Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: East Mountain Drive Low Water Crossing Replacement

Lead Agency: County of Santa Barbara Public Works Department

Contact Name: Morgan M. Jones

Email: mmjones@cosbpw.net Phone Number: 805-568-3059

Project Location: _____ Santa Barbara
City *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The County of Santa Barbara, with oversight from the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) and funding from the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), plans to replace the previous East Mountain Drive low water crossing with a bridge. The proposed bridge would be approximately 70 feet long by 31 feet wide pre-cast concrete structure and would clear span the creek channel. All temporary and permanent impact from the proposed project would be outside the ordinary high-water mark of Cold Spring Creek. The proposed project would re-establish the Cold Spring Creek crossing of East Mountain Drive, re-open the one-way roadway, and provide uninterrupted access and improved safety for the public.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Based on the results of the Initial Study, the proposed project would not have significant impacts on the environment with the implementation of mitigation measures. This conclusion is supported by the following findings:
- The proposed project would have no impact on Agricultural Resources, Land Use, and Public Facilities.
- The proposed project would have a less-than-significant impact on Aesthetics/Visual Resources, Energy, Geologic Processes, Hazardous Materials/Risk of Upset, and Transportation.
- The proposed project would have a less-than-significant impact with the implementation of mitigation measures on Air Quality, Biological Resources, Cultural Resources, Fire Protection, Noise, Recreation, and Water Resources/Flooding.
- No substantial evidence exists that the proposed project would have a significant negative or adverse effect on the environment.

The mitigation measures are attached.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

None.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) - Section 1602 Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreement