



# CAP CONSISTENCY CHECKLIST SUBMITTAL APPLICATION

- ❖ The Checklist is required only for projects subject to CEQA review.<sup>2</sup>
- ❖ If required, the Checklist must be included in the project submittal package. Application submittal procedures can be found in [Chapter 11: Land Development Procedures](#) of the City's Municipal Code.
- ❖ The requirements in the Checklist will be included in the project's conditions of approval.
- ❖ The applicant must provide an explanation of how the proposed project will implement the requirements described herein to the satisfaction of the Planning Department.

## Application Information

### Contact Information

Project No./Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Property Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Applicant Name/Co.: \_\_\_\_\_

Contact Phone: \_\_\_\_\_ Contact Email: \_\_\_\_\_

Was a consultant retained to complete this checklist?  Yes  No If Yes, complete the following

Consultant Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Contact Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

Company Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Contact Email: \_\_\_\_\_

### Project Information

1. What is the size of the project (acres)? \_\_\_\_\_

2. Identify all applicable proposed land uses:

Residential (indicate # of single-family units): \_\_\_\_\_

Residential (indicate # of multi-family units): \_\_\_\_\_

Commercial (total square footage): \_\_\_\_\_

Industrial (total square footage): \_\_\_\_\_

Other (describe): \_\_\_\_\_

3. Is the project or a portion of the project located in a Transit Priority Area?  Yes  No

4. Provide a brief description of the project proposed:

<sup>2</sup> Certain projects seeking ministerial approval may be required to complete the Checklist. For example, projects in a Community Plan Implementation Overlay Zone may be required to use the Checklist to qualify for ministerial level review. See Supplemental Development Regulations in the project's community plan to determine applicability.



# CAP CONSISTENCY CHECKLIST QUESTIONS

## Step 1: Land Use Consistency

The first step in determining CAP consistency for discretionary development projects is to assess the project's consistency with the growth projections used in the development of the CAP. This section allows the City to determine a project's consistency with the land use assumptions used in the CAP.

Step 1: Land Use Consistency		
Checklist Item (Check the appropriate box and provide explanation and supporting documentation for your answer)	Yes	No
A. Is the proposed project consistent with the existing General Plan and Community Plan land use and zoning designations?; <sup>3</sup> <u>OR</u>		
B. If the proposed project is not consistent with the existing land use plan and zoning designations, and includes a land use plan and/or zoning designation amendment, would the proposed amendment result in an increased density within a Transit Priority Area (TPA) <sup>4</sup> and implement CAP Strategy 3 actions, as determined in Step 3 to the satisfaction of the Development Services Department?; <u>OR</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
C. If the proposed project is not consistent with the existing land use plan and zoning designations, does the project include a land use plan and/or zoning designation amendment that would result in an equivalent or less GHG-intensive project when compared to the existing designations?		

If **"Yes,"** proceed to Step 2 of the Checklist. For question B above, complete Step 3. For question C above, provide estimated project emissions under both existing and proposed designation(s) for comparison. Compare the maximum buildout of the existing designation and the maximum buildout of the proposed designation.

If **"No,"** in accordance with the City's Significance Determination Thresholds, the project's GHG impact is significant. The project must nonetheless incorporate each of the measures identified in Step 2 to mitigate cumulative GHG emissions impacts unless the decision maker finds that a measure is infeasible in accordance with CEQA Guidelines Section 15091. Proceed and complete Step 2 of the Checklist.

<sup>3</sup> This question may also be answered in the affirmative if the project is consistent with SANDAG Series 12 growth projections, which were used to determine the CAP projections, as determined by the Planning Department.

<sup>4</sup> This category applies to all projects that answered in the affirmative to question 3 on the previous page: Is the project or a portion of the project located in a transit priority area.

## Step 2: CAP Strategies Consistency

The second step of the CAP consistency review is to review and evaluate a project's consistency with the applicable strategies and actions of the CAP. Step 2 only applies to development projects that involve permits that would require a certificate of occupancy from the Building Official or projects comprised of one and two family dwellings or townhouses as defined in the California Residential Code and their accessory structures.<sup>5</sup> All other development projects that would not require a certificate of occupancy from the Building Official shall implement Best Management Practices for construction activities as set forth in the [Greenbook](#) (for public projects).

Step 2: CAP Strategies Consistency			
Checklist Item (Check the appropriate box and provide explanation for your answer)	Yes	No	N/A
<b>Strategy 1: Energy &amp; Water Efficient Buildings</b>			
<p>1. <i>Cool/Green Roofs.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Would the project include roofing materials with a minimum 3-year aged solar reflection and thermal emittance or solar reflection index equal to or greater than the values specified in the voluntary measures under <a href="#">California Green Building Standards Code</a> (Attachment A)?; <u>OR</u></li> <li>• Would the project roof construction have a thermal mass over the roof membrane, including areas of vegetated (green) roofs, weighing at least 25 pounds per square foot as specified in the voluntary measures under <a href="#">California Green Building Standards Code</a>?; <u>OR</u></li> <li>• Would the project include a combination of the above two options?</li> </ul> <p>Check "N/A" only if the project does not include a roof component.</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 150px; width: 100%; margin-top: 10px;"></div>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<sup>5</sup> Actions that are not subject to Step 2 would include, for example: 1) discretionary map actions that do not propose specific development, 2) permits allowing wireless communication facilities, 3) special events permits, 4) use permits or other permits that do not result in the expansion or enlargement of a building (e.g., decks, garages, etc.), and 5) non-building infrastructure projects such as roads and pipelines. Because such actions would not result in new occupancy buildings from which GHG emissions reductions could be achieved, the items contained in Step 2 would not be applicable.

2. *Plumbing fixtures and fittings*

With respect to plumbing fixtures or fittings provided as part of the project, would those low-flow fixtures/appliances be consistent with each of the following:

Residential buildings:

- Kitchen faucets: maximum flow rate not to exceed 1.5 gallons per minute at 60 psi;
- Standard dishwashers: 4.25 gallons per cycle;
- Compact dishwashers: 3.5 gallons per cycle; and
- Clothes washers: water factor of 6 gallons per cubic feet of drum capacity?

Nonresidential buildings:

- Plumbing fixtures and fittings that do not exceed the maximum flow rate specified in [Table A5.303.2.3.1 \(voluntary measures\) of the California Green Building Standards Code](#) (See Attachment A); and
- Appliances and fixtures for commercial applications that meet the provisions of [Section A5.303.3 \(voluntary measures\) of the California Green Building Standards Code](#) (See Attachment A)?

Check "N/A" only if the project does not include any plumbing fixtures or fittings.

**Strategy 3: Bicycling, Walking, Transit & Land Use**

3. *Electric Vehicle Charging*

- Multiple-family projects of 17 dwelling units or less: Would 3% of the total parking spaces required, or a minimum of one space, whichever is greater, be provided with a listed cabinet, box or enclosure connected to a conduit linking the parking spaces with the electrical service, in a manner approved by the building and safety official, to allow for the future installation of electric vehicle supply equipment to provide electric vehicle charging stations at such time as it is needed for use by residents?
- Multiple-family projects of more than 17 dwelling units: Of the total required listed cabinets, boxes or enclosures, would 50% have the necessary electric vehicle supply equipment installed to provide active electric vehicle charging stations ready for use by residents?
- Non-residential projects: Of the total required listed cabinets, boxes or enclosures, would 50% have the necessary electric vehicle supply equipment installed to provide active electric vehicle charging stations ready for use?

Check "N/A" only if the project is a single-family project or would not require the provision of listed cabinets, boxes, or enclosures connected to a conduit linking the parking spaces with electrical service, e.g., projects requiring fewer than 10 parking spaces.

**Strategy 3: Bicycling, Walking, Transit & Land Use**

(Complete this section if project includes non-residential or mixed uses)

4. *Bicycle Parking Spaces*

Would the project provide more short- and long-term bicycle parking spaces than required in the City's Municipal Code ([Chapter 14, Article 2, Division 5](#))?<sup>6</sup>

Check "N/A" only if the project is a residential project.

<sup>6</sup> Non-portable bicycle corrals within 600 feet of project frontage can be counted towards the project's bicycle parking requirements.

5. *Shower facilities*

If the project includes nonresidential development that would accommodate over 10 tenant occupants (employees), would the project include changing/shower facilities in accordance with the voluntary measures under the [California Green Building Standards Code](#) as shown in the table below?

Number of Tenant Occupants (Employees)	Shower/Changing Facilities Required	Two-Tier (12" X 15" X 72") Personal Effects Lockers Required
0-10	0	0
11-50	1 shower stall	2
51-100	1 shower stall	3
101-200	1 shower stall	4
Over 200	1 shower stall plus 1 additional shower stall for each 200 additional tenant-occupants	1 two-tier locker plus 1 two-tier locker for each 50 additional tenant-occupants

Check "N/A" only if the project is a residential project, or if it does not include nonresidential development that would accommodate over 10 tenant occupants (employees).

6. *Designated Parking Spaces*

If the project includes a nonresidential use in a TPA, would the project provide designated parking for a combination of low-emitting, fuel-efficient, and carpool/vanpool vehicles in accordance with the following table?

Number of Required Parking Spaces	Number of Designated Parking Spaces
0-9	0
10-25	2
26-50	4
51-75	6
76-100	9
101-150	11
151-200	18
201 and over	At least 10% of total

This measure does not cover electric vehicles. See Question 4 for electric vehicle parking requirements.

Note: Vehicles bearing Clean Air Vehicle stickers from expired HOV lane programs may be considered eligible for designated parking spaces. The required designated parking spaces are to be provided within the overall minimum parking requirement, not in addition to it.

Check "N/A" only if the project is a residential project, or if it does not include nonresidential use in a TPA.

7. *Transportation Demand Management Program*

If the project would accommodate over 50 tenant-occupants (employees), would it include a transportation demand management program that would be applicable to existing tenants and future tenants that includes:

At least one of the following components:

- Parking cash out program
- Parking management plan that includes charging employees market-rate for single-occupancy vehicle parking and providing reserved, discounted, or free spaces for registered carpools or vanpools
- Unbundled parking whereby parking spaces would be leased or sold separately from the rental or purchase fees for the development for the life of the development

And at least three of the following components:

- Commitment to maintaining an employer network in the SANDAG iCommute program and promoting its RideMatcher service to tenants/employees
- On-site carsharing vehicle(s) or bikesharing
- Flexible or alternative work hours
- Telework program
- Transit, carpool, and vanpool subsidies
- Pre-tax deduction for transit or vanpool fares and bicycle commute costs
- Access to services that reduce the need to drive, such as cafes, commercial stores, banks, post offices, restaurants, gyms, or childcare, either onsite or within 1,320 feet (1/4 mile) of the structure/use?

Check "N/A" only if the project is a residential project or if it would not accommodate over 50 tenant-occupants (employees).



## Step 3: Project CAP Conformance Evaluation (if applicable)

The third step of the CAP consistency review only applies if Step 1 is answered in the affirmative under option B. The purpose of this step is to determine whether a project that is located in a TPA but that includes a land use plan and/or zoning designation amendment is nevertheless consistent with the assumptions in the CAP because it would implement CAP Strategy 3 actions. In general, a project that would result in a reduction in density inside a TPA would not be consistent with Strategy 3. The following questions must each be answered in the affirmative and fully explained.

**1. Would the proposed project implement the General Plan's City of Villages strategy in an identified Transit Priority Area (TPA) that will result in an increase in the capacity for transit-supportive residential and/or employment densities?**

Considerations for this question:

- Does the proposed land use and zoning designation associated with the project provide capacity for transit-supportive residential densities within the TPA?
- Is the project site suitable to accommodate mixed-use village development, as defined in the General Plan, within the TPA?
- Does the land use and zoning associated with the project increase the capacity for transit-supportive employment intensities within the TPA?

**2. Would the proposed project implement the General Plan's Mobility Element in Transit Priority Areas to increase the use of transit?**

Considerations for this question:

- Does the proposed project support/incorporate identified transit routes and stops/stations?
- Does the project include transit priority measures?

**3. Would the proposed project implement pedestrian improvements in Transit Priority Areas to increase walking opportunities?**

Considerations for this question:

- Does the proposed project circulation system provide multiple and direct pedestrian connections and accessibility to local activity centers (such as transit stations, schools, shopping centers, and libraries)?
- Does the proposed project urban design include features for walkability to promote a transit supportive environment?

**4. Would the proposed project implement the City of San Diego's Bicycle Master Plan to increase bicycling opportunities?**

Considerations for this question:

- Does the proposed project circulation system include bicycle improvements consistent with the Bicycle Master Plan?
- Does the overall project circulation system provide a balanced, multimodal, "complete streets" approach to accommodate mobility needs of all users?

**5. Would the proposed project incorporate implementation mechanisms that support Transit Oriented Development?**

Considerations for this question:

- Does the proposed project include new or expanded urban public spaces such as plazas, pocket parks, or urban greens in the TPA?
- Does the land use and zoning associated with the proposed project increase the potential for jobs within the TPA?
- Do the zoning/implementing regulations associated with the proposed project support the efficient use of parking through mechanisms such as: shared parking, parking districts, unbundled parking, reduced parking, paid or time-limited parking, etc.?

**6. Would the proposed project implement the Urban Forest Management Plan to increase urban tree canopy coverage?**

Considerations for this question:

- Does the proposed project provide at least three different species for the primary, secondary and accent trees in order to accommodate varying parkway widths?
- Does the proposed project include policies or strategies for preserving existing trees?
- Does the proposed project incorporate tree planting that will contribute to the City's 20% urban canopy tree coverage goal?

## STEP 3: Project CAP Conformance Evaluation – APPLICANT RESPONSES

1. Would the proposed project implement the General Plan’s City of Villages strategy in an identified Transit Priority Area (TPA) that will result in an increase in the capacity for transit-supportive residential and/or employment densities?

The General Plan identifies the University community as a Sub-Regional Employment Area which is made up of high intensity office, commercial, industrial, scientific research, and residential uses. The General Plan defines Sub-Regional Employment Areas as “major employment and/or commercial districts within the region containing corporate or multiple-use office, industrial, and retail uses with some adjacent multifamily residential uses. Existing Sub-Regional Districts include the Mission Valley/Morena/Grantville and University/Sorrento Mesa areas. (Page LU-7.)” The proposed amendment is consistent with General Plan policy LU.A.1.b which states: “Encourage further intensification of employment uses throughout Sub-Regional Employment Districts

2. Would the proposed project implement the General Plan’s Mobility Element in Transit Priority Areas to increase the use of transit?

The project’s proposed community plan amendment would help provide additional quality job opportunities and secondary employment in an area where a significant investment in transit has been made. This in turn, would help increase employment within a TPA, consistent with CAP Strategies and would further the City’s trajectory towards meeting its goals to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

3. Would the proposed project implement pedestrian improvements in Transit Priority Areas to increase walking opportunities?

The applicant is committed to exploring Transportation Demand Management strategies for employees which may include one or more of the following: privately funded shuttles serving properties along Towne Centre Drive, local shopping areas and local transit stops (Super Loop, Mid-Coast Trolley and Coaster Station).

Additionally, as part of the project’s site design efforts, the Applicant is exploring the inclusion of visual access points to the site’s adjacent canyons by one or more of the following: canyon rim walking paths, overlooks, and/or scenic vistas.

4. Would the proposed project implement the City of San Diego’s Bicycle Master Plan to increase bicycling opportunities?

In accordance with the City’s Bicycle Master Plan, the project intends to include bike racks and lockers to accommodate those who are expecting to park their bikes for more than two hours, such as employees and transit commuters. In addition, locker rooms and showers will be included in the project to support the Bicycle Master Plan’s goal of providing end-of-trip amenities.

5. Would the proposed project incorporate implementation mechanisms that support Transit Oriented Development?

To maximize on-site parking efficiency, the applicant intends to explore measures such as unbundled parking, shared parking agreements, analysis of parking demand in conjunction with upcoming transit opportunities and subsidized transit passes.

In addition, the project's proposed community plan amendment would help provide additional quality job opportunities and secondary employment in an area where a significant investment in transit has already been made. This in turn, would help increase employment within a TPA, consistent with CAP Strategies and would further the City's trajectory towards meeting its goals to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

6. Would the proposed project implement the Urban Forest Management Plan to increase urban tree canopy coverage?

Our project is in alignment with the Urban Forest Management Plan. This project would be planting approximately 400 new trees, which will increase the canopy tree coverage of the City.