Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: 2021010078

Project Title: 2015 Blake Street Residential Project

Lead Agency: City of Berkeley

Contact Name: Sharon Gong

Email: SGong@cityofberkeley.info Phone Number: (510) 981-7429

Project Location: Berkeley, Alameda County
City *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The proposed project would involve the following major components: Merging the seven parcels within the site into two: “Parcel 1,” 7,261 square feet, at the north portion of the site with frontage along Dwight Way and “Parcel 2,” 34,485 square feet, at the south portion of the site with frontages along Blake Street and Milvia Street; demolition of the four existing buildings in the southern portion of the site at 2001, 2011, 2015, and 2019 Blake Street; relocation and restoration of the two existing residential buildings at 2012 and 2020 Dwight Way within proposed Parcel 1; and construction of two new multi-family residential buildings with a total of 155 units within proposed Parcel 2.

Identify the project’s significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Impacts related to geology and soils, specifically paleontological resources, would be potentially significant. However, a mitigation measure that requires a paleontological Worker Environmental Awareness Program, paleontological monitoring, and a Final Paleontological Mitigation Report would reduce impacts to less than significant levels.

Impacts to cultural resources, specifically historic resources, would be significant. Even with implementation of mitigation measures that require recordation of the historical resource, installation of an interpretive plaque, and branding and signage that references the cultural history of the site, impacts would remain significant and avoidable.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

Significant and unavoidable impacts to cultural resources.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

None.