



AUGUST 17, 2021

VIA EMAIL: [DAVIDBLACK@CO.IMPERIAL.CA.US](mailto:DAVIDBLACK@CO.IMPERIAL.CA.US)

David Black

Imperial County Planning and Development Services Department  
801 Main Street  
El Centro, CA 92243

**Governor's Office of Planning & Research**

**August 18 2021**

## **STATE CLEARINGHOUSE**

Dear Mr. Black:

NOTICE OF PREPARATION OF A DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT FOR THE  
BRAWLEY SOLAR ENERGY FACILITY PROJECT, SCH# 2021070424

The Department of Conservation's (Department) Division of Land Resource Protection (Division) has reviewed the Notice of Preparation of a Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Brawley Solar Energy Facility Project (Project). The Division monitors farmland conversion on a statewide basis, provides technical assistance regarding the Williamson Act, and administers various agricultural land conservation programs. We offer the following comments and recommendations with respect to the project's potential impacts on agricultural land and resources.

### Project Description

The project applicant proposes to construct and operate a 40 megawatt (MW) photovoltaic (PV) solar facility with an integrated 40 MW battery storage system (BESS) (not to exceed 80 MW) on approximately 227 acres of privately-owned land. The proposed project would be comprised of bifacial solar PV arrays panels, an on-site substation, BESS, fiberoptic line or microwave tower, inverters, transformers, underground electrical cables and access roads. The proposed project would connect to the existing North Brawley Geothermal Power Plant substation located southwest of the project site via an approximately 1.8-mile long aboveground 92 kilovolt generation tie line.

The site is approximately one mile north of the City of Brawley's jurisdictional limit, south of Baughman Road, west of Best Road, and north of Andre Road. The City of Brawley Wastewater Treatment Plant is located along the western edge of the project site.

The project site contains Prime Farmland, and Farmland of Statewide Importance as designated by the Department of Conservation's Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program.<sup>1</sup>

### Department Comments

Although conversion of agricultural land is often an unavoidable impact under CEQA analysis, feasible alternatives and/or feasible mitigation measures must be considered. In some cases, the argument is made that mitigation cannot reduce impacts to below the level of significance because agricultural land will still be converted by the project, and therefore, mitigation is not required. However, reduction to a level below significance is not a criterion for mitigation under CEQA. Rather, the criterion is feasible mitigation that lessens a project's impacts. As stated in CEQA statute, mitigation may also include, "Compensating for the impact by replacing or providing substitute resources or environments, including through permanent protection of such resources in the form of conservation easements."<sup>2</sup>

The conversion of agricultural land represents a permanent reduction in the State's agricultural land resources. As such, the Department advises the use of permanent agricultural conservation easements on land of at least equal quality and size as partial compensation for the loss of agricultural land. Conservation easements are an available mitigation tool and considered a standard practice in many areas of the State. The Department highlights conservation easements because of their acceptance and use by lead agencies as an appropriate mitigation measure under CEQA and because it follows an established rationale similar to that of wildlife habitat mitigation.

Mitigation via agricultural conservation easements can be implemented by at least two alternative approaches: the outright purchase of easements or the donation of mitigation fees to a local, regional, or statewide organization or agency whose purpose includes the acquisition and stewardship of agricultural conservation easements. The conversion of agricultural land should be deemed an impact of at least regional significance. Hence, the search for replacement lands should not be limited strictly to lands within the project's surrounding area.

---

<sup>1</sup> California Department of Conservation, Division of Land Resource Protection, Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program, <https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/DLRP/CIFF/>

<sup>2</sup> Public Resources Code Section 15370, Association of Environmental Professionals, 2020 CEQA, California Environmental Quality Act, Statute & Guidelines, page 284, [https://www.califaep.org/docs/2020\\_ceqa\\_book.pdf](https://www.califaep.org/docs/2020_ceqa_book.pdf)

A source that has proven helpful for regional and statewide agricultural mitigation banks is the California Council of Land Trusts. They provide helpful insight into farmland mitigation policies and implementation strategies, including a guidebook with model policies and a model local ordinance. The guidebook can be found at:

<http://www.calandtrusts.org/resources/conserving-californias-harvest/>

Of course, the use of conservation easements is only one form of mitigation that should be considered. Any other feasible mitigation measures should also be considered

The Department recommends further discussion of the following issues:

- Type, amount, and location of farmland conversion resulting directly and indirectly from implementation of the proposed project.
- Impacts on any current and future agricultural operations in the vicinity; e.g., land-use conflicts, increases in land values and taxes, loss of agricultural support infrastructure such as processing facilities, etc.
- Incremental impacts leading to cumulative impacts on agricultural land. This would include impacts from the proposed project, as well as impacts from past, current, and likely future projects.
- Proposed mitigation measures for all impacted agricultural lands within the proposed project area.

### Conclusion

Thank you for giving us the opportunity to comment on the Notice of Preparation of a Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Brawley Solar Energy Facility Project. Please provide this Department with notices of any future hearing dates as well as any staff reports pertaining to this project. If you have any questions regarding our comments, please contact Farl Grundy, Associate Environmental Planner via email at [Farl.Grundy@conservation.ca.gov](mailto:Farl.Grundy@conservation.ca.gov).

Sincerely,

*Monique Wilber*

Monique Wilber

Conservation Program Support Supervisor