Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: Grading Permit 20-0074 (Former Fall River Mills Trap Club Soil Excavation Project)

Lead Agency: Shasta County Department of Resource Management Planning Division

Contact Name: Tara Petti, Associate Planner

Email: tpetti@co.shasta.ca.us Phone Number: 5302255532

Project Location: _____
City *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

This project will remove approximately 2,700 cubic yards of soil containing lead shot and lead-impacted soil over a 3-acre area to a depth of 0.5 to 1.5 feet to address surface and subsurface contamination associated with the operation of the former Fall River Mills Trap Club. After excavation, the area would be restored to match existing conditions by grading, seeding, and removal of all temporary facilities installed for construction. Excavated, lead contaminated soils would be transported to and disposed of at US Ecology s Grand View, Idaho, facility.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

V. Cultural Resources. There are two resources within the immediate vicinity. One archaeological resource is within the project area (CA-SHA-70/H), and one archaeological resource is outside the project area (CA-SHA-1940). No excavation would occur within either of these resources. Within CA-SHA-70/H, only surface collection of clay target fragments would be conducted by hand. This activity would be monitored by a qualified archaeologist, and a tribal monitor would be invited to observe the removal activity. This activity would not cause any damage to the resource.
Mitigation Measure (MM) V.b.1: In the event that an archaeological resource is encountered during on-site construction activities, all activity within a 50-foot radius of the find shall be stopped. A qualified archaeologist shall remain on-call during the course of the project and immediately examine the find. If the find appears significant, the archaeologists shall record the resource on Department of Parks and Recreation 523 Primary Record forms. In the event the resource cannot be avoided in order to complete the project, the archaeologist shall make a recommendation regarding eligibility for the California Register of Historical Resources, data recovery, curation, or other appropriate mitigation. Ground disturbance within the 50-foot radius can resume once these steps are taken. Therefore, the project would have no significant impacts to archaeological resources pursuant to §15064.5, provided these mitigation measures (if needed) are taken.
Mitigation Measure (MM) V.b.2: During the course of construction, if an alternative methodology is proposed for collecting clay target fragments within the boundary of CA-SHA-70/H, the alternative methodology must be approved by a qualified archaeologist and submitted to the Shasta County Department of Resource Management to determine if additi

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

None.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

California Department of Fish and Wildlife
California Department of Transportation
Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board