# CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

	1000100001
	/0621000091
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency) P.M./P.M. E.A/Project	
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: (Briefly describe project including	
activities involved in this box. Use Continuation Sheet, if necessary.	
	oses to repair damaged side slopes due to homeless people
excavating into the slopes. The excavations vary in size, but	
threat to the state highway system, the traveling public, and	the homeless if they were to collapse. The proposed project
will be to import borrow fill material, backfilling/compacting of	perations, the placement of a 6" layer of mulch, and traffic
control. All construction activities will be within our State Rig	ht-of-Way. No additional right of way is required.
CEQA COMPLIANCE (for State Projects only)	, ,
Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information	n the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply
(See 14 CCR 15300 et seq.):	in, the following statements are true and excoptions do not apply
<ul> <li>If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not</li> </ul>	impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern
where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law.	
<ul> <li>There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.</li> </ul>	
, , , , ,	
<ul> <li>This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.</li> <li>This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List").</li> </ul>	
, ,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the	significance of a historical resource.
CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one)	
Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency	Not Applicable – Caltrans has prepared an Initial Study or
Mot Applicable - Galifalls is not the GEQA Lead Agency	Environmental Impact Report under CEQA
<b>Exempt by Statute.</b> (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.)	Environmental impact report under OLGA
	on, and the above statements, the project is:
Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is:	
Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300	et seq.)
Categorically Exempt. General Rule exemption. [This project	ct does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with
certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a	significant effect on the environment (CCR 15061[b][3].)
Tuele Memie	Daniel Ohana
Trais Norris	Daniel Chapa
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or	Print Name: Project Manager
	· ····································
Environmental Branch Chief	This realist reject manage.
q William "Trais" Norris, AAA 1/27/2021	Daniel Chapa 1/29/2021
Gwilliam "Trais" Norris, Add 1/27/2021 Signature Date	
q William "Trais" Norris, AAA 1/27/2021	Daniel Chapa 1/29/2021
Gwilliam "Trais" Norris, AAA 1/27/2021 Signature Date  NEPA COMPLIANCE	Daniel Chapa 1/29/2021 Signature Date
Gwilliam "Trais" Norris, Add 1/27/2021 Signature Date	Daniel Chapa 1/29/2021 Signature Date
Guilliam "Trais" Norris, AN 1/27/2021 Signature Date  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project:	Daniel Chapa 1/29/2021 Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has
Guilliam "Trais" Norris, A 1/27/2021 Signature Date  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on	Daniel Chapa 1/29/2021 Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the
Guilliam "Trais" Norris, A 1/27/2021 Signature Date  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E	Daniel Chapa 1/29/2021 Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the invironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and
A William "Trais" Worris, A 1/27/2021 Signature Date  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.	Daniel Chapa 1/29/2021 Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the invironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and
Guilliam "Trais" Norris, A 1/27/2021 Signature Date  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E	Daniel Chapa 1/29/2021 Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the invironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and
Gwilliam "Trais" Worris, Add 1/27/2021 Signature Date  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E  has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)	Daniel Chapa 1/29/2021 Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).
Gwilliam "Trais" Worris, Add 1/27/2021 Signature Date  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or envir	Daniel Chapa 1/29/2021 Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).
Gwilliam "Trais" Worris, Add 1/27/2021 Signature Date  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E  • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR	Daniel Chapa 1/29/2021 Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the invironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and R 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from
Guilliam "Trais" Norris, Add 1/27/2021 Signature Date  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E  • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  □ 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.  the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National E	Daniel Chapa 1/29/2021 Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the invironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from invironmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby
Guilliam "Trais" Norris, Add 1/27/2021 Signature Date  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E  • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  □ 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.  the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Expression of the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Expression of the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Expression of the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Expression of the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Expression of the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Expression of the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Expression of the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Expression of the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Expression of the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Expression of the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Expression of the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Expression of the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Expression of the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Expression of the Parket National Expression	Daniel Chapa 1/29/2021 Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the invironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from invironmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code,
Guilliam "Trais" Norris, Add 1/27/2021 Signature Date  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E  • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  □ 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.  the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Experiments that it has carried out the responsibility to make this described on 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May	Daniel Chapa 1/29/2021 Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the invironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from invironmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State
Guilliam "Trais" Norris, Add 1/27/2021 Signature Date  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E  • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  □ 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.  the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Experiments that it has carried out the responsibility to make this described on 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under the Nation of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under the Nation of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under the Nation of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under the National Exclu	Daniel Chapa 1/29/2021 Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the invironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from invironmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State
Part	Daniel Chapa 1/29/2021 Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the invironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from invironmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State
Guilliam "Trais" Norris, Add 1/27/2021 Signature Date  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E  • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  □ 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.  the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Experiments that it has carried out the responsibility to make this described on 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under the Nation of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under the Nation of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under the Nation of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under the National Exclu	Daniel Chapa 1/29/2021 Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the invironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from invironmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State
Part	Daniel Chapa 1/29/2021 Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the invironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and R 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from invironmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State errors.
Signature   Date	Daniel Chapa 1/29/2021 Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the invironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and ER 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from invironmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State err:
Date   Date	Daniel Chapa 1/29/2021 Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the invironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and R 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from invironmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State error.  en FHWA and the State apporting information, the State has determined that the project is a
Date   Date	Daniel Chapa 1/29/2021 Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the invironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and R 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from invironmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State error.  The FHWA and the State apporting information, the State has determined that the project is a review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable
Date   Date	Daniel Chapa 1/29/2021  Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the invironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and R 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from invironmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State error information, the State has determined that the project is a review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the
Date   Date	Daniel Chapa 1/29/2021  Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the invironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and R 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from invironmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State error information, the State has determined that the project is a review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the
Date   Date	Daniel Chapa 1/29/2021  Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the invironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and R 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from invironmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State error information, the State has determined that the project is a review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the
Date   Date	Daniel Chapa 1/29/2021  Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the invironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and R 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from invironmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State error information, the State has determined that the project is a review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the
Signature   Date	Daniel Chapa 1/29/2021 Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and ER 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State er:  en FHWA and the State apporting information, the State has determined that the project is a review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the dexecuted by FHWA and Caltrans.
Date   Date	Daniel Chapa 1/29/2021 Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the invironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from invironmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby stermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State er:  en FHWA and the State apporting information, the State has determined that the project is a review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the dexecuted by FHWA and Caltrans.
Date   Date	Daniel Chapa 1/29/2021 Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the invironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and ER 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from invironmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State er:  en FHWA and the State  pporting information, the State has determined that the project is a review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the dexecuted by FHWA and Caltrans.  Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer
Date   Date	Daniel Chapa 1/29/2021 Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and ER 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State er:  en FHWA and the State apporting information, the State has determined that the project is a review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the dexecuted by FHWA and Caltrans.

Briefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet. Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., CE checklist,

# CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM Continuation Sheet

Continued from page 1:

## **Bird Protection Special Provision**

A preconstruction survey for migratory birds and raptors will be required fourteen (14) days prior to start of construction. If construction occurs during the avian nesting season (Feb 1-Sept 30), Caltrans Biology conduct a preconstruction survey for nesting birds. If found, a 100-foot buffer shall be established around migratory bird active nests. If an active raptor nest is observed, then a 500-foot protective buffer shall be established around the nest until the young have fledged. If it is necessary to encroach into any protective buffer, then monitoring and coordination with the Caltrans Biologist will be necessary.

## **Hazardous Waste Special Provision**

- A lead compliance plan is required. The estimated cost to include the LCP is \$3,000.
- Standard Special Provision (SSP) 7-1.02K(6)(j)(iii) Earth Material Containing Lead shall be added to the construction contract.

# **Water Quality**

 If the project disturbs less than one acre of soil, a Water Pollution Control Plan (WPCP) is required to be prepared by the contractor following the Caltrans 2018 Standard Specification Section 13-1 – Water Pollution.

#### **Noise**

 Short term construction noise impacts need to be addressed in accordance with Caltrans Standard Specs section 14-8 and if night work is anticipated design needs to fill out form SSP 14-8.02

#### Air

 A Dust Control Plan (DCP) is needed if at least 2,500 cubic yards of material are moved in a day for at least three days of the project or 5 or more acres of land will be disturbed during construction

## <u>Paleontology</u>

• If unanticipated fossil discovery occurs during construction, Specification 14-7.03 of the 2018 Standard Specifications identifies the procedures required to protect the resource.

Page 2 of 2 September 8, 2017