

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal**Form F**

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: Explanation of Significant Differences for GBF/Pittsburg LandfillLead Agency: Department of Toxic Substances ControlContact Name: Garrett ThorntonEmail: Garrett.thornton@dtsc.ca.govPhone Number: (916) 255-3748Project Location: Antioch*City*Contra Costa*County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC), pursuant to authority granted under Chapter 6.8, Division 20, section 25300 et seq is considering approving an Explanation of Significant Differences (ESD) which proposes secondary remedy technologies to the 1997 Remedial Action Plan (RAP) for the GBF/Pittsburg Landfill (Site). The Site is a capped and closed 84-acre landfill located northeast of the intersection of Somersville Road and James Donlon Boulevard in the City of Antioch. Due to historical operations, groundwater beneath the landfill is contaminated with primarily volatile organic compounds. DTSC is supervising the long-term monitoring and restoration of the groundwater in the area.

The proposed ESD proposes the following secondary remedy technologies: (1) installation of a hydraulic barrier wall, (2) a redesigned on-site GWETS, (3) an on-site soil vapor extraction and treatment system (SVETS), (4) in-situ groundwater remediation at two off-site locations, and (5) risk-based mitigation, where unacceptable indoor air risk from off-site soil vapor would be addressed on a case-by-case basis.

Activities associated with implementing the remedy technologies would occur over an approximately 23-week period.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Implementation of the proposed Explanation of Significant Differences (ESD) would not result in any potentially significant impacts and, therefore, would not require any mitigation measures.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

Not applicable.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

Air Resources Board
Caltrans District #4
Fish and Wildlife Region #3
Regional WQCB #2
SWRCB: Water Quality