## **Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal**

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

| SCH#; 2021050080  |                              |
|---|------------------------------|
| Project Title: Regional San BioGeneration Facility Project  |                              |
| Lead Agency: Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District |                              |
| Contact Name: Steve Nebozuk                                 |                              |
| Email: _nebozuks@sacsewer.com                               | Phone Number: (916) 876-6118 |
| Project Location: Unincorporated Sacramento County          |                              |
| City  | County                       |

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The proposed project would include construction and operation of a new biogeneration facility including a cogeneration engine system to use biogas onsite to produce electricity and heat for the Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant (SRWTP). The proposed project would include up to six internal combustion engine generators, engine exhaust treatment (oxidation catalyst and selective catalytic reduction), a biogas conditioning system (as part of the gas management system), a hot water boiler (standby), and a new building. Several underground utility lines connecting the SRWTP to the Carson Cogen Plant would be abandoned or demolished. The SRWTP is located at 8521 Laguna Station Road in Elk Grove and is surrounded by approximately 2,150 acres of open space owned by Regional San and known as the Bufferlands. The entire SRWTP site and Bufferlands are located north of Laguna Boulevard and lie predominantly within the unincorporated area of Sacramento County, between Franklin Boulevard and Interstate 5. The biogeneration project area would be located within the SRWTP site in a previously disturbed area north of the existing digesters. The project area is bordered by Digesters Way/Oregon Trail to the south and Septage Way to the north. The staging area would be immediately east of the proposed biogeneration facility site

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

## Biological Resources:

-Project implementation could lead to potential loss of bird nests due to disturbance from construction activities proximate to nesting trees. Loss of nests could include nest abandonment, failure, and/or mortality of chicks or eggs. Mitigation Measures 3.2-1a (avoid disturbance of Swainson's hawk, loggerhead shrike, and other raptor nests), 3.2-1b (avoid disturbance of burrowing owl nests), and 3.2-1c (avoid disturbance of tricolored blackbird or common native bird nests or foraging habitat) are included to reduce this impact to a less-than-significant level (Impact 3.2-1).

## Cultural and Tribal Cultural Resources:

-Project-related ground-disturbing activities could result in discovery or damage of yet undiscovered archaeological resources as defined in State CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5. Mitigation Measure 3.3-1 (unanticipated discoveries of archaeological resources) is included to reduce this impact to a less-than-significant level (Impact 3.3-1).

-It is possible that yet-undiscovered tribal cultural resources could be encountered or damaged during ground-disturbing construction activities. Mitigation Measure 3.3-2 (unanticipated discoveries of potential tribal cultural resources) is included to reduce this impact to a less-than-significant level (Impact 3.3-2).

| If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.  |
|--|
| Based on the comments received during the NOP comment period, the major areas of controversy associated with the project include:  |
| -potential air quality impacts (during construction and operation) and mitigation measures, -potential GHG emissions,  |
| -alternatives, and<br>-potential impacts to biological resources and mitigation measures.  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.   |
| California Department of Fish and Wildlife is a trustee agency for the project.  |
|  |
| The only responsible agency that may have responsibility for, or jurisdiction over, implementation of elements of the project is Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District (SMAQMD). |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |