are also explored during the environmental process. (AI 49)	<u>Consistent</u> . EIR Subsection 4.13, <i>Noise</i> , includes an analysis of off-site traffic-related noise, and demonstrates that impacts would be less than significant.
C 3.28: Reduce transportation noise through proper roadway design and coordination of truck and vehicle routing.	<u>Consistent</u> . EIR Subsection 4.13, <i>Noise</i> , includes an analysis of off-site traffic-related noise, and demonstrates that impacts would



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
C 3.29: Include noise mitigation measures in the design of new roadway projects in the County of Riverside.	be less than significant. <u>Consistent.</u> EIR Subsection 4.13, <i>Noise</i> , includes an analysis of off-site traffic-related noise, and demonstrates that impacts would be less than significant.
C 3.30: Design roadways to accommodate wildlife crossings whenever feasible and necessary.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy C 3.30 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 3.31: Through the development review process, identify existing dirt roads serving residential areas which may be impacted by traffic from new developments, and design new developments such that new traffic is discouraged from using existing dirt roads. When this is unavoidable, require that new developments participate in the improvement of the affected dirt roads.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Project traffic would utilize paved roadways, and not dirt roadways.
C 3.32: Support ongoing efforts to identify funding and improve existing dirt roads throughout the County of Riverside.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy C 3.32 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project. No dirt roadways are proposed as part of the Project.
C 3.33: Assure all-weather, paved access to all developing areas.	<u>Consistent.</u> The Project would accommodate all-weather, paved access to all developed areas of the Project site.
C 4.1: Provide facilities for the safe movement of pedestrians within developments, as specified in Riverside County Ordinances Regulating the Division of Land of the County of Riverside.	<u>Consistent.</u> Concrete walkways are provided on the Project site, to connect pedestrians from the parking areas to the proposed warehouse building.
C 4.2: Maximize visibility and access for pedestrians and encourage the removal of barriers (walls, easements, and fences) for safe and convenient movement of pedestrians. Special emphasis should be placed on the needs of disabled persons considering Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) regulations.	<u>Consistent.</u> No barriers are planned as part of the Project that would impede visibility and access for pedestrians.
C 4.3: Assure and facilitate pedestrian access from developments to existing and future transit routes and terminal facilities through project design. (AI 26, 45)	<u>Consistent.</u> In conformance with this policy, the Project would accommodate trails, including a proposed design guidelines/historic trail along the Project site’s frontage with Temescal Canyon Road. In addition, a Community Trail also is expected to be constructed by others in the future along the southern edge of the realigned Coldwater Canyon Wash drainage channel in the southeastern portion of the Project site.
C 4.4: Plan for pedestrian access that is consistent with road design standards while designing street and road projects. Provisions for pedestrian paths or sidewalks and timing of traffic signals to allow safe pedestrian street crossing shall be included.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy C 4.4 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
C 4.5: Collaborate with local communities to ensure that school children have adequate transportation routes available, such as a local pedestrian or bike path, or local bus service.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy C 4.5 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 4.6: Consult Riverside County Transportation Department as part of the development review process regarding any development proposals where pedestrian facilities may be warranted. The County of Riverside may require both the dedication and improvement of the pedestrian facilities as a condition of development approval. (AI 3)	<u>Consistent</u> . The Riverside County Transportation Department reviewed the Project. Pedestrian facilities are provided via concrete walkways and trails.
C 4.7: Make reasonable accommodation for safe pedestrian walkways that comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements within commercial, office, industrial, mixed use, residential, and recreational developments.	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project complies with applicable ADA requirements.
C 4.8: Coordinate with all transit operators to ensure that ADA compliant pedestrian facilities are provided along and/or near all transit routes, whenever feasible. New land developments may be required to provide pedestrian facilities due to existing or future planned transit routes even if demand for pedestrian facility may not be otherwise warranted. (AI 45)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy C 4.8 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 4.9: Review all existing roadways without pedestrian facilities when they are considered for improvements to determine if new pedestrian facilities are warranted. New roadways should also be assessed for pedestrian facilities. (AI 49)	<u>Consistent</u> . All roadways that would be improved as part of the Project would contain facilities for pedestrians (i.e., sidewalks, etc.).
C 5.1: Encourage Caltrans to install and maintain landscaping and other mitigation elements along freeways and highways, especially when they are adjacent to existing residential or other noise sensitive uses.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy C 5.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 5.2: Encourage the use of drought-tolerant native plants and the use of recycled water for roadway landscaping.	<u>Consistent</u> . EIR Figure 3-8, <i>Conceptual Landscape Plan</i> , depicts the Project’s conceptual landscape plan, which incorporates drought tolerant landscaping and adequate drought-conscious irrigation systems. Recycled water is not available in the Project area.
C 5.3: Require parking areas of all commercial and industrial land uses that abut residential areas to be buffered and shielded by adequate landscaping.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . No residential areas abut the Project site. Notwithstanding, landscaping is planned at the border of the Project site adjacent to roadways.
C 6.1: Provide dedicated and recorded public access to all parcels of land, except as provided for under the statutes of the State of California.	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project site is and would continue to be served by recorded public access.
C 6.2: Require all-weather access to all new development.	<u>Consistent</u> . All proposed roadways would afford all-weather access.



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
C 6.3: Limit access points and intersections of streets and highways based upon the road’s General Plan classification and function. Require that access points be located so that they comply with Riverside County’s minimum intersection spacing standards. Under special circumstances the Transportation Department may consider exceptions to this requirement. (AI 3)	<u>Consistent.</u> The Project’s proposed driveway access points and improvements to abutting roadways have been designed to comply with Riverside County’s minimum intersection spacing standards.
C 6.4: Discourage parcel access points taken directly off General Plan designated highways. Access may be permitted off of General Plan designated highways only if no local streets are present.	<u>Consistent.</u> Although a majority of Project-related traffic would access the site via driveways along Temescal Canyon Road, a General Plan designated facility, there are no local streets available to convey Project traffic to Temescal Canyon Road.
C 6.5: Provide common access via shared driveways and/or reciprocal access easements whenever access must be taken directly off a General Plan designated highway. Parcels on opposite sides of a highway shall have access points located directly opposite each other, whenever possible, to allow for future street intersections and increased safety.	<u>Consistent.</u> The Project’s southern driveway along Temescal Canyon Road has been designed to align with the existing gas station driveway located on the other side of the road. Remaining driveways proposed as part of the Project do not occur across from any other existing or proposed access points.
C 6.6: Consider access implications associated with adjacent development and circulation plans, and promote efficient and safe access for airport facilities.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy C 6.6 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 6.7: Require that the automobile and truck access of commercial and industrial land uses abutting residential parcels be located at the maximum practical distance from the nearest residential parcels to minimize noise impacts. (AI 105)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> No residential parcels abut the Project site.
C 7.1 – C 7.12: Property Owner Coordination.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policies C 7.1 through C 7.12 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 8.1: Implement a circulation plan that is consistent with funding and financing capabilities. (AI 53)	<u>Consistent.</u> The Project accommodates Temescal Canyon Road, the only General Plan-designated roadway proposed for improvement as part of the Project, in a manner consistent with the General Plan circulation plan.
C 8.2: Distribute the costs of transportation system improvements equitably among those who will benefit.	<u>Consistent.</u> As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.18, <i>Transportation</i> , the Project Applicant would be required to contribute fair share fees as well as pay fees pursuant to the County’s DIF and TUMF programs, in addition to construction of improvements to study area facilities.
C 8.3: Use annexations, development agreements, revenue-sharing agreements, tax allocation agreements and the CEQA process as tools to ensure that new development pays a fair share of	<u>Consistent.</u> As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.18, <i>Transportation</i> , the Project Applicant would be required to construct



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
costs to provide local and regional transportation improvements and to mitigate cumulative traffic impacts.	transportation improvements, contribute fair share fees, as well as pay fees pursuant to the County’s DIF and TUMF fee programs.
C 8.4: Prepare a multi-year Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) that establishes improvement priorities and scheduling for transportation project construction over a period consistent with state and federal requirements.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy C 8.4 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 8.5: Participate in the establishment of regional traffic mitigation fees and/or road and bridge benefits districts to be assessed on new development. The fees shall cover a reasonable share of the costs of providing local, regional and subregional transportation improvements needed for serving new development in the unincorporated area.	<u>Consistent</u> . As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.18, <i>Transportation</i> , the Project Applicant would be required to construct transportation improvements, contribute fair share fees, as well as pay fees pursuant to the County’s DIF and TUMF programs. The Project site is not located in an area subject to road and bridge benefits districts fees.
C 8.6: Encourage the use of public improvement financing mechanisms, and equitably distribute the costs of road improvements among all those who benefit from the road improvements, including current roadway users.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy C 8.6 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 8.7: Review and update the County of Riverside Road and Bridge Benefit District fee structure and development impact fees periodically to ensure that capacity expansion projects are developed and constructed in a timely manner.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy C 8.7 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 8.8: Seek all available means to fund improvements, including state and federal grants, to offset the local cost of system improvements where appropriate. (AI 53)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy C 8.8 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 9.1 – C 9.5: Common Carriers.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policies C 9.1 through C 9.5 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 10.1: Support programs developed by transit agencies/operators to provide paratransit service. (AI 50)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy C 10.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 11.1: Where appropriate, reserve right-of-way to accommodate designated transit service. (AI 3, 52)	<u>Consistent</u> . Transit service is currently not available at the Project site.
C 11.2: Incorporate the potential for public transit service in the design of developments that are identified as major trip attractions (i.e., community centers, tourist and employment centers), as indicated in ordinances regulating the division of land of the County of Riverside.	<u>Consistent</u> . Transit service is currently not available at the Project site. The proposed Project does not include any community center or tourist-oriented uses, and the proposed last mile delivery station uses would not be a major trip attractor. Additionally, there are no bus routes in the local area and none



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
	are currently planned by the Riverside Transit Agency (RTA).
C 11.3: Design the physical layout of arterial and collector highways to facilitate bus operations. Locations of bus turn outs and other design features should be considered.	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project would involve improvements to and realignment of Temescal Canyon Road; however, there are no bus stops existing or planned along this portion of Temescal Canyon Road.
C 11.4: Offer incentives to new development to encourage it to locate in a transit-oriented area such as a community center or along a designated transit corridor near a station. (AI 9)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy C 11.4 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 11.5: Accommodate transit through higher densities, innovative design, and right-of-way dedication.	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project would redevelop the Project site to include employment-generating uses, which would help support the future expansion of transit in the local area.
C 11.6: Promote development of transit centers and park-n-rides for use by all transit operators, including development of multi-modal facilities.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy C 11.6 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 12.1 – C 12.6: Transit Oasis and Transit Centers.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policies C 12.1 through C 12.6 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 13.1 – C 13.8: Passenger Rail System.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policies C 13.1 through C 13.8 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 14.1 – C 14.3: Aviation System.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policies C 14.1 through C 14.3 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 15.1: Implement a two-tiered system of trails, and later expand it into an effective non-motorized transportation system.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy C 15.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 15.2: Seek financing to implement an effective non-motorized transportation system. This funding can include such potential sources as state and federal grants, Riverside County transportation funds, “in-lieu” fees, special assessments, parking meter revenues, other public and nonprofit organization funds, developer contributions, and other sources. (AI 36)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy C 15.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 15.3: Develop a trail system which connects Riverside County parks and recreation areas while providing links to open space areas, equestrian communities, local municipalities, and regional recreational facilities (including other regional trail systems), and ensure that the system contains a	<u>Consistent</u> . In conformance with this policy, the Project would accommodate trails, including a proposed design guidelines/historic trail along the Project site’s frontage with



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
variety of trail loops of varying classifications and degrees of difficulty and length.	Temescal Canyon Road. In addition, a Community Trail also is expected to be constructed by others in the future along the southern edge of the realigned Coldwater Canyon Wash drainage channel in the southeastern portion of the Project site.
C 15.4: Periodically review and update the Trails and Bikeways Plan (Figure C-6) in accordance with the review procedures and schedule of the General Plan, in order to ensure its compatibility with the other components of Riverside County General Plan, and with the similar plans of agencies such as Western Riverside County Council of Governments (WRCOG), Coachella Valley Association of Governments (CVAG), Riverside County Transportation Commission(RCTC), Regional Conservation Authority, Riverside County Habitat Conservation Agency and all jurisdictions within and abutting Riverside County. This shall include consistency with the WRCOG and CVAG non-motorized planning documents.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy C 15.4 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 15.5: Compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) standards will be assured so as to make trails user-friendly, as much as reasonably feasible.	<u>Consistent.</u> The Project complies with applicable ADA requirements.
C 15.6: Provide, where feasible, the construction of overpasses or undercrossings where trails intersect arterials, urban arterials, expressways, or freeways.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy C 15.6 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 16.1: Implement Riverside County trail system as depicted in the Bikeways and Trails Plan, Figure C-6. (AI 3, 33)	<u>Consistent.</u> In conformance with the General Plan Bikeways and Trails Plan (Figure C-6), the Project accommodates a proposed design guidelines/historic trail along Temescal Canyon Road and a proposed community trail on the south side of the Project site.
C 16.2: Develop a multi-purpose trail network with support facilities which provide a linkage with regional facilities, and require trailheads and staging areas that are equipped with adequate parking, equestrian trailer parking (as appropriate), bicycle parking, restrooms, informative signage, interpretive displays, maps, and rules of appropriate usage and conduct on trails accessed from such facilities. (AI 35)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy C 16.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 16.3: Require that trail alignments either provide access to or link scenic corridors, schools, parks, bus stops, transit terminals, park and ride commuter lots, and other areas of concentrated public activity, where feasible.	<u>Consistent.</u> There are no areas of concentrated public activity in the Project vicinity, but the Project accommodates a proposed design guidelines/historic trail along Temescal Canyon Road and a proposed community trail on the south side of the Project site.
C 16.4: Require that all development proposals located along a planned trail or trails provide access to, dedicate trail easements or right-of-way, and construct their fair share portion of the trails system. Evaluate the locations of existing and proposed trails within and adjacent to each	<u>Consistent.</u> In conformance with this policy, the Project would accommodate a proposed design guidelines/historic trail along the Project site’s frontage with Temescal Canyon Road. This trail



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>development proposal and ensure that the appropriate easements are established to preserve planned trail alignments and trail heads. (AI 3, 33)</p> <p>a. Require that all specific plans and other large-scale development proposals include trail networks as part of their circulation systems.</p> <p>b. Ensure that new gated communities, and where feasible, existing gated communities, do not preclude trails accessible to the general public from traversing through their boundaries.</p> <p>c. Provide buffers between streets and trails, and between adjacent residences and trails.</p> <p>d. Make use of already available or already disturbed land where possible for trail alignments.</p> <p>e. Require that existing and proposed trails within Riverside County connect with those in other neighboring city, county, state, and federal jurisdictional areas.</p>	<p>would be 10 feet wide within a 21-foot-wide parkway along the eastern edge of the roadway. A Community Trail also is expected to be constructed by others in the future along the southern edge of the realigned Coldwater Canyon Wash drainage channel in the southeastern portion of the Project site.</p>
<p>C 16.5: Identify all existing rights-of-way which have been obtained for trail purposes through the land development process. (AI 34)</p> <p>a. Once the above task has been accomplished, analyze the existing rights of-way and determine the most expedient method for connecting the parts.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> No existing rights-of-way for trails occur within the Project site.</p>
<p>C 16.6: Examine the use of public access utility easements for trail linkages to the regional trails system and/or other open space areas, as feasible. These potential corridors include, but are not limited to, the rights-of-way for: (AI 35, 36, 42)</p> <p>a. water mains;</p> <p>b. water storage project aqueducts;</p> <p>c. irrigation canals;</p> <p>d. flood control;</p> <p>e. sewer lines;</p> <p>f. fiber optic cable lines,</p> <p>g. gas lines,</p> <p>h. electrical lines, and</p> <p>i. fire roads, railroads, and bridges.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy C 16.6 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>C 16.7 Adhere to the following trail-development guidelines when siting a trail: (AI 3, 35, 36, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42).</p> <p>a. Require, where feasible, trails in urban areas to be located either outside of road rights-of-way or</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> The County reviewed the proposed Project for consistency with these requirements. The Project would accommodate a proposed design guidelines/historic trail along</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>within road rights-of-way with the additional dedication right-of-way or easements in fee title to the County of Riverside requiring dual use of utility corridors, irrigation and flood control channels so as to mix uses, separate traffic and noise, and provide more trail services at less cost.</p> <p>b. Secure separate rights-of-way for non-motorized trails when physically, financially and legally feasible. Where a separate right-of-way is not feasible, maintain recreation trails within the County of Riverside or Flood Control right-of-way, where feasible.</p> <p>c. Develop and implement trail design standards which will minimize maintenance due to erosion or vandalism.</p> <p>d. Maximize visibility and physical access to trails from streets and other public lands.</p> <p>e. Provide a trail surface material that is firm and unyielding to minimize erosion and injuries.</p> <p>f. When a trail is to be obtained through the development approval process, base the precise trail alignments on the physical characteristics of the property, assuring connectivity through adjoining properties.</p> <p>g. Consider the use of abandoned rail lines as multipurpose rail-trails corridors through the “Rails-to-Trails” program.</p> <p>h. Place all recreation trails safe distances from the edges of active aggregate mining operations and separate them by physical barriers, such as fences, berms, and/or other effective separation measures. Avoid placing a trail where it will cross an active mined materials haul route.</p> <p>i. Install warning signs indicating the presence of a trail at locations where regional or community trails cross public roads. Design and build trail crossings at intersections with proper signs, signals, pavement markings, crossing islands, and curb extensions to ensure safe crossings by users. Install trail crossing signs signal lights (as appropriate) at the intersections of trail crossings with public roads to ensure safe crossings by users.</p> <p>j. Design and construct trails that properly account for such issues as sensitive habitat areas, cultural resources, flooding potential, access to neighborhoods and open space, safety, alternate land uses, and usefulness for both transportation and recreation.</p> <p>k. Coordinate with other agencies and/or organizations (such as the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, National Park Service, Bureau of Land Management, U. S. Army Corp of Engineers, U. S. Bureau of Reclamation, and the California Department of Transportation) to encourage the development of multi-purpose trails. Potential joint uses may include historic, cultural resources, and environmental interpretation, access to fishing areas and other recreational uses, opportunities for</p>	<p>the Project site’s frontage with Temescal Canyon Road. This trail would be 10 feet wide within a 21-foot-wide parkway along the eastern edge of the roadway. A Community Trail also is expected to be constructed by others in the future along the southern edge of the realigned Coldwater Canyon Wash drainage channel in the southeastern portion of the Project site.</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>education, and access for the disabled.</p> <p>l. Work with landowners to address concerns about privacy, liability, security, and trail maintenance.</p> <p>m. Regional Urban, Regional Rural, and Regional Open Space trails should be designed so as to be compatible with the community contexts in which the trails are being sited.</p> <p>n. Driveway crossings by trails should be designed and surfaced in a manner compatible with multipurpose trails usage. Except for local, neighborhood-serving trails that are not intended as primary community linkages, select routes for trails that minimize driveway crossings.</p> <p>o. Benches, fencing, water fountains, trees and shading, landscape buffers, rest stops, restrooms, and other trail-related amenities shall be provided where appropriate.</p> <p>p. All trails along roadways shall be appropriately signed to identify safety hazards, and shall incorporate equestrian crossing signals, mileage markers, and other safety features, as appropriate.</p> <p>q. Information about Riverside County’s trail system shall be provided at Riverside County Park and Open Space District and online in order to make the public aware of Riverside County’s trail system.</p> <p>r. Trails shall not be sited along sound walls, project boundary walls, and other walls that effectively obstruct visibility beyond the edge of a trail.</p> <p>s. All trail surfacing shall be appropriate to an array of users of the trail. Soft-surfaced trails shall have smooth, firm, slip-resistant surfacing so as to minimize foot and ankle injuries.</p> <p>t. Use already available or disturbed land for trails wherever possible for new or extended trails.</p> <p>u. Use pervious pavement or bio-swales along paved trails to assist in maintaining water quality.</p> <p>v. Coordinate with local Native American tribes for any proposed trails under the mandates of “SB18” Traditional Tribal Places Law.</p>	
<p>C16.8: Require the installation (where appropriate and pursuant to County of Riverside standards) of the appropriate styles of fencing along trail alignments that separate trails from road right-of-ways (ROWs), or where trails are located within road ROWs, that provide adequate separation from road traffic, in order to adequately provide for public safety. Examples of such fence types include simulated wood post and rail fencing constructed of PVC material, wood round post and rail, and wood-textured concrete post and rail fencing. (AI 3)</p>	<p><u>Consistent</u>. The Project would accommodate a proposed design guidelines/historic trail along the Project site’s frontage with Temescal Canyon Road. The trail is designed to provide a 7-foot landscaped buffer between the trail and vehicular traffic along Temescal Canyon Road.</p>
<p>C 16.9: Coordinate with cities, adjacent counties and affected state or federal land management</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable</u>. Policy C 16.9 provides direction to County staff</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
entities regarding regional trails that cross over or terminate at jurisdictional boundaries. Ensure that adequate consideration is given to how the trail is addressed once it leaves the jurisdiction of Riverside County.	and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 17.1: Develop Class I Bike Paths, Class II Bike Lanes and Class I Bike Paths/Regional Trails (Combination Trails) as shown in the Trails Plan (Figure C-7), to the design standards as outlined in the California Department of Transportation Highway Design Manual, adopted Riverside County Design Guidelines (for communities that have them), Riverside County Regional Park and Open Space Trails Standards Manual, and other Riverside County Guidelines. (AI 34, 41)	<u>Consistent</u> . In conformance with this policy, and consistent with General Plan Figure C-7, the Project would accommodate 8-foot-wide bike lanes and a 10-foot-wide, curb-separated multi-purpose trail along the Project’s frontage with Temescal Canyon Road. The proposed trail is consistent with the design standards as outlined in the California Department of Transportation Highway Design Manual, Riverside County Regional Park and Open Space Trails Standards Manual, and other Riverside County Guidelines.
C 17.2: Require bicycle access between proposed developments and other parts of Riverside County trail system through dedication of easements and construction of bicycle access ways.	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project would accommodate 8-foot-wide bike lanes and a 10-foot-wide, curb-separated multi-purpose trail along the Project’s frontage with Temescal Canyon Road.
C 17.3 Ensure that the bikeway system incorporates the following: a. Interconnection throughout and between cities and unincorporated communities. b. Appropriate lanes to specific destinations such as state or county parks. c. Appropriate opportunities for recreational bicycle riding and bicycle touring. d. Opportunities for bicycle commuting and golf cart commuting within a community, as appropriate for the terrain, traffic levels and proximity to surrounding destinations. e. Bikeways connecting to all urban transit centers and systems (bus stops and Metrolink stations) in the vicinity. f. Bicycle parking at transit stops and park-and-ride lots.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy C 17.3 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 17.4: Ensure that alternative modes of motorized transportation, such as buses, trains, taxi cabs, etc., plan and provide for transportation of recreational and commuting bicyclists and bicycles on public transportation systems. Coordinate with all transit operators to ensure that bicycle facilities are provided along and/or near all transit routes, whenever feasible. New land developments shall be required to provide bicycle facilities to existing or future planned transit routes.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy C 17.4 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 18.1 – C 18.3: Acquisition, Maintenance, and Funding of Multipurpose Trails and Bikeways	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policies C 18.1 through C 18.3 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
C 19.1: Preserve scenic routes that have exceptional or unique visual features in accordance with Caltrans’ Scenic Highways Plan. (AI 79)	<u>Consistent.</u> As documented in EIR Subsection 4.1, <i>Aesthetics</i> , the Project would have less-than-significant impacts on scenic routes and corridors.
C 20.1: Ensure preservation of trees identified as superior examples of native vegetation within road rights-of-way through development proposals review process. Where the County of Riverside deems preservation to be infeasible, relocation and/or replacement shall be evaluated by a qualified arborist to ensure that impacts are mitigated.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> There are no trees identified as “superior examples” existing on the Project site.
C 20.2: Provide all roadways located within identified flood areas with adequate flood control measures.	<u>Consistent.</u> Both portions of Dawson Canyon Road abutting the Project site are designed with adequate flood control measures.
C 20.3: Locate roadways outside identified flood plains whenever possible. (AI 60)	<u>Consistent.</u> A dual 10-foot arch culvert bridge crossing is proposed for Dawson Canyon Road over the proposed realigned drainage channel for the Coldwater Canyon Wash. The Project would not affect the other existing bridge crossings along Dawson Canyon Road.
C 20.4: New crossings of watercourses by local roads shall occur at the minimum frequency necessary to provide for adequate neighborhood and community circulation and fire protection. Wherever feasible, new crossings shall occur using bridging systems that pass over entire watercourses and associated floodplains and riparian vegetation in single spans. Dip or culvert crossings shall be avoided, but, where their use is unavoidable, they shall be designed to minimize impacts on watercourses. (AI 60)	<u>Consistent.</u> A dual 10-foot arch culvert bridge crossing is proposed for Dawson Canyon over the proposed realigned drainage channel for the Coldwater Canyon Wash. The proposed new crossing, which results from the realignment of Coldwater Canyon Wash drainage channel, is the minimum necessary for adequate neighborhood and community circulation and fire protection.
C 20.5: In order to protect the watershed, water supply, groundwater recharge, and wildlife values of watercourses, the County of Riverside will avoid siting utility infrastructure and associated grading, fire clearance, and other disturbances within or adjacent to watercourses, if there are feasible alternatives available, and discourage special districts and other governmental jurisdictions outside of Riverside County’s authority, from doing so. Where such watershed utility siting locations cannot be avoided, the impacts on watercourses shall be minimized. (AI 60)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy C 20.5 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 20.6: Control dust and mitigate other environmental impacts during all stages of roadway construction.	<u>Consistent.</u> As documented in EIR Subsection 4.10, <i>Hydrology and Water Quality</i> , the Project would be subject to the County’s NPDES requirements, including during construction of on-site and site-adjacent roadway improvements.
C 20.7: Protect all streets and highways located within identified blow sand areas from blow sand	<u>Not Applicable.</u> The Project site and Project-related roadway



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
hazards to the extent practicable.	improvements are not located within an identified blow sand area.
C 20.8: Protect Riverside County residents from transportation generated noise hazards. Increased setbacks, walls, landscaped berms, other sound absorbing barriers, or a combination thereof shall be provided along freeways, expressways, and four-lane highways in order to protect adjacent noise-sensitive land uses from traffic-generated noise impacts. Additionally, noise generators such as commercial, manufacturing, and/or industrial activities shall use these techniques to mitigate exterior noise levels to no more than 60 decibels. (AI 107)	<u>Consistent.</u> The analysis within EIR Subsection 4.13, <i>Noise</i> , demonstrates the Project would not result in the generation of a substantial temporary or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the Project in excess of standards established in the local general plan, noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies, and impacts would be less than significant.
C 20.9: Incorporate specific requirements of the Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan and the Coachella Valley Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan into transportation plans and development proposals.	<u>Consistent.</u> As demonstrated in EIR Subsection 4.4, <i>Biological Resources</i> , the Project and associated roadway improvements would be fully consistent with the Western Riverside County MSHCP following the implementation of mitigation measures.
C 20.10: Avoid, where practicable, disturbance of existing communities and biotic resource areas when identifying alignments for new roadways, or for improvements to existing roadways and other transportation system improvements.	<u>Consistent.</u> As demonstrated in EIR Subsection 4.4, <i>Biological Resources</i> , with mitigation Project-related roadway improvements would result in less-than-significant impacts to biotic resources. Implementation of Mitigation Measures MM 4.4-1 and MM 4.4-5 would ensure that 0.16 acre of temporary impacts and 0.97 acre of permanent impacts to USACE/RWQCB jurisdictional areas; 0.31-acre of indirect impacts to RWQCB jurisdictional areas; and of 0.23 acre of temporary impacts, 2.93 acres of permanent impacts, and 0.31-acre of indirect impacts to CDFW/MSHCP jurisdictional areas are mitigated at a minimum 2:1 ratio. The required mitigation also would ensure that appropriate resource agency permits are issued and obtained by the Project Applicant, including a CWA Section 404 permit, CFGC Section 1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement, and a Waste Discharge Permit. With implementation of the required mitigation, the Project’s impacts to riparian habitat would be reduced to less-than-significant levels.
C 20.11: Implement the Circulation Plan in a manner consistent with federal, state, and local environmental quality standards and regulations.	<u>Consistent.</u> All roadway improvements planned as part of the Project would be consistent with or otherwise would not conflict with all applicable federal, State, and local environmental quality



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
C 20.12: Review proposals for expansion of pipelines for the transport of suitable products and materials. Any project proponent of such a pipeline shall mitigate impacts, particularly the potential for hazardous chemical or gas leakage and explosion, in accordance with local, state and federal regulations.	standards and regulations. <u>Not Applicable.</u> The Project does not involve a proposal for expansion of pipelines for the transport of suitable products or materials.
C 20.13: Incorporate specific requirements of the General Plan Air Quality Element into transportation plans and development proposals where applicable. (AI 110)	<u>Consistent.</u> As demonstrated herein, the Project, including associated roadway improvements, would be consistent with or otherwise would not conflict with all requirements of the General Plan Air Quality Element.
C 20.14: Encourage the use of alternative non-motorized transportation and the use of non-polluting vehicles. (AI 118)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy C 20.14 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 20.15 Implement National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Best Management Practices relating to construction of roadways to control runoff contamination from affecting the groundwater supply	<u>Consistent.</u> As documented in EIR Subsection 4.10, <i>Hydrology and Water Quality</i> , the Project, including associated roadway improvements, would be required to comply with applicable NPDES requirements.
C 21.1 – C 21.7: Transportation Systems Management.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policies C 21.1 through C 21.7 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 22.1 and C 22.2: Transportation Demand Management.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policies C 22.1 and C 22.2 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 23.1 – C 23.14: Goods Movement.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policies C 23.1 through C 23.14 apply to areas in close proximity to freight rail or air cargo services where heavy truck traffic is anticipated, or otherwise provide direction to County staff and decision makers, and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 24.1: Encourage the integration of Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) consistent with the principles and recommendations referenced in the Inland Empire ITS Strategic Plan as the transportation system is implemented. (AI 117)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy C 24.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
C 25.1 and C 25.2: Major Utility Corridors.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policies C 25.1 and C 25.2 relate to major utility corridors and are not applicable to the proposed Project.



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
GENERAL PLAN MULTIPURPOSE OPEN SPACE ELEMENT	
OS 1.1 – OS 1.4: Water Supply and Conveyance.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policies OS 1.1 through OS 1.4 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
OS 2.1 – OS 2.5: Water Conservation.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policies OS 2.1 through OS 2.5 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
OS 3.1: Encourage innovative and creative techniques for wastewater treatment, including the use of local water treatment plants.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy OS 3.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
OS 3.2: Encourage wastewater treatment innovations, sanitary sewer systems, and groundwater management strategies that protect groundwater quality in rural areas.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy OS 3.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
OS 3.3: Minimize pollutant discharge into storm drainage systems, natural drainages, and aquifers (AI 3)	<u>Consistent.</u> As documented in EIR Subsection 4.10, <i>Hydrology and Water Quality</i> , Project-related impacts due to pollutant discharge into storm drainage systems, natural drainages, and aquifers would be less than significant.
OS 3.4: Review proposed projects to ensure compliance with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permits and require them to prepare the necessary Stormwater Pollution Prevention Program (SWPPP). (AI 3)	<u>Consistent.</u> As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.10, <i>Hydrology and Water Quality</i> , the Project would be subject to all applicable NPDES requirements, including the requirement to prepare a SWPPP to address construction-related activities.
OS 3.5: Integrate water runoff management within planned infrastructure and facilities such as parks, street medians and public landscaped areas, parking lots, streets, etc. where feasible.	<u>Consistent.</u> With implementation of the Project’s proposed drainage plan, runoff that is tributary to the Project site would be captured by a proposed gutter along the southeastern property line that would convey the existing flows directly into Temescal Wash. Runoff generated within the Project site would be routed towards a series of catch basins and conveyed to a series of underground infiltration systems proposed throughout the Project site.
OS 3.6: Design the necessary stormwater detention basins, recharge basins, water quality basins, or similar water capture facilities to protect water-quality. Such facilities should capture and/or treat water before it enters a watercourse. In general, these facilities should not be placed in	<u>Consistent.</u> As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.10, <i>Hydrology and Water Quality</i> , the Project would implement its proposed drainage plan so that runoff that is tributary to the Project site



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
watercourses, unless no other feasible options are available.	would be captured by a proposed gutter along the southeastern property line that would convey the existing flows directly into the Temescal Wash. Runoff generated within the Project site would be routed towards a series of catch basins and conveyed to a series of underground infiltration systems proposed throughout the Project site.
OS 3.7: Where feasible, decrease stormwater runoff by reducing pavement in development areas, reducing dry weather urban runoff, and by incorporating “Low Impact Development,” green infrastructure and other Best Management Practice design measures such as permeable parking bays and lots, use of less pavement, bio-filtration, and use of multi-functional open drainage systems, etc. (AI 57, 62)	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project would implement BMPs to address water quality within runoff leaving the Project site. Although the Project would result in an increase in runoff from the Project site by approximately 215%, the Project’s engineering consultant (Thienes) has determined that such an increase would not exceed the capacity of any downstream facilities. Additionally, the Project accommodates landscaped areas that would help reduce peak runoff from the Project site.
OS 4.1: Support efforts to create additional water storage where needed, in cooperation with federal, state, and local water authorities. Additionally, support and/or engage in water banking in conjunction with these agencies where appropriate, as needed. (AI 56, 57)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy OS 4.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
OS 4.2: Participate in the development, implementation, and maintenance of a program to recharge the aquifers underlying the county. The program shall make use of flood and other waters to offset existing and future groundwater pumping, except where: a. The groundwater quality would be reduced; b. The available groundwater aquifers are full; or c. Rising water tables threaten the stability of existing structures. (AI 56, 57)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy OS 4.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
OS 4.3: Ensure that adequate aquifer water recharge areas are preserved and protected. (AI 3, 56, 57)	<u>Consistent</u> . As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.10, <i>Hydrology and Water Quality</i> , the Project would result in less-than-significant impacts to aquifer recharge areas.
OS 4.4: Incorporate natural drainage systems into developments where appropriate and feasible. (AI 3)	<u>Consistent</u> . In its existing condition, Temescal Wash traverses the northern corner of the Project site and the existing Coldwater Canyon Wash drainage occurs along the western Project boundary. Approximately 1.35 acres in the northern corner of the Project site, where Temescal Wash traverses the Project site, would be dedicated to the MSHCP Reserve System for long-term



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
	conservation. Additionally, as part of the Project the Coldwater Canyon Wash drainage channel would be realigned from the western Project site boundary to the southeastern Project site boundary on approximately 5.70 acres of the Project site.
OS 4.5: Encourage streets in a vicinity of watercourses to include park strips or other open space areas that allow permeability.	<u>Consistent</u> . Project-related improvements to roadways abutting the Project site have been designed to include landscaping strips that would allow for infiltration of runoff into the soil.
OS 4.6: Retain storm water at or near the site of generation for percolation into the groundwater to conserve it for future uses and to mitigate adjacent flooding. Such retention may occur through “Low Impact Development” or other Best Management Practice measures. (AI 57)	<u>Consistent</u> . Runoff generated on site would be conveyed to a series of catch basins and storm drain lines ranging in size from 12 inches to 60 inches. First flush runoff would be directed to one of five underground infiltration systems for water quality treatment. Following water quality treatment, the treated runoff would be conveyed to an existing 72-inch storm drain pipe within Temescal Canyon Road. Runoff ultimately would discharge into Temescal Wash, where percolation into the groundwater would occur. The Project also is required to implement Best Management Practices (BMPs).
OS 4.7: Encourage storm water management and urban runoff reduction as an enhanced aesthetic and experience design element. Many design practices exist to accomplish this depending on site conditions, planned use, cost-benefit, and development interest. (AI 132)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy OS 4.7 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
OS 4.8: Use natural approaches to managing streams, to the maximum extent possible, where groundwater recharge is likely to occur. (AI 57)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy OS 4.8 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
OS 4.9: Discourage development within watercourses and areas within 100 feet of the outside boundary of the riparian vegetation, the top of the bank, or the 100-year floodplain, whichever is greater.	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project would require that the reach of Coldwater Canyon Wash located adjacent to Temescal Canyon Road and Dawson Canyon Road be redirected to the eastern region of the Project site. A 180-foot wide, 5.70-acre drainage easement would be granted to Riverside County. A total of 2.54 acres of CDFW/MSHCP Section 6.1.2 Riparian and Riverine resources within Coldwater Canyon Wash would be permanently impacted as a result of Project implementation, along with indirect impacts to 0.31 acre of riparian habitat. A total of 0.62 acre of MSHCP Section 6.1.2 Riparian/Riverine resources would be impacted



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
	<p>within Temescal Wash, consisting of 0.23 acre of temporary impacts and 0.39 acre of permanent impacts. In total, the Project would result in permanent impacts to 2.93 acres, temporary impacts to 0.23 acre, and indirect impacts to 0.23-acre of MSHCP Section 6.1.2 Riparian/Riverine resources. Although the Project would result in impacts to riparian resources, these impacts largely are the result of the realignment of the Coldwater Canyon Wash. With realignment of the Coldwater Canyon Wash, the Project would not involve any development within 100 feet of the outside boundary of the riparian vegetation, the top of the bank, or the 100-year floodplain, consistent with this policy.</p>
<p>OS 5.1: Substantially alter floodways or implement other channelization only as a “last resort,” and limit the alteration to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. that necessary for the protection of public health and safety only after all other options are exhausted; b. essential public service projects where no other feasible construction method or alternative project location exists; or c. projects where the primary function is improvement of fish and wildlife habitat. (AI 25, 59, 60) 	<p><u>Consistent.</u> Temescal Wash would be part of the approximately 1.35 acres in the northern corner of the Project site to be dedicated to the MSHCP Reserve System for long-term conservation. Additionally, as part of the Project the Coldwater Canyon Wash drainage channel would be realigned from the western Project site boundary to the southeastern Project site boundary on approximately 5.70 acres. The Project also would require a Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR) and Letter of Map Revision (LOMR) from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) in order to remove the portions of the Project site planned for development from the mapped floodplain. These proposed improvements are necessary as no other feasible construction method or alternative project location exists.</p>
<p>OS 5.2: If substantial modification to a floodway is proposed, design it to reduce adverse environmental effects to the maximum extent feasible, considering the following factors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. stream scour; b. erosion protection and sedimentation; c. wildlife habitat and linkages; d. cultural resources including human remains; e. groundwater recharge capability; f. adjacent property; and 	<p><u>Consistent.</u> As part of the Project the Coldwater Canyon Wash drainage channel would be realigned from the western Project site boundary to the southeastern Project site boundary on approximately 5.70 acres. The realigned drainage channel has been designed to avoid stream scour; to protect against erosion and sedimentation; to promote groundwater recharge capability; and ensure adjacent properties would not be adversely affected. The realigned drainage channel would not adversely affect</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>g. design (a natural effect, examples could include soft riparian bottoms and gentle bank slopes, wide and shallow floodways, minimization of visible use of concrete, and landscaping with native plants to the maximum extent possible). A site-specific hydrologic study may be required. (AI 25, 59, 60)</p>	<p>wildlife habitat and linkages, or cultural resources (including human remains), following implementation of the mitigation measures identified in EIR Subsections 4.4, <i>Biological Resources</i>, and 4.5, <i>Cultural Resources</i>. Additionally, a site-specific hydrology study has been prepared for the Project and is included in EIR <i>Technical Appendix II</i>.</p>
<p>OS 5.3: Based upon site, specific study, all development shall be set back from the floodway boundary a distance adequate to address the following issues: (AI 59, 60, 133)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. public safety; b. erosion; c. riparian or wetland buffer; d. wildlife movement corridor or linkage; e. slopes; f. type of watercourse; and g. cultural resources. 	<p><u>Consistent</u>. A majority of the Project site is identified in areas subject to inundation during flood events. The Project site would be required to be developed in a manner that removes areas planned for warehouse uses out of the existing flood hazard areas on site. As documented in EIR Subsections 4.4, <i>Biological Resources</i>; 4.5, <i>Cultural Resources</i>; 4.7, <i>Geology and Soils</i>; and 4.10, <i>Hydrology and Water Quality</i>, with mitigation the Project would not result in floodway-related hazards related to public safety, erosion, riparian/wetland buffers, wildlife movement corridors/linkages, slopes, or cultural resources.</p>
<p>OS 5.4: Consider designating floodway setbacks for greenways, trails, and recreation opportunities on a case-by-case basis. (AI 25, 59, 60)</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable</u>. Policy OS 5.4 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>OS 5.5: Preserve and enhance existing native riparian habitat and prevent obstruction of natural watercourses. Prohibit fencing that constricts flow across watercourses and their banks. Incentives shall be utilized to the maximum extent possible. (AI 25, 60)</p>	<p><u>Consistent</u>. As indicated in EIR Subsection 4.4, <i>Biological Resources</i>, with implementation of required mitigation, the Project’s impacts to riparian habitat would be reduced to less-than-significant levels. Additionally, it should be noted that a majority of Project impacts to riparian habitat are the result of the realignment of the Coldwater Canyon Wash along the southeastern boundary of the Project site. No fencing is proposed as part of the Project adjacent to riparian habitats.</p>
<p>OS 5.6: Identify and, to the maximum extent possible, conserve remaining upland habitat areas adjacent to wetland and riparian areas that are critical to the feeding, hibernation, or nesting of wildlife species associated with these wetland and riparian areas. (AI 60, 61)</p>	<p><u>Consistent</u>. Approximately 1.35 acres in the northern corner of the Project site to be dedicated to the MSHCP Reserve System for long-term conservation. As indicated in EIR Subsection 4.4, <i>Biological Resources</i>, the Project Applicant would be required to implement mitigation measures to provide for compensatory</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
	mitigation for permanent impacts to 2.93 acres, temporary impacts to 0.23 acre, and indirect impacts to 0.31-acre of MSHCP Section 6.1.2 riparian resources in accordance with the Project's Determination of Biologically Equivalent or Superior Preservation.
OS 5.7: Where land is prohibited from development due to its retention as natural floodways, floodplains and watercourses, incentives should be available to the owner of the land including density transfer and other mechanisms as may be adopted. These incentives will be provided for the purpose of encouraging the preservation of natural watercourses without creating undue hardship on the owner of properties following these policies. (AI 60, 134, 135)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy OS 5.6 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
OS 6.1: During the development review process, ensure compliance with the Clean Water Act's Section 404 in terms of wetlands mitigation policies and policies concerning fill material in jurisdictional wetlands. (AI 3)	<u>Consistent</u> . As indicated in EIR Subsection 4.4, <i>Biological Resources</i> , implementation of Mitigation Measures MM 4.4-1, MM 4.4-2, and MM 4.4-7 would ensure that 0.16 acre of temporary impacts, 0.97 acre of permanent impacts to USACE/RWQCB jurisdictional areas, 0.31-acre of temporary impacts to RWQCB jurisdictional areas, and 0.23 acre of temporary impacts and 2.93 acres of permanent impacts to CDFW/MSHCP jurisdictional areas are mitigated at a minimum 2:1 ratio. The required mitigation also would ensure that appropriate resource agency permits are issued and obtained by the Project Applicant, including a CWA Section 404 permit, CFGC Section 1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement, and a Waste Discharge Permit.
OS 6.2: Preserve buffer zones around wetlands where feasible and biologically appropriate. (AI 61)	<u>Consistent</u> . As indicated in EIR Subsection 4.4, <i>Biological Resources</i> , the Project would not impact any areas that contain of wetlands. The Project would result in 0.16 acre of temporary impacts and 0.97 acre of permanent impacts to USACE/RWQCB jurisdictional areas, 0.31-acre of indirect impacts to RWQCB areas, and of 0.23 acre of temporary impacts, 2.93 acres of permanent impacts, and 0.31-acre of indirect impacts to CDFW/MSHCP jurisdictional areas would be mitigated at a minimum 2:1 ratio. The required mitigation also would ensure that appropriate resource agency permits are issued and obtained



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
	by the Project Applicant, including a CWA Section 404 permit, CFGC Section 1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement, and a Waste Discharge Permit.
OS 6.3: Consider wetlands for use as natural water treatment areas that will result in improvement of water quality. (AI 56)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy OS 6.3 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project. Additionally, the Project would not result in any impacts to wetlands.
OS 7.1: Work with state and federal agencies to periodically update the Agricultural Resources map to reflect current conditions. (AI 11)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy OS 7.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
<p>OS 7.2: In cooperation with individual farmers, farming organizations, and farmland conservation organizations, the County of Riverside shall employ a variety of agricultural land conservation programs to improve the viability of farms and ranches and thereby ensure the long-term conservation of viable agricultural operations within Riverside County. The County of Riverside shall seek out available funding for farmland conservation. Examples of programs which may be employed include: land trusts; conservation easements (under certain circumstances, these may also provide federal and state tax benefits to farmers); dedication incentives; Land Conservation Contracts; Farmland Security Act contracts; the Agricultural Land Stewardship Program Fund; agricultural education programs; transfer and purchase of development rights; providing adequate incentives (e.g. clustering and density bonuses) to encourage conservation of productive agricultural land in Riverside County’s Incentive Program; and providing various resource incentives to landowners (e.g. establish a reliable and/or less costly supply of irrigation water). (AI 78)</p> <p>The County of Riverside shall establish a Farmland Protection and Stewardship Committee and the Board of Supervisors shall appoint its members. The Committee shall include members of the farming community as well as other individuals and organizations committed to farmland protections and stewardship. The Committee shall develop a strategy to preserve agricultural land within Riverside County and shall identify and prioritize agricultural lands for conservation. This strategy shall not only address the preservation of agricultural land but shall also promote sustainable agriculture within Riverside County. In developing its strategy, the Committee shall consider an array of proven techniques and, where necessary, adapt these techniques to address the unique conditions faced by the farming community within Riverside County. Riverside County staff shall assist the Committee in accomplishing its task. Riverside County Departments, that may</p>	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy OS 7.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>be called upon to assist the Committee, include, but are not limited to the following: the Agricultural Commissioner, Planning Department, Assessor's Office and County Counsel. In developing its strategy, the Committee shall consult government and private organizations with expertise in farmland protection. These organizations may include, but are not limited to, the following: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service; State Department of Conservation and its Division of Land Resource Protection; University of California Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education Program; the University of California Cooperative Extension; The Nature Conservancy; American Farmland Trust; The Conservation Fund; the Trust for Public Land; and the Land Trust Alliance.</p> <p>The Committee shall, from time to time, recommend to the Board of Supervisors the adoption of policies and/or regulation that it finds will further the goals of the farmland protection and stewardship. The Committee shall also advise the Board of Supervisors regarding proposed policies that curb urban sprawl and the accompanying conversion of agricultural land to urban development, and that support and sustain continued agriculture. Planning policies that may benefit farmland conservation and fall within the purview of the Committee for review include measures to promote efficient development in and around existing communities including clustering, incentive programs, transfer of development rights, and other planning tools.</p>	
<p>OS 7.3: Encourage conservation of productive agricultural lands and preservation of prime agricultural lands. (AI 3, 78)</p>	<p><u>Consistent</u>. As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.2, <i>Agriculture and Forest Resources</i>, the entire 46.16-acre Project site is classified by the California Department of Conservation (CDC) Farmland Mapping & Monitoring Program (FMMP) as “Urban and Built-Up Land.” Based on the FMMP, the Project site does not contain any Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, Farmland of Statewide Importance, or Farmland of Local Importance. As such, the Project would not convert FMMP-designated Farmland to a non-agricultural use, and the Project would not conflict with Policy OS 7.5.</p>
<p>OS 7.4: Encourage landowners to participate in programs that reduce soil erosion, improve soil quality, and address issues that relate to pest management. To this end, the County shall promote coordination between the Natural Resources Conservation Service, Resource Conservation Districts, UC Cooperative Extension, and other agencies and organizations.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable</u>. Policy OS 7.4 relates to agricultural activities, which would be precluded on the Project site with implementation of the Project.</p>
<p>OS 7.5: Encourage the combination of agriculture with other compatible open space uses in order to provide an economic advantage to agriculture. Allow by right, in areas designated Agriculture,</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable</u>. Policy OS 7.5 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
activities related to the production of food and fiber, and support uses incidental and secondary to the on-site agricultural operation. (AI 1)	Project.
OS 8.1 and OS 8.2: Forest Resources.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policies OS 8.1 and OS 8.2 provide direction to County staff and decision makers, and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
OS 9.1: Update the Vegetation Map for Western Riverside County in consultation with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife, the Natural Diversity Data Base, the United States Forest Service, and other knowledgeable agencies. The County of Riverside shall also provide these agencies with data as needed. (AI 11)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy OS 9.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
OS 9.2: Expand Vegetation mapping to include the eastern portion of the County of Riverside. (AI 11)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy OS 9.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
OS 9.3: Maintain and conserve superior examples of native trees, natural vegetation, stands of established trees, and other features for ecosystem, aesthetic, and water conservation purposes. (AI 3, 79)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.4, <i>Biological Resources</i> , the Project site does not contain superior examples of native trees, natural vegetation, stands of established trees, or other features related to ecosystem, aesthetics, or water conservation functions.
OS 9.4: Conserve the oak tree resources in the county. (AI 3, 77, 78)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . No oak trees occur on site under existing conditions.
OS 9.5: Encourage research and education on the effects of smog and other forms of pollution on human health and on natural vegetation.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy OS 9.5 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
OS 9.6: Conserve important traditional Native American plant gathering resource areas.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . The Project would not impact any important traditional Native American plant gathering resources areas.
OS 10.1 and OS 10.2: Wind Energy.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policies OS 10.1 and OS 10.2 relate to wind energy, which is not proposed as part of the Project.
OS 11.1: Enforce the state Solar Shade Control Act, which promotes all feasible means of energy conservation and all feasible uses of alternative energy supply sources. (AI 62, 65, 66, 70)	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project is required to demonstrate compliance with the State Solar Shade Control Act.
OS 11.2: Support and encourage voluntary efforts to provide active and passive solar access opportunities in new developments. (AI 63, 64)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy OS 11.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
OS 11.3: Permit and encourage the use of passive solar devices and other state-of-the-art energy	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy OS 11.3 provides direction to County



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
resources. (AI 62, 63, 64)	staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
OS 11.4: Encourage site-planning and building design that maximizes solar energy use/potential in future development applications. (AI 70)	<u>Consistent</u> . Pursuant to EIR Mitigation Measure MM 4.8-2 and as required by the County’s CAP Update, the Project would be conditioned to require on-site generation of at least 20% of the Project’s energy demand, which primarily would be met through solar panels. The proposed building has been designed to accommodate solar panels.
OS 12.1 – OS 12.4: Geothermal Resources.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policies OS 12.1 through OS 12.4 relate to geothermal resources, which are not proposed as part of the Project.
OS 13.1: Encourage economic biomass conversion under sensible environmental controls. (AI 71)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy OS 13.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
OS 14.1 – OS 14.6: Mineral Resources.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policies OS 14.1 through OS 14.6 relate to mines and areas with mineral resources. As documented in EIR Subsection 4.12, <i>Mineral Resources</i> , the Project site is located in Mineral Resources Zone 4 (MRZ 4), indicating that the Project site occurs in an area of unknown mineral resources potential. No mining activities are proposed as part of the Project.
OS 15.1 and OS 15.2: Petroleum Resources.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policies OS 15.1 and OS 15.2 relate to petroleum resources, which do not occur on the Project site.
OS 16.1: Continue to implement Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations (the “California Building Standards Code”) particularly Part 6 (the California Energy Code) and Part 11 (the California Green Building Standards Code), as amended and adopted pursuant to County ordinance. Establish mechanisms and incentives to encourage architects and builders to exceed the energy efficiency standards of within CCR Title 24. (AI 62)	<u>Consistent</u> . As documented in EIR Subsection 4.6, <i>Energy</i> , the Project is subject to compliance with Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations, as amended and adopted pursuant to County ordinance. The Project would not conflict with Policy OS 16.1.
OS 16.2: Specify energy efficient materials and systems, including shade design technologies, for county buildings. (AI 68, 70)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy OS 16.2 relates to County buildings, which are not proposed as part of the Project.
OS 16.3: Implement public transportation systems that utilize alternative fuels when possible, as well as associated urban design measures that support alternatives to private automobile use.	<u>Consistent</u> . The intensity of land uses proposed as part of the Project would support future alternative transportation in the area.



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
OS 16.4: Undertake proper maintenance of County physical facilities to ensure that optimum energy conservation is achieved.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy OS 16.2 relates to County facilities, which are not proposed as part of the Project.
OS 16.5: Utilize federal, state, and utility company programs that encourage energy conservation. (AI 63, 64)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy OS 16.5 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
OS 16.6: Assist public buildings and institutions in converting asphalt to greenspace to address the heat island effect.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy OS 16.6 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
OS 16.7: Promote purchasing of energy-efficient equipment based on a fair return on investment, and use energy-savings estimates as one basis for purchasing decisions for major energy-using devices. (AI 68, 69)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy OS 16.7 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
OS 16.8: Promote coordination of new public facilities with mass transit service and other alternative transportation services, including bicycles, and design structures to enhance mass transit, bicycle, and pedestrian use.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy OS 16.8 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
OS 16.9: Encourage increased use of passive, solar design and day-lighting in existing and new structures (AI 62, 63, 64, 65, 70)	<u>Consistent</u> . Pursuant to EIR Mitigation Measure MM 4.8-2 and as required by the County’s CAP Update, the Project would be conditioned to require on-site generation of at least 20% of the Project’s energy demand, which primarily would be met through solar panels. The proposed building has been designed to accommodate solar panels.
OS 16.10: Encourage installation and use of cogenerating systems where they are cost-effective and appropriate. (AI 62, 70)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy OS 16.10 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
OS 16.11: Provide incentives, such as transfer of development rights and clustering, to private developments that provide energy efficient site design.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy OS 16.11 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
OS 16.12: Consider energy efficient site design and construction techniques in renovation, construction or procurement of leased spaces.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy OS 16.12 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
OS 16.13: Encourage installation and use of new technology at existing facilities or the establishment of new waste-reduction facilities, where cost-effective and appropriate, to ensure that optimum energy conservation is achieved.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy OS 16.13 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
OS 16.14: Coordinate energy conservation activities with the County Climate Action Plan (CAP)	<u>Consistent</u> . As indicated in EIR Subsection 4.8, <i>Greenhouse Gas</i>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
as decreasing energy usage also helps reduce carbon emissions.	<i>Emissions</i> , the Project would be required to comply with the County's CAP.
OS 17.1: Enforce the provisions of applicable MSHCPs and implement related Riverside County policies when conducting review of possible legislative actions such as general plan amendments, zoning ordinance amendments, etc. including policies regarding the handling of private and public stand alone applications for general plan amendments, lot line adjustments and zoning ordinance amendments that are not accompanied by, or associated with, an application to subdivide or other land use development application. Every stand-alone application shall require an initial Habitat Evaluation and Acquisition Negotiation Process (HANS) assessment and such assessment shall be made by the Planning Department's Environmental Programs Division. Habitat assessment and species-specific focused surveys shall not be required as part of this initial HANS assessment for stand-alone applications but will be required when a development proposal or land use application to subsequently subdivide, grade or build on the property is submitted to the County.	<u>Consistent</u> . As demonstrated in EIR Subsection 4.4, <i>Biological Resources</i> , with implementation of mitigation measures related to burrowing owls, the Project would be fully consistent with the provisions of the MSHCP. The Project site is located partially within MSHCP Criteria Areas 3035 and 3036, Cell Group F. All 1.35 acres of the Project site located within Temescal Wash would be dedicated as conservation land as detailed in Habitat Acquisition and Negotiation Strategy (HANS) Application No. 190024.
OS 17.2: Enforce the provisions of applicable MSHCPs and implement related Riverside County policies when conducting review of development applications.	<u>Consistent</u> . As demonstrated in EIR Subsection 4.4, <i>Biological Resources</i> , with implementation of mitigation measures the Project would be fully consistent with the provisions of the MSHCP.
OS 17.3: Enforce the provisions of applicable MSHCPs and implement related Riverside County policies when developing transportation or other infrastructure projects that have been designated as covered activities in the applicable MSHCP.	<u>Consistent</u> . As demonstrated in EIR Subsection 4.4, <i>Biological Resources</i> , with implementation of mitigation measures, the Project, including Project-related roadway improvements, would be fully consistent with the provisions of the MSHCP.
OS 18.1: Preserve multi-species habitat resources in the County of Riverside through the enforcement of the provisions of applicable MSHCPs and through implementing related Riverside County policies.	<u>Consistent</u> . As demonstrated in EIR Subsection 4.4, <i>Biological Resources</i> , with implementation of mitigation measures the Project would be fully consistent with the provisions of the MSHCP.
OS 18.2: Provide incentives to landowners that will encourage the protection of significant resources in the county beyond the preservation and/or conservation required to mitigate project impacts. (AI 9)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy OS 18.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
OS 18.3: Prohibit the planting or introduction of invasive, non-native species to watercourses, their banks, riparian areas, or buffering setbacks.	<u>Consistent</u> . No non-native species will be introduced to any Project watercourses, riparian areas, or buffering setbacks.
OS 18.4: Develop standards for the management of private conservation easements and conservation lots in fee title. For areas with watercourses, apply special standards a-f (below) for	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy OS 18.4 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>their protection, and apply standards g-j (below) generally:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. For conservation lands with watercourses, conform easement boundaries to setback conditions that will preserve natural flows and changes in the natural boundaries of a watercourse and its protective riparian habitat. b. Use only “open” fencing that permits the movement of wildlife, and limit fencing to locations outside of setbacks to watercourses (no fencing is permitted to cross the banks or channel of a watercourse, unless no other option is available). c. Allow fuel modification only to the outside of buffering vegetation (riparian vegetation and vegetation on slopes that buffer the watercourse from erosion and storm water pollution). d. No planting of non-native invasive species is permitted. e. No lighting of watercourse area is permitted. f. Prohibit the use of pesticides and herbicides known to harm aquatic species and sensitive amphibians. g. Ensure that lands under control of Homeowner's Associations employ an experienced nonprofit conservation group or agency to manage/maintain the land. h. Prohibit use of recreational off-road vehicles. i. Prohibit grazing and alterations of vegetation except for fuel and weed management under close supervision of qualified natural lands manager. j. For private conservation lands, especially those within criteria cells of MSHCP areas, ensure that easement and fee title agreements provide funding methods sufficient to manage the land in perpetuity. 	
<p>OS 19.1: Cultural resources (both prehistoric and historic) are a valued part of the history of the County of Riverside.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable</u>. Policy OS 19.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>OS 19.2: The County of Riverside shall establish a Cultural Resources Program in consultation with Tribes and the professional cultural resources consulting community that , at a minimum would address each of the following: application of the Cultural Resources Program to projects subject to environmental review; government-to-government consultation; application processing requirements; information database(s); confidentiality of site locations; content and review of technical studies; professional consultant qualifications and requirements; site monitoring;</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable</u>. Policy OS 19.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
examples of preservation and mitigation techniques and methods; curation and the descendant community consultation requirements of local, state and federal law. (AI 144)	
OS 19.3: Review proposed development for the possibility of cultural resources and for compliance with the cultural resources program.	<u>Consistent.</u> Site-specific cultural resources investigations were conducted for the Project site, as discussed in EIR Subsection 4.5, <i>Cultural Resources</i> . As documented therein, Project impacts to cultural resources would be less than significant with mitigation.
OS 19.4: To the extent feasible, designate as open space and allocate resources and/or tax credits to prioritize the protection of cultural resources preserved in place or left in an undisturbed state. (AI 145)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy OS 19.4 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
OS 19.5: Exercise sensitivity and respect for human remains from both prehistoric and historic time periods and comply with all applicable laws concerning such remains.	<u>Consistent.</u> The Project would be subject to compliance with all applicable laws concerning human remains, including California Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5 and California Public Resources Code Section 5097 et. seq.
OS 19.6: Whenever existing information indicates that a site proposed for development has high paleontological sensitivity as shown on Figure OS-8, a paleontological resource impact mitigation program (PRIMP) shall be filed with the County Geologist prior to site grading. The PRIMP shall specify the steps to be taken to mitigate impacts to paleontological resources.	<u>Consistent.</u> As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.14, <i>Paleontological Resources</i> , the majority of the Project site is mapped as having a Low Potential (L) for containing paleontological resources, while the extreme northwestern corner of the Project site is classified as having a High Sensitivity (High A) for containing paleontological resources. Mitigation measures are identified in EIR Subsection 4.14 requiring the implementation of a Paleontological Resource Impact Mitigation Program (PRIMP). With implementation of the required mitigation, Project impacts to paleontological resources would be reduced to less-than-significant levels.



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>OS 19.7: Whenever existing information indicates that a site proposed for development has low paleontological sensitivity as shown on Figure OS-8, no direct mitigation is required unless a fossil is encountered during site development. Should a fossil be encountered, the County Geologist shall be notified and a paleontologist shall be retained by the project proponent. The paleontologist shall document the extent and potential significance of the paleontological resources on the site and establish appropriate mitigation measures for further site development.</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.14, <i>Paleontological Resources</i>, the majority of the Project site is mapped as having a Low Potential (L) for containing paleontological resources, while the extreme northwestern corner of the Project site is classified as having a High Sensitivity (High A) for containing paleontological resources. Mitigation measures are identified in EIR Subsection 4.14 requiring the implementation of a Paleontological Resource Impact Mitigation Program (PRIMP). With implementation of the required mitigation, Project impacts to paleontological resources would be reduced to less-than-significant levels.</p>
<p>OS 19.8: Whenever existing information indicates that a site proposed for development has undetermined paleontological sensitivity as shown on Figure OS-8, a report shall be filed with the County Geologist documenting the extent and potential significance of the paleontological resources on site and identifying mitigation measures for the fossil and for impacts to significant paleontological resources prior to approval of that department.</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.14, <i>Paleontological Resources</i>, the majority of the Project site is mapped as having a Low Potential (L) for containing paleontological resources, while the extreme northwestern corner of the Project site is classified as having a High Sensitivity (High A) for containing paleontological resources. Mitigation measures are identified in EIR Subsection 4.14 requiring the implementation of a Paleontological Resource Impact Mitigation Program (PRIMP). With implementation of the required mitigation, Project impacts to paleontological resources would be reduced to less-than-significant levels.</p>
<p>OS 19.9: Whenever paleontological resources are found, the County Geologist shall direct them to a facility within Riverside County for their curation, including the Western Science Center in the City of Hemet.</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> If any paleontological resources are uncovered, any such resources would be treated in a manner directed by the County Geologist.</p>
<p>OS 20.1: Preserve and maintain open space that protects County environmental and other nonrenewable resources and maximizes public health and safety in areas where significant environmental hazards and resources exist.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> There are no areas of the Project site where significant environmental hazards and resources exist. Notwithstanding, 1.35 acres of the Project site are proposed to be dedicated to the MSHCP Reserve System and would be designated for “Open Space-Conservation Habitat (OS-CH)” uses. Additionally, as part of the Project, the existing Coldwater Canyon Wash drainage channel would be realigned from the western Project site boundary to the southeastern portion of the</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
	Project site, and would be preserved as permanent open space on approximately 5.70 acres as part of a proposed 180-foot-wide drainage channel easement.
OS 20.2: Prevent unnecessary extension of public facilities, services, and utilities, for urban uses, into Open Space-Conservation designated areas. (AI 74)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . The Project does not propose any extension of public facilities, services, or utilities within areas designated Open Space-Conservation (OS-C).
OS 20.3: Discourage the absorption of dedicated park lands by non-recreational uses, public or private. Where absorption is unavoidable, replace park lands that are absorbed by other uses with similar or improved facilities and programs. (AI 74)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy OS 20.3 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project. Furthermore, no “replacement” of park lands would be required for the Project.
OS 20.4: Provide for the needs of all people in the system of the County recreation sites and facilities, regardless of their socioeconomic status, ethnicity, physical capabilities or age.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . No parks are proposed as part of the Project.
OS 20.5: Require that development of recreation facilities occurs concurrent with other development in an area. (AI 3)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . No parks are proposed as part of the Project.
OS 20.6: Require new development to provide implementation strategies for the funding of both active and passive parks and recreational sites. (AI 3)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . No parks are proposed as part of the Project.
OS 21.1: Identify and conserve the skylines, view corridors, and outstanding scenic vistas within Riverside County. (AI 79)	<u>Consistent</u> . As documented in EIR Subsection 4.1, <i>Aesthetics</i> , the Project would result in less-than-significant impacts to scenic vistas and view corridors.
OS 22.1: Design developments within designated scenic highway corridors to balance the objectives of maintaining scenic resources with accommodating compatible land uses. (AI 3)	<u>Consistent</u> . As documented in EIR Subsection 4.1, <i>Aesthetics</i> , the Project would have less-than-significant impacts to scenic highway corridors.
OS 22.2: Study potential scenic highway corridors for possible inclusion in the Caltrans Scenic Highways Plan.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy OS 22.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
OS 22.3: Encourage joint efforts among federal, state, and county agencies, and citizen groups to ensure compatible development within scenic corridors.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy OS 22.3 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
OS 22.4: Impose conditions on development within scenic highway corridors requiring dedication of scenic easements consistent with the Scenic Highways Plan, when it is necessary to preserve unique or special visual features. (AI 3)	<u>Consistent</u> . As documented in EIR Subsection 4.1, <i>Aesthetics</i> , the Project would have less-than-significant impacts to scenic highway corridors.
OS 22.5: Utilize contour grading and slope rounding to gradually transition graded road slopes into	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project’s grading plan shows that the site and



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>a natural configuration consistent with the topography of the areas within scenic highway corridors.</p>	<p>site-adjacent roadway improvements would be graded in a manner that largely approximates the site’s existing topographic conditions. Areas of slopes would be minimized as part of the Project.</p>
GENERAL PLAN SAFETY ELEMENT	
<p>S 1.1 – S 1.4: Code Conformance and Development Regulations.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policies S 1.1 through S 1.4 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>S 2.1: Minimize fault rupture hazards through enforcement of Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Act provisions and the following policies: (AI 80, 91)</p> <p>a. Require geologic studies or analyses for critical structures, and lifeline, high-occupancy, schools, and high-risk structures, within 0.5 miles of all Quaternary to historic faults shown on the Earthquake Fault Studies Zones map.</p> <p>b. Require geologic trenching studies within all designated Earthquake Fault Studies Zones, unless adequate evidence, as determined and accepted by Riverside County Engineering Geologist, is presented. The County of Riverside may require geologic trenching of non-zoned faults for especially critical or vulnerable structures or lifelines.</p> <p>c. Require that lifelines be designed to resist, without failure, their crossing of a fault, should fault rupture occur.</p> <p>d. Support efforts by the California Department of Conservation, California Geological Survey to develop geologic and engineering solutions in areas of ground deformation due to faulting and seismic activity, in those areas where a through-going fault cannot be reliably located.</p> <p>e. Encourage and support efforts by the geologic research community to define better the locations and risks of Riverside County faults. Such efforts could include data sharing and database development with regional entities, other local governments, private organizations, utility agencies or companies, and local universities.</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> In conformance with this policy, and as documented in EIR Subsection 4.7, <i>Geology and Soils</i>, a site-specific geotechnical evaluation was conducted for the site and is included as EIR <i>Technical Appendix F</i>. No faults occur on site or in the immediate vicinity of the Project site. Remaining components of this policy provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>S 2.2: Require geological and geotechnical investigations in areas with potential for earthquake-induced liquefaction, landsliding or settlement, for any building proposed for human occupancy and any structure whose damage would cause harm, except for accessory buildings. (AI 81)</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> In conformance with this policy, and as documented in EIR Subsection 4.7, <i>Geology and Soils</i>, a site-specific geotechnical evaluation was conducted for the site and is included as EIR <i>Technical Appendix F</i>. The site-specific investigation determined that the potential for liquefaction on the</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
	<p>site is considered low/very low, and identifies recommendations to address liquefaction hazards. The potential for landslide hazards also is addressed in the geotechnical evaluation, and includes recommendations to address potential landslide hazards associated with proposed slopes on site. Any localized landslide events in off-site areas would be confined to the Temescal Wash drainage channel and would not affect future development on the site.</p>
<p>S 2.3: Require that a state-licensed professional investigate the potential for liquefaction in areas designated as underlain by “Susceptible Sediments” and “Shallow Ground Water” for all general construction projects, except for accessory buildings (Figure S-3).</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> In conformance with this policy, and as documented in EIR Subsection 4.7, <i>Geology and Soils</i>, a site-specific geotechnical evaluation was conducted for the site and is included as EIR <i>Technical Appendix F</i>. The site-specific investigation determined that the potential for liquefaction on the site is considered low/very low. No areas of the Project site are underlain by Susceptible Sediments or Shallow Ground Water.</p>
<p>S 2.4: Require that a State-licensed professional investigate the potential for liquefaction in areas identified as underlain by “Susceptible Sediments” for all proposed critical facilities (Figure S-3).</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> In conformance with this policy, and as documented in EIR Subsection 4.7, <i>Geology and Soils</i>, a site-specific geotechnical evaluation was conducted for the site and is included as EIR Technical Appendix F. The site-specific investigation determined that the potential for liquefaction on the site is considered low/very low. No areas of the Project site are underlain by Susceptible Sediments.</p>
<p>S 2.5: Require that engineered slopes be designed to resist seismically-induced failure. For lower-risk projects, slope design could be based on pseudo-static stability analyses using soil engineering parameters that are established on a site-specific basis. For higher-risk projects, the stability analyses should factor in the intensity of expected ground shaking, using a Newmark-type deformation analysis.</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> In conformance with this policy, and as documented in EIR Subsection 4.7, <i>Geology and Soils</i>, a site-specific geotechnical evaluation was conducted for the site and is included as EIR <i>Technical Appendix F</i>. The Project site and areas immediately surrounding the Project site do not contain steep slopes capable of producing landslide hazards that could affect future development on site, and all slopes on site would comply with the recommendations of the geotechnical evaluation to ensure slope stability.</p>
<p>S 2.6: Require that cut and fill transition lots be over-excavated to mitigate the potential of seismically-induced differential settlement.</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> In conformance with this policy, and as documented in EIR Subsection 4.7, <i>Geology and Soils</i>, a site-specific</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
	geotechnical evaluation was conducted for the site and is included as EIR <i>Technical Appendix F</i> . The geotechnical evaluation includes recommendations to address potential hazards associated with seismically-induced differential settlement, and the Project would be conditioned to comply with the recommendations of the geotechnical evaluation.
S 2.7: Require a 100% maximum variation of fill depths beneath structures to mitigate the potential of seismically-induced differential settlement.	<u>Consistent</u> . In conformance with this policy, and as documented in EIR Subsection 4.7, <i>Geology and Soils</i> , a site-specific geotechnical evaluation was conducted for the site and is included as EIR <i>Technical Appendix F</i> . The geotechnical evaluation includes recommendations to address potential hazards associated with seismically-induced differential settlement, and the Project would be conditioned to comply with the recommendations of the geotechnical evaluation.
S 2.8: Encourage research into new foundation design systems that better resist Riverside County’s climatic, geotechnical, and geological conditions. (AI 104)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy S 2.8 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
S 3.1: Require the following in landslide potential hazard management zones, or when deemed necessary by the California Environmental Quality Act: (AI 104) a. Preliminary geotechnical and geologic investigations. b. Evaluations of site stability, including any possible impact on adjacent properties, before final project design is approved. c. Consultant reports, investigations, and design recommendations required for grading permits, building permits, and subdivision applications be prepared by state-licensed professionals.	<u>Consistent</u> . In conformance with this policy, and as documented in EIR Subsection 4.7, <i>Geology and Soils</i> , a site-specific geotechnical evaluation was conducted for the site and is included as EIR <i>Technical Appendix F</i> . The geotechnical evaluation includes an analysis of site stability, and the Project would be conditioned to comply with the recommendations of the geotechnical evaluation.
S 3.2: Require that stabilized landslides be provided with redundant drainage systems. Provisions for the maintenance of subdrains must be designed into the system.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . There are no stabilized landslides within or immediately adjacent to the Project site.
S 3.3: Before issuance of building permits, require certification regarding the stability of the site against adverse effects of rain, earthquakes, and subsidence.	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project site is not situated within any of the three areas of Riverside County associated with documented subsidence. The Project would be subject to State and County building code requirements to address potential earthquake-related hazards.
S 3.4: Require adequate mitigation of potential impacts from erosion, slope instability, or other	<u>Consistent</u> . As discussed in EIR Subsections 4.7, <i>Geology and</i>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
hazardous slope conditions, or from loss of aesthetic resources for development occurring on slope and hillside areas.	<i>Soils</i> , and 4.10, <i>Hydrology and Water Quality</i> , impacts due to erosion, slope instability, or other hazardous slope conditions would be less than significant with mitigation.
S 3.5: During permit review, identify and encourage mitigation of onsite and offsite slope instability, debris flow, and erosion hazards on lots undergoing substantial improvements.	<u>Consistent</u> . As discussed in EIR Subsections 4.7, <i>Geology and Soils</i> , and 4.10, <i>Hydrology and Water Quality</i> , impacts due to slope instability, debris flow, and erosion hazards would be less than significant with mitigation.
S 3.6: Require grading plans, environmental assessments, engineering and geologic technical reports, irrigation and landscaping plans, including ecological restoration and revegetation plans, as appropriate, in order to assure the adequate demonstration of a project’s ability to mitigate the potential impacts of slope and erosion hazards and loss of native vegetation.	<u>Consistent</u> . As discussed in EIR Subsections 4.7, <i>Geology and Soils</i> , and 4.10, <i>Hydrology and Water Quality</i> , slope and erosion hazards would be less than significant with mitigation.
S 3.7: Support mitigation on existing public and private property located on unstable hillside areas, especially slopes with recurring failures where Riverside County property or public right-of-way is threatened from slope instability, or where considered appropriate and urgent by Riverside County Engineer, Fire, or Sheriff Department. (AI 100)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . The Project site is not located on an unstable hillside area or in an area with recurring failures.
S 3.8: Require geotechnical studies within documented subsidence zones, as well as zones that may be susceptible to subsidence, as identified in Figure S-7 and the Technical Background Report, prior to the issuance of development permits. Within the documented subsidence zones of the Coachella, San Jacinto, and Elsinore valleys, the studies must address the potential for reactivation of these zones, consider the potential impact on the project, and provide adequate and acceptable mitigation measures.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . The Project site is not within a documented subsidence zone and the potential for subsidence to impact the site is considered low. As documented in EIR Subsection 4.7, <i>Geology and Soils</i> , a site-specific geotechnical evaluation was conducted for the site and is included as EIR <i>Technical Appendix F</i> .
S 3.9: Develop a liaison program with all Riverside County water districts to prevent water extraction induced subsidence (AI 4).	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy S 3.9 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
S 3.10: Encourage and support efforts for long-term, permanent monitoring of topographic subsidence in all producing groundwater basins, irrespective of past subsidence.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy S 3.10 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
S 3.11: Require studies that address the potential of this hazard on proposed development within “High” and “Very High” wind erosion hazard zones as shown on Figure S-8, Wind Erosion Susceptibility Map.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . The Project site is not located in an area with “High” or “Very High” wind erosion hazards, pursuant to General Plan Figure S-8.
S 3.12: Include a disclosure about wind erosion susceptibility on property title for those properties located within “High” and “Very High” wind erosion hazard zones as shown on Figure S-8, Wind Erosion Susceptibility Map. (AI 92)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . The Project site is not located in an area with “High” or “Very High” wind erosion hazards, pursuant to General Plan Figure S-8.



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
S 3.13: Require buildings to be designed to resist wind loads.	<u>Consistent.</u> The Project would be required to comply with appropriate State and County building code requirements to address wind loads, as would be assured through future County review of implementing building permit applications.
S 3.14: Educate builders about the wind environment and encourage them to design projects accordingly (AI 93, 97, 98).	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy S 3.14 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
S 4.1: For new construction and proposals for substantial improvements to residential and nonresidential development within 100-year floodplains as mapped by FEMA or as determined by site specific hydrologic studies for areas not mapped by FEMA, Riverside County shall apply a minimum level of acceptable risk; and disapprove projects that cannot mitigate the hazard to the satisfaction of the Building Official or other responsible agency. (AI 25)	<u>Consistent.</u> According to FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) No. 06065C1390G, the northern portion of the Project site is identified within Flood Zone AE, which includes “Areas with a 1% annual chance of flooding and a 26% chance of flooding over the life of a 30-year mortgage,” and includes floodplains where the base flood elevations have been determined. Additionally, according to Riverside County GIS, the majority of the Project site is identified within “Areas of Flooding Sensitivity.” A majority of the Project site is identified in areas subject to inundation during flood events. The Project site would be required to be developed in a manner that removes areas planned for warehouse uses out of the existing flood hazard areas on site. Pursuant to EIR Mitigation Measure 4.10-1, the Project Applicant would be required to obtain a CLOMR and LOMR from FEMA to remove areas planned for development on site from the mapped floodplain, which would reduce flooding hazards to below a level of significance.
S 4.2: The county shall enforce provisions of the Building Code in conjunction with the following guidelines: (AI 25) a. All residential, commercial and industrial structures shall be flood-proofed from the mapped 100-year storm flow. This may require that the finished floor elevation be constructed at such a height as to meet this requirement. Non-residential (commercial or industrial) structures may be allowed with a “flood-proofed” finished floor below the Base Flood Elevation (i.e., 100-year flood surface) to the extent permitted by state, federal and local regulations. New critical facilities shall be constructed above grade to the satisfaction of the Building Official, based on federal, state, or other reliable hydrologic studies. To the extent that residential, commercial, or industrial structures	<u>Consistent.</u> According to FEMA FIRM No. 06065C1390G, the northern portion of the Project site is identified within Flood Zone AE, which includes “Areas with a 1% annual chance of flooding and a 26% chance of flooding over the life of a 30-year mortgage,” and includes floodplains where the base flood elevations have been determined. Additionally, according to Riverside County GIS, the majority of the Project site is identified within “Areas of Flooding Sensitivity.” A majority of the Project site is identified in areas subject to inundation during



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>cannot meet these standards, they shall not be approved.</p> <p>b. Critical facilities shall not be permitted in floodplains unless the project design ensures that there are two routes for emergency egress and regress, and minimizes the potential for debris or flooding to block emergency routes, either through the construction of dikes, bridges, or large-diameter storm drains under roads used for primary access.</p> <p>c. Development using, storing, or otherwise involved with substantial quantities of onsite hazardous materials shall not be permitted within a 100-year floodplain or dam inundation zone, unless all standards for evaluation, anchoring, and flood-proofing have been satisfied; and hazardous materials are stored in watertight containers, not capable of floating, to the extent required by state and federal laws and regulations.</p> <p>d. Specific flood-proofing measures may require: use of paints, membranes, or mortar to reduce water seepage through walls; installation of water tight doors, bulkheads, and shutters; installation of flood water pumps in structures; and proper modification and protection of all electrical equipment, circuits, and appliances so that the risk of electrocution or fire is eliminated. However, fully enclosed areas that are below finished floors shall require openings to equalize the forces on both sides of the walls.</p>	<p>flood events. The Project site would be required to be developed in a manner that removes areas planned for warehouse uses out of the existing flood hazard areas on site. Pursuant to EIR Mitigation Measure 4.10-1, the Project Applicant would be required to obtain a CLOMR and LOMR from FEMA to remove areas planned for development on site from the mapped floodplain, which would reduce flooding hazards to below a level of significance.</p>
<p>S 4.3: Prohibit construction of permanent structures for human housing or employment to the extent necessary to convey floodwaters without property damage or risk to public safety. Agricultural, recreational, or other low intensity uses are allowable if flood control and groundwater recharge functions are maintained. (AI 25)</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> According to FEMA FIRM No. 06065C1390G, the northern portion of the Project site is identified within Flood Zone AE, which includes “Areas with a 1% annual chance of flooding and a 26% chance of flooding over the life of a 30-year mortgage,” and includes floodplains where the base flood elevations have been determined. Additionally, according to Riverside County GIS, the majority of the Project site is identified within “Areas of Flooding Sensitivity.” A majority of the Project site is identified in areas subject to inundation during flood events. The Project site would be required to be developed in a manner that removes areas planned for warehouse uses out of the existing flood hazard areas on site. Pursuant to EIR Mitigation Measure 4.10-1, the Project Applicant would be required to obtain a CLOMR and LOMR from FEMA to remove areas planned for development on site from the mapped floodplain, which would reduce flooding hazards to below a level</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>S 4.4: Prohibit alteration of floodways and channelization unless alternative methods of flood control are not technically feasible or unless alternative methods are utilized to the maximum extent practicable. The intent is to balance the need for protection with prudent land use solutions, recreation needs, and habitat requirements, and as applicable to provide incentives for natural watercourse preservation, including density transfer programs as may be adopted. (AI 25, 60)</p> <p>a. Prohibit the construction, location, or substantial improvement of structures in areas designated as floodways, except upon approval of a plan which provides that the proposed development will not result in any significant increase in flood levels during the occurrence of a 100-year flood discharge.</p> <p>b. Prohibit the filling or grading of land for nonagricultural purposes and for non-authorized flood control purposes in areas designated as floodways, except upon approval of a plan which provides that the proposed development will not result in any significant increase in flood levels during the occurrence of a 100-year flood discharge.</p>	<p>of significance.</p> <p><u>Consistent.</u> According to FEMA FIRM No. 06065C1390G, the northern portion of the Project site is identified within Flood Zone AE, which includes “Areas with a 1% annual chance of flooding and a 26% chance of flooding over the life of a 30-year mortgage,” and includes floodplains where the base flood elevations have been determined. Additionally, according to Riverside County GIS, the majority of the Project site is identified within “Areas of Flooding Sensitivity.” A majority of the Project site is identified in areas subject to inundation during flood events. The Project site would be required to be developed in a manner that removes areas planned for warehouse uses out of the existing flood hazard areas on site. Pursuant to EIR Mitigation Measure 4.10-1, the Project Applicant would be required to obtain a CLOMR and LOMR from FEMA to remove areas planned for development on site from the mapped floodplain, which would reduce flooding hazards to below a level of significance. With implementation of the required mitigation, no portion of the proposed development would be subject to inundation during 100-year flood events, and the Project would not result in any significant increase in flood levels during the occurrence of a 100-year flood discharge.</p>
<p>S 4.5: Prohibit substantial modification to watercourses, unless modification does not increase erosion or adjacent sedimentation, or increase water velocities, so as to be detrimental to adjacent property, nor adversely affect adjacent wetlands or riparian habitat. (AI 60, 61)</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> As part of the Project the existing Coldwater Canyon Wash drainage channel would be realigned from the western Project site boundary to the southeastern portion of the Project site, and would be preserved as permanent open space on approximately 5.70 acres as part of a proposed 180-foot-wide drainage channel easement. The realignment would not increase erosion or sedimentation or increase water velocities so as to be detrimental to adjacent property or adversely affect adjacent wetlands or riparian habitat.</p>
<p>S 4.6: Direct flood control improvement measures toward the protection of existing and planned development. (AI 25)</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy S 4.6 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>S 4.7: Any substantial modification to a watercourse shall be done in the least environmentally damaging manner practicable in order to maintain adequate wildlife corridors and linkages and maximize groundwater recharge. (AI 25, 60)</p>	<p>Project. <u>Consistent.</u> As part of the Project the existing Coldwater Canyon Wash drainage channel would be realigned from the western Project site boundary to the southeastern portion of the Project site, and would be preserved as permanent open space on approximately 5.70 acres as part of a proposed 180-foot-wide drainage channel easement. The realigned drainage channel has been designed to minimize environmental impacts, and would not adversely affect wildlife corridors or linkages, and would maximize groundwater recharge.</p>
<p>S 4.8: Allow development within the floodway fringe, if the proposed structures can be adequately flood-proofed and will not contribute to property damage or risks to public safety. (AI 25, 60)</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> With approval of the Project’s required CLOMR and LOMR, no portion of the proposed development would occur within the floodway fringe.</p>
<p>S 4.9: Within the floodway fringe of a floodplain as mapped by FEMA or as determined by site specific hydrologic studies for areas not mapped by FEMA, require development to be capable of withstanding flooding and to minimize use of fill. However, some development may be compatible within flood plains and floodways, as may some other land uses. In such cases, flood proofing would not be required. Compatible uses shall not, however, obstruct flows or adversely affect upstream or downstream properties with increased velocities, erosion backwater effects, or concentrations of flows. (AI 60)</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> With approval of the Project’s required CLOMR and LOMR, no portion of the proposed development would occur within the floodway fringe.</p>
<p>S 4.10: Require all proposed projects anywhere in the county to address and mitigate any adverse impacts that it may have on the carrying capacity of local and regional storm drain systems.</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> As indicated in EIR Subsection 4.10, <i>Hydrology and Water Quality</i>, the Project would not exceed the existing or planned capacity of any stormwater drainage systems.</p>
<p>S 4.11: Encourage neighboring jurisdictions to require development occurring adjacent to the County to consider the impact of flooding and flood control measures on properties within unincorporated Riverside County.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy S 4.11 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>S 4.12 – S 4.16: High-Risk Facilities.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policies S 4.1 through S 4.11 relate to high-risk facilities, and the Project does not involve any such facilities.</p>
<p>S 4.17 – S 4.22: Risk Assessment.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policies S 4.1 through S 4.11 provide direction to County staff and decision makers, and are not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>S 5.1: Develop and enforce construction and design standards that ensure that proposed</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> Development on the Project site would be required to comply with minimum standards for fire safety as defined in</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>development incorporates fire prevention features through the following:</p> <p>a. All proposed development and construction within Fire Hazard Severity Zones shall be reviewed by Riverside County Fire and Building and Safety departments.</p> <p>b. All proposed development and construction shall meet minimum standards for fire safety as defined in Riverside County Building or County Fire Codes, or by County zoning, or as dictated by the Building Official or the Transportation Land Management Agency based on building type, design, occupancy, and use.</p> <p>c. In addition to the standards and guidelines of the California Building Code and California Fire Code fire safety provisions, continue to implement additional standards for high-risk, high occupancy, dependent, and essential facilities where appropriate under Riverside County Fire Code (Ordinance No. 787) Protection Ordinance. These shall include assurance that structural and nonstructural architectural elements of the building will not impede emergency egress for fire safety staffing/personnel, equipment, and apparatus; nor hinder evacuation from fire, including potential blockage of stairways or fire doors.</p> <p>d. Proposed development and construction in Fire Hazard Severity Zones shall provide secondary public access, in accordance with Riverside County Ordinances.</p> <p>e. Proposed development and construction in Fire Hazard Severity Zones shall use single loaded roads to enhance fuel modification areas, unless otherwise determined by Riverside County Fire Chief.</p> <p>f. Proposed development and construction in Fire Hazard Severity Zones shall provide a defensible space or fuel modification zones to be located, designed, and constructed that provide adequate defensibility from wildfires.</p>	<p>Riverside County Building or County Fire Codes, and/or by County zoning. As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.18, <i>Wildfire</i>, according to Riverside County GIS, the Project site and areas surrounding the Project site are classified as having a very high susceptibility to wildfire hazards. The Project incorporates adequate fuel modification zones to reduce the potential for wildland fires on site to less-than-significant levels. The remaining components of this policy provide direction to County staff and decision makers, and are not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>S 5.2: Encourage continued operation of programs for fuel breaks, brush management, controlled burning, revegetation and fire roads.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable</u>. Policy S 5.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>S 5.3: Monitor fire-prevention measures (such as fuel reduction) through a site-specific fire-prevention plan to reduce long-term fire risks in the Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable</u>. Policy S 5.3 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>S 5.4: Limit or prohibit development or activities in areas lacking water and access roads.</p>	<p><u>Consistent</u>. The Project site is served by adequate water resources and is accessible via numerous existing and planned roadways.</p>
<p>S 5.5: Encourage proposed development in Fire Hazard Severity Zones to develop where fire and</p>	<p><u>Consistent</u>. As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.16, <i>Public</i></p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
emergency services are available or planned.	<i>Services</i> , and in Subsection 4.21, <i>Wildfire</i> , adequate fire protection services and facilities exist to provide service to the proposed Project, and the Project Applicant would be required to contribute DIF fees, portions of which are used for fire protection facilities.
S 5.6: Demonstrate that the proposed development can provide fire services that meet the minimum travel times identified in Riverside County Fire Department Fire Protection and EMS Strategic Master Plan.	<u>Consistent</u> . As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.16, <i>Public Services</i> , adequate fire protection services and facilities exist to provide service to the proposed Project, and the Project Applicant would be required to contribute DIF fees, portions of which are used for fire protection facilities.
S 5.7: Minimize pockets of flammable vegetation that increase likelihood of fire spread through conceptual landscaping plans to be reviewed by Planning and Fire Departments in the Fire Hazard Severity Zones. The conceptual landscaping plan of the proposed development shall at a minimum include: a. Plant palette suitable for high fire hazard areas to reduce the risk of fire hazards. b. Retention of existing natural vegetation to the maximum extent feasible. c. Removal of onsite combustible plants.	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project’s Conceptual Landscape Plan includes a plant palette that minimizes combustible plants and encourages native and fire-resistant species.
S 5.8 Design to account for topography of a site and reduce the increased risk from fires in the Fire Hazard Severity Zones located near ridgelines, plateau escarpments, saddles, hillsides, peaks, or other areas where the terrain or topography affect its susceptibility to wildfires by: a. Providing fuel modification zones with removal of combustible vegetation, but minimizing visual impacts and limiting soil erosion. b. Replacing combustible vegetation with fire resistant vegetation to stabilize slopes. c. Submitting topographic map with site specific slope analysis. d. Submitting erosion and sedimentation control plans. e. Providing a minimum 30 foot of setback from the edge of the fuel modification zones. f. Minimizing disturbance of 25% or greater natural slopes.	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project has been designed to provide appropriate setbacks from undeveloped areas containing natural vegetation that could expose the Project site to wildfire hazards. Specifically, the proposed warehouse building is planned in the central portion of the Project site. According to the Project’s Site Plan, when taking into consideration planned improvements to roadways abutting the Project site, the proposed warehouse building would be set back from areas subject to wildland fire hazards by a minimum of 190 feet, with most areas providing a minimum 400-foot buffer between the proposed building and areas subject to fire hazards. Areas between the proposed building and areas subject to wildland fire hazards would not include any uses that would increase wildfire hazards, and would consist of parking areas; ornamental and irrigated vegetation; improved roadways (i.e., Temescal Canyon Road and Dawson



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
	Canyon Road); and the proposed 180-foot-wide realigned drainage channel for the Coldwater Canyon Wash.
S 5.9: Reduce fire threat and strengthen fire-fighting capability so that the County could successfully respond to multiple fires. (AI 88)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy S 5.9 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
S 5.10: Require automatic natural gas shutoff earthquake sensors in high-occupancy industrial and commercial facilities, and encourage them for all residences.	<u>Consistent</u> . Future implementing building permits would be reviewed by the County for compliance with this policy.
S 5.11: Utilize ongoing brush clearance fire inspections to educate homeowners on fire prevention tips by implementing annual countywide weed abatement program. (AI 96)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy S 5.11 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
S 5.12: Conduct and implement long-range fire safety planning, including stringent building, fire, subdivision, and municipal code standards, improved infrastructure, and improved mutual aid agreements with the private and public sector.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy S 5.12 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
S 5.13: Develop a program to utilize existing reservoirs, tanks, and water wells in the county for emergency fire suppression water sources.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy S 5.13 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
<p>S 5.14: Periodically review inter-jurisdictional fire response agreements, and improve firefighting resources as recommended in Riverside County Fire Department Fire Protection Plan and EMS Strategic Master Plan to keep pace with development, including construction of additional high-rises, mid-rise business parks, increasing numbers of facilities housing immobile populations, and the risk posed by multiple ignitions, to ensure that (AI 4, AI 88):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fire reporting and response times do not exceed the goals listed in Riverside County Fire Department Fire Protection Plan and EMS Strategic Master Plan identified for each of the development densities described. • Fire flow requirements (water for fire protection) are consistent with Riverside County Ordinance 787. • The planned deployment and height of aerial ladders and other specialized equipment and apparatus are sufficient for the intensity of development desired. 	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy S 5.14 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
S 5.15: Continue to utilize Riverside County Fire Department Fire Protection Plan and EMS Strategic Master Plan as the base document to implement the goals and objectives of the Safety Element.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy S 5.15 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
S 5.16: Encourage property owners to utilize clustering and Transfer of Development Rights	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy S 5.16 provides direction to County staff



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
(TDR) program when developing lands within Fire Hazard Severity Zones by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Restricting the development of a property through placement of conservation easement. • Acquiring the conservation easements similar to that of MSHCP Program. 	and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
S 5.17: Identify, map, and update on an as-needed continual basis, the Fire Hazard Severity Zone maps. (Figure S-11)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy S 5.17 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
S 5.18: Ensure that the Fire Department has appropriate municipal staffing and fire protection planning staff that meet the needs of development pressure and adequately respond to long range fire safety planning.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy S 5.18 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
S 5.19: Implement a coordination program with fire protection and emergency service providers to reassess fire hazards after wildfire events and to adjust fire prevention and suppression needs, as necessary.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy S 5.19 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
S 5.20: Implement a regional coordination program to increase support for coordination among fire protection and emergency service providers.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy S 5.20 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
S 5.21: Implement a long-term training and education program among government agencies and communities about fire protection. (AI 93)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy S 5.21 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
S 6.1 Enforce the land use policies and siting criteria related to hazardous materials and wastes through continued implementation of the programs identified in the County of Riverside Hazardous Waste Management Plan including the following: (AI 98) <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Ensure county businesses comply with federal, state and local laws pertaining to the management of hazardous wastes and materials including all Certified Unified Program Agency (CUPA) programs. b. Ensure active public participation in hazardous waste and hazardous materials management decisions in Riverside County through the County’s land use and planning processes. c. Encourage and promote the programs, practices, and recommendations contained in Riverside County Hazardous Waste Management Plan, giving the highest waste management priority to the reduction of hazardous waste at its source. 	<u>Consistent</u> . Future tenants on site would be required to comply with federal, State, and local laws pertaining to hazardous wastes and materials. The remaining portions of this policy provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
S 7.1: Continually strengthen Riverside County Office of Emergency Services’ Response Plan and Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan and maintain mutual aid agreements with	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy S 7.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
federal, state, local agencies and the private sector to assist in: a. Clearance of debris in the event of widespread slope failures, collapsed buildings or structures, or other circumstances that could result in blocking emergency access or regress. b. Heavy search and rescue. c. Fire suppression. d. Hazardous materials response. e. Temporary shelter. f. Geologic and engineering needs. g. Traffic and crowd control. h. Building inspection.	Project.
S 7.2: Encourage the utilization of multilingual staff personnel to assist in evacuation and short-term recovery activities, and meeting general community needs. (AI 97)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy S 7.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
S 7.3: Require commercial businesses, utilities, and industrial facilities that handle hazardous materials to: install automatic fire and hazardous materials detection, reporting and shut-off devices; and install an alternative communication system in the event power is out or telephone service is saturated following an earthquake.	<u>Consistent</u> . Future building permits would be conditioned by the County for compliance with this policy.
S 7.4: Use incentives and disincentives to persuade private businesses, consortiums, and neighborhoods to be self-sufficient in an emergency by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • maintaining a fire control plan, including an on-site fire-fighting capability and volunteer fire response teams to respond to and extinguish small fires; and • identifying medical personnel or local residents who are capable and certified in first aid and CPR. 	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy S 7.4 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
S 7.5: Conduct regional earthquake drills and, where appropriate: (AI 82) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • utilize HAZUS results in the Technical Background Report to develop internal scenarios for emergency response; and • test back-up power generators in public facilities and other critical facilities taking part in the earthquake drill. 	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy S 7.5 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
S 7.6: Improve management and emergency dissemination of information using portable computers with geographic information systems and disaster-resistant Internet access, to obtain:	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy S 7.6 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>(AI 86)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hazardous Materials Disclosure Program Business Plans regarding the location and type of hazardous materials; • Real-time information on seismic, geologic, or flood hazards; and • The locations of high-occupancy, immobile populations, potentially hazardous building structures, utilities and other lifelines. 	<p>Project.</p>
<p>S 7.7: Strengthen the project permit and review process to ensure that proper actions are taken to reduce hazard impacts and to encourage structural and nonstructural design and construction. Damage must be minimized for critical facilities, and susceptibility to structural collapse must be minimized, if not eliminated.</p> <p>a. Ensure that special development standards, designs, and construction practices reduce risk to tolerable levels for projects involving critical facilities, large-scale residential development, and major commercial or industrial development through conditional use permits and the subdivision review process. If appropriate, impact fees should be assessed to finance required actions.</p> <p>b. Require mitigation measures to reduce potential damage caused by ground failure for sites determined to have potential for liquefaction. Such measures shall apply to critical facilities, utilities, and large commercial and industrial projects as a condition of project approval.</p> <p>c. Require that planned lifeline utilities, as a condition of project approval, be designed, located, structurally upgraded, fit with safety shutoff valves, be designed for easy maintenance, and have redundant back up lines where unstable slopes, earth cracks, active faults, or areas of liquefaction cannot be avoided.</p> <p>d. Review proposed uses of fault setback areas closely to ensure that county infrastructure (roads, utilities, drains) are not unduly placed at risk by the developer. Insurance, bonding, or compensation plans should be used to compensate the County of Riverside for the potential costs of repair.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> The Project does not propose any critical facilities or utilities.</p>
<p>S 7.8: Promote strengthening of planned and existing utilities and lifelines, the retrofit and rehabilitation of existing weak structures, and the relocation of certain critical facilities.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy S 7.8 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>S 7.9: Find alternatives that improve site safety for the protection of critical facilities. Property acquisition for open space, change in building use or occupancy, or other appropriate measures can be employed to reduce risks posed by hazards. (AI 101)</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy S 7.9 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
S 7.10: Discourage development of critical facilities that are proposed in dam failure inundation areas, and apply hazardous materials safety guidelines within such zones.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . The Project does not propose any critical facilities.
<p>S 7.11 Coordinate with the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) and/or utilize the Capital Improvement Program, to strengthen, relocate, or take other appropriate measures to safeguard high-voltage lines, water, sewer, natural gas and petroleum pipelines, and trunk electrical and telephone conduits that (AI 4):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Extend through areas of high liquefaction potential. • Cross active faults. • Traverse earth cracks or landslides. 	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy S 7.11 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
S 7.12: Require extra design considerations for lifelines across subsidence areas.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy S 7.12 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
S 7.13: Develop a system to respond to short-term increases in hazard on the southern San Andreas fault, based on probabilities associated with foreshocks. (AI 85)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy S 7.13 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project. The Project is not located on the southern San Andreas fault.
S 7.14 – S 7.17: Emergency Evacuation.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policies S 7.14 through S 7.17 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
S 7.18: Develop plans for short-term and long-term post-disaster recovery. (AI 103)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy S 7.18 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
S 7.19 – S 7.23: Public Information and Outreach.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policies S 7.19 through S 7.23 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
GENERAL PLAN NOISE ELEMENT	
N 1.1: Protect noise-sensitive land uses from high levels of noise by restricting noise-producing land uses from these areas. If the noise-producing land use cannot be relocated, then noise buffers such as setbacks, landscaping, or block walls shall be used. (AI 107)	<u>Consistent</u> . In conformance with this policy, a site-specific noise impact analysis was conducted for the Project and is included as EIR <i>Technical Appendix L</i> . Because impacts were determined to be less than significant as presented in EIR Subsection 4.13, <i>Noise</i> , no mitigation measures are necessary.



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>N 1.2: Guide noise-tolerant land uses into areas irrevocably committed to land uses that are noise-producing, such as transportation corridors or within the projected noise contours of any adjacent airports. (AI 107)</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy N 1.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>N 1.3: Consider the following uses noise-sensitive and discourage these uses in areas in excess of 65 CNEL:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Schools. • Hospitals. • Rest Homes. • Long Term Care Facilities. • Mental Care Facilities. • Residential Uses. • Libraries. • Passive Recreation Uses. • Places of Worship. <p>According to the State of California Office of Planning and Research General Plan Guidelines, an acoustical study may be required in cases where these noise-sensitive land uses are located in an area of 60 CNEL or greater. Any land use that is exposed to levels higher than 65 CNEL will require noise attenuation measures.</p> <p>Areas around airports may have different noise standards than those cited above. Each Area Plan affected by a public-use airport includes one or more Airport Influence Areas, one for each airport. The applicable noise compatibility criteria are fully set forth in Appendix L-1 and summarized in the Policy Area section of the affected Area Plan. (AI 105)</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> The Project includes light industrial and open space land uses, neither of which are considered noise-sensitive land uses as defined by this policy. As demonstrated in EIR Subsection 4.12, <i>Noise</i>, future noise levels affecting buildings on site would be below the General Plan standards.</p>
<p>N 1.4: Determine if existing land uses will present noise compatibility issues with proposed projects by undertaking site surveys. (AI 106, 109)</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> In conformance with this policy, a site-specific noise impact analysis was conducted for the Project and is included as EIR <i>Technical Appendix L</i>. Because impacts were determined to be less than significant as presented in EIR Subsection 4.13, <i>Noise</i>, no mitigation measures are necessary.</p>
<p>N 1.5: Prevent and mitigate the adverse impacts of excessive noise exposure on the residents, employees, visitors, and noise-sensitive uses of Riverside County. (AI 105, 106, 108)</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> In conformance with this policy, a site-specific noise impact analysis was conducted for the Project and is included as EIR <i>Technical Appendix L</i>. Because impacts were determined to be less than significant as presented in EIR Subsection 4.13, <i>Noise</i>, no mitigation measures are necessary.</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
N 1.6: Minimize noise spillover or encroachment from commercial and industrial land uses into adjoining residential neighborhoods or noise-sensitive uses. (AI 107)	<u>Consistent</u> . In conformance with this policy, a site-specific noise impact analysis was conducted for the Project and is included as EIR <i>Technical Appendix L</i> . Because impacts were determined to be less than significant as presented in EIR Subsection 4.13, <i>Noise</i> , no mitigation measures are necessary. There are no adjoining residential neighborhood or noise-sensitive uses adjacent to the Project site.
N 1.7: Require proposed land uses, affected by unacceptably high noise levels, to have an acoustical specialist prepare a study of the noise problems and recommend structural and site design features that will adequately mitigate the noise problem. (AI 106, 107)	<u>Consistent</u> . In conformance with this policy, a site-specific noise impact analysis was conducted for the Project and is included as EIR <i>Technical Appendix L</i> . Because impacts were determined to be less than significant as presented in EIR Subsection 4.13, <i>Noise</i> , no mitigation measures are necessary.
N 1.8: Limit the maximum permitted noise levels that cross property lines and impact adjacent land uses, except when dealing with noise emissions from wind turbines. Please see the Wind Energy Conversion Systems section for more information. (AI 108)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . The Project does not propose any wind turbines, and no wind turbines exist in the Project area.
N 2.1: Create a County Noise Inventory to identify major noise generators and noise-sensitive land uses, and to establish appropriate noise mitigation strategies. (AI 105)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy N 2.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
N 2.2: Require a qualified acoustical specialist to prepare acoustical studies for proposed noise-sensitive projects within noise impacted areas to mitigate existing noise. (AI 105, 107)	<u>Consistent</u> . In conformance with this policy, a site-specific noise impact analysis was conducted for the Project and is included as EIR <i>Technical Appendix L</i> . Because impacts were determined to be less than significant as presented in EIR Subsection 4.13, <i>Noise</i> , no mitigation measures are necessary.
N 2.3: Mitigate exterior and interior noises to the levels listed in Table N-2 below to the extent feasible, for stationary sources: (AI 105)	<u>Consistent</u> . In conformance with this policy, a site-specific noise impact analysis was conducted for the Project and is included as EIR <i>Technical Appendix L</i> . Because impacts were determined to be less than significant as presented in EIR Subsection 4.13, <i>Noise</i> , no mitigation measures are necessary.
N 3.1: Protect Riverside County’s agricultural resources from noise complaints that may result from routine farming practices, through the enforcement of Riverside County Right-to-Farm Ordinance. (AI 105, 107)	<u>Consistent</u> . As documented in EIR Subsection 4.2, <i>Agriculture and Forestry Resources</i> , there are no properties within 300 feet of the Project site that comprise agriculturally-zoned property pursuant to Riverside County Ordinance No. 625. Therefore, the Project would not cause development of non-agricultural uses



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
N 3.2: Require acoustical studies and subsequent approval by the Planning Department and the Office of Industrial Hygiene, to help determine effective noise mitigation strategies in noise-producing areas. (AI 105)	within 300 feet of agriculturally zoned property. <u>Consistent.</u> In conformance with this policy, a site-specific noise impact analysis was conducted for the Project and is included as EIR <i>Technical Appendix L</i> . Because impacts were determined to be less than significant as presented in EIR Subsection 4.13, <i>Noise</i> , no mitigation measures are necessary.
N 3.3: Ensure compatibility between industrial development and adjacent land uses. To achieve compatibility, industrial development projects may be required to include noise mitigation measures to avoid or minimize project impacts on adjacent uses. (AI 107)	<u>Consistent.</u> In conformance with this policy, a site-specific noise impact analysis was conducted for the Project and is included as EIR <i>Technical Appendix L</i> . Because impacts were determined to be less than significant as presented in EIR Subsection 4.13, <i>Noise</i> , no mitigation measures are necessary.
N 3.4: Identify point-source noise producers such as manufacturing plants, truck transfer stations, and commercial development by conducting a survey of individual sites. (AI 106)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy N 3.4 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
N 3.5: Require that a noise analysis be conducted by an acoustical specialist for all proposed projects that are noise producers. Include recommendations for design mitigation if the project is to be located either within proximity of a noise-sensitive land use, or land designated for noise-sensitive land uses. (AI 109)	<u>Consistent.</u> In conformance with this policy, a site-specific noise impact analysis was conducted for the Project and is included as EIR <i>Technical Appendix L</i> . Because impacts were determined to be less than significant as presented in EIR Subsection 4.13, <i>Noise</i> , no mitigation measures are necessary.
N 3.6: Discourage projects that are incapable of successfully mitigating excessive noise. (AI 107)	<u>Consistent.</u> A site-specific noise impact analysis was conducted for the Project and is included as EIR <i>Technical Appendix L</i> . Because impacts were determined to be less than significant as presented in EIR Subsection 4.13, <i>Noise</i> , no mitigation measures are necessary.
N 3.7: Encourage noise-tolerant land uses such as commercial or industrial, to locate in areas already committed to land uses that are noise-producing. (AI 107)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy N 3.7 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
N 4.1 Prohibit facility-related noise received by any sensitive use from exceeding the following worst-case noise levels: (AI 105) a. 45 dBA-10-minute Leq between 10:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. b. 65 dBA-10-minute Leq between 7:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> The Project does not propose any noise-sensitive land uses.
N 4.2 Develop measures to control non-transportation noise impacts. (AI 105)	<u>Consistent.</u> A site-specific noise impact analysis was conducted



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
	for the Project and is included as EIR <i>Technical Appendix L</i> . Because impacts were determined to be less than significant as presented in EIR Subsection 4.13, <i>Noise</i> , no mitigation measures are necessary.
N 4.3: Ensure any use determined to be a potential generator of significant stationary noise impacts be properly analyzed and ensure that the recommended mitigation measures are implemented. (AI 105, 106, 109)	<u>Consistent</u> . In conformance with this policy, a site-specific noise impact analysis was conducted for the Project and is included as EIR <i>Technical Appendix L</i> . Because impacts were determined to be less than significant as presented in EIR Subsection 4.13, <i>Noise</i> , no mitigation measures are necessary.
N 4.4: Require that detailed and independent acoustical studies be conducted for any new or renovated land uses or structures determined to be potential major stationary noise sources. (AI 105)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . The land uses proposed as part of the Project would not be potential major stationary noise sources.
N 4.5: Encourage major stationary noise-generating sources throughout the County of Riverside to install additional noise buffering or reduction mechanisms within their facilities to reduce noise generation levels to the lowest extent practicable prior to the renewal of conditional use permits or business licenses or prior to the approval and/or issuance of new conditional use permits for said facilities. (AI 105, 107)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . The land uses proposed as part of the Project would not be potential major stationary noise sources.
N 4.6 Establish acceptable standards for residential noise sources such as, but not limited to, leaf blowers, mobile vendors, mobile stereos and stationary noise sources such as home appliances, air conditioners, and swimming pool equipment. (AI 105)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy N 4.6 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
N 4.7: Evaluate noise producers for the possibility of pure-tone producing noises. Mitigate any pure tones that may be emitted from a noise source. (AI 106, 107)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy N 4.7 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
N 4.8: Require that the parking structures, terminals, and loading docks of commercial or industrial land uses be designed to minimize the potential noise impacts of vehicles on the site as well as on adjacent land uses. (AI 106, 107)	<u>Consistent</u> . Proposed off-street loading areas (15 loading dock spaces) face southeast, away from surrounding roadways.
N 5.1 and N 5.2: Wind Energy Conversion System (WECS).	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policies N 5.1 and N 5.2 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
N 6.1: Consider noise reduction as a factor in the purchase of County maintenance equipment and their use by County contractors and permittees. (AI 108)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy N 6.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
N 6.2: Investigate the feasibility of retrofitting current County-owned vehicles and mechanical	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy N 6.2 provides direction to County staff



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
equipment to comply with noise performance standards consistent with the best available noise reduction technology. (AI 108)	and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
N 6.3: Require commercial or industrial truck delivery hours be limited when adjacent to noise-sensitive land uses unless there is no feasible alternative or there are overriding transportation benefits. (AI 105, 107)	<u>Consistent</u> . As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.13, <i>Noise</i> , the Project’s construction and operational noise impacts would be less than significant.
N 6.4: Restrict the use of motorized trail bikes, mini-bikes, and other off-road vehicles in areas of the county except where designated for that purpose. Enforce strict operating hours for these vehicles in order to minimize noise impacts on sensitive land uses adjacent to public trails and parks. (AI 105, 108)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy N 6.4 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
N 7.1: New land use development within Airport Influence Areas shall comply with airport land use noise compatibility criteria contained in the corresponding airport land use compatibility plan for the area. Each Area Plan affected by a public-use airport includes one or more Airport Influence Areas, one for each airport. The applicable noise compatibility criteria are fully set forth in Appendix I-1 and summarized in the Policy Area section of the affected Area Plan.	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project site is not located within two miles of a public airport or within an airport land use plan and is outside of the airport influence area for the Corona Municipal Airport.
N 7.2: Adhere to applicable noise compatibility criteria when making decisions regarding land uses adjacent to airports. Refer to the Airports section of the Land Use Element (Page LU-32) and the Airport Influence Area sections of the corresponding Area Plans.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . The Project site is not located adjacent to or within two miles of a public airport or within an airport land use plan and is outside of the airport influence area for the Corona Municipal Airport.
N 7.3: Prohibit new residential land uses, except construction of a single-family dwelling on a legal residential lot of record, within the current 60 dB CNEL contours of any currently operating public-use, or military airports. The applicable noise contours are as defined by Riverside County Airport Land Use Commission and depicted in Appendix I-1, as well as in the applicable Area Plan’s Airport Influence Area section.	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project site is not located within the 60 dB CNEL contours of any currently operating public-use or military airports.
N 7.4: Check each development proposal to determine if it is located within an airport noise impact area as depicted in the applicable Area Plan’s Policy Area section regarding Airport Influence Areas. Development proposals within a noise impact area shall comply with applicable airport land use noise compatibility criteria.	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project site is not located within two miles of a public airport or within an airport land use plan and is outside of the airport influence area for the Corona Municipal Airport.
N 8.1: Prohibit residential development, except construction of a single-family dwelling on a legal residential lot of record, within the current 60 dB CNEL contours of the Chocolate Mountain Aerial Gunnery Range.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . The Project site does not propose residential uses and is not located near the Chocolate Mountain Aerial Gunnery Range.
N 9.1: Enforce all noise sections of the State Motor Vehicle Code.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy N 9.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
N 9.2: Ensure the inclusion of noise mitigation measures in the design of new roadway projects in the county. (AI 105)	<u>Consistent.</u> In conformance with this policy, a site-specific noise impact analysis was conducted for the Project and is included as EIR <i>Technical Appendix L</i> . Impacts due to Project-related transportation noise were determined to be less than significant and mitigation measures are not required.
N 9.3: Require development that generates increased traffic and subsequent increases in the ambient noise level adjacent to noise-sensitive land uses to provide for appropriate mitigation measures. (AI 106)	<u>Consistent.</u> As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.13, <i>Noise</i> , the has no noise impacts for which mitigation measures would be required.
N 9.4: Require that the loading and shipping facilities of commercial and industrial land uses, which abut residential parcels be located and designed to minimize the potential noise impacts upon residential parcels. (AI 105)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> There are no sensitive receptors abutting the Project site.
N 9.5: Employ noise mitigation practices when designing all future streets and highways, and when improvements occur along existing highway segments. These mitigation measures will emphasize the establishment of natural buffers or setbacks between the arterial roadways and adjoining noise-sensitive areas. (AI 105)	<u>Consistent.</u> Roadways to be improved as part of the Project do not front along any noise-sensitive land uses.
N 9.6: Require that all future exterior noise forecasts use Level of Service C, and be based on designed road capacity or 20-year projection of development (whichever is less) for future noise forecasts. (AI 106)	<u>Consistent.</u> In conformance with this policy, a site-specific Noise Impact Analysis (NIA) was conducted for the Project and is included as EIR <i>Technical Appendix L</i> . The NIA was prepared in accordance with County requirements.
N 9.7: Require that field noise monitoring be performed prior to siting to any sensitive land uses along arterial roadways. Noise level measurements should be of at least 10 minutes in duration and should include simultaneous vehicle counts so that more accurate vehicle ratios may be used in modeling ambient noise levels. (AI 106)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> The Project does not include any proposed noise-sensitive land uses.
N 10.1 – N 10.4: Mass Transit.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policies N 10.1 through N 10.4 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
N 11.1 – N 11.5: Rail.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policies N 11.1 through N 11.5 are policies that suggest actions to minimize the impacts of train noise on noise-sensitive land uses. The Project site is not located near a railroad line, and the Project site is therefore not subject to substantial railroad-related noise.
N 12.1: Utilize natural barriers such as hills, berms, boulders, and dense vegetation to assist in noise reduction. (AI 108)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.13, <i>Noise</i> , Project-related noise levels are less than significant, and



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
	mitigation measures are not required to address noise reduction.
N 12.2L Utilize dense landscaping to effectively reduce noise. However, when there is a long initial period where the immaturity of new landscaping makes this approach only marginally effective, utilize a large number of highly dense species planted in a fairly mature state, at close intervals, in conjunction with earthen berms, setbacks, or block walls. (AI 108)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.13, <i>Noise</i> , Project-related noise levels would be less than significant requiring no mitigation.
N 13.1: Minimize the impacts of construction noise on adjacent uses within acceptable practices. (AI 105, 108)	<u>Consistent</u> . As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.13, <i>Noise</i> , Project-related construction noise levels would be less than significant requiring no mitigation.
N 13.2: Ensure that construction activities are regulated to establish hours of operation in order to prevent and/or mitigate the generation of excessive or adverse noise impacts on surrounding areas. (AI 105, 108)	<u>Consistent</u> . Project construction activities would be subject to County of Riverside Ordinance No. 847, which prohibits construction activities that make loud noise from occurring between 6:00 p.m. and 6:00 a.m. during the months of June through September, and between 6:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. during the months of October through May, and on Sundays and Federal holidays, unless an exemption is approved by the Director of the Building and Safety Department. Nighttime construction activities, if proposed, would not expose nearby sensitive receptors to noise levels exceeding the County’s nighttime standard of 45 dBA Leq.
N 8.0: Condition subdivision approval adjacent to developed/occupied noise-sensitive land uses (see policy N 1.3) by requiring the developer to submit a construction-related noise mitigation plan to the County for review and approval prior to issuance of a grading permit. The plan must depict the location of construction equipment and how the noise from this equipment will be mitigated during construction of this project, through the use of such methods as: a. Temporary noise attenuation fences; b. Preferential location of equipment; and c. Use of current noise suppression technology and equipment. (AI 107)	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project is not a subdivision adjacent to noise-sensitive land uses. Construction noise is discussed in EIR Subsection 4.13, <i>Noise</i> , which concludes that noise impacts would be less than significant; therefore, mitigation measures are not required.
N 13.4: Require that all construction equipment utilizes noise reduction features (e.g. mufflers and engine shrouds) that are no less effective than those originally installed by the manufacturer. (AI 105, 108)	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project would not conflict with this policy.
N 14.1: Enforce the California Building Standards that sets standards for building construction to mitigate interior noise levels to the tolerable 45 CNEL limit. These standards are utilized in	<u>Not Applicable</u> . The Project’s proposed land uses do not consist of noise-sensitive uses.



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
conjunction with the Uniform Building Code by the County’s Building Department to ensure that noise protection is provided to the public. Some design features may include extra-dense insulation, double-paned windows, and dense construction materials.	
N 14.2: Continue to develop effective strategies and mitigation measures for the abatement of noise hazards reflecting effective site design approaches and state-of-the-art building technologies. (AI 108)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy N 14.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
N 14.3: Incorporate acoustic site planning into the design of new development, particularly large scale, mixed-use, or master-planned development, through measures which may include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Separation of noise-sensitive buildings from noise-generating sources. • Use of natural topography and intervening structure to shield noise-sensitive land uses. • Adequate sound proofing within the receiving structure. (AI 106) 	<u>Consistent.</u> The Project does not contain noise-sensitive uses that require separation from noise-generating sources.
N 14.4: Consider and, when necessary, to lower noise to acceptable limits, require noise barriers and landscaped berms. (AI 108)	<u>Consistent.</u> The Project would result in less-than-significant impacts related to noise. Noise barriers and landscaped berms are not required to lower Project-related noise to below the County’s thresholds.
N 14.5: Consider the issue of adjacent residential land uses when designing and configuring all new, nonresidential development. Design and configure on-site ingress and egress points that divert traffic away from nearby noise-sensitive land uses to the greatest degree practicable. (AI 106, 107)	<u>Consistent.</u> There are no residential land uses or other sensitive receptors adjacent to the Project site.
N 14.6: Prevent the transmission of excessive and unacceptable noise levels between individual tenants and businesses in commercial structures and between individual dwelling units in multi-family residential structures. (AI 105, 108)	<u>Consistent.</u> The Project would not produce excessive noise and would not transmit excessive and unacceptable noise levels between tenants and businesses.
N 14.7: Assist the efforts of local homeowners living in high noise areas to noise attenuate their homes through funding assistance and retrofitting program development, as feasible. (AI 105, 108)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy N 14.7 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
N 14.8: Review all development applications for consistency with the standards and policies of the Noise Element of the General Plan.	<u>Consistent.</u> As demonstrated herein, the Project would not conflict with the Noise Element of the General Plan.
N 14.9: Mitigate 600 square feet of exterior space to 65 dB CNEL when new development is proposed on residential parcels of 1 acre or greater.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> The Project does not include any residential uses.
N 15.1: Minimize the potential adverse noise impacts associated with the development of mixed-use structures where residential units are located above or adjacent to commercial uses. (AI 106, 107, 108)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> The Project does not include any residential uses.



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
N 15.2: Require that commercial and residential mixed-use structures minimize the transfer or transmission of noise and vibration from the commercial land use to the residential land use. (AI 105)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> The Project does not include any residential uses.
N 15.3: Minimize the generation of excessive noise level impacts from entertainment and restaurant/bar establishments into adjacent residential or noise-sensitive uses. (AI 105, 107)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> No entertainment and restaurant/bar uses or any other uses that produce excessive noise are proposed as part of the Project.
N 16.1: Restrict the placement of sensitive land uses in proximity to vibration-producing land uses. (AI 105)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> The Project does not include any vibration-sensitive land uses.
N 16.2 Consider the following land uses sensitive to vibration: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hospitals; • Residential areas; • Concert halls; • Libraries; • Sensitive research operations; • Schools; and • Offices 	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy N 16.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project. None of these sensitive uses occur in the vicinity of the proposed Project, and the Project would not produce significant vibration.
N 16.3: Prohibit exposure of residential dwellings to perceptible ground vibration from passing trains as perceived at the ground or second floor. Perceptible motion shall be presumed to be a motion velocity of 0.01 inches/second over a range of 1 to 100 Hz.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> The Project does not include any residential uses and there are no railroad facilities in the Project vicinity.
N 17.1 – N 17.3: Noise Information Management – Mapping.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policies N 17.1 through N 17.3 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
N 18.1 – N 18.9: Noise Information Management – Noise Data Management.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policies N 18.1 through N 18.9 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
N 19.1: Provide information to the public regarding the health effects of high noise levels and means of mitigating such levels. (AI 109)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy N 19.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
N 19.2: Cooperate with industry to develop public information programs on noise abatement. (AI 108)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy N 19.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>N 19.3: Condition that prospective purchasers or end users of property be notified of overflight, sight, and sound of routine aircraft operations by all effective means, including:</p> <p>a. requiring new residential subdivisions that are located within the 60 CNEL contour or are subject to overflight, sight, and sound of aircraft from any airport, to have such information included in the State of California Final Subdivision Public Report.</p> <p>b. requiring that Declaration and Notification of Aircraft Noise and Environmental Impacts be recorded and made available to prospective purchasers or end users of property located within the 60 CNEL noise contour for any airport or air station or is subject to routine aircraft overflight. (AI 109)</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> The Project is not located within two miles of a public airport or within an airport land use plan, and there are no components of the proposed Project that would affect or be affected by airport operations.</p>
<p>N 19.4: Promote increased awareness concerning the effects of noise and suggest methods by which the public can be of assistance in reducing noise.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy N 19.4 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>N 19.5: Require new developments that have the potential to generate significant noise impacts to inform impacted users on the effects of these impacts during the environmental review process. (AI 106, 107)</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> Project noise impacts would be less than significant and the Project does not have the potential to general significant noise impacts. The Project’s potential impacts due to noise are addressed in EIR Subsection 4.13, <i>Noise</i>, which concludes that all noise impacts would be less than significant requiring no mitigation.</p>
GENERAL PLAN HOUSING ELEMENT	
<p>The 2017-2021 Housing Element identifies and establishes the County’s policies with respect to meeting the needs of existing and future residents in Riverside County. It establishes policies that will guide County decision-making and sets forth an action plan to implement its housing goals over the next eight years. The commitments are in furtherance of the statewide housing goal of “early attainment of decent housing and a suitable living environment for every California family,” as well as a reflection of the concerns unique to Riverside County.</p> <p>In particular, the Housing Element addresses how the County plans to meet its Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) obligations. The RHNA fair share allocation process begins with the California Department of Finance’s projection of statewide housing need, which are then further allocated by the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG). The Housing Element demonstrates that there is sufficient land within the County to accommodate future growth, including housing for lower income households.</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> The Project does not include any residential development. The Riverside County General Plan designates large portions of the County for development of residential uses, including residential housing for lower-income households. With implementation of the Project, the County still would be able to meet its RHNA fair share allocations.</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
GENERAL PLAN AIR QUALITY ELEMENT	
AQ 1.1 – AQ 1.11: Multi-jurisdictional Cooperation.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policies AQ 1.1 through AQ 1.11 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 2.1: The County land use planning efforts shall assure that sensitive receptors are separated and protected from polluting point sources to the greatest extent possible. (AI 114)	<u>Consistent.</u> There are no polluting point sources in the Project vicinity, and the Project would not generate substantial amounts of point-source pollution.
AQ 2.2: Require site plan designs to protect people and land uses sensitive to air pollution through the use of barriers and/or distance from emissions sources when possible. (AI 114)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> There are no sensitive land uses in the vicinity of the Project site and no sources of substantial air pollution in the area that would require the use of barriers or distance from emission sources. The Project would not comprise substantial sources of air pollution in the area (refer to EIR Subsection 4.3, <i>Air Quality</i>).
AQ 2.3: Encourage the use of pollution control measures such as landscaping, vegetation and other materials, which trap particulate matter or control pollution. (AI 114)	<u>Consistent.</u> The Project’s landscape plan is consistent with this policy. The Project’s proposed storm drain system would be designed to route first flush runoff to landscaped areas and a series of catch basins. Runoff collected by the catch basins would be routed to a series of underground infiltration systems proposed throughout the Project site. The underground infiltration systems have been designed to detain runoff and provide water quality treatment, and would reduce pollutants of concern in runoff leaving the Project site, such as bacterial indicators, metals, nutrients, pesticides, toxic organic compounds, sediments, trash/debris, and oil/grease. All runoff generated on site would be appropriately treated prior to ultimate discharge into Temescal Wash.
AQ 2.4: Consider creating a program to plant urban trees on an Area Plan basis that removes pollutants from the air, provides shade and decreases the negative impacts of heat on the air. (AI 114)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy AQ 2.4 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
AQ 3.1 – AQ 3.4: Mobile Pollution Sources.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policies AQ 3.1 through AQ 3.4 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 4.1: Require the use of all feasible building materials/methods which reduce emissions.	<u>Consistent.</u> As demonstrated in EIR Subsection 4.3, <i>Air Quality</i> , the Project’s emissions would be below the SCAQMD thresholds of significance for criteria pollutants. There are no components of the proposed Project’s building materials that would result in increased air quality impacts beyond what is evaluated and disclosed by the Project’s EIR.
AQ 4.2: Require the use of all feasible efficient heating equipment and other appliances, such as water heaters, swimming pool heaters, cooking equipment, refrigerators, furnaces and boiler units.	<u>Consistent.</u> The Project is subject to existing State building code requirements for energy efficient heating equipment and other appliances.
AQ 4.3: Require centrally heated facilities to utilize automated time clocks or occupant sensors to control heating where feasible.	<u>Consistent.</u> The County would enforce this policy as part of its review of future building permit applications.
AQ 4.4: Require residential building construction to comply with energy use guidelines detailed in Part 6 (California Energy Code) and/or Part 11 (California Green Building Standards Code) of Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> The Project does not include any residential uses.
<p>AQ 4.5: Require stationary pollution sources to minimize the release of toxic pollutants through:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design features; • Operating procedures; • Preventive maintenance; • Operator training; and • Emergency response planning 	<u>Not Applicable.</u> The land uses proposed by the Project would not contain any sources of substantial pollution sources or result in the release of toxic pollutants. Refer also to EIR Subsection 4.3, <i>Air Quality</i> .
AQ 4.6: Require stationary air pollution sources to comply with applicable air district rules and control measures.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> The Project would not contain any substantial stationary air pollution sources. The Project would be required to comply with all applicable SCAQMD requirements. Refer also to EIR Subsection 4.3, <i>Air Quality</i> .
AQ 4.7: To the greatest extent possible, require every project to mitigate any of its anticipated emissions which exceed allowable emissions as established by the SCAQMD, MDAQMD, SCAB, the Environmental Protection Agency and the California Air Resources Board.	<u>Consistent.</u> As documented in EIR Subsection 4.3, <i>Air Quality</i> , air quality emissions associated with the Project would be below all applicable SCAQMD thresholds of significance. Impacts to air quality would be less than significant, requiring no mitigation.



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
AQ 4.8: Expand, as appropriate, measures contained in the County’s Fugitive Dust Reduction Program for the Coachella Valley to the entire County.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy AQ 4.8 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 4.9: Require compliance with SCAQMD Rules 403 and 403.1, and support appropriate future measures to reduce fugitive dust emanating from construction sites.	<u>Consistent</u> . All construction activities associated with the Project would be required to comply with SCAQMD Rules 403 and 403.1, as applicable.
AQ 4.10: Coordinate with the SCAQMD and MDAQMD to create a communications plan to alert those conducting grading operations in the County of first, second, and third stage smog alerts, and when wind speeds exceed 25 miles per hour. During these instances all grading operations should be suspended. (AI 111)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy AQ 4.10 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 5.1: Utilize source reduction, recycling and other appropriate measures to reduce the amount of solid waste disposed of in landfills.	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project is required to provide containers for recycled and landscape materials in accordance with the County’s solid waste regulations. Solid waste collection and disposal is provided by the Riverside County Department of Waste Resources (RCDWR) through a franchise agreement WMIE, a private company. Waste within the Project area is sent directly to the El Sobrante Landfill, which is just northeast of the Project site.
AQ 5.2: Adopt incentives and/or regulations to enact energy conservation requirements for private and public developments. (AI 62)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy AQ 5.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 5.3: Update, when necessary, the County’s Policy Manual for Energy Conservation to reflect revisions to the County Energy Conservation Program.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy AQ 5.3 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 5.4: Encourage the incorporation of energy-efficient design elements, including appropriate site orientation and the use of shade and windbreak trees to reduce fuel consumption for heating and cooling.	<u>Consistent</u> . As documented in EIR Subsection 4.6, <i>Energy</i> , the Project would not result in the wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources.
AQ 6.1: Assist small businesses by developing education and job training programs, especially in job-poor areas. (AI 124)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy AQ 6.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 6.2: Collaborate with local colleges and universities to develop appropriate educational programs to assist residents in obtaining job skills to meet market demands.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy AQ 6.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
AQ 7.1 – AQ 7.7: Business Development.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policies AQ 7.1 through AQ 7.7 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 8.1: Locate new public facilities in job-poor areas of the county. (AI 18)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy AQ 8.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 8.2: Emphasize job creation and reductions in vehicle miles traveled in job-poor areas to improve air quality over other less efficient methods. (AI 18)	<u>Consistent.</u> The Project would accommodate a light industrial warehouse use which would increase the employment opportunities available within this portion of Riverside County. The Project would assist the County in reducing VMT by providing for employment-generating land uses on site that would reduce the need for County residents to commute outside of the County for employment.
AQ 8.3: Time and locate public facilities and services so that they further enhance job creation opportunities. (AI 18)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy AQ 8.3 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 8.4: Support new mixed-use land use patterns and community centers which encourage community self-sufficiency and containment, and discourage automobile dependency. (AI 14)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy AQ 8.4 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 8.5: Develop community centers in conformance with policies contained in the Land Use Element. (AI 14)	<u>Consistent.</u> As demonstrated herein, the Project would be consistent with applicable policies of the General Plan Land Use Element.
AQ 8.6: Encourage employment centers in close proximity to residential uses. (AI 14)	<u>Consistent.</u> Although there are no residential uses in the vicinity of the Project site, there are residential uses west of I-15. The Project’s warehouse uses would provide employment employment-generating land uses on site that would reduce the need for County residents to commute outside of the County for employment.
AQ 8.7: Implement zoning code provisions which encourage community centers, telecommuting and home-based businesses. (AI 1)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy AQ 8.7 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 8.8: Promote land use patterns which reduce the number and length of motor vehicle trips. (AI 26)	<u>Consistent.</u> The Project would accommodate a light industrial warehouse use which would increase the employment



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
	opportunities available within this portion of Riverside County. The Project would assist the County in reducing VMT by providing for employment-generating land uses on site that would reduce the need for County residents to commute outside of the County for employment.
AQ 8.9: Promote land use patterns that promote alternative modes of travel. (AI 26)	<u>Consistent</u> . The land use intensities proposed as part of the Project would support future mass transit in the local area. Additionally, the Project accommodates trails along the site’s frontage with Temescal Canyon Road, and a trail would be constructed by others in the future along the southern edge of the realigned Coldwater Canyon Wash drainage.
AQ 9.1: Cooperate with local, regional, state and federal jurisdictions to reduce vehicle miles traveled and motor vehicle emissions through job creation. (AI 18)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy AQ 9.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 9.2: Attain performance goals and/or VMT reductions which are consistent with SCAG’s Growth Management Plan. (AI 26)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy AQ 9.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 10.1 – AQ 10.4: Trip Reduction.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policies AQ 10.1 through AQ 10.4 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 11.1 – AQ 11.4: Special Events.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policies AQ 11.1 through AQ 11.4 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 12.1 – AQ 12.5: Transportation Systems Management – Traffic Flow.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policies AQ 12.1 through AQ 12.5 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 13.1 – AQ 8.0: Transportation Systems Management – Transportation System Management Improvements.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policies AQ 13.1 through AQ 8.0 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 14.1 – AQ 14.4: Transportation Facility Development.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policies AQ 14.1 through AQ 14.4 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 15.1: Identify and monitor sources, enforce existing regulations, and promote stronger controls	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy AQ 15.1 provides direction to County



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
to reduce particulate matter.	staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 16.1 – AQ 16.4: Multi-jurisdictional Cooperation.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policies AQ 16.1 through AQ 16.4 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 17.1 – AQ 17.11: Control Measures.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policies AQ 17.1 through AQ 17.11 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 18.1: Baseline emissions inventory and forecast. Riverside County CAP has included baseline emissions inventory with data from the County’s CO2e emissions, for specific sectors and specific years. The carbon inventory greatly aids the process of determining the type, scope and number of GHG reduction policies needed. It also facilitates the tracking of policy implementation and effectiveness. The carbon inventory for the County consists of two distinct components; one inventory is for the County as a whole, as defined by its geographical borders and the other inventory is for the emissions resulting from the County’s municipal operations.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy AQ 18.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 18.2: Adopt GHG emissions reduction targets. Pursuant to the results of the Carbon Inventory and Greenhouse Gas Analysis for Riverside County, future development proposed as a discretionary project pursuant to the General Plan shall achieve a greenhouse gas emissions reduction of 25% compared to Business As Usual (BAU) project in order to be found consistent with the County’s Climate Action Plan (CAP). (AI 26)	<u>Consistent</u> . As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.8, <i>Greenhouse Gas Emissions</i> , mitigation has been imposed on the Project requiring compliance with the County’s CAP, and therefore the Project would assist the County in meeting its target to reduce Greenhouse Gases (GHGs) by 25% as compared to BAU.
AQ 18.3: Develop a Climate Action Plan for reducing GHG emissions. Riverside County CAP has been developed to formalize the measure necessary to achieve County GHG emissions reduction targets. The CAP includes both the policies necessary to meet stated targets and objectives are met. These targets, objectives and Implementation Measures may be refined, superseded or supplemented as warranted in the future. (AI 146)	<u>Consistent</u> . As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.8, <i>Greenhouse Gas Emissions</i> , mitigation has been imposed on the Project requiring compliance with the County’s CAP.
AQ 18.4: Implement policies and measures to achieve reduction targets. The County shall implement the greenhouse gas reduction policies and measures established under the County Climate Action Plan for all new discretionary development proposals. (AI 23, 147)	<u>Consistent</u> . As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.8, <i>Greenhouse Gas Emissions</i> , mitigation has been imposed on the Project requiring compliance with the County’s CAP.
AQ 18.5: Monitor and verify results. The County shall monitor and verify the progress and results of the CAP periodically. When necessary, the CAPs “feedback” provisions shall be used to ensure that any changes needed to stay “on target” with stated goals are accomplished. (AI 26, 147)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy AQ 18.5 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 19.1: Continue to coordinate with CARB, SCAQMD, and the State Attorney General’s office to ensure that the milestones and reduction strategies presented in the General Plan and the CAP	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy AQ 19.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
adequately address the county’s GHG emissions. (AI 110, 111, 113)	Project.
AQ 19.2: Utilize County’s CAP as the guiding document for determining County’s greenhouse gas reduction thresholds and implementation programs. Implementation of the CAP and its monitoring program shall include the ability to expand upon, or where appropriate, update or replace the Implementation Measures established herein such that the implementation of the CAP accomplishes the greenhouse gas reduction targets. (AI 146)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy AQ 19.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 19.3 Require new development projects subject to County discretionary approval to achieve the greenhouse gas reduction targets established in the CAP either through: (AI 147) a. Garnishing 100 points through the Implementation Measures found the County’s CAP; or b. Requiring quantification of project specific GHG emissions and reduction of GHG emissions to, at minimum, the applicable GHG reduction threshold established in the CAP.	<u>Consistent</u> . As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.8, <i>Greenhouse Gas Emissions</i> , mitigation has been imposed on the Project requiring compliance with the County’s CAP, including a requirement to obtain 100 points per the County’s CAP Implementation Measures and requiring the Project Applicant to demonstrate that a minimum of 20% of the Project’s energy demand would be met through renewable energy production.
AQ 19.4: All discretionary project proposals shall analyze their project-specific GHG reduction targets in comparison to the “business as usual” (BAU) scenario for the development’s operational life and the “operational life” of a new development shall be defined as a 30-year span. Other methods for calculating BAU and showing GHG emissions reductions may be used provided such methods are both scientifically defensible and show actual emission reduction measures incorporated into project design, mitigation or alternative selection. Alternatively, a project may use the CAP Screening Tables to show the attainment of the applicable number of points needed to ensure adequate GHG reductions and CAP compliance. (AI 47, 147)	<u>Consistent</u> . In conformance with this policy, a Project-specific Greenhouse Gas Assessment was prepared for the Project and is included as EIR <i>Technical Appendix B</i> . The Greenhouse Gas Assessment complies with the requirements of this policy.
AQ 20.1: Reduce VMT by requiring expanded multi-modal facilities and services that provide transportation alternatives, such as transit, bicycle and pedestrian modes. Improve connectivity of the multi-modal facilities by providing linkages between various uses in the developments. (AI 47, 53, 146)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy AQ 20.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 20.2: Reduce VMT by facilitating an increase in transit options. In particular, coordinate with adjacent municipalities, transit providers and regional transportation planning agencies to develop mutual policies and funding mechanisms to increase the use of alternative transportation. (AI 47, 53, 146)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy AQ 20.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 20.3: Reduce VMT and GHG emissions by improving circulation network efficiency. (AI 47, 53, 146)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy AQ 20.3 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 20.4: Reduce VMT and traffic through programs that increase carpooling and public transit	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy AQ 20.4 provides direction to County



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
use, decrease trips and commute times, and increase use of alternative-fuel vehicles. (AI 47, 146)	staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 20.5: Reduce emissions from standard gasoline vehicles, through VMT, by requiring all new residential units to install circuits and provide capacity for electric vehicle charging stations (AI 47, 53, 146)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . The Project does not involve any residential land uses.
AQ 20.6: Reduce emissions from commercial vehicles, through VMT, by requiring all new commercial buildings, in excess of 162,000 square feet, to install circuits and provide capacity for electric vehicle charging stations.	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project’s parking areas include dedicated spaces for electric vehicles and clean air/van pool spaces.
AQ 20.7: Reduce VMT through increased densities in urban centers and encouraging emphasis on mixed use to provide residential, commercial and employment opportunities in closer proximity to each other. Such measures will also support achieving the appropriate jobs-housing balance within the communities. (AI 47, 53, 117, 146)	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project site is not located in an urban center, although the Project would accommodate employment-generating land uses that would serve to assist the County in improving the jobs-housing balance and reducing VMT.
AQ 20.8: Reduce VMT by increasing options for non-vehicular access through urban design principles that promote higher residential densities with easily accessible parks and recreation opportunities nearby. (AI 115, 117, 146)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Residential and recreational uses are not proposed as part of the Project.
AQ 20.9: Reduce urban sprawl in order to minimize energy costs associated with infrastructure construction and transmission to distant locations, and to maximize protection of open space. (AI 26)	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project would entail development of the site with light industrial and open space land uses that would be located near existing and planned infrastructure.
AQ 20.10: Reduce energy consumption of the new developments (residential, commercial and industrial) through efficient site design that takes into consideration solar orientation and shading, as well as passive solar design. (AI 147)	<u>Consistent</u> . Pursuant to EIR Mitigation Measure MM 4.8-2 and as required by the County’s CAP Update, the Project would be conditioned to require on-site generation of at least 20% of the Project’s energy demand, which primarily would be met through solar panels. The proposed building has been designed to accommodate solar panels.
AQ 20.11: Increase energy efficiency of the new developments through efficient use of utilities (water, electricity, natural gas) and infrastructure design. Also, increase energy efficiency through use of energy efficient mechanical systems and equipment. (AI 147)	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project is required to be constructed in accordance with the current State and County building codes, which include requirements related to energy efficiency and infrastructure design.
AQ 20.12: Support programs to assist in the energy-efficient retrofitting of older affordable housing units to improve their energy efficiency, particularly residential units built prior to 1978 when CCR Title 24 energy efficiency requirements went into effect. (AI 147)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy AQ 20.12 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 20.13: Reduce water use and wastewater generation in both new and existing housing, commercial and industrial uses. Encourage increased efficiency of water use for agricultural	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project is required to be constructed in accordance with the current State and County building codes,



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
activities. (AI 147)	which include requirements related to water use and wastewater generation.
AQ 20.14: Reduce the amount of water used for landscaping irrigation through implementation of County Ordinance No. 859 and increase use of non-potable water.	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project’s conceptual landscaping plan has been designed to comply with Ordinance No. 859. Recycled water service is not available in the Project area.
AQ 20.15: Decrease energy costs associated with treatment of urban runoff water through greater use of bioswales and other biological systems.	<u>Consistent</u> . As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.10, <i>Hydrology and Water Quality</i> , the Project would implement its proposed drainage plan so that runoff that is tributary to the Project site would be captured by a proposed gutter along the southeastern property line that would convey the existing flows directly into Temescal Wash. Runoff generated within the Project site would be routed towards a series of catch basins and conveyed to a series of underground infiltration systems proposed throughout the Project site.
AQ 20.16: Preserve and promote forest lands and other suitable natural and artificial vegetation areas to maintain and increase the carbon sequestration capacity of such areas within the County. Artificial vegetation could include urban forestry and reforestation, development of parks and recreation areas, and preserving unique farmlands that provide additional carbon sequestration potential.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . The Project site does not contain forest lands or other suitable natural and artificial vegetation areas that could contribute to an increase in carbon sequestration capacity within the County.
AQ 20.17: Protect vegetation from increased fire risks associated with drought conditions to ensure biological carbon remains sequestered in vegetation and not released to the atmosphere through wildfires.	<u>Consistent</u> . When taking into consideration planned improvements to roadways abutting the Project site, the proposed warehouse building would be set back from areas subject to wildland fire hazards by a minimum of 190 feet, with most areas providing a minimum 400-foot buffer between the proposed building and areas subject to fire hazards. Areas between the proposed building and areas subject to wildland fire hazards would not include any uses that would increase wildfire hazards, and would consist of parking areas; ornamental vegetation; improved roadways (i.e., Temescal Canyon Road and Dawson Canyon Road); and the proposed 180-foot-wide realigned drainage channel for the Coldwater Canyon Wash. Thus, the Project would not expose natural vegetation to increased fire risks.



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
AQ 20.18: Encourage the installation of solar panels and other energy-efficient improvements and facilitate residential and commercial renewable energy facilities (solar array installations, individual wind energy generators, etc.). (AI 147)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy AQ 20.18 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 20.19: Facilitate development and siting of renewable energy facilities and transmission lines in appropriate locations. (AI 147)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy AQ 20.19 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 20.20: Reduce the amount of solid waste generation by increasing solid waste recycle, maximizing waste diversion, and composting for residential and commercial generators. Reduction in decomposable organic solid waste will reduce the methane emissions at County landfills. (AI 146)	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project is subject to the County’s solid waste requirements.
AQ 20.21 – AQ 20.26: Education, Coordination and Outreach Objectives.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policies AQ 20.21 through AQ 20.26 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
AQ 20.27 – AQ 20.30: Municipal Operational Objectives.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policies AQ 20.27 through AQ 20.30 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
<p>AQ 21.1: The County shall require new development projects subject to County discretionary approval to incorporate measures to achieve 100 points through incorporation of the Implementation Measures (IMs) found in the Screening Tables within Riverside County Climate Action Plan. One hundred points represent a project’s fare-share of reduction in operational emissions associated with the developed use needed to reduce emissions down to the CAP Reduction Target. (AI 147)</p> <p>a. This reduction shall be measured in comparison to the “business as usual” (BAU) scenario for the development’s operational life. The BAU scenario shall be consistent with the General Plan build out assumptions detailed in Appendix E-1 of the General Plan.</p> <p>b. For the purposes of this policy, the “operational life” of a new development shall be defined as a 30-year span with construction emissions amortized over the 30 years.</p> <p>c. For the purposes of this policy, “new development” refers to private development occurring pursuant to a discretionary land use approval issued by the County of Riverside and subject to binding Conditions of Approval. This definition generally corresponds to projects found non-exempt pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), but is nevertheless subject to the sole discretion of the County of Riverside as lead agency.</p>	<u>Consistent</u> . In conformance with this policy, a Project-specific Greenhouse Gas Assessment was prepared for the Project and is included as <i>Technical Appendix B</i> , and complies with the requirements specified by this policy. As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.8, <i>Greenhouse Gas Emissions</i> , mitigation has been imposed on the Project requiring compliance with the County’s CAP, including requiring the Project Applicant to demonstrate that future implementing development would achieve a minimum of 100 points pursuant to the CAP Implementation Measures and by requiring the Project Applicant to demonstrate that a minimum of 20% of the Project’s energy demand would be met through renewable energy production.



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>d. Other methods for calculating BAU and showing GHG emissions reductions may be used provided such methods are both scientifically defensible and show actual emission reduction measures incorporated into project design, mitigation or alternative selection. That is, reductions must not be illusory “paper” reductions achieved merely through baseline manipulation.</p> <p>e. Nothing in this policy shall be construed as accepting any proposed discretionary project from any legally applicable CEQA requirements or explicitly limiting the scope any analyses required to show CEQA compliance.</p>	
<p>AQ 21.2: Implementation Measures found necessary for a given project pursuant to the CAP Screening Tables shall be incorporated into a project’s Conditions of Approval issued by the County to ensure the measures are implemented appropriately. (AI 147)</p>	<p><u>Consistent</u>. As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.8, <i>Greenhouse Gas Emissions</i>, mitigation has been imposed on the Project requiring compliance with the County’s CAP, including requiring the Project Applicant to demonstrate that future implementing development would achieve a minimum of 100 points pursuant to the CAP Implementation Measures and by requiring the Project Applicant to demonstrate that a minimum of 20% of the Project’s energy demand would be met through renewable energy production. These and all Project mitigation measures will be incorporated into the Project’s Conditions of Approval.</p>
<p>AQ 21.3: Discretionary Measures - Because of the varied nature of the private development proposals reviewed by the County, in some cases, the Implementing Measures in the CAP may not provide the most appropriate means for achieving the required Interim GHG reductions. In such cases, the following alternate measures may be utilized, at the County’s discretion:</p> <p>a. For large-scale developments, such as specific plans, business parks, industrial centers, and those triggering a full Environmental Impact Report, a custom GHG analyses may be warranted to both assure compliance with the applicable targets herein and to provide a customized array of appropriate reduction measures.</p> <p>b. In such cases, the resultant GHG analysis may be used to develop customized GHG reduction measures in place of the CAP’s Implementing Measures, provided they achieve the stated targets or implement all feasible mitigation short of achieving the applicable targets.</p> <p>c. Project-specific analysis may be particularly valuable when assessing large-scale mixed use developments. In such developments, significant energy efficiencies and VMT reductions can result from smart growth design features, such as provision of housing, jobs, services and recreation within a 5- to 10-minute walking radius. Project-specific analysis in these cases may</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable</u>. Alternative measures for reducing the Project’s GHG emissions are not needed because mitigation has been identified requiring the Project Applicant to demonstrate compliance with the CAP Implementation Measures as necessary to achieve a minimum of 100 points and by requiring the Project Applicant to demonstrate that a minimum of 20% of the Project’s energy demand would be met through renewable energy production.</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
result in the need for fewer add-on Implementing Measures and potentially yield substantial savings on construction costs.	
AQ 21.4: Implementation of the Climate Action Plan (CAP) and monitoring progress toward the CAP reduction targets shall include the ability to expand upon or, where appropriate, update or replace the Implementation Measures established herein such that the implementation of the CAP accomplishes the County’s GHG reduction targets. (AI 146)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy AQ 21.4 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
<p>AQ 22.1 The County shall implement programs and requirements to achieve the following objectives related to reducing greenhouse gas emissions associated with transportation (AI 110, 111, 120, 146, 147):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Reduce vehicle miles traveled by providing or requiring expanded multi-modal facilities and services that provide transportation alternatives, such as transit, bicycle and pedestrian modes. b. Reduce vehicle miles traveled by facilitating an increase in transit options. In particular, coordinate with adjacent municipalities, transit providers and regional transportation planning agencies to develop mutual policies and funding mechanisms to increase the use of alternative transportation. c. Improve connectivity by requiring pedestrian linkages between developments and transportation facilities, as well as between residential and commercial, recreational and other adjacent land uses. d. Reduce air pollution and greenhouse gas emissions by improving circulation network efficiency. e. Reduce traffic through programs that increase carpooling and public transit use, decrease trips and commute times and increase use of alternative-fuel vehicles. f. Preserve transportation corridors for renewable energy transmission lines and for new transit lines, where appropriate. 	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy AQ 22.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
<p>AQ 23.1: The County shall implement programs and requirements to achieve the following objective related to reducing greenhouse gas emissions associated with land use patterns (AI 147):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Reduce vehicle miles travelled (VMT) through increased densities in urban centers and emphasis on mixed use to provide localized residential, commercial and employment opportunities in closer proximity to each other. b. Prevent urban sprawl in order to minimize energy costs associated with infrastructure construction and transmission to distant locations and to maximize protection of open space, particularly forests, which provide carbon sequestration potential. c. Conserve energy by increasing the efficiency of delivery of services through the adoption and 	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy AQ 23.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>implementation of smart growth principles and policies.</p> <p>d. Reduce vehicle miles travelled by commuters through implementation of planning measures that provide appropriate jobs-housing balances within communities.</p> <p>e. Reduce vehicle miles travelled by increasing options for nonvehicular access through urban design principles that promote higher residential densities in attractive forms with easily accessible parks and recreation opportunities nearby.</p> <p>f. Improve energy efficiency through implementation of standards for new residential and commercial buildings that achieve energy efficiencies beyond that required under Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations.</p> <p>g. Reduce vehicle miles travelled by identifying sites for affordable housing for workers close to employment centers and encouraging development of such sites.</p>	
<p>AQ 23.2: For discretionary actions, land use-related greenhouse gas reduction objectives shall be achieved through development and implementation of the appropriate Implementation Measures of the Climate Action Plan for individual future projects. County programs shall also be developed and implemented to address land use-related reductions for County operations and voluntary community efforts. (AI 147)</p>	<p><u>Consistent</u>. As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.8, <i>Greenhouse Gas Emissions</i>, mitigation has been imposed on the Project requiring compliance with the County’s CAP, including requiring the Project Applicant to demonstrate the Project would achieve a minimum of 100 points pursuant to the CAP Implementation Measures and by requiring the Project Applicant to demonstrate that a minimum of 20% of the Project’s energy demand would be met through renewable energy production.</p>
<p>AQ 24.1: The County shall implement programs and requirements to achieve the following Objectives related to reducing greenhouse gas emissions achieved through improving energy efficiency and increasing energy conservation (AI 146):</p> <p>a. Require new development (residential, commercial and industrial) to reduce energy consumption through efficient site design that takes into consideration solar orientation and shading, as well as passive solar design. Passive solar design addressed the innate heating and cooling effects achieved through building design, such as selective use of deep eaves for shading, operable windows for cross-ventilation, reflective surfaces for heat reduction and expanses of brick for thermal mass (passive radiant heating).</p> <p>b. Require new development (residential, commercial and industrial) to design energy efficiency into the project through efficient use of utilities (water, electricity, natural gas) and infrastructure design.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable</u>. Policy AQ 24.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>c. Require new development (residential, commercial and industrial) to reduce energy consumption through use of energy efficient mechanical systems and equipment.</p> <p>d. Establish or support programs to assist in the energy-efficient retrofitting of older affordable housing units.</p> <p>e. Actively seek out existing or develop new programs to achieve energy efficiency for existing structures, particularly residential units built prior to 1978 when Title 24 energy efficiency requirements went into effect.</p> <p>f. Balance additional upfront costs for energy efficiency and affordable housing economic considerations by providing or supporting programs to finance energy-efficient housing.</p>	
<p>AQ 24.2: For discretionary actions, energy efficiency and conservation objectives shall be achieved through development and implementation of the appropriate Implementation Measures of the Climate Action Plan for all new development approvals. County programs shall also be developed and implemented to address energy efficiency and conservation efforts for County operations and the community.</p>	<p><u>Consistent</u>. As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.8, <i>Greenhouse Gas Emissions</i>, mitigation has been imposed on the Project requiring compliance with the County’s CAP, including requiring the Project Applicant to demonstrate the Project would achieve a minimum of 100 points pursuant to the CAP Implementation Measures and by requiring the Project Applicant to demonstrate that a minimum of 20% of the Project’s energy demand would be met through renewable energy production.</p>
<p>AQ 25.1 The County shall implement programs and requirements to achieve the following objectives related to reducing greenhouse gas emissions through water conservation (AI 146):</p> <p>a. Reduce water use in both new and existing housing, commercial and industrial uses.</p> <p>b. Reduce wastewater generation in both new and existing housing, commercial and industrial uses.</p> <p>c. Reduce the amount of water used for landscaping irrigation through implementation of County Ordinance No. 859.</p> <p>d. Increase use of non-potable water where appropriate, such as for landscaping and agricultural uses.</p> <p>e. Encourage increased efficiency of water use for agricultural activities.</p> <p>f. Decrease energy costs associated with treatment of urban runoff water through greater use of bioswales and other biological systems.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable</u>. Policy AQ 25.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>AQ 25.2: The County shall implement programs and requirements to achieve the following</p>	<p><u>Consistent</u>. The Project would be fully consistent with the MSHCP, as discussed in EIR Subsection 4.4, <i>Biological</i></p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>objectives related to reducing greenhouse gas emissions through biota conservation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Conserve biota that provides carbon sequestration through implementation of the Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plans for western and eastern Riverside County. b. Preserve forest lands and other suitable natural vegetation areas to maintain the carbon sequestration capacity of such areas within the County. c. Promote establishment of vegetated recreational uses, such as local and regional parks, that provide carbon sequestration potential in addition to opportunities for healthy recreation. d. Promote urban forestry and reforestation, as feasible, to provide additional carbon sequestration potential. e. Promote the voluntary preservation of farmlands for carbon sequestration purposes. In particular, protect important farmlands and open space from conversion and encroachment by urban uses. Also, seek to retain large parcels of agricultural lands to enhance the viability of local agriculture and prevent the encroachment of sprawl into rural areas. f. Promote the voluntary preservation of areas of native vegetation that may contribute to biological carbon sequestration functions. g. Protect vegetation from increased fire risks associated with drought conditions to ensure biological carbon remains sequestered in vegetation and not released to the atmosphere through wildfires. In particular, prevent unnecessary intrusion of people, vehicles and development into natural open space areas to lessen risk of wildfire from human activities. 	<p><i>Resources.</i> There is no vegetation on site that would serve carbon sequestration purposes over the long term, with exception of natural vegetation that would be preserved within planned open space areas on site. The remaining components of this policy provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>AQ 25.3: For discretionary actions, greenhouse gas reduction objectives related to water and biota conservation shall be achieved through development and implementation of the applicable Implementation Measures of the Climate Action Plan. County programs shall also be developed and implemented to address conservation issues related to County operations and voluntary community efforts. (AI 146)</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.8, <i>Greenhouse Gas Emissions</i>, mitigation has been imposed on the Project requiring compliance with the County’s CAP, including requiring the Project Applicant to demonstrate the Project would achieve a minimum of 100 points pursuant to the CAP Implementation Measures and by requiring the Project Applicant to demonstrate that a minimum of 20% of the Project’s energy demand would be met through renewable energy production.</p>
<p>AQ 26.1: The County shall implement programs and requirements to achieve the following objectives related to reducing greenhouse gas emissions derived from energy generation (AI 146, 147):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Encourage the installation of solar panels and other energy-efficient improvements. 	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy AQ 26.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>b. Facilitate residential and commercial renewable energy facilities (solar array installations, individual wind energy generators, etc.).</p> <p>c. Facilitate development of renewable energy facilities and transmission lines in appropriate locations.</p> <p>d. Facilitate renewable energy facilities and transmission line siting.</p> <p>e. Provide incentives for development of local green technology businesses and locally-produced green products.</p> <p>f. Provide incentives for investment in residential and commercial energy efficiency improvements.</p> <p>g. Identify lands suitable for wind power generation or geothermal production and encourage development of these alternative energy sources.</p>	
<p>AQ 26.2: For discretionary actions, the objectives for greenhouse gas reduction through increased use of alternative energy sources shall be achieved through development and implementation of the applicable Implementation Measures of the Climate Action Plan. County programs shall also be developed and implemented to address use of alternative energy for County operations and within the community. (AI 147)</p>	<p><u>Consistent</u>. As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.8, <i>Greenhouse Gas Emissions</i>, mitigation has been imposed on the Project requiring compliance with the County’s CAP, including requiring the Project Applicant to demonstrate the Project would achieve a minimum of 100 points pursuant to the CAP Implementation Measures and by requiring the Project Applicant to demonstrate that a minimum of 20% of the Project’s energy demand would be met through renewable energy production.</p>
<p>AQ 27.1: The County shall implement programs and requirements to achieve the following objectives related to reducing greenhouse gas emissions associated with wastes (AI 146, 147):</p> <p>a. Reduce the amount of solid waste generated.</p> <p>b. Increase the amount of solid waste recycled by maximizing waste diversion, composting and recycling for residential and commercial generators.</p> <p>c. Promote reductions in material consumption.</p> <p>d. Decrease wastewater generation.</p> <p>e. Reduce fugitive methane emissions and increase methane conversion to alternative energies at County landfills.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable</u>. Policy AQ 27.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>AQ 27.2: Greenhouse gas reduction through the above waste reduction Objectives shall be achieved through development and implementation of the applicable Implementation Measures of the Climate Action Plan for new development. County programs shall also be developed and</p>	<p><u>Consistent</u>. As discussed in EIR Subsection 4.8, <i>Greenhouse Gas Emissions</i>, mitigation has been imposed on the Project requiring compliance with the County’s CAP, including requiring the</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>implemented to address waste reductions for County operations and voluntary community efforts. (AI 146)</p>	<p>Project Applicant to demonstrate the Project would achieve a minimum of 100 points pursuant to the CAP Implementation Measures and by requiring the Project Applicant to demonstrate that a minimum of 20% of the Project’s energy demand would be met through renewable energy production.</p>
<p>AQ 28.1: The County shall implement programs and requirements to achieve voluntary greenhouse gas emissions reductions through the following public education and outreach objectives (AI 147):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Provide homeowner education programs on the various voluntary ways in which they may reduce their homes’ GHG emissions. b. Develop and implement motorist education programs on reducing vehicle miles travelled (VMT), idling, vehicle maintenance, etc. c. Develop and implement incentive programs for increasing carpooling, public transit use and other similar means. d. Develop and implement incentive programs for residential energy conservation, such as through retrofitting to improve insulation values, adding solar energy capabilities, planting deciduous trees to provide summer shade, etc. e. Develop and implement programs designed to decrease transportation emissions, such as hybrid vehicle rebates, alternate fuel discounts, carpooling incentives, van pools, etc. f. Develop and implement education programs about green purchasing and waste reduction measures, e.g., use of sustainable materials, composting and such. g. Develop and implement programs to improve job-housing balances, such as through small business development, for areas that are housing rich but jobs poor. h. Develop and implement programs to incentive recycling and other waste reduction programs. 	<p><u>Not Applicable</u>. Policy AQ 28.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>AQ 28.2: The County shall implement programs and requirements to achieve greenhouse gas emissions reductions through the following interagency coordination objectives (AI 146):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Coordinate County regional GHG reduction efforts with those of other regional agencies and plans, i.e.: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SCAG Regional Blueprint Plan • SCAG Regional Transportation Plan (which will address SB375) □ SCAQMD Air Quality Management Plans 	<p><u>Not Applicable</u>. Policy AQ 28.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SB 375 Coordination and “Sustainable Communities Strategies” b. Coordinate with constituent cities and sub-regional planning agencies, particularly WRCOG and CVAG, on GHG reduction efforts that jointly affect the County and these cities. c. Coordinate with utility and service providers serving the County to develop programs to improve energy efficiency, water efficiency and delivery or structural improvements to reduce demand or better coordinate infrastructure development, as appropriate. d. Coordinate with regional agencies responsible for developing utility corridors, particularly for electricity transmission, to ensure alternate energy sources available to Riverside County are used to their fullest extent. 	
<p>AQ 28.3: Voluntary greenhouse gas reduction objectives for the community sector shall be achieved through development and implementation of specific implementation measures, as determined appropriate and feasible by the County.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy AQ 28.3 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>AQ 29.1: The County shall implement programs and requirements to achieve the following Objectives related to reducing greenhouse gas emissions from County transportation, such as fleet composition, construction equipment, employee commuting and travel on County business (AI 146):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Increase the average fuel efficiency of County-owned vehicles powered by gasoline and diesel. b. Increase use of alternative and lower carbon fuels in the County vehicle fleet. c. Reduce total vehicle miles traveled by County employees, both commuting to work sites and travel for the conduction of County activities. 	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy AQ 29.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>AQ 29.2: The County shall implement programs and requirements to achieve the following objectives related to reducing greenhouse gas emissions through improving energy efficiency for County facilities and operations (AI 146)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Improve the energy efficiency of all existing and new County buildings. b. Improve the energy efficiency of County infrastructure operation (roads, water, waste disposal and treatment, buildings, etc.) c. Decrease energy use through incorporating renewable energy facilities (such as, solar array installations, individual wind energy generators, geothermal heat sources) on County facilities where feasible and appropriate. 	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy AQ 29.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>AQ 29.3: The County shall implement programs and requirements to achieve the following objectives related to reducing greenhouse gas emissions through achieving waste reduction and</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy AQ 29.3 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
resource efficiency for County facilities and operations (AI 146): a. Establish purchasing and procurement policies that support the use of green products and services, minimize waste and promote sustainability. b. Reduce potable water use at both new and existing County facilities and operations. c. Reduce wastewater generation and urban runoff in both new and existing County facilities and operations. d. Increase the amount of materials recycled from County facilities while decreasing the amount of solid waste generated by County facilities that requires landfill disposal.	Project.
AQ 29.4: Greenhouse gas emissions reduction objectives for County operations and facilities shall be achieved through development and implementation of enforceable and binding internal County policies, programs or similar means.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy AQ 29.4 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
GENERAL PLAN HEALTHY COMMUNITIES ELEMENT	
HC 1.1: Foster the overall health and well-being of Riverside County residents, particularly the most vulnerable populations. (AI 136)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy HC 1.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
HC 1.2: Promote an understanding of the connections between the built environment and the on-going health challenges in Riverside County. (AI 136)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy HC 1.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
HC 2.1: Encourage a built environment that promotes physical activity and access to healthy foods while reducing driving and pollution by: (AI 137) a. Promoting the use of survey tools such as Health Impact Assessments, Development Application Health Checklist, or other tools the County of Riverside deems effective to evaluate the impacts of development on public health. b. Directing new growth to existing, urbanized areas while reducing new growth in undeveloped areas of Riverside County.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy HC 2.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
HC 2.2: Promote increased physical activity, reduced driving and increased walking, cycling and public transit by: (AI 139, 140) a. Requiring where appropriate the development of compact, development patterns that are pedestrian and bicycle friendly. b. Increasing opportunities for active transportation (walking and biking) and transit use. c. Encouraging the development of neighborhood grocery stores that provide fresh produce.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy HC 2.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project. The Project accommodate trails, sidewalks, and bicycle lanes as discussed in Section 3.0, <i>Project Description</i> .



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
<p>HC 3.1: Where appropriate, require high-density, mixed use development near existing and proposed high use transit centers. (AI 137)</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy HC 3.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project. Additionally, the Project site is not located near existing or proposed high use transit centers.</p>
<p>HC 3.2: Where appropriate, design communities with a balanced mix of uses that provide regional transportation facilities within walking distance.</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> The land use intensities proposed as part of the Project would facilitate future transit service in the area.</p>
<p>HC 3.3: Where appropriate, require pedestrian-oriented design that encourages the use of bicycles and walking as alternatives to driving and increases levels of physical activity. (AI 142)</p>	<p><u>Consistent.</u> Project would accommodate a proposed design guidelines/historic trail along the Project site’s frontage with Temescal Canyon Road. This trail would be 10 feet wide within a 21-foot-wide parkway along the eastern edge of the roadway. A Community Trail also is expected to be constructed by others in the future along the southern edge of the realigned Coldwater Canyon Wash drainage channel in the southeastern portion of the Project site.</p>
<p>HC 3.4: Provide for a range of housing options to accommodate a range of income levels and household types.</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Residential land uses are not proposed as part of the Project.</p>
<p>HC 4.1: Promote healthy land use patterns by doing each of the following to the extent feasible: (AI 137)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Preserving rural open space areas, and scenic resources. b. Preventing inappropriate development in areas that are environmentally sensitive or subject to severe natural hazards. c. Developing incentives, such as transfer of development rights, clustered development, development easements, and other mechanisms, to preserve the economic value of agricultural and open space lands. 	<p><u>Consistent.</u> The Project would preserve Temescal Wash in the northern portion of the site where 1.35 acres of the Project site are proposed to be dedicated to MSHCP Reserve System and are proposed to be designated for OS-CH uses. Additionally, as part of the Project the Coldwater Canyon Wash drainage channel would be realigned from the western Project site boundary to the southeastern Project site boundary on approximately 5.70 acres of the Project site. The portions of the Project site proposed for development are not environmentally sensitive or subject to severe natural hazards. The remaining portion of this policy provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>HC 4.2: Promote services that enable residents to meet their daily needs without driving. Such services may include: shopping shuttles to nearby retail districts, retail near residential, and mobile or virtual health clinics. (AI 137)</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy HC 4.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.</p>
<p>HC 5.1 – HC 5.6: Non-Motorized Transportation Systems</p>	<p><u>Not Applicable.</u> Policies HC 5.1 through HC 5.6 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not</p>



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
	applicable to the proposed Project.
HC 6.1: Coordinate with transportation service providers and transportation planning entities to improve access to multi-modal transportation options throughout the County of Riverside, including public transit.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy HC 6.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
HC 6.2: Coordinate with transportation service providers and transportation planning entities to address the location of civic uses such as schools and government buildings, commercial corridors, and medical facilities so that they are accessible by public transit.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy HC 6.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
HC 6.3: Coordinate with transportation service providers and transportation planning entities to ensure that public transportation facilities are located a convenient distance from residential areas.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy HC 6.3 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
HC 6.4: Ensure that regional trail plans are implemented at the Area Plan and Specific Plan level.	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project would accommodate a proposed historic trail/design guidelines trail along Temescal Canyon Road, and a proposed community trail on the south side of the Project site.
HC 6.5: Promote job growth within Riverside County to reduce the substantial out-of-county job commutes that exist today.	<u>Consistent</u> . Policy HC 6.5 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project. Notwithstanding, the Project proposes a 181,495 s.f. last mile delivery station warehouse building, which would assist the County in implementing this policy.
HC 7.1: Encourage the provision of public art and preserve and increase access to cultural resources.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy HC 7.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
HC 7.2: Encourage partnerships among entities and institutions including tribal governments which promote art and cultural programs, foster community identity, and enhance neighborhood pride.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy HC 7.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
HC 8.1 and HC 8.2: Social Capital.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policies HC 8.1 and HC 8.2 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
HC 9.1: Coordinate the development of complete neighborhoods that provide for the basic needs of daily life and for the health, safety, and welfare of residents. (AI 137,138, 142,143)	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Residential uses are not proposed as part of the Project.
HC 9.2: Require appropriate infrastructure, public facilities, and services.	<u>Consistent</u> . As documented in EIR Subsections 4.16, <i>Public Services</i> , and 4.20, <i>Utilities and Service Systems</i> , adequate infrastructure, public facilities, and services exist or would exist to serve the proposed Project.



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
HC 9.3: Require safe and appealing recreational opportunities.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> The Project does not include any residential uses and no recreational uses are proposed except for trails along the Project site boundaries.
HC 9.4: Improve safety and the perception of safety by requiring adequate lighting, street visibility, and defensible space.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy HC 9.4 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
HC 9.5: Where appropriate, require neighborhood retail, service and public facilities within walking distance of residential areas. (AI 142)	<u>Not Applicable.</u> No neighborhood retail, service and public facilities, or residential areas are proposed as part of the Project.
HC 10.1: Provide residents of all ages and income levels with convenient and safe opportunities for recreation and physical activities.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> The Project does not include any residential uses and no recreational uses are proposed.
<p>HC 10.2: Increase access to open space resources by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Supporting a diversity of passive and active open spaces throughout the County of Riverside. b. Facilitating the location of additional transportation routes to existing recreational facilities. c. Locating parks in close proximity to homes and offices. d. Requiring that development of parks, trails, and open space facilities occur concurrently with other area development. 	<u>Consistent.</u> 1.35 acres of the Project site are proposed to be dedicated to the MSHCP Reserve System and would be designated for Open Space-Conservation Habitat (OS-CH) uses. As part of the Project, the existing Coldwater Canyon Wash drainage channel would be realigned from the western Project site boundary to the southeastern portion of the Project site, and would be preserved as permanent open space on approximately 5.70 acres as part of a proposed 180-foot-wide drainage channel easement. In addition, there is a proposed historic trail/design guidelines trail along Temescal Canyon Road and Dawson Canyon Road, and a proposed community trail on the south side of the Project site.
HC 10.3: Encourage the expansion of facilities and amenities in existing parks.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy HC 10.3 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
HC 10.4: Encourage the construction of new parks and open spaces.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy HC 10.4 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project. Notwithstanding, 1.35 acres of the Project site are proposed to be dedicated to the MSHCP Reserve System and would be designated for OS-CH uses. Additionally, as part of the Project the existing Coldwater Canyon Wash drainage channel would be realigned from the western Project site boundary to the southeastern portion of the Project site, and would be preserved



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
	as permanent open space on approximately 5.70 acres as part of a proposed 180-foot-wide drainage channel easement. Residential uses are not proposed as part of the Project.
HC 10.5: Incorporate design features in the multi-use open space network that reflect the sense of place and unique characteristics of the community.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy HC 10.5 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
HC 10.6: Address both actual and perceived safety concerns that create barriers to physical activity by requiring adequate lighting, street visibility, and defensible space.	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project would accommodate adequate lighting, street visibility, and defensible space.
HC 10.7: When planning communities, encourage the location of parks near other community facilities such as schools, senior centers, recreation centers, etc.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy HC 10.7 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
HC 10.8: Encourage joint-use agreements with school districts that allow school properties to be used during non-school hours.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy HC 10.8 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
HC 10.9: When feasible, coordinate with public entities to allow easements to be used as parks and trails.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy HC 10.9 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
HC 11.1 and HC 11.2: Access to Healthy Foods and Nutrition.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policies HC 11.1 and HC 11.2 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
HC 12.1 and HC 12.2: Healthcare and Mental Healthcare.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policies HC 12.1 and HC 12.2 provide direction to County staff and decision makers and are not applicable to the proposed Project.
HC 13.1: Encourage development of recreational centers to serve all phases of life (e.g. children, families, and senior citizens).	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy HC 13.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project, and no recreation centers are proposed as part of the Project.
HC 13.2: Encourage the location of recreational centers in areas not subject to environmental hazards and in areas where they are easily accessible by public transportation.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . No recreation centers are proposed as part of the Project.
HC 14.1: When feasible, avoid siting homes and other sensitive receptors near known or anticipated sources of air pollution.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . No homes or other land uses that involve sensitive receptors are proposed as part of the Project.
HC 14.2: When feasible, avoid locating new sources of air pollution near homes and other sensitive	<u>Consistent</u> . As documented in EIR Subsection 4.3, <i>Air Quality</i> ,



Table 1 Project Consistency with the County of Riverside General Plan Policies

General Plan Policy	Project Consistency
receptors.	the Project would not expose existing or planned nearby sensitive receptors to substantial air pollution concentrations.
HC 14.3: When feasible incorporate design features into projects, including flood control and water quality basins, to minimize the harborage of vectors such as mosquitoes.	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project's proposed storm drain system would be designed to route first flush runoff to landscaped areas and a series of catch basins. Runoff collected by the catch basins would be routed to a series of underground infiltration systems proposed throughout the Project site. The underground infiltration systems have been designed to detain runoff and provide water quality treatment, and would reduce pollutants of concern in runoff leaving the Project site, such as bacterial indicators, metals, nutrients, pesticides, toxic organic compounds, sediments, trash/debris, and oil/grease. All runoff generated on site would be appropriately treated prior to ultimate discharge into Temescal Wash. All drainage facilities have been designed to drain within 48 hours to preclude potential vector hazards.



1.7 Analysis of Project Consistency with the Temescal Canyon Area Plan

Table 2, *Project Consistency with the Temescal Canyon Area Plan Land Use Policies*, provides an analysis of the Project’s consistency with the Temescal Canyon Area Plan (TCAP) of Riverside County General Plan.

Table 2 Project Consistency with the Temescal Canyon Area Plan Land Use Policies

TCAP Policy	Project Consistency
TCAP 1.1 – TCAP 1.5: Design Theme Policy Area.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . The Project site is not located in the area where the design theme policies apply.
TCAP 2.1: El Sobrante Landfill Policy Area. Require development proposals on land within one-half mile of any outer boundary of this policy area to be transmitted to the County of Riverside, Department of Waste Management for review and comment at the initial phase of the development review process.	<u>Consistent</u> . Because the Project site is within one-half mile of the El Sobrante Landfill Policy Area boundary, in conformance with Policy TCAP 2.1, the Project’s application materials were sent to the Department of Waste Management for review and comment at the initial phase of the development review process.
<p>TCAP 2.2: El Sobrante Landfill Policy Area. Require that development proposed within one-half mile of the El Sobrante Landfill be inherently compatible with the landfill as determined by the County of Riverside Department of Waste Management and Planning Department and in accordance with the guidelines below:</p> <p>a. The following uses may be considered compatible with these facilities: 1. most types of industrial development; 2. agricultural uses; 3. grazing; 4. open space; 5. mining; 6. sanitary landfills; and 7. rural residential development</p> <p>b. The following uses are clearly incompatible with these facilities: 1. public facilities such as schools and uses that involve public assembly; 2. industrial development using sensitive equipment or conducting manufacturing operations which would be negatively affected by dust particles, noise, odor, and truck traffic resulting from the operation; 3. commercial development which would be negatively affected by dust particles, noise, odor, and truck traffic resulting from the operation; and 4. Community Development Foundation Component-type residential uses.</p> <p>c. Prohibit residential densities greater than 1 dwelling unit per 2.5 acres.</p>	<u>Consistent</u> . The proposed Project is located within one-half mile of the El Sobrante Landfill Policy Area boundary. The Project as evaluated herein would allow for the development of 35.42 acres of the 46.16-acre Project site with a 181,495 s.f. last mile delivery station warehouse building with associated parking. The Project would not be incompatible with any of the existing or planned land uses surrounding the Project site, including the El Sobrante Landfill.



Table 2 Project Consistency with the Temescal Canyon Area Plan Land Use Policies

TCAP Policy	Project Consistency
TCAP 3.1 – TCAP 3.5: East Temescal Hillside Policy Area	<u>Not Applicable</u> . The Project site is not located within the East Temescal Hillside Policy Area.
TCAP 4.1 – TCAP 4.4: Serrano Policy Area	<u>Not Applicable</u> . The Project site is currently located in the Serrano Policy Area of the TCAP of County of Riverside General Plan. With approval of the Project’s GPA (GPA 200007), the 46.16-acre Project site would be removed from the boundaries of the Serrano Policy Area, and the Project would therefore not conflict with any of the Serrano Policy Area policies.
TCAP 5.1 – 5.15: Santa Ana River Corridor Policy Area	<u>Not Applicable</u> . The Project site is not located within the Santa Ana River Corridor Policy Area.
TCAP 6.1: Temescal Wash Policy Area. Protect the multipurpose open space attributes of the Temescal Wash through adherence to policies in the Flood and Inundation Hazards section of the Safety Element, the Watershed Management section of the Multipurpose Open Space Element, and the Open Space, Habitat and Natural Resource Preservation section of the Land Use Element in the General Plan.	<u>Consistent</u> . A small area of the northeast corner of the Project site is within the Temescal Wash Policy Area. This portion of the Project site is within the northern 1.35 acres of the Project site which are proposed as part of GPA 200007 to be dedicated to the MSHCP Reserve System and would be designated for OS-CH uses. The Project adheres to the stated policies of the General Plan, as noted in Table 1, above.
TCAP 6.2: Temescal Wash Policy Area. Encourage the maintenance of Temescal Wash in its natural state, with its ultimate use for recreational and open space purposes such as trails, habitat preservation, and groundwater recharge.	<u>Consistent</u> . A small area of the northeast corner of the Project site is within the Temescal Wash Policy Area. This portion of the Project site is within the northern 1.35 acres of the Project site which are proposed as part of GPA 200007 to be dedicated to the MSHCP Reserve System and would be designated for OS-CH uses.
TCAP 7.1: Corona Municipal Airport Influence Area	<u>Not Applicable</u> . The Project site is not located within the Corona Municipal Airport Influence Area.
TCAP 8.1 – 8.3: Community Centers Local Land Use Policies.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Under existing conditions, the Riverside County General Plan designates the 46.16-acre Project site for LI, CC, and OS-W land uses. With approval of GPA 200007, areas designated for LI land uses would be expanded to encompass approximately 41.12 acres of the Project site and the northern 1.35 acres of the Project site would be dedicated to the MSHCP Reserve System and would be designated for OS-CH uses. Because no land would remain designated as CC with approval of GPA 200007, the Project would not conflict with Policies TCAP 8.1 through 8.3.
TCAP 9.1: Require development within the Second Supervisorial District to adhere to standards detailed in the Design and Landscape Guidelines for Development in the Second Supervisorial District.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . The Project site is located within the First Supervisorial District and is not located within the Second Supervisorial District.



Table 2 Project Consistency with the Temescal Canyon Area Plan Land Use Policies

TCAP Policy	Project Consistency
TCAP 10.1: Adhere to the County’s lighting requirements for standards that are intended to limit light leakage and spillage that may interfere with the operations of the Palomar Observatory.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> According to TCAP Figure 6, <i>Temescal Canyon Area Plan Mt. Palomar Night Time Lighting Policy Area</i> , the Project site is located outside of areas subject to the Mt. Palomar Night Time Lighting Policy Area, indicating that future development on the Project site would not be subject to compliance with Riverside County Ordinance No. 655 (Regulating Light Pollution).
TCAP 11.1: Design and develop the vehicular roadway system per Figure 7, Circulation, and in accordance with the functional classifications and standards specified in the Circulation Element.	<u>Consistent.</u> Roadway improvements proposed as part of the Project are consistent with TCAP Figure 7. The Project would be consistent with the functional classifications and standards specified in the General Plan Circulation Element.
TCAP 11.2: Maintain the County’s roadway Level of Service standards as described in the Circulation Element.	<u>Consistent.</u> An analysis of the Project’s impacts to transportation is provided in EIR Subsection 4.18, <i>Transportation</i> . As indicated in Subsection 4.18, the Project would be conditioned for the implementation of improvements, payment of fair-share fees, and/or payment of DIF and TUMF fees in order to achieve the County’s minimum LOS standards at all study area facilities within the jurisdiction of Riverside County.
TCAP 11.3: Evaluate proposed projects located adjacent to the right-of-way of any of the existing Interstate 15 interchanges for additional interchange improvements.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> The Project site is not located adjacent to the right-of-way of any interchanges with Interstate 15 (I-15).
TCAP 11.4: Consider the following regional and community wide transportation options when developing transportation improvements in Temescal Canyon: a. Construct a new interchange on Interstate 15 between the existing interchanges at Temescal Canyon Road and Indian Truck Trail. b. Support the development of regional transportation facilities and services (such as high-occupancy vehicle lanes, express bus service, and fixed transit facilities), which will encourage the use of public transportation and ridesharing for longer distance trips.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy TCAP 11.4 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
TCAP 11.5: Evaluate each proposed specific plan, and major commercial and industrial projects consisting of 20 acres or larger for the provision of a park and ride facility.	<u>Consistent.</u> The proposed Project does not include a specific plan, but is a commercial/industrial project consisting of more than 20 acres. In conformance with this Policy TCAP 11.5, the County reviewed the Project and determined that the site is not desirable as a park and ride facility.
TCAP 12.1: Maintain and enhance existing railroad facilities in accordance with the Rail Systems section of the General Plan Circulation Element.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> This policy provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project. Furthermore, the Project site is not in the vicinity of the Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company main track railroad which runs east to west in northern Riverside County.



Table 2 Project Consistency with the Temescal Canyon Area Plan Land Use Policies

TCAP Policy	Project Consistency
TCAP 14.1: Protect the scenic highways in the Temescal Canyon Area Plan from change that would diminish the aesthetic value of adjacent properties in accordance with policies in the Scenic Corridors sections of the Land Use, Multipurpose Open Space, and Circulation Elements.	<u>Consistent.</u> The Project site is located approximately 0.1 mile east of I-15, which is identified as a State Eligible scenic highway. The proposed Project would be visible from nearby segments of I-15. Specifically, the Project would result in the conversion of the property from a disturbed and undeveloped parcel of land to a developed property containing a 181,495 s.f. last mile delivery station warehouse building and associated parking areas. Although this represents a substantial change to views along the I-15, the Project site under existing conditions appears as a disturbed and largely unvegetated parcel of land. As part of the Project, the site would be fully landscaped, including along the site’s frontage with Temescal Canyon Road where street trees, shrubs, and groundcover are proposed. Due to the disturbed nature of the Project site under existing conditions, the Project site does not comprise a visual resource with positive aesthetic value. Therefore, development of the site as proposed would not have a substantial adverse effect on nearby views from I-15.
TCAP 15.1: Accommodate the Hemet to Corona/Lake Elsinore CETAP Corridor in accordance with the CETAP section of the General Plan Circulation Element.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> The Project site is not located in the Hemet to Corona/Lake Elsinore CETAP Corridor.
TCAP 15.2: Accommodate the direction of the Riverside County to Orange County corridor study, once it is complete.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy TCAP 15.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
TCAP 16.1: Require projects to be reviewed for the provision of transit support facilities (including bus turnouts, signage, benches, shelters, etc.) along arterial streets and local transit service routes.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policy TCAP 16.1 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
TCAP 16.2: Require each proposed specific plan and major commercial and industrial projects consisting of 20 acres or larger to be evaluated for the provision of a park-and-ride facility.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> The proposed Project does not include a specific plan, but is a commercial/industrial project consisting of more than 20 acres. In conformance with this Policy TCAP 16.2, the County reviewed the Project and determined that the site is not desirable as a park and ride facility.
TCAP 17.1: Oak Tree Preservation. Protect viable oak woodlands through adherence to the Oak Tree Management Guidelines adopted by the County of Riverside.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> The Project site and off-site improvement areas do not contain any oak trees or vegetation communities containing oak trees. As such, the Project has no potential to conflict with the County’s Oak Tree Management Guidelines.



Table 2 Project Consistency with the Temescal Canyon Area Plan Land Use Policies

TCAP Policy	Project Consistency
TCAP 18.1 – 18.4: Mineral Resource Extraction	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Policies TCAP 18.1 through 18.4 are relevant for all existing and proposed mineral extraction areas. No mining operations are proposed as part of the Project. According to mapping information available from the California Department of Conservation, the Project site is classified as MRZ-4, which indicates that the Project site occurs in an area of unknown mineral resources potential. Accordingly, the Project site does not contain any areas of known mineral resources.
TCAP 19.1: Protect sensitive biological resources in the Temescal Canyon Area Plan through adherence to policies found in the General Plan Multipurpose Open Space Element.	<u>Consistent.</u> As demonstrated in EIR Subsection 4.4, <i>Biological Resources</i> , the Project would be consistent with or otherwise would not conflict with the MSHCP, Environmentally Sensitive Lands, Wetlands, and Floodplain and Riparian Area Management sections of the General Plan Multipurpose Open Space Element.
TCAP 19.2: Conserve existing wetlands and wetland functions and values in Temescal Wash, Prado Basin, and the Santa Ana River with a focus on conservation of existing riparian, woodland, coastal sage scrub, alluvial fan scrub, and open water habitats. An objective of no net loss of wetland functions and values associated with Prado Basin and Temescal Wash is identified for this area.	<u>Consistent.</u> Temescal Wash traverses the Project site in the northern corner. A limited area of Temescal Wash occurs off site that would be subject to impacts for construction of an outfall for Coldwater Canyon Wash that would be realigned and include the new Temescal Wash discharge location. Construction of the outfall would result in permanent impacts to 0.97 acre, none of which consists of wetlands, and 0.16 acre of temporary impacts, none of which consists of wetlands, of ACOE/RWQCB jurisdictional areas. Construction of the outfall would result in permanent impacts to 0.39 acre, of which 0.25 acre consists of vegetated riparian habitat, and 0.23 acre of temporary impacts, of which 0.15 acre consists of vegetated riparian habitat. The Project would result in no net loss of wetland functions and values associated with Temescal Wash. The Prado Basin and Santa Ana River are not in the vicinity of the Project site.
TCAP 19.3: Conserve existing known populations of least Bell’s vireo and southwestern willow flycatcher within the Temescal Canyon Area Plan including locations at Prado Basin, Santa Ana River, and Temescal Wash. Maintain existing breeding habitat for this species at Prado Basin, Santa Ana River, and Temescal Wash.	<u>Consistent.</u> As described in EIR Subsection 4.4, <i>Biological Resources</i> , no suitable habitat (riparian forest/woodlands) for the southwestern willow flycatcher was detected within or adjacent to the Project site. Suitable habitat for the least Bell’s vireo (<i>Vireo bellii pusillus</i>) was documented within and adjacent to the northern Project site boundary within Temescal Wash. Focused United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) protocol surveys were conducted during the spring of 2019 and the least Bell’s vireo was not detected within or adjacent to the Project site. Approximately 1.35 acres in the northern corner of the Project site, including the suitable habitat for the least Bell’s vireo, would be dedicated to the Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP) Reserve System for long-term conservation, therefore maintaining the existing breeding habitat for this (bird) species. The Prado Basin and Santa Ana River are not in the vicinity of the Project site.



Table 2 Project Consistency with the Temescal Canyon Area Plan Land Use Policies

TCAP Policy	Project Consistency
TCAP 19.4: Conserve and manage habitat for the benefit of Santa Ana sucker, Santa Ana speckled dace, and arroyo chub in the Temescal Canyon Area Plan at Prado Basin and the Santa Ana River, focusing on maintenance of the existing hydriodic regime and maintaining and improving water quality. Maintenance and enhancement of existing wetland and/or open water connections between the Santa Ana River and Temescal Wash may also benefit breeding for these species.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> There is no open water on the Project site to provide habitat for these fish species.
TCAP 19.5: Conserve meaningful, interconnected representations of the Santa Ana Mountains and Riverside Lowlands bioregions within the Temescal Canyon Area Plan.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Although the Project site is located between the Santa Ana Mountains to the west and Riverside Lowlands bioregions to the east, Policy TCAP 19.5 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
TCAP 19.6: Conserve clay soils supporting sensitive plant species known to occur in the Temescal Canyon Area Plan including Munz’s onion, Palmer’s grappling hook, small-flowered morning glory, longspined spineflower, thread-leaved brodiaea, small-flowered microseris, and many-stemmed dudleya.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> These species were not found as part of surveys conducted for the Project’s Biological Technical Report (EIR <i>Technical Appendix C1</i>), and no suitable clay soils were documented on site for these sensitive plant species.
TCAP 19.7: Conserve sandy soils co-occurring with chaparral supporting Palomar monkeyflower, known to occur in the Temescal Canyon Area Plan.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> Based on the results of the Project’s Biological Technical Report (EIR <i>Technical Appendix C1</i>), there are no sandy soils co-occurring with chaparral supporting Palomar monkeyflower on the Project Site. The Project site is dominated by sandy loam substrates, and these soils do not provide long-term conservation value for any target MSHCP species.
TCAP 19.8: Conserve locations supporting California muhly, heart-lived pitcher sage, and Hall’s monardella and other sensitive plant species that may occur in a wide variety of habitat types within the Temescal Canyon Area Plan.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> These species were not found as part of surveys conducted for the Project’s Biological Technical Report (EIR <i>Technical Appendix C1</i>) and there is no suitable habitat on site for these species.
TCAP 19.9: Provide for and maintain connection(s) from the Cleveland National Forest to Prado Basin and the Santa Ana River within the Temescal Canyon Area Plan, providing opportunities for off-site connections to the Chino Hills State Park.	<u>Not Applicable.</u> The Project site is not within the vicinity or between the Cleveland National Forest, the Prado Basin, the Santa Ana River, or Chino Hills State Park, and therefore has no opportunity to provide off-site connections to any of these places.



Table 2 Project Consistency with the Temescal Canyon Area Plan Land Use Policies

TCAP Policy	Project Consistency
TCAP 19.10: Conserve upland habitat adjacent to Temescal Wash to augment existing upland habitat conservation in the Lake Mathews/Estelle Mountain Reserve areas and provide for contiguous connection of upland habitat blocks from the existing reserve to Temescal Wash. Habitat conservation should focus on blocks of existing upland habitat east of Temescal Wash connecting to the Lake Mathews/Estelle Mountain Reserve.	<u>Consistent</u> . Approximately 1.35 acres in the northern corner of the Project site adjacent to Temescal Wash would be dedicated as part of the Project to the Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP) Reserve System for long-term conservation.
TCAP 19.11: Conserve upland habitat in La Sierra Hills, focusing on maintenance of intact habitat block(s) with opportunities for connection to the Lake Mathews/Estelle Mountain Reserve.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . The Project site is not located in La Sierra Hills.
TCAP 19.12: Conserve floodplain areas supporting sensitive plant species known to occur in the Temescal Canyon Area Plan, including Parry’s spineflower, peninsular spineflower, and smooth tarplant.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Following the habitat assessment and review of species distribution and habitat requirements, smooth tarplant is the only MSHCP criteria area plant species that has potential to occur within the Project site impact area, as summarized in Table 6 of the Project’s MSHCP Consistency Analysis (<i>Technical Appendix C2</i>). However, the smooth tarplant has only limited potential to occur on site, and this species was not observed during the general biological surveys. No suitable soils were documented on site for this species.
TCAP 19.13: Provide for and maintain a robust upland habitat connection from the eastern edge of Temescal Wash to the existing Lake Mathews/Estelle Mountain Reserve.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . The Project site is on the western edge of Temescal Wash and therefore cannot provide for or maintain an upland habitat connection from the eastern edge to the existing Lake Mathews/Estelle Mountain Reserve (approximately 3.8 miles northeast of the Project site).
TCAP 19.14: Provide for and maintain an upland habitat connection from La Sierra Hills to the Lake Mathews/Estelle Mountain Reserve.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . The Project site is not located between La Sierra Hills and the Lake Mathews/Estelle Mountain Reserve.
TCAP 19.15: Conserve rocky soils co-occurring with coastal sage scrub, peninsular juniper woodland, or chaparral supporting Payson’s jewelflower, known to occur in the Temescal Canyon Area Plan.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Based on the results of the Project’s Biological Technical Report (EIR <i>Technical Appendix C1</i>), the Project site does not contain rocky soils co-occurring with coastal sage scrub, peninsular juniper woodland, or chaparral supporting Payson’s jewelflower.
TCAP 19.16: Provide for and maintain a continuous linkage along Temescal Wash from the southern boundary of the Temescal Canyon Area Plan to the Santa Ana River.	<u>Consistent</u> . Temescal Wash crosses the Project site in its northern corner. The Project proposes to dedicate approximately 1.35 acres of the Project site adjacent to Temescal Wash as part of the Project to the MSHCP Reserve System for long-term conservation. This dedication would allow for Temescal Wash to remain part of a linkage to the Santa Ana River.
TCAP 20.1: Adhere to the flood proofing and flood protection	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project was reviewed by Riverside County and determined to be fully



Table 2 Project Consistency with the Temescal Canyon Area Plan Land Use Policies

TCAP Policy	Project Consistency
requirements of the Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District.	consistent with the flood proofing and flood protection requirements of the Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District.
TCAP 20.2: Protect proposed development projects that are subject to flood hazards, surface ponding, high erosion potential or sheet flow by requiring submittal to the Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District for review.	<u>Consistent</u> . In conformance with Policy TCAP 2.1, the Project’s application materials were sent to the Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District for review.
TCAP 20.3: When possible, create flood control projects that maximize multi-recreational use and water recharge.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Aside from grading to remove areas planned for warehouse uses out of the existing flood hazard areas on site, no flood control projects are part of the proposed Project.
TCAP 20.4: Protect life and property from the hazards of potential dam failures and flood events through adherence to the General Plan Safety Element.	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project would be consistent with or otherwise would not conflict with the Flood and Inundation section of the General Plan Safety Element.
TCAP 21.1: Protect life and property from wildfire hazards through adherence to the Fire Hazards section of the General Plan Safety Element.	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project would be consistent with or otherwise would not conflict with the Fire Hazards section of the General Plan Safety Element.
TCAP 22.1: Protect life and property from seismic related incidents through adherence to the Seismic Hazards section of the General Plan Safety Element.	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project would be consistent with or otherwise would not conflict with the Seismic Hazards section of the General Plan Safety Element.
TCAP 23.1: Protect life and property through adherence to the Environmentally Sensitive Lands section of the General Plan Multipurpose Open Space Element, the Hillside Development and Slope section of the General Plan Land Use Element, the policies in the Mountainous and Open Space Land Use Designations, and the Slope and Soil Instability Hazards section of the General Plan Safety Element.	<u>Consistent</u> . The Project would be consistent with or otherwise would not conflict with the Environmentally Sensitive Lands section of the General Plan Multipurpose Open Space Element, the Hillside Development and Slope section of the General Plan Land Use Element, the policies within the Rural Mountainous and Open Space Land Use Designations of the Land Use Element, or the Slope and Soil Instability Hazards section of the General Plan Safety Element.
TCAP 23.2: Identify and preserve the ridgelines that provide a significant visual resource for Temescal Canyon through adherence to the Hillside Development and Slope section of the General Plan Land Use Element and the Scenic Resources section of the Multipurpose Open Space Element.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy TCAP 23.2 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project.
TCAP 23.3: Prohibit building sites on the Gavilan Hills Ridgeline. Projects proposed within this area shall be evaluated on a case-by-case basis to ensure that building pad sites are located so that buildings and roof tops do not project above the ridgeline as viewed from Interstate 15.	<u>Not Applicable</u> . Policy TCAP 23.3 provides direction to County staff and decision makers and is not applicable to the proposed Project. Furthermore, the Project site is not located in the vicinity of the Gavilan Hills Ridgeline.