

# Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Title: Mokelumne Watershed Routine Maintenance Project

Lead Agency: East Bay Municipal Utility District

Contact Name: Michelle Workman

Email: michelle.workman@ebmud.com

Phone Number: 209-263-6350

Project Location: Counties of Amador, Calaveras and San Joaquin

*City*

*County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The Mokelumne Watershed Routine Maintenance Project (Project) involves the continuation of routine maintenance of the Mokelumne Watershed facilities, roads, and infrastructure at locations within the Watershed property. Many of these activities were previously authorized under Fish and Game Code Section 1602 Lake and Stream Alteration Agreements (LSAAs) with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW). Given the expiration of the prior LSAA, EBMUD seeks a new LSAA for the continuation of routine maintenance activities critical to Watershed operations in 1600 jurisdictional areas and that comprise the Project, permitting the continuation of routine maintenance activities critical to Watershed operations. To permit ongoing environmental stewardship activities that are part of the Project, EBMUD also seeks a Safe Harbor Agreement with CDFW covering effects to foothill yellow-legged frog (*Rana boylei*), California tiger salamander (*Ambystoma californiense*), as well as other species that may be listed under the California Endangered Species Act in the near future. Maintenance activities include sediment and debris removal; vegetation management; facilities maintenance; erosion prevention, control, repair, and protection; and environmental stewardship activities.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The Project has the potential for exposure to naturally-occurring asbestos (NOA). Mitigation Measure (MM) AQ-1 would minimize dust and NOA-related impacts to less than significant levels. The Project also has the potential to impact special-status species and habitat. Implementation of MMs BIO-1 to BIO-16, HAZ-1, HYD-1 and HYD-2 would reduce impacts to special-status species to less than significant. In addition, the Project has the potential to impact sensitive natural communities, including freshwater marsh, valley foothill riparian, chamise redshank chaparral, and Ponderosa pine woodland. Implementation of MMs BIO-1, BIO-2, BIO-8 to BIO-10, BIO 17-to BIO-20, HAZ-1, HYD-1 and HYD-2 would reduce impacts to less than significant. The Project also has the potential to damage cultural and tribal cultural resources. Implementation of MMs CR-1 to CR-4 and MM TCR-1 would reduce impacts to less than significant levels. The Project involves ground-disturbing activities that could accidentally impact unknown paleontological resources. Implementation of MM GEO-1 would reduce impacts to less than significant levels. The Project involves the transport and use of hazardous materials and a significant impact would result if these materials were accidentally released. Implementation of MMs BIO-8 to BIO-10. and HAZ-1 would reduce impacts to less than significant levels. Additionally, MMs HYD-1, HYD-2, BIO-9, and BIO-10 would minimize the potential for maintenance activities to substantially degrade water quality or result in erosion or siltation. To reduce noise impacts and groundborne vibration, the Project would comply with established construction hours and provide advance notification (MM NOI-1). Finally, compliance with the California Fire Code and implementation of MM WILD-1 would reduce the potential to exacerbate wildfire risks.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

There are no known areas of controversy associated with the project.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers - Sacramento District  
Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board  
California Department of Fish and Wildlife, North Central Region