

## NOTICE OF INTENT TO ADOPT A NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Notice is hereby given that the City of Huntington Park has prepared an Environmental Initial Study for the following location:

**PROJECT:** Case No. 2021-01 Conditional Use Permit

LOCATION(S): 3332 Florence Avenue

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION:** Case No. 2021-01 A request for a Conditional Use Permit to allow auto body and fender repair services to an existing legal non-conforming auto repair shop and install a spray booth within an existing service bay located at 3332 E Florence Avenue.

APPLICANT:	Mr. Miguel Santini	

Based on the environmental information gathered and analyzed for the project during the Initial Study process, the City of Huntington Park has determined that there is no substantial evidence, in light of the whole record, that the project may have a significant effect on the environment. Therefore, a Negative Declaration for the project is proposed pursuant to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

The 30-day public review period for this document begins on June 21, 2021 and expires on July 20, 2021.

The proposed Negative Declaration is available for public inspection during normal business hours at: 1) The City of Huntington Park, Planning Division located at 6550 Miles Avenue, Huntington Park, CA, and 2) Los Angeles County Library in the City of Huntington Park located at 6518 Miles Avenue, Huntington Park, CA.

The Planning Commission of the City of Huntington Park will conduct a public hearing to consider the proposed Negative Declaration in conjunction with Case No. 2021-01 CUP on **Wednesday**, **July 21**, **2021 at 6:30 pm** or as soon thereafter as possible, in the Huntington Park City Council Chambers, City Hall, 6550 Miles Avenue, Huntington Park, California.

Please address all public comments (before the close of the environmental review period noted above) to: City of Huntington Park, Attn: Susana Martinez, Assistant Planner, 6550 Miles Avenue, Huntington Park, CA 90255, (323)584-6282, smartinez@hpca.gov.

Page 1 of 29

## CITY OF HUNTINGTON PARK ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

PROJECT TITLE: 2021-01 Conditional Use Permit, Negative Declaration No. 2021-

01

**LEAD AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS:**City of Huntington Park 6550 Miles Avenue

Huntington Park, CA 90255

**CONTACT PERSON** Susana Martinez, Assistant Planner

**AND PHONE NUMBER:** (323) 584-6282

**PROJECT LOCATION(S):** 3332 E Florence Avenue

PROJECT SPONSOR'S Mr. Miguel Santini

**NAME AND ADDRESS:** 3332 E Florence Avenue

Huntington Park, CA 9025\v

GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION: The proposed project pertains to the following Land Use

Designation: "General Commercial"

ZONING CLASSIFICATION: The proposed project pertains to the following Zoning

Classification: C-G (Commercial General)

PROJECT DESCRIPTION (Describe the whole action involved, including but not limited to later phases of the project, and any secondary, support, or off-site features necessary for its implementation. Attach additional sheets if necessary.):

Case No. 2021-01 a request for a Conditional Use Permit to allow auto body and fender repair services to an existing legal non-conforming auto repair shop and a spray booth within an existing service bay located at 3332 E Florence Avenue.

SURROUNDING LAND USES AND SETTING (Briefly describe the project's surroundings.):

The proposed project is located within the Commercial General (C-G) zone of the City. The project site is surrounded by residential north, south, and commercial uses to the east and west.

OTHER AGENCIES WHOSE APPROVAL IS REQUIRED (i.e., permits, financing approval, or participation agreement.):

1) Los Angeles County Fire Department

### **ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:**

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

	Aesthetics	Agriculture Resources	Air Quality						
	Biological Resources	Cultural Resources	Geology/Soils						
	Hazards & Hazardous	Hydrology/Water Quality	Land Use/Planning						
	Biological Resources  Hazards & Hazardous Materials Mineral Resources  Public Services  Public Service  Systems  TERMINATION (To be completed by the Lead Agaluation:  I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been man MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.  I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequapplicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation mon attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required to be addressed.  I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequapplicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation mon attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required to be addressed.  I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlie applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuar including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the posed upon t	Noise	Population/Housing						
山	Public Services	Recreation	Transportation/Traffic						
	Utilities/Service	Mandatory Findings of	Tribal Culture Resources						
	Systems	Significance							
Х		COULD NOT have a significant effect on the	ne environment, and a NEGATIVE						
	effect in this case because revisi-	ons in the project have been made by or ago							
	I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.								
	impact on the environment, but at applicable legal standards, and 2) h on attached sheets. An ENVIRONI	least one effect 1) has been adequately analyz has been addressed by mitigation measures bas	ed in an earlier document pursuant to ed on the earlier analysis as described						
	significant effects (a) have been applicable standards, and (b) have	analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NE been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earli	GATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to er EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION,						
<i>f</i>	Susana Martinez		June 21, 2021  Date  City of Huntington Park						
	Printed Name		For						

### **EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS**

- A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (i.e., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (i.e., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take into account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3) Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less then significant with mitigation, or less then significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4) "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact". The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level.
- 5) Earlier analysis may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
  - a) Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
  - b) Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on earlier analysis.
  - c) Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated", describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (i.e., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- 7) Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8) This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
- 9) The explanation of each issue should identify:
  - a) The significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and

b)	mitigation ficance.	measure	identified,	if any,	to	reduce	the	impact	to	less	thai
				Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporatio	t	Less Tha Significa Impact	nt	No Impa	-
			L								

### I. AESTHETICS.

Would the project:

a)	Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?				Х
b)	Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?				х
c)	Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?				х
d)	Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?				х

## II. AGRICULTURE RESOURCES.

In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and forest carbon measurement methodology provided in Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project:

a)	Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?				х
		•		]	
b)	Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?				Х
c)	Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?				x
d)	Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				х

		Sig	tentially inificant mpact	S	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impa
AGI	RICULTURE RESOURCES, cont.								
е)	Involve other changes in the existing environment which due to their location or nature could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?								х
W ap be	AIR QUALITY.  There available, the significance criteria established oplicable air quality management or air pollution control diserelied upon to make the following determinations. Woject:	trict r	may						
a)	Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?								х
b)	Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?								х
c)	Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?								х
d)	Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?								х
е)	Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?								х
	Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?								x
b)	Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?								х
c)	Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?								х

Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact

## IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES, cont.

d)	Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				x
e)	Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?				х
f)	Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				x

### V. CULTURAL RESOURCES.

Would the project:

a)	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in § 15064.5?				Х
b)	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5?				х
c)	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?□				Х
d)	Disturb any human remains, including those interred Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of dedicated cemeteries?				х

## VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS.

Would the project:

a)	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or				
	death involving:				
	i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.				x
	ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?				Х
	•				
	iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?				Х
	iv) Landslides?	·			Χ

Potentially Significant Impact  Significant With Mitigation Incorporation  Less Than Significant Impact Impact Impact
---

## VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS, continued.

b)	Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?			Χ
c)	Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?			х
d)	Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?			х
e)	Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?□			х

### VII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS.

Would the project:

a)	Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?				х
b)	Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?				х

## **VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS**

**MATERIALS.** Would the project:

a)	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?				х
b)	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?				x
c)	Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?				x
d)	Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				х

Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	l			1	l

# VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS, cont.

e)	For a project located within an airport land use plan or where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing of working in the project area?				х
_					
f)	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				х
g)	Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				х
h)	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?				х

### IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY.

Would the project:

a)	Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?		13		х
b)	Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of preexisting nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?				x
			] [		
c)	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?				х
d)	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?				х
е)	Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?				х

Potentially Less Than Significant Significant Impact With Impact Mitigation Incorporation	No Impact
---	--------------

# IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY, continued.

f)	Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?				х
g)	Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?				х
h)	Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?				х
i)	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?				х
j)	Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?				х

## X. LAND USE AND PLANNING.

Would the project:

a)	Physically divide an established community?				Х
b)	Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of any agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?				x
c)	Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?				Х

## XI. MINERAL RESOURCES. Would the project:

a)	Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?				х
b)	Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?				х

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------------	---	------------------------------------	--------------

## XII. NOISE. Would the project result in:

a)	Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local genera plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?				x
b)	Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive ground borne vibration or ground borne noise levels?				Х
c)	A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				x
d)	A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				х
	· ·				
e)	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				x
		Ţ	Ţ	]	
f)	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				X

## XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the project:

a)	Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?				х
b)	Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				X
c)	Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				Х

## XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES.

a)	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:							х
----	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

Potentially Significant Significant With Mitigation Incorporation Less Than Significant Impact Impact
---

## XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES, cont.

i) Fire protection?		Х
ii) Police protection?		Х
iii) Schools?		Х
iv) Parks?		Х
v) Other public facilities?		Х

### XV. RECREATION.

a)	Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?				х	
b)	Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				х	

## XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC.

Would the project:

a)	Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?			P	х
b)	Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?				x
c)	Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?				х
d)	Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (i.e., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (i.e., farm equipment)?				х

Potentially Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	------------------------------------	--------------

## XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC, cont.

e)	Result in inadequate emergency access?				Χ
f)	Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?				x

### XVII.TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES.

Would the project cause substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal culture resource, defined in Public Resources Code section 21074 as either a site, feature, place cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is:

a)	Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in Public Resources Code section 5020.1(k), or				х
b)	A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe.				х

### XVIII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS.

Would the project:

a)	Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?				Х
b)	Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				х
c)	Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				х
d)	Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the				· ·
	project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?				Х
е)	Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?				х

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
--	--------------------------------------	---	--	------------------------------------	--	--------------

## XVIII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS, cont.

f)	Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?				Х
g)	Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?				х

## XIV. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE.

a)	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?				х
b)	Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects.)				x
c)	Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?				х

### I. AESTHETICS. Would the project:

a. Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?

**No Impact.** The project proposes to utilize an existing vehicle bay to provide auto body and fender repair services. In addition, a spray booth is proposed within the existing vehicle bay. There are no existing scenic vistas within the vicinity of the project site. Therefore, the project will not adversely affect a scenic vista.

b. Substantially damage scenic resources, including, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?

No Impact. See reason listed under I.a.

c. Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?

No Impact. See reason listed under I.a.

d. Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under I.a.

### II. AGRICULTURE RESOURCES. Would the project:

a. Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farm-land of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?

**No Impact.** The project proposes to utilize an existing vehicle bay to provide auto body and fender repair services. In addition, a spray booth is proposed within the existing vehicle bay. The project site is currently developed as an auto repair and tire shop. Therefore, the site is already an existing non-agricultural land.

b. Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under II.a.

c. Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?

**No Impact.** The project proposes to utilize an existing vehicle bay to provide auto body and fender repair services. In addition, a spray booth is proposed within the existing vehicle bay. The project site is currently developed as an auto repair and tire shop. Therefore, the site is already and existing non-forest land.

d. Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under II.c.

e. Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under II.a and II.c.

### III. AIR QUALITY. Would the project:

a. Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?

**No Impact.** The project proposes to utilize an existing vehicle bay to provide auto body and fender repair services. In addition, a spray booth is proposed within the existing vehicle bay. The existing air quality and conditions will not be affected or change as a result of the auto body, fender work repair, and spray booth.

b. Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under III.a.

c. Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard.

**No Impact.** See reason listed under III.a.

d. Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutants concentrations?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under III.a.

e. Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under III.a.

### IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES. Would the project:

a. Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?

**No Impact.** The City of Huntington Park is located within a highly developed urban area of southeast Los Angeles County, within the greater metropolitan Los Angeles region. The City of Huntington Park is bounded by four (4) major freeway corridors, including the I-105, the I-710, the I-110 and the I-10. There are no designated wildlife habitat areas within the municipal boundaries of the City of Huntington Park, nor are there any designated wildlife corridors intersecting the community.

b. Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under IV.a.

c. Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other mean?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under IV.a.

d. Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under IV.a.

e. Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under IV.a.

f. Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under IV.a.

### V. CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project:

a. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in Section 15064.5?

**No Impact.** The City of Huntington Park is located within a highly developed urban area of southeast Los Angeles County and does not have any historical area recorded with the State Historic Preservation Office.

b. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to Section 15064.5?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under V.a.

c. Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under V.a.

d. Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under V.a.

### VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:

2

- a. Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:
  - i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.

**No Impact.** The proposed project will not expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death. There are no known earthquake faults running through the City. The closest earthquake fault is located approximately 6 miles southwest of Huntington Park, known as the Newport Inglewood Fault.

ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?

**No impact.** See reason listed under VI.a.i.

iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?

**No impact.** See reason listed under VI.a.i.

Page 18 of 29

### iv) Landslides?

**No Impact.** The City of Huntington Park is located in a liquefaction zone. However, the project proposes to utilize an existing vehicle bay to provide auto body and feeder repair services. In addition, a spray booth is proposed within the existing vehicle bay. The project does not propose to demolish or construct any new structures.

b. Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under VI.a.iv.

c. Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under VI.a.iv.

d. Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?

**No impact.** See reason listed under VI.a.i.

e. Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?

**No impact.** See reason listed under VI.a.i.

### VII. GREEN HOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

a. Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?

**No Impact.** There are no significant greenhouse gas emissions anticipated as a result of the proposed project. The project proposes to utilize an existing vehicle bay to provide auto body and fender repair services. In addition, a spray booth is proposed within the existing vehicle bay. A condition of approval has been included that the applicant shall follow any and all conditions from the South Coast Air Quality Management District (AQMD) to ensure that the spray booth does not emit any greenhouse gas emissions.

b. Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions or greenhouse gases?

No Impact. See reason listed under VII.a.

Page 19 of 29

### VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project:

a. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use or disposal of hazardous materials?

**No Impact.** There are no significant hazards to the public or the environment anticipated as a result of the proposed project. The proposed project will comply with all State of California regulations for disposal of any and all hazardous materials and a condition of approval is included.

b. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under VIII.a.

c. Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under VIII.a.

d. Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites complied pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and , as a result, would create a significant hazard to the public or environment?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under VIII.a.

e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under VIII.a.

f. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working within the project area?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under VIII.a.

g. Impair implementation of, or physically interfere with, an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?

No Impact. See reason listed under VIII.a.

h. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?

No Impact. See reason listed under VIII.a.

### IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project:

a. Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?

**No Impact.** A Storm water Pollution Prevention Program will be required by the City as a condition of approval of the project. Therefore, the project will not violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements.

b. Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (i.e., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?

No Impact. See reason listed under IX.a.

c. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under IX.a.

d. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under IX.a.

e. Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under IX.a.

f. Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under IX.a.

g. Place housing within 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under IX.a.

h. Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under IX.a.

i. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under IX.a.

j. Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under IX.a.

### X. LAND USE AND PLANNING. Would the project:

a. Physically divide an established community?

**No Impact.** The project proposes to utilize an existing vehicle bay to provide auto body and fender repair services. In addition, a spray booth is proposed within the existing vehicle bay, therefore there is no opportunity to divide any community. There will not be conflict with any land use plan or habitat conservation plan. The General Plan encourages uses such as auto repair, auto body, and fender repair facilities within the area of the City that the project site is located.

b. Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of any agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under X.a.

c. Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under X.a.

### XI. MINERAL RESOURCES. Would the project:

a. Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?

**No Impact.** There are no known mineral resources of value within the City boundaries.

b. Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under X.a.

### XII. NOISE. Would the project result in:

a. Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?

**No Impact.** The applicant has submitted a noise study conducted by a licensed engineer. As stipulated in the noise study, the proposed project will not exceed the noise, nor vibration levels standards established in the local general plan and noise ordinance. The existing building is a buffer between the proposed equipment and any neighboring properties. In addition, a condition has been included to construct an eight foot high CMU block wall along the southerly property line to create an additional buffer from the neighboring residential uses.

b. Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under XII.a.

c. A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?

No Impact. See reason lists under XII.a

d. A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under XII.c.

e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

**No Impact.** The project site is not located near an airport.

f. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

No Impact. See reason listed under XII.e.

### XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the project:

a. Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?

**No Impact.** The project proposes to utilize an existing vehicle bay to provide auto body and fender repair services. In addition, a spray booth is proposed within the existing vehicle bay. The building will continue to be utilized as an auto repair center, with tire, auto body, fender repair and there is no opportunity to potentially induce any population or employment growth in the area.

b. Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?

No Impact. See reason listed under XIII.a

c. Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?

No Impact. See reason listed under XIII.a.

### XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES.

a. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: i) Fire protection?

**No Impact.** The project proposes to utilize an existing vehicle bay to provide auto body and fender repair services. In addition, a spray booth is proposed within the existing vehicle bay. The project proposed will utilize the existing building therefore, there is no opportunity to potentially burden public services.

ii) Police protection?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under XIV.a.i.

iii) Schools?

No Impact. See reason listed under XIV.a.i.

iv) Parks?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under XIV.a.i.

v) Other public facilities?

No Impact. See reason listed under XIV.a.i.

### XV. RECREATION.

a. Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?

**No Impact.** The project proposes to utilize an existing vehicle bay to provide auto body and feeder repair services. In addition, a spray booth is proposed within the existing vehicle bay. The project will utilize the existing building and there is no opportunity to potentially burden existing regional parks or other recreational facilities within the City due to the fact that the proposed project will not generate new permanent residents in Huntington Park.

b. Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under XV.a.

### XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Would the project:

a. Cause an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)?

**No Impact.** The project proposes to utilize an existing vehicle bay to provide auto body and fender repair services. In addition, a spray booth is proposed within the existing vehicle bay. The project proposed will utilize the existing building. A parking analysis was conducted for the property and complies with all parking regulations as stipulated in the local municipal code. The project will have adequate parking and adequate on-site vehicular circulation.

b. Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under XVI.a.

c. Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?

No Impact. See reason listed under XVI.a.

d. Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (i.e., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (i.e., farm equipment)?

No Impact. See reason listed under XVI.a.

e. Result in inadequate emergency access?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under XVI.a.

f. Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under XVI.a.

### XVII. TRIBAL RESOURCES

 a. Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in local register of historical resources as defined in Public Resources Code section 5020.1

**No Impact.** The City of Huntington Park is located within a highly developed urban area of southeast Los Angeles County and does not have any historical area recorded with the State Historic Preservation Office.

b. A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe.

No Impact. See XVII.a.

### XVIII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would the project:

a. Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?

**No Impact.** The proposed project will not exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board and therefore, there is no opportunity to potentially burden utility and service systems.

b. Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under XVIII.a.

c. Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?

No Impact. See reason listed under XVIII.a.

d. Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under XVIII.a.

e. Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under XVIII.a.

f. Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under XVIII.a.

g. Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?

**No Impact.** See reason listed under XVIII.a.

### XIX. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE.

a. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?

**No Impact.** The project site is currently developed as an auto and tire repair shop and did not serve as a habitat for fish or wildlife species. Therefore, there is no opportunity to potentially degrade the quality of the environment, including biological and cultural resources.

b. Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects.)

**No Impact.** The project proposes to utilize an existing vehicle bay to provide auto body and fender repair services. In addition, a spray booth is proposed within the existing vehicle bay, therefore there is no opportunity to potentially degrade the quality of the environment or generate any cumulative impacts.

c. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?

**No Impact.** The project proposes to utilize an existing vehicle bay to provide auto body and fender repair services. In addition, a spray booth is proposed within the existing vehicle bay, therefore there is no opportunity to potentially degrade the quality of the environment or generate any cumulative impacts.

### XX. DISCUSSION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION.

After conducting an environmental evaluation of the proposed project, it is anticipated that the project will not have an impact on the surrounding environment. The noise study conduct by the licensed engineer has determined that the project will not create issues related to noise. In addition, the project proposes to utilize the existing building, with no proposed demotion or addition to the project site.

### XXI. SOURCES.

- 1. The City of Huntington Park General Plan, City of Huntington Park, 1991,1993
- 2. The City of Huntington Park Municipal Code, City of Huntington Park, 2001
- 3. State Register of Historical Buildings, California Office of Historic Preservation, 1994