

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: Serra Drive Outfall Repair Project

Lead Agency: City of Pacifica

Contact Name: Sam Bautista

Email: bautistas@ci.pacifica.ca.us

Phone Number: 650-738-3760

Project Location: Pacifica, San Mateo County

City

County

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The Serra Drive Outfall Repair Project would include the repair of an existing concrete pipe storm drain and headwall behind two private residences along San Pedro Creek. Project components include the removal of the existing damaged headwall, high density polyethylene pipe (HDPE), and spillway and construction of a new concrete headwall, wingwall, and partially grouted rock rip-rap energy dissipater. The rip-rap energy dissipater would be 7.5 feet wide and located approximately 5 feet downstream of the concrete headwall apron to the toe of the embankment. Four feet of riprap extends into the creek bed to prevent erosion at the toe of the embankment. It would be partially grouted to provide appropriate energy dissipation prior to flows from the storm drainpipe reaching the creek.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The project could have potentially significant impacts on protected and special status species (California red legged frog, steelhead, and nesting bird), riparian habitat, and movement of wildlife, but would implement mitigation measures including, seasonal work windows, delineated work areas, worker educational training, disposal of trash, implementation of BMPs for stormwater discharge, preconstruction surveys, biological monitor, covering of trenches, use of screens on pumps, use of CRLF exclusion fence, fish relocation, nesting birds surveys, section 7 ESA consultation, approval of Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreement, and obtaining a Clean Water Act Section 404 Permit/401 Water Quality Certification to reduce the potential impacts to a less than significant level. The project could have potentially significant impacts on cultural and tribal cultural resources, but would implement mitigation measures including, accidental discovery of archaeological resources and human remain procedures to reduce the potential impacts to a less than significant level. The project could have potentially significant impacts on an emergency evacuation plan, inadequate emergency access, expose people or structures to the risk of wildland fires, and wildfire impacts during construction, but would implement mitigation measures including, BMPs to prevent fire hazards and actions to maintain adequate emergency access to the site and neighborhood during construction to reduce the potential impacts to a less than significant level. The project could have potentially significant impacts from excess noise generated during construction, but would implement a mitigation measure to minimize noise impacts to a less than significant level. The project would have potential significant impacts on mandatory findings of significance, but would implement all measures to reduce the potential impact to a less than significant level.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

The USFWS has prepared a Biological Opinion for potential impacts to CRLF as a result of project activities. In July 2020, USFWS agreed to append the proposed project to the Programmatic Biological Opinion for Issuance of Permits under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act, including Authorizations Under 22 Nationwide Permits, for Projects that May Affect the Threatened California Red-Legged Frog in Nine San Francisco Bay Area Counties, California (Programmatic Biological Opinion) (Service file number 08ESMF00-2014-F-0389). The City and their contractors will implement the conservation measures in the Programmatic Biological Opinion to avoid and minimize effects on the California red-legged frog and its habitats during construction of the proposed project with implementation of conservation measures. The USFWS concludes that the effects of the project would not jeopardize the continued existence of the CRLF with successful implementation of the conservation measures.

In September 2020, NMFS prepared a Biological Opinion that the project would not likely jeopardize the continued existence of CCC steelhead nor destroy or adversely modify its designated critical habitat. Additionally, NMFS concluded the project is not likely to destroy or adversely modify CCC coho salmon designated critical habitat.

The City and their contractors shall implement the conservation measures in the Programmatic Biological Opinion to avoid and minimize effects on CCC Steelhead and its habitats during construction of the proposed project

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

Regional Water Quality Control Board
Department of Fish and Wildlife