
APPENDIX E

TREE RECOMMENDATIONS

1065 S Winchester Blvd Tree Recommendations

Cord Associates Real Estate Services

San Jose Subdivision

1065 S Winchester Blvd

San Jose, CA 95123

408-307-0166

cord100@aol.com

March 1, 2021

Report Prepared By:

Nicholas Wages

I.S.A. Certified Arborist #MW-5867A

Contents

BACKGROUND	3
ASSIGNMENT	3
LIMITS OF THE ASSIGNMENT	3
PURPOSE AND USE OF THIS REPORT	3
OBSERVATIONS	3
RECOMMENDATIONS...	4
ADDITIONAL ARBORIST REPORT..	5
APPENDIX A: SITE OVERVIEW	6
APPENDIX B: TREE PHOTOGRAPHS	7-12
QUALIFICATIONS, ASSUMPTIONS, AND LIMITING CONDITIONS	13
CERTIFICATION OF PERFORMANCE	14

BACKGROUND

On March 1, 2021, I, Nicholas Wages I.S.A. Certified Arborist #MW-5867A was called out to inspect 54 trees located at 1065 S Winchester Blvd, San Jose, CA 95123. I was to inspect them and provide my recommendations.

ASSIGNMENT

- Inspect 54 trees on site in regards to their general health and give recommendations
- Provide report outlining findings and recommendations

LIMITS OF THE ASSIGNMENT

No aerial inspection, trenching or resistance drilling was performed.

No Biological tests were performed.

Only a visual inspection from the ground was performed.

PURPOSE AND USE OF THIS REPORT

The purpose of this report is to provide observations and recommendations regarding the 54 trees on site.

OBSERVATIONS

Tree #	Tree Species	Health (scale of 1 to 5)	DBH (inches)	Height (feet)	Crown Spread (feet)
1	Platanus racemosa	4	10	35	20
2	Prunus spp	3	5	6	5
3	Prunus spp	3	9	9	10
4	Citrus X sinensis	3	12	12	10
5	Olea europaea	3	18	20	12

6	<i>Picea</i> spp	3	9	20	8
7	<i>Celtis occidentalis</i>	3	8	10	8
8	<i>Platanus racemosa</i>	4	14	35	25
9	<i>Eriobotrya japonica</i>	3	14	25	20
10	<i>Citrus x limon</i>	3	9	16	7
11	<i>Aesculus californica</i>	3	6	8	5
12	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	3	24	35	30
13	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	3	19	25	15
14	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	2	24	25	25
15	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	2	31	30	22
16	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	3	6	11	7
17	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	2	18	15	12
18	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	2	21	13	15
19	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	2	7	7	6

20	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	2	34	16	14
21	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	2	5	9	7
22	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	2	23	8	8
23	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	1	14	5	5
24	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	2	29	11	15
25	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	2	11	8	10
26	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	2	16	12	16
27	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	2	12	6	6
28	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	2	16	10	12
29	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	2	22	30	25
30	<i>Prunus</i> spp	2	15	9	13

31	Prunus spp	2	16	13	30
32	Prunus spp	2	17	13	16
33	Prunus serotina	2	33	33	25
34	Prunus spp	2	25	12	15
35	Prunus serotina	2	26	40	30
36	Prunus spp	2	22	13	10
37	Prunus spp	2	21	14	12
38	Prunus spp	2	26	18	20
39	Aesculus californica	2	22	17	12

40	Prunus spp	3	14	16	12
41	Prunus spp	3	7	7	8
42	Prunus spp	2	8	12	10
43	Prunus spp	2	9	13	11
44	Prunus spp	2	6	14	16
45	Umbellularia californica	3	39	40	30
46	Prunus spp	2	6	8	8
47	Citrus x limon	3	8	22	9
48	Citrus X sinensis	3	12	17	11
49	Prunus spp	2	22	11	9
50	Ligustrum lucidum	3	10	15	8
51	Ligustrum lucidum	3	16	15	10
52	Ligustrum lucidum	3	4	12	4
53	Ligustrum lucidum	3	5	12	8
54	Prunus serotina	2	27	25	20

On-site I observed 1 coast live oak(*Quercus agrifolia*), 4 privets (*Ligustrum lucidum*), 2 orange trees (*Citrus X sinensis*), 2 lemon trees (*Citrus x limon*), 1 laurel tree (*Umbellularia californica*), 2 buckeye tree (*Aesculus californica*), 20 black cherry trees (*Prunus serotina*), 16 cherry trees (*Prunus spp*), 1 loquat tree (*Eriobotrya japonica*), 1 hackberry tree (*Celtis occidentalis*), 1 olive tree (*Olea europaea*), and 2 sycamore trees (*Platanus racemosa*), and 1 spruce tree (*Picea spp*) located on the property. (Appendix A: Site Overview)

The majority of the trees on the property have a general overall health rating of 3 out of a possible 5 based on the evident lack of regular pruning and maintenance. The exception to this would be the 2 sycamores which are in good overall health and are technically city street trees. There is also located on the property a substantial number of cherry trees which are in poor overall health with a rating of 2 out of a possible 5. These cherry trees have been routinely pruned using heading cuts which has left them with very poor structure and substantial amounts of decay wood. Because of this it can be assumed these trees are at a higher risk for large limb failure due to limbs being more loosely attached.(Appendix B: Tree Photographs)

RECOMMENDATIONS

I recommend that all of the cherry trees on this property be removed and replaced due to the lack of long term viability. In regards to the rest of trees on the property I recommend scheduling routine maintenance and pruning.

APPENDIX A: SITE OVERVIEW



The blue and orange pins indicate the location of trees

APPENDIX B: TREE PHOTOGRAPH



Tree 1



Tree 2&3



Tree 4&5



Tree 6&7



Tree 8



Tree 9&10



Tree 11



Tree 12



Tree 13



Tree 14



Tree 15&16



Tree 17



Tree 18



Tree 20,22,28,24



Tree 19,21,22,29,27



Tree 23



Tree 24,25,26,30,31,32



Tree 33&34



Tree 35



Tree 36,37,38,46,47,48,49



Tree 39,41,42,43,44



Tree 40



Tree 45



Tree 50,51,52,53,54

QUALIFICATIONS, ASSUMPTIONS, AND LIMITING CONDITIONS

Any legal description provided to the arborist is assumed to be correct. Any titles or ownership of properties are assumed to be good and marketable. All property is appraised or evaluated as though free and clear, under responsible ownership and competent management.

All property is presumed to be in conformance with applicable codes, ordinances, statutes, or other regulations.

Care has been taken to obtain information from reliable sources. However, the arborist cannot be responsible for the accuracy of information provided by others.

The arborist shall not be required to give testimony or attend meetings, hearings, conferences, mediations, arbitrations, or trials by reason of this report unless subsequent contractual arrangements are made, including payment of an additional fee for such service.

This report and any appraisal value expressed herein represent the opinion of the arborist, and the arborist fee is not contingent upon the reporting of a specified appraised value, a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event.

Sketches, drawings, and photographs in this report are intended for use as visual aids, are not necessarily to scale, and should not be construed as engineering or architectural reports or surveys. The reproduction of information generated by architects, engineers, or other consultants on any sketches, drawings, or photographs is only for coordination and ease of reference. Inclusion of said information with any drawings or other documents does not constitute a representation as to the sufficiency or accuracy of said information.

Unless otherwise expressed: a) this report covers only examined items and their condition at the time of inspection; and b) the inspection is limited to a visual examination of accessible items without dissection, excavation, probing, or coring. There is no warranty or guarantee, expressed or implied, that structural problems or deficiencies of plants or property may not arise in the future.

CERTIFICATION OF PERFORMANCE

I, Nicholas Wages, Certify:

That I have personally inspected the tree(s) and/or the property referred to in this report, and have states my findings accurately. The extent of the evaluation and/or appraisal is stated in the attached report and Terms of Assignment;

That I have no current or prospective interest in the vegetation or the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no personal interest or bias with respect to the parties involved;

That the analysis, opinions and conclusions stated herein are my own;

That my analysis, opinions, and conclusions were developed and this report has been prepared according to commonly accepted Arboricultural practices;

That no one provided significant professional assistance to the arborist, except as indicated in the report.

That my compensation is not contingent upon the reporting of a predetermined conclusion that favors the cause of the client or any other party, nor upon the results of the assessment, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of any other subsequent events;

I further certify that I am an I.S.A. Certified Arborist in good standing with The International Society of Arboriculture. I hold a valid Qualified Applicators License with California Department of Pesticide Regulation. I have been involved with the practice of Arboriculture and the care and study of trees since 1997.

Nicholas Wages

I.S.A. Certified Arborist MW-5867A