APPENDIX D

CULTURAL RESOURCES ASSESSMENT

CULTURAL RESOURCES ASSESSMENT

Northern Gateway Logistics Center Project

City of Menifee, Riverside County, California

BCRCONSULTING LLC

May 23, 2023

CULTURAL RESOURCES ASSESSMENT

Northern Gateway Logistics Center Project

City of Menifee, Riverside County, California

Prepared on behalf of:

Tyler Banton
Lovett Industrial
120 Newport Center Drive, Suite 217
Newport Beach, California 92660

Prepared for:

City of Menifee

Prepared by:

David Brunzell, M.A., RPA
Principal Investigator
Contributions by Timothy Blood, M.S.
BCR Consulting LLC
Claremont, California 91711

Project No. LVT2302

Sites Recorded: None
Keywords: Intensive Survey of Approximately 18.6 Acres
USGS Quadrangle: 7.5-minute *Romoland* (1979), California
Section 16 of Township 6 South, Range 3 West, San Bernardino Base and Meridian

BCRCONSULTING LLC

May 23, 2023

MANAGEMENT SUMMARY

BCR Consulting LLC (BCR Consulting) is under contract to Lovett Industrial to conduct a Cultural Resources Assessment of the Northern Gateway Logistics Center Project (the project) located in the City of Menifee (City), Riverside County, California. Tasks completed for the scope of work include a cultural resources records search, an intensive-level pedestrian cultural resources survey, completion of this technical report, a Sacred Lands File search with the Native American Heritage Commission, and a Paleontological Overview. These tasks were performed in fulfillment of California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requirements. The Eastern Information Center (EIC) at the University of California, Riverside conducted the cultural resources records search. The records search revealed that 43 cultural resource studies have taken place resulting in the recording of one cultural resource located within one half-mile of the project site. Portions of the project site have been subject to three previous cultural resources assessments, and no cultural resources have been previously identified within its boundaries.

During the field survey, BCR Consulting personnel did not identify any cultural resources (including architectural historical resources, prehistoric archaeological resources, or historic archaeological resources) within the project site boundaries. The project site has been subject to severe disturbances associated with mechanical clearing and discing as well as modern residential developments. These factors confer low sensitivity for significant buried resources within the project site boundaries. However, while the current study has not indicated sensitivity for unknown cultural resources within the project boundaries, ground disturbing activities always have the potential to reveal buried deposits not observed on the surface. Prior to the initiation of ground-disturbing activities, field personnel should be alerted to the possibility of buried prehistoric or historic cultural deposits. In the event that field personnel encounter buried cultural materials, work in the immediate vicinity of the find should cease and a qualified archaeologist should be retained to assess the significance of the find. The qualified archaeologist would have the authority to stop or divert construction excavation as necessary. If the qualified archaeologist finds that any cultural resources present meet eligibility requirements for listing on the California Register or the National Register, plans for the treatment, evaluation, and mitigation of impacts to the find will need to be developed. Prehistoric or historic cultural materials that may be encountered during ground-disturbing activities include:

- prehistoric flaked-stone artifacts and debitage (waste material), consisting of obsidian, basalt, and or cryptocrystalline silicates;
- groundstone artifacts, including mortars, pestles, and grinding slabs;
- dark, greasy soil that may be associated with charcoal, ash, bone, shell, flaked stone, groundstone, and fire affected rocks;
- human remains;
- historic-period artifacts such as glass bottles and fragments, cans, nails, ceramic and pottery fragments, and other metal objects;
- historic-period structural or building foundations, walkways, cisterns, pipes, privies, and other structural elements.

Findings were negative during the Sacred Lands File search with the NAHC. The City will initiate Assembly Bill (AB) 52 Native American Consultation for the project. Since the City

will initiate and carry out the required Native American Consultation, the results of the consultation are not provided in this report. However, this report may be used during the consultation process, and BCR Consulting staff is available to answer questions and address concerns as necessary. BCR Consulting sent notifications to local tribes listed by the NAHC to discern whether tribes were aware of resources within the project site boundaries. The results of this correspondence (to-date) are provided in Appendix A.

According to CEQA Guidelines, projects subject to CEQA must determine whether the project would "directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource". The appended Paleontological Overview provided in Appendix B has recommended that:

> The geologic units underlying the project area are mapped primarily as old alluvial sand and gravel from the Pleistocene epoch (Morton, Bovard and Morton 2003). Pleistocene alluvial units are considered highly paleontologically sensitive. The Western Science Center does not have localities within the project area or within a 1 mile radius; however it does have localities in similarly mapped units across Southern California.
>
> Any fossil specimen from the [Northern Gateway Logistics Center] Project would be scientifically significant. Excavation activity associated with the development of the project area would impact the paleontologically sensitive Pleistocene alluvial units, and it is the recommendation of the Western Science Center that a paleontological resource mitigation program be put in place to monitor, salvage, and curate any recovered fossils associated with the study area.

If human remains are encountered during the undertaking, State Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5 states that no further disturbance shall occur until the County Coroner has made a determination of origin and disposition pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 5097.98. The County Coroner must be notified of the find immediately. If the remains are determined to be prehistoric, the Coroner will notify the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC), which will determine and notify a Most Likely Descendant (MLD). With the permission of the landowner or his/her authorized representative, the MLD may inspect the site of the discovery. The MLD shall complete the inspection within 48 hours of notification by the NAHC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPENDICES

FIGURES

TABLES

INTRODUCTION

BCR Consulting LLC (BCR Consulting) is under contract to Lovett Industrial to conduct a Cultural Resources Assessment of the Northern Gateway Logistics Center Project (the project) located in the City of Menifee (City), Riverside County, California. The project site occupies approximately 18.73 acres located in Section 16 of Township 5 South, Range 3 West, San Bernardino Baseline and Meridian, in the City of Menifee. The project site is depicted on the United States Geological Survey (USGS) *Romoland, California* (1979) 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle (Figure 1).

Regulatory Setting

The California Environmental Quality Act. CEQA applies to all discretionary projects undertaken or subject to approval by the state's public agencies (California Code of Regulations 14(3), § 15002(i)). Under CEQA, "A project with an effect that may cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource is a project that may have a significant effect on the environment" (Cal. Code Regs. tit. 14(3), § 15064.5(b)). State CEQA Guidelines section 15064.5(a) defines a "historical resource" as a resource that meets one or more of the following criteria:

- Listed in, or eligible for listing in, the California Register of Historical Resources (California Register)
- Listed in a local register of historical resources (as defined at Cal. Public Res. Code § 5020.1(k))
- Identified as significant in a historical resource survey meeting the requirements of § 5024.1(g) of the Cal. Public Res. Code
- Determined to be a historical resource by a project's lead agency (Cal. Code Regs. tit. 14(3), § 15064.5(a))

A historical resource consists of "Any object, building, structure, site, area, place, record, or manuscript which a lead agency determines to be historically significant or significant in the architectural, engineering, scientific, economic, agricultural, educational, social, political, military, or cultural annals of California…Generally, a resource shall be considered by the lead agency to be 'historically significant' if the resource meets the criteria for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources" (Cal. Code Regs. tit. 14(3), § 15064.5(a)(3)).

The significance of a historical resource is impaired when a project demolishes or materially alters in an adverse manner those physical characteristics of a historical resource that convey its historical significance and that justify its eligibility for the California Register. If an impact on a historical or archaeological resource is significant, CEQA requires feasible measures to minimize the impact (State CEQA Guidelines § 15126.4 (a)(1)). Mitigation of significant impacts must lessen or eliminate the physical impact that the project will have on the resource.



BCR CONSULTING LLC

Project Location Site
Northern Gateway Logistics Center Project

Figure 1

Reference: ESRI; USGS Quad: Romoland (1979), California

Lovett Industrial

Section 5024.1 of the Cal. Public Res. Code established the California Register. Generally, a resource is considered by the lead agency to be "historically significant" if the resource meets the criteria for listing in the California Register (Cal. Code Regs. tit. 14(3), § 15064.5(a)(3)). The eligibility criteria for the California Register are similar to those of the National Register of Historic Places (National Register), and a resource that meets one of more of the eligibility criteria of the National Register will be eligible for the California Register.

The California Register program encourages public recognition and protection of resources of architectural, historical, archaeological, and cultural significance, identifies historical resources for state and local planning purposes, determines eligibility for state historic preservation grant funding and affords certain protections under CEQA. Criteria for Designation:

1. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of local or regional history or the cultural heritage of California or the United States.
2. Associated with the lives of persons important to local, California or national history.
3. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region or method of construction or represents the work of a master or possesses high artistic values.
4. Has yielded, or has the potential to yield, information important to the prehistory or history of the local area, California or the nation.

In addition to meeting one or more of the above criteria, the California Register requires that sufficient time has passed since a resource's period of significance to "obtain a scholarly perspective on the events or individuals associated with the resources." (CCR 4852 [d][2]). Fifty years is normally considered sufficient time for a potential historical resource, and in order that the evaluation remain valid for a minimum of five years after the date of this report, all resources older than 45 years (i.e. resources from the "historic-period") will be evaluated for California Register listing eligibility, or CEQA significance. The California Register also requires that a resource possess integrity. This is defined as the ability for the resource to convey its significance through seven aspects: location, setting, design, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association.

Assembly Bill 52. California Assembly Bill 52 was approved on September 25, 2014. As stated in Section 11 of AB 52, the act applies only to projects that have a notice of preparation or a notice of negative declaration or mitigated negative declaration filed on or after July 1, 2015.

AB 52 establishes "tribal cultural resources" (TCRs) as a new category of resources under CEQA. As defined under Public Resources Code Section 21074, TCRs are "sites, features, places, cultural landscapes, sacred places, and objects with cultural value to a California Native American Tribe" that are either: (1) included or determined to be eligible for inclusion in the CRHR; included in a local register of historical resources as defined in Public Resources Code Section 5020.1(k); or (2) determined by the lead agency to be significant pursuant to the criteria for inclusion in the CRHR set forth in Public Resources Code Section

5024.1(c), if supported by substantial evidence and taking into account the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe. A “historical resource” as defined in Public Resources Code Section 21084.1, a “unique archaeological resource” as defined in Public Resources Code Section 21083.2(g), or a “nonunique archaeological resource” as defined in Public Resources Code Section 21083.2(h) may also be TCRs.

AB 52 further establishes a new consultation process with California Native American tribes for proposed projects in geographic areas that are traditionally and culturally affiliated with that tribe. Per Public Resources Code Section 21073, “California Native American tribe” includes federally and non-federally recognized tribes on the NAHC contact list. Subject to certain prerequisites, AB 52 requires, among other things, that a lead agency consult with the geographically affiliated tribe before the release of an environmental review document for a proposed project regarding project alternatives, recommended mitigation measures, or potential significant effects, if the tribe so requests in writing. If the tribe and the lead agency agree upon mitigation measures during their consultation, these mitigation measures must be recommended for inclusion in the environmental document (Public Resources Code Sections 21080.3.1, 21080.3.2, 21082.3, 21084.2, and 21084.3). Since the City will initiate and carry out the required AB52 Native American Consultation, the results of the consultation are not provided in this report. However, this report may be used during the consultation process, and BCR Consulting staff are available to answer questions and address comments as necessary. BCR Consulting sent letters to local Tribes listed by the NAHC to discern whether tribes were aware of resources within the project site boundaries. The results of this correspondence is provided in Appendix A.

Paleontological Resources. CEQA provides guidance relative to significant impacts on paleontological resources, indicating that a project would have a significant impact on paleontological resources if it disturbs or destroys a unique paleontological resource or site, or unique geologic feature. Section 5097.5 of the California Public Resources Code specifies that any unauthorized removal of paleontological remains is a misdemeanor. Further, California Penal Code Section 622.5 sets the penalties for damage or removal of paleontological resources. CEQA documentation prepared for projects would be required to analyze paleontological resources as a condition of the CEQA process to disclose potential impacts. Please note that as of January 2018 paleontological resources are considered in the geological rather than cultural category. Therefore, paleontological resources are not summarized in the body of this report. A paleontological overview completed by professional paleontologists from the Western Science Center is provided as Appendix B.

Personnel

David Brunzell, M.A., RPA, acted as Principal Investigator and compiled the technical report with contributions by BCR Consulting Archaeological Crew Chief Timothy Blood, M.S. Mr. Blood and BCR Consulting Staff Archaeologist Doug Kazmier completed the survey accompanied by representatives from the Pechanga and Soboba Bands of Luiseno Indians. Eastern Information Center (EIC) staff completed the records search. The Native American Heritage Commission completed the Sacred Lands File search.

NATURAL SETTING

Geology

The project site is situated in the Perris Valley, which occupies a portion of California's Peninsular Range geologic province that encompasses western Riverside County. Crystalline rocks in the area include gabbro and granodiorite of the southern California batholith. These resistant rocks weather to form dark or light colored, boulder-covered conical buttes and hills. They are granitic and have intruded and metamorphosed to locally form gneissic and schistose rocks (Rogers 1965). The crystalline rocks in the area are covered by Older Pleistocene alluvium (Kennedy 1977) that, in turn, is covered by a thin horizon of Holocene soils and recent stream sediments in channels (Rogers 1965). Pedogenic carbonate (caliche or hardpan) is a depositional product associated with the Holocene soils and invades the Pleistocene sediments. The southern tip of the Northern Peninsular Range has a number of igneous rocks utilized by Native Americans for food (particularly seed) processing (see Brunzell 2007). These include granodiorites, quartz monzonites, and breccias, which are found locally. Metamorphosed sedimentary rocks, such as metamorphosed quartzite, are also found near the project site. Olivine basalt and andesite containing phenocrysts have also been locally utilized for the prehistoric manufacture of chipped stone tools (ibid.).

Hydrology

The region is characterized by a semi-arid climate, with dry, hot summers, and moderate winters. Rainfall ranges from 12 to 16 inches annually (Beck and Haase 1974). Precipitation usually occurs in the form of winter rain, with occasional monsoonal showers in late summer. The nearest water source is the San Jacinto River (now channelized) approximately three miles to the south. Elevation of the project site ranges from approximately 1,420 to 1,425 feet above mean sea level (AMSL). As such, it is characterized as lower Sonoran Life Zone, represented in cismontane valleys and low-mountain slopes (Jaeger and Smith 1971).

Vegetation

Coastal sage scrub plant community dominates the local vegetation. Signature plant species within the Coastal Sage Scrub Habitat includes black sage (*Salvia mellifera*), California brittlebush (*Encelia californica*), California buckwheat (*Eriogonum fasciculatum*), California sagebrush (*Artemesia californica*), deerweed (*Lotus scoparius*), golden yarrow (*Eriophyllum confertiflorum*), laurel sumac (*Malosma laurina*), lemonadeberry (*Rhus integrifolia*), poison oak (*Toxicodendron diverilobum*), purple sage (*Salvia leucophyla*), sticky monkeyflower (*Mimulus aurantiacus*), sugar bush (*Rhus ovate*), toyon (*Heteromeles arbutifolia*), white sage (*Salvia apiana*), coastal century plant (*Agave shawii*), coastal cholla (*Opuntia prolifera*), Laguna Beach liveforever (*Dudleya stolonifera*), many-stemmed liveforever (*Dudleya multicaulis*), our Lord’s candle (*Yucca whipplei*), prickly pear cactus (*Opuntia* spp.) (Williams et al. 2008:118-119). Signature animal species within Coastal Sage Scrub habitat include the kangaroo rat (*Dipodomys* spp.), California horned lizard (*Phrynosoma coronatum frontale*), orange throated whiptail (*Cnemidophorus hyperthrus*), San Diego horned lizard (*Phrynosoma coronatum blainvillii*), brown-headed cowbird (*Molothrus ater*), California gnatcatcher (*Polioptila californica californica*), California quail (*Callipepla californica*), and

San Diego cactus wren (*Campylorhynchus brunnecapillus sandiegensis*) (Williams et al. 2008:118-120).

For details on prehistoric (particularly Luiseño) local use of plant and animal species, see Lightfoot and Parrish (2009), Bean and Shipek (1978:552), and Oxendine (1983:19-29). Sparkman (1908) and Bean and Saubel (1972) have listed the harvesting and processing methods and seasons for edible plants that grow in the above described communities and others).

CULTURAL SETTING

Prehistoric Context

Two primary regional syntheses are commonly utilized in the archaeological literature for southern California. The first was advanced by Wallace in 1955, and defines four cultural horizons, each with characteristic local variations: Early Man Horizon, Milling Stone, Intermediate, and Late Prehistoric. Employing a more ecological approach, Warren (1986) defined five periods in southern California prehistory: Lake Mojave, Pinto, Gypsum, Saratoga Springs, and Protohistoric. Warren viewed cultural continuity and change in terms of various significant environmental shifts, defining the cultural ecological approach for archaeological research of the California deserts and coast. Many changes in settlement patterns and subsistence focus are viewed as cultural adaptations to a changing environment, beginning with the gradual environmental warming in the late Pleistocene, the desiccation of the desert lakes during the early Holocene, the short return to pluvial conditions during the middle Holocene, and the general warming and drying trend, with periodic reversals, that continue to this day (Warren 1986).

Paleoindian (12,000 to 10,000 BP) and Lake Mojave (10,000 to 7000 BP) Periods. Climatic warming characterizes the transition from the Paleoindian Period to the Lake Mojave Period. This transition also marks the end of Pleistocene Epoch and ushers in the Holocene. The Paleoindian Period has been loosely defined by isolated fluted (such as Clovis) projectile points, dated by their association with similar artifacts discovered in-situ in the Great Plains (Sutton 1996:227-228). Some fluted bifaces have been associated with fossil remains of Rancholabrean mammals approximately dated to ca. 13,300-10,800 BP near China Lake in the northern Mojave Desert. The Lake Mojave Period has been associated with cultural adaptations to moist conditions, and resource allocation pointing to more lacustrine environments than previously (Bedwell 1973). Artifacts that characterize this period include stemmed points, flake and core scrapers, choppers, hammerstones, and crescents (Warren and Crabtree 1986:184). Projectile points associated with the period include the Silver Lake and Lake Mojave styles. Lake Mojave sites commonly occur on shorelines of Pleistocene lakes and streams where geological surfaces of that epoch have been identified (Basgall and Hall 1994:69).

Pinto Period (7000 to 4000 BP). The Pinto Period has been largely characterized by desiccation of the southern California region. As formerly rich lacustrine environments began to disappear, the artifact record reveals more sporadic occupation of the drier regions, indicating occupants' recession into the cooler fringes (Warren 1986). Pinto Period sites are rare and are characterized by surface manifestations that usually lack significant in-

situ remains. Artifacts from this era include Pinto projectile points and a flake industry similar to the Lake Mojave tool complex (Warren 1986), though use of Pinto projectile points as an index artifact for the era has been disputed (see Schroth 1994). Milling stones have also occasionally been associated with sites of this period (Warren 1986).

Gypsum Period. (4000 to 1500 BP). A temporary return to moister conditions during the Gypsum Period is postulated to have encouraged technological diversification afforded by the abundance of resources available (Warren 1986:419-420; Warren and Crabtree 1986:189). Lacustrine environments reappear and begin to be exploited during this era (Shutler 1961, 1968). Concurrently a more diverse artifact assemblage reflects intensified reliance on plant resources. The new artifacts include milling stones, mortars, pestles, and a proliferation of Humboldt Concave Base, Gypsum Cave, Elko Eared, and Elko Corner-notched dart points (Warren 1986; Warren and Crabtree 1986). Other artifacts include leaf-shaped projectile points, rectangular-based knives, drills, large scraper planes, choppers, hammerstones, shaft straighteners, incised stone pendants, and drilled slate tubes. The bow and arrow appears around 1500 BP, evidenced by the presence of a smaller type of projectile point, the Rose Spring point (Rogers 1939; Schroeder 1953, 1961; Shutler 1961; Yohe 1992).

Saratoga Springs Period (1500 to 800 BP). During the Saratoga Springs Period regional cultural diversifications of Gypsum Period developments are evident. Influences from Patayan/Yuman assemblages are apparent in the southern inland areas, and include buff and brown wares often associated with Cottonwood and Desert Side-notched projectile points (Warren 1986:423). Obsidian becomes more commonly used throughout southern California and characteristic artifacts of the period include milling stones, mortars, pestles, ceramics, and ornamental and ritual objects. More structured settlement patterns are evidenced by large villages, and three types of identifiable archaeological sites (major habitation, temporary camps, and processing stations) emerge (McGuire and Hall 1988). Diversity of resource exploitation continues to expand, indicating a much more generalized, somewhat less mobile subsistence strategy.

Shoshonean Period (800 BP to Contact). The Shoshonean period is the first to benefit from contact-era ethnography and is subject to its inherent biases. Interviews of living informants allowed anthropologists to match artifact assemblages and particular traditions with linguistic groups, and plot them geographically (see Kroeber 1925; Gifford 1918; Strong 1929). During the Shoshonean Period continued diversification of site assemblages, and reduced Anasazi influence both coincide with the expansion of Numic (Uto-Aztecan language family) speakers across the Great Basin, Takic (Uto-Aztecan language family) speakers into southern California, and the Hopi across the Southwest (Sutton 1996). Hunting and gathering continued to diversify, and the diagnostic arrow points include desert side-notch and cottonwood triangular. Ceramics continue to proliferate, though are more common in southeastern Riverside County during this period (Warren and Crabtree 1986). Trade routes have become well established between coastal and inland groups.

Ethnography

The project site is situated within the traditional boundaries of the Luiseño (Bean and Shipek 1978; Kroeber 1925), and is peripheral to the Cahuilla area. Each of these groups belongs

to the Cupan group of the Takic subfamily of languages (Bean and Shipek 1978:550). Like other Native American groups in southern California, they practiced semi-nomadic hunter-gatherer subsistence strategies and commonly exploited seasonably available plant and animal resources. Spanish missionaries were the first outsiders to encounter these groups during the late 18th century.

Luiseño. Typically, the native culture groups in southern California are named after nearby Spanish missions, and such is the case for this population. For instance, the term "Luiseño" is applied to the natives inhabiting the region within the "ecclesiastical jurisdiction of Mission San Luis Rey …[and who shared] an ancestral relationship which is evident in their cosmogony, and oral tradition, common language, and reciprocal relationship in ceremonies" (Oxendine 1983:8). The first written accounts of the Luiseño are attributed to the mission fathers; later documentation was produced by Sparkman (1908), Oxendine (1983) and others. Prior to Spanish occupation of California, the territory of the Luiseño extended along the coast from Agua Hedionda Creek to the south, Aliso Creek to the northwest, and the Elsinore Valley and Palomar Mountain to the east. These territorial boundaries were somewhat fluid and changed through time. They encompassed an extremely diverse environment that included coastal beaches, lagoons and marshes, inland river valleys and foothills, and mountain groves of oaks and evergreens (Bean and Shipek 1978:551).

Cahuilla. The Cahuilla are generally divided into three groups: Desert Cahuilla, Mountain Cahuilla, and Western (or Pass) Cahuilla (Kroeber 1925; Bean and Smith 1978). The term Western Cahuilla is preferred over Pass Cahuilla because this group is not confined to the San Gorgonio Pass area. The distinctions are believed to be primarily geographic, although linguistic and cultural differences may have existed to varying degrees (Strong 1929). Cahuilla territory lies within the geographic center of Southern California and the Cocopa-Maricopa Trail, a major prehistoric trade route, ran through it. The first written accounts of the Cahuilla are attributed to mission fathers; later documentation was by Strong (1929), Bright (1998), and others.

History

In southern California, the historic era is generally divided into three periods: the Spanish or Mission Period (1769 to 1821), the Mexican or Rancho Period (1821 to 1848), and the American Period (1848 to present).

Spanish Period. The Spanish period (1769-1821) is represented by exploration of the region; establishment of the San Diego Presidio and missions at San Gabriel and San Luis Rey; and the introduction of livestock, agricultural goods, and European architecture and construction techniques. Spanish influence continued to some extent after 1821 due to the continued implementation of the mission system.

Mexican Period. The Mexican period (1821-1848) began with Mexican independence from Spain and continued until the end of the Mexican-American War (Cleland 1951). The Secularization Act of 1834 resulted in the transfer, through land grants (called ranchos) of large mission tracts to politically prominent individuals. Sixteen ranchos were granted in Riverside County. At that time, cattle ranching was a more substantial business than

agricultural activities, and trade in hides and tallow increased during the early portion of this period. Until the Gold Rush of 1849, livestock and horticulture dominated California's economy (Beattie and Beattie 1974).

American Period. The American Period, 1848–Present, began with the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo. In 1850, California was accepted into the Union of the United States primarily due to the population increase created by the Gold Rush of 1849. The cattle industry reached its greatest prosperity during the first years of the American Period. Mexican Period land grants had created large pastoral estates in California, and demand for beef during the Gold Rush led to a cattle boom that lasted from 1849–1855. However, beginning about 1855, the demand for beef began to decline due to imports of sheep from New Mexico and cattle from the Mississippi and Missouri Valleys. When the beef market collapsed, many California ranchers lost their ranchos through foreclosure. A series of disastrous floods in 1861–1862, followed by a significant drought diminished the economic impact of local ranching. This decline combined with ubiquitous agricultural and real estate developments of the late 19th century, set the stage for diversified economic pursuits of the 20th century (Beattie and Beattie 1974; Cleland 1951).

Economic and ethnic diversification and growth have resulted in California's most visible 20th century hallmarks. Prior to World War II agriculture, oil, tourism, railroad, and film industries all flourished, and while the great the Great Depression of the 1930s slowed (and in many cases stopped) growth, these all remained important throughout the century. The wartime economy helped alleviate many causes of the Great Depression, and the subsequent years saw further diversification in which the aerospace and electronics industries emerged. During World War II, many people had relocated to California in support of the military industrial complex, and a large number remained post-war in search of employment and to start families. The subsequent population boom coincided with the greatest economic growth in the history of the state, and accompanied large-scale land subdivision, construction of bedroom communities, and development of a comprehensive freeway system and a state system of higher education (Lavender 1972). These factors have all helped reshape California's landscape, economy, and material culture.

Menifee. In 1880, Kentucky-born gold miner Luther Menifee Wilson discovered a substantial gold and quartz deposit eight miles south of Perris in what was then northern San Diego County, along present-day Murrieta Road. The discovery became widely known as the Menifee Quartz Lode, and it attracted many people to settle in the relatively barren region. The Menifee Mining District developed around the lode and subsequently included half a dozen mines. Wilson sold the mine to the Allen Gold Mining Company in 1889. A small, sparsely populated settlement associated with the mine became known as Menifee. By 1893, Menifee was made up of scattered farmsteads, a one-room schoolhouse, a general store that doubled as a post office, and a blacksmith shop. That same year, Menifee was also seriously considered to become the county seat of the newly formed Riverside County, receiving 459 votes among county delegates.

A nearby 3,000-acre property was purchased by Charles Cooper and investors from the Los Angeles Farmers and Merchants Bank in 1891, which for several years thereafter was used as a game hunting reserve named Quail Valley. Mining activity soon died down in the area

as it proved to be unprofitable and grain farming became the predominant industry. Menifee remained highly rural in character through the remainder of the nineteenth century and first decades of the twentieth century, with a few local families owning vast acreages for ranches and dry farming. In the 1920s the Quail Valley property was sold to investors who developed the Lake Elsinore Lodge, an enclave of recreational and residential facilities that included a club house, tennis courts, equestrian stables, a restaurant, a small store, and a gas station. In the 1947, this resort community would be renamed the Quail Valley Country Club. The greater community developed slowly. Electricity became widely available in 1946 in the Menifee area, and telephone service arrived in 1958. Occupancy remained so low that residents had to petition municipal authorities for such luxuries, as Menifee's small population didn't initially qualify for service (The Californian 1992; Martin and Bouris 2006:7; Sullivan 2004).

A catalyst for urban development arrived in the early 1960s, when Del Webb, a contractor and developer from Arizona, planned for a retirement residential community in the Menifee area called Sun City. After initially purchasing 14,000 acres of former ranch and farm lands for the development, Sun City was built on 1,200 acres with the remainder eventually being sold to future developers. The Sun City community was built as a four square-mile enclave complete with residences, retail stores, two golf courses, and two recreation centers. Soon after its completion and occupancy, it became its own Census Designated Place, separate from the unincorporated community of Menifee. Quail Valley, whose country club amenities were largely abandoned by the 1970s, was repurposed as a residential community adjacent to Menifee with many new residences and its own schools.

Local development picked up more steam in the 1980s and 1990s. In 1989, a real estate development firm, the Lusk Company, constructed a nearly 2,000-acre residential community around a 45-acre artificial lake and golf course called Menifee Lakes. The development, which also featured country club facilities, drew more middle-class families to settle in the Menifee area. Accompanying the development of Menifee Lakes was the construction of new parks, schools, and commercial areas. The establishment of the Menifee Valley Campus of Mt. San Jacinto College in 1990 further bolstered commercial activity and residency in the area. By 2005, the formerly rural farming settlement of Menifee had been transformed into a suburban bedroom community of more than 27,000 people.

As the local population grew, a movement for cityhood gained traction and the annexation of Sun City, Quail Valley, Romoland (a nearby ranching community developed in 1924), and other smaller communities on the peripheries of Menifee was contemplated. In June 2008, Menifee's residents voted with the local Chamber of Commerce to incorporate as Riverside County's twenty-sixth city. By October, the city was formally established and the surrounding communities had been incorporated into Menifee's city limits, bringing its total area to exceed fifty square miles and 70,000 residents. Today, the population has increased to approximately 102,527 residents (The California 1989; City of Menifee; Los Angeles Times 1989; Love 2012; Martin and Bouris 2006:7; Menifee Buzz 2014; Sullivan 2004; U.S. Census Bureau 2020).

METHODS

This work was completed pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), Public Resources Code (PRC) Chapter 2.6, Section 21083.2, and California Code of Regulations (CCR) Title 14, Chapter 3, Article 5, Section 15064.5. The pedestrian cultural resources survey is intended to locate and document previously recorded or new cultural resources, including archaeological sites, features, isolates, and historic-period buildings, that exceed 45 years in age within defined project boundaries. The current project site and offsite improvement areas were examined using 10 to 15 meter transect intervals. The study is intended to determine whether cultural resources are located within the given project boundaries, whether any cultural resources are significant pursuant to the above-referenced regulations and standards, and to develop specific mitigation measures that will address potential impacts to existing or potential resources. Tasks have included:

- Sacred Lands File search through the Native American Heritage Commission, and communications with recommended tribes and individuals;
- Cultural resources records search through the EIC to review any previous studies conducted and the resulting cultural resources recorded within one half-mile of the project site and offsite improvement areas;
- Systematic pedestrian survey of the entire proposed impact areas.

Research

Records Search. Prior to fieldwork, a records search request was submitted to the EIC. This included a review of all prerecorded historic-period and prehistoric cultural resources, as well as a review of known cultural resources surveys and excavation reports generated from projects located within one half-mile of the project site. In addition, a review was conducted of the National Register of Historic Places (National Register), the California Register of Historical Resources (California Register), and documents and inventories from the California Office of Historic Preservation (OHP) including the lists of California Historical Landmarks, California Points of Historical Interest, Listing of National Register Properties, and the Inventory of Historic Structures.

Field Survey

An intensive-level cultural resources field survey of the project site was conducted on March 17, 2023. The survey was conducted by walking parallel transects spaced approximately 10-15 meters apart across 100 percent of the accessible project site. Digital photographs were taken at various points within the project and offsite areas, and all soil exposures were carefully examined for evidence of cultural resources.

RESULTS

Research

Records Search. A cultural resource records search was conducted by the EIC at the University of California, Riverside. This revealed that 43 cultural resource studies have taken place resulting in the recording of one cultural resources located within one half-mile of the project area. Portions of the project site have been subject to three previous cultural resources assessments, and no cultural resources have been previously identified within its

boundaries. Tables A and B summarize the disposition of previous studies and cultural resources. A comprehensive records search bibliography is provided as Appendix D. Please note that the records search results include some cultural resources assessment reports that are outside the half-mile radius.

Table A. Cultural Resource Studies Summary

USGS 7.5-Minute Quad	Previous Studies
Romoland, California (1979)	RI-205, 527, 592, 759, 760, 933, 1237*, 2468, 2803, 2804, 2805, 3189, 3259, 4222, 4223, 4375, 4404, 4894, 4903, 4974, 5241, 5254, 5406, 6018*, 6470, 6473, 6581, 6582, 6888*, 7119, 7395, 7633, 8065, 8101, 8176, 8396, 9093, 9247, 9929, 10297, 10387, 10656, 10665

*Previously assessed a portion of the project site for cultural resources

Table B. Cultural Resources Summary

Primary No.	Period	Approximate Distance from Project Site/Description
P-33-24206	Prehistoric	1/2 Mile North of Project Site/Isolated Artifact

Additional Land Use Research. The project site is located south of Ethanac Road between Evans Road and Bartlett Road in Menifee. It is currently vacant but historic aerial photos indicate that a building was built on the southeastern portion of the project next to Bartlett Road sometime between 1978 and 1985 before it was demolished between 2005 and 2009. Evidence of cultivation is visible in aerial photographs from 1978 and 1985 but was gone by 1997. Evidence of discing activity can be seen throughout the historic aerials (United States Department of Agriculture 1966, 1967, 1978, 1985, 1997, 2002, 2005, 2009).

Predictive Modeling. Although few resources have been recorded in the area, cultural resources recorded in this portion of Riverside County locally indicate a common prehistoric use of bedrock for milling stations and include the presence of some lithic scatters and fire affected rock. These resources are commonly associated with vegetal (particularly seed) processing, chipped stone tool manufacture, trade, and cooking. As a result the field survey emphasized careful inspection for suitable rock outcrops and soil exposures for the presence of related features and artifacts.

Field Survey

During the field survey, BCR Consulting Archaeologists carefully inspected the project site for evidence of cultural resources, using the methods described above. Access was limited in about 5 percent of the total project area, due to a small section of the eastern portion having been flooded. Ground visibility varied from approximately 70 percent within the northern half of the project site to zero percent throughout much of the southern half of the project area due to dense vegetation including seasonal grasses and mustard plants. Sediments comprised of dark brown silty sand with less than 15 percent granitic cobbles present. The project site has been subject to mechanical clearing and discing for weed abatement, as well as being habitat for burrowing animals. Some modern irrigation equipment was identified in the form of two risers on the western extent of the project area. No historic-period or prehistoric archaeological resources or historic-period built environment resources were identified within the project site.

RECOMMENDATIONS

BCR Consulting conducted a Cultural Resources Assessment of the proposed Northern Gateway Logistics Center project, pursuant to CEQA. During the field survey, BCR Consulting personnel did not identify any cultural resources (including architectural historical resources, prehistoric archaeological resources, or historic archaeological resources) within the project site. Furthermore, the project site has been subject to severe disturbances associated with mechanical clearing, discing, and the development of the adjacent channel to the east. These factors confer low sensitivity for significant buried resources within the project site. However, while the current study has not indicated sensitivity for unknown cultural resources within the project boundaries, ground disturbing activities always have the potential to reveal buried deposits not observed on the surface. Prior to the initiation of ground-disturbing activities, field personnel should be alerted to the possibility of buried prehistoric or historic cultural deposits. In the event that field personnel encounter buried cultural materials, work in the immediate vicinity of the find should cease and a qualified archaeologist should be retained to assess the significance of the find. The qualified archaeologist would have the authority to stop or divert construction excavation as necessary. If the qualified archaeologist finds that any cultural resources present meet eligibility requirements for listing on the California Register or the National Register, plans for the treatment, evaluation, and mitigation of impacts to the find will need to be developed. Prehistoric or historic cultural materials that may be encountered during ground-disturbing activities include:

- prehistoric flaked-stone artifacts and debitage (waste material), consisting of obsidian, basalt, and or cryptocrystalline silicates;
- groundstone artifacts, including mortars, pestles, and grinding slabs;
- dark, greasy soil that may be associated with charcoal, ash, bone, shell, flaked stone, groundstone, and fire affected rocks;
- human remains;
- historic-period artifacts such as glass bottles and fragments, cans, nails, ceramic and pottery fragments, and other metal objects;
- historic-period structural or building foundations, walkways, cisterns, pipes, privies, and other structural elements.

Findings were negative during the Sacred Lands File search with the NAHC. The City will initiate Assembly Bill (AB) 52 Native American Consultation for the project. Since the City will initiate and carry out the required Native American Consultation, the results of the consultation are not provided in this report. However, this report may be used during the consultation process, and BCR Consulting staff is available to answer questions and address concerns as necessary. BCR Consulting sent notifications to local tribes listed by the NAHC to discern whether tribes were aware of resources within the project site boundaries. The results of this correspondence (to date) are provided in Appendix A.

According to CEQA Guidelines, projects subject to CEQA must determine whether the project would “directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource”. The appended Paleontological Overview provided in Appendix B has recommended that:

> The geologic units underlying the project area are mapped primarily as old alluvial sand and gravel from the Pleistocene epoch (Morton, Bovard and Morton 2003). Pleistocene alluvial units are considered highly paleontologically sensitive. The Western Science Center does not have localities within the project area or within a 1 mile radius; however it does have localities in similarly mapped units across Southern California.
>
> Any fossil specimen from the [Northern Gateway Logistics Center] Project would be scientifically significant. Excavation activity associated with the development of the project area would impact the paleontologically sensitive Pleistocene alluvial units, and it is the recommendation of the Western Science Center that a paleontological resource mitigation program be put in place to monitor, salvage, and curate any recovered fossils associated with the study area.

If human remains are encountered during the undertaking, State Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5 states that no further disturbance shall occur until the County Coroner has made a determination of origin and disposition pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 5097.98. The County Coroner must be notified of the find immediately. If the remains are determined to be prehistoric, the Coroner will notify the NAHC, which will determine and notify a Most Likely Descendant (MLD). With the permission of the landowner or his/her authorized representative, the MLD may inspect the site of the discovery. The MLD shall complete the inspection within 48 hours of notification by the NAHC.

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the statements furnished above and in the attached exhibits present the data and information required for this archaeological report, and that the facts, statements, and information presented are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Date: May 23, 2023	
	David Brunzell
Authorized Signature	Printed Name
County Registration Number: 154	

REFERENCES

Basgall, Mark E., and M.C. Hall
1994 Perspectives on the Early Holocene Archaeological Record of the Mojave Desert. In *Kelso Conference Papers 1987-1992,* edited by G.D. Everson and J.S. Schneider, pp. 63-81. California State University, Bakersfield, Museum of Anthropology, Occasional Papers in Anthropology 4.

Bean, Lowell John, and Charles R. Smith
1978 Cahuilla. In *California* (pp 566-570), edited by R.F. Heizer. Handbook of North American Indians, Vol. 8, W.C. Sturtevant, general editor, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.

Bean, Lowell John, and Florence C. Shipek
1978 Luiseño in *California* (pp. 550-563), edited by R.F. Heizer. Handbook of North American Indians, Vol. 8, W.C. Sturtevant, general editor, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.

Bean, Lowell John and Katherine Siva Saubel
1972 *Temalpakh.* Malki Museum Press. Banning, California.

Beattie, George W., and Helen P. Beattie
1974 *Heritage of the Valley: San Bernardino's First Century*. Biobooks: Oakland.

Beck, Warren A., and Ynez D. Haase
1974 *Historical Atlas of California*. Oklahoma City: University of Oklahoma Press.

Bedwell, S.F.
1973 *Fort Rock Basin: Prehistory and Environment.* University of Oregon Books, Eugene.

Bright, William
1998 *California Place Names, The Origin and Etymology of Current Geographical Names*. University of California Press, Berkeley, California.

The Californian [Temecula, California]
1992 "First dwellers moved in at 'new town' of Menifee." Online newspaper archive

City of Menifee
2020 "History, History of Menifee Roads*."* Electronic Document. Accessed 11/30/20. https://cityofmenifee.us/85/History.

Cleland, Robert Glass
1951 *The Cattle on a Thousand Hills—Southern California, 1850-80.* San Marino, California: Huntington Library.

Gifford, Edward W.
1918 Clans and Moieties in Southern California. *University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Anthropology* 14(22)155-219.

Jaeger, Edmund C., and Arthur C. Smith
1971 *Introduction to the Natural History of Southern California*. California Natural History Guides: 13. Los Angeles: University of California Press.

Kennedy, M.P.
1977 Regency and Character of Faulting Along the Elsinore Fault Zone in Southern Riverside County, California. CDMA Special Report 131.

Kroeber, Alfred L.
1925 *Handbook of the Indians of California.* Bureau of American Ethnology Bulletin No. 78. Washington D.C.: Smithsonian Institution. New York: Dover Publications.

Lavender, David
1972 *California: Land of New Beginnings.* Harper and Row. New York.

Lightfoot, Kent G. and Otis Parrish
2009 *California Indians and Their Environment.* University of California, Berkeley and Los Angeles.

Los Angeles Times [Los Angeles, California]
1989 "Menifee Lakes Country Club considered a top attraction." Online newspaper archive (Newspaper.com). Accessed 12/1/20.

Love, Carl
2012 "Preserving memories of Menifee's past." *Press-Enterprise.* Electronic Document. Accessed 11/30/20. https://www.pe.com/2012/01/07/preserving-memories-of-menifee8217s-past/.

Martin, Elinor and Betty Bouris
2006 *Images of America: Menifee Valley*. Arcadia Publishing: Charleston.

McGuire, K.R., and M.C. Hall
1988 *The Archaeology of Tiefort Basin, Fort Irwin, San Bernardino County, California.* Report Prepared by Far Western Anthropological Research Group, Inc., Davis, California, for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Los Angeles District.

Menifee Buzz
2014 "Menifee has a history.*"* Electronic Document. Accessed 11/30/20. http://www.menifeebuzz.com/news/item/1035-menifee-has-a-history.

Oxendine, Joan
1983 *The Luiseño Village During the Late Prehistoric Era.* Unpublished PhD Dissertation, Department of Anthropology, University of California, Riverside.

Rogers, M.J.
1939 *Early Lithic Industries of the Lower Basin of the Colorado River and Adjacent Desert Areas.* San Diego Museum Papers No. 3.

Rogers, T.H.
1965 Geologic Map of California, Santa Ana Sheet, CDMG, Scale 1:250,000.

Schroeder, Albert H.
1953 A Few Sites in Moapa Valley, Nevada. *The Masterkey* 27(1):18-24, (2):62-68

1961 *The Archaeological Excavations at Willow Beach, AZ, 1950.* Utah Anthro. Papers 50.

Schroth, Adella Beverly
1994 *The Pinto Point Controversy in the Western United States.* Unpublished PhD Dissertation, University of California, Riverside.

Shutler, Richard, Jr.
1961 *Lost City, Pueblo Grande de Nevada.* Nev. State Museum Anthropological Papers 5.

1968 The Great Basin Archaic. In Prehistory in the Western United States. *Contributions in Anthropology* 1(3):24-26. Edited by C. Irwin-Williams, Eastern New Mexico University.

Sparkman, Philip S.
1908 The Culture of the Luiseño Indians. *University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Ethnology* 8(4). University of California, Berkeley.

Strong, William Duncan
1929 Aboriginal Society in Southern California. *University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Ethnology* 26(1):1-358.

Sullivan, Susan
2004 “Slow pace, fast growth in Menifee.” *Los Angeles Times.* Electronic Document. Accessed 11/30/20. https://www.latimes.com/archives/la-xpm-2004-may-30-re-guide30-story.html.

Sutton, Mark Q.
1996 The Current Status of Archaeological Research in the Mojave Desert. *Journal of California and Great Basin Anthropology* 18(2):221-257.

United States Department of Agriculture
1966 Historic aerial photographs of Riverside County. Electronic resource, historicaerials.com, accessed March 15, 2023.

1967 Historic aerial photographs of Riverside County. Electronic resource, historicaerials.com, accessed March 15, 2023.

1978 Historic aerial photographs of Riverside County. Electronic resource, historicaerials.com, accessed March 15, 2023.

1985 Historic aerial photographs of Riverside County. Electronic resource, historicaerials.com, accessed March 15, 2023.

1997 Historic aerial photographs of Riverside County. Electronic resource, historicaerials.com, accessed March 15, 2023.

2002 Historic aerial photographs of Riverside County. Electronic resource, historicaerials.com, accessed March 15, 2023.

2005 Historic aerial photographs of Riverside County. Electronic resource, historicaerials.com, accessed March 15, 2023.

2009 Historic aerial photographs of Riverside County. Electronic resource, historicaerials.com, accessed March 15, 2023.

U.S. Census Bureau
2020 *Quick Facts Menifee City, California.* Electronic Document: https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/menifeecitycalifornia. Accessed 11/20/22.

U.S. Geological Survey
1979 *Romoland, California* 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle map

Wallace, William J.
1955 Prehistoric Cultural Development in the Southern California Deserts. *American Antiquity* 28(2):172-180.

Warren, Claude N.
1986 The Desert Region. In *California Archaeology*, by M. Moratto with contributions by D.A. Fredrickson, C. Raven, and C.N. Warren, pp. 339–430. Academic Press, Orlando, Florida.

Warren, Claude N., and R.H. Crabtree
1986 The Prehistory of the Southwestern Great Basin. In *Handbook of the North American Indians, Vol. 11, Great Basin,* edited by W.L. d'Azevedo, pp.183-193. W.C. Sturtevant, General Editor. Smithsonian Institution, Washington D.C.

Williams, Patricia, Leah Messinger, Sarah Johnson
2008 *Habitats Alive! An Ecological Guide to California's Diverse Habitats.* California Institute for Biodiversity, Claremont, California.

Yohe, Robert M., II
1992 *A Reevaluation of Western Great Basin Cultural Chronology and Evidence for the Timing of the Introduction of the Bow and Arrow to Eastern California Based on New Excavations at the Rose Spring Site (CA-INY-372).* Unpublished PhD Dissertation, University of California, Riverside.

APPENDIX A

NATIVE AMERICAN HERITAGE COMMISSION SACRED LANDS FILE SEARCH

Sacred Lands File & Native American Contacts List Request

Native American Heritage Commission
1550 Harbor Blvd, Suite 100
West Sacramento, CA 95691
916-373-3710
916-373-5471 – Fax
nahc@nahc.ca.gov

Information Below is Required for a Sacred Lands File Search

Project: Menifee Logistics Project (LVT2302)

County: Riverside County

USGS Quadrangle Name: Romoland (1979), California

Township: 5 South **Range:** 3 West **Section(s):** 16

Company/Firm/Agency: BCR Consulting, LLC

Street Address: 505 W 8th Street

City: Claremont **Zip:** 91711

Phone: 909-525-7078

Fax:

Email: bcrllc2008@gmail.com

Project Description: Development project.



BCR CONSULTING LLC

Project Location Site
Menifee Logistics Project

Figure 1

Reference: ESRI; USGS Quad: Romoland (1979), California

Lovett Industrial

NATIVE AMERICAN HERITAGE COMMISSION

NAHC HEADQUARTERS
1550 Harbor Boulevard
Suite 100
West Sacramento,
California 95691
(916) 373-3710
nahc@nahc.ca.gov
NAHC.ca.gov

March 17, 2023

David Brunzell
BCR Consulting, LLC

Via Email to: bcrllc2008@gmail.com

Re: Menifee Logistics Project, Riverside County

Dear Mr. Brunzell:

A record search of the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) Sacred Lands File (SLF) was completed for the information you have submitted for the above referenced project. The results were negative. However, the absence of specific site information in the SLF does not indicate the absence of cultural resources in any project area. Other sources of cultural resources should also be contacted for information regarding known and recorded sites.

Attached is a list of Native American tribes who may also have knowledge of cultural resources in the project area. This list should provide a starting place in locating areas of potential adverse impact within the proposed project area. I suggest you contact all of those indicated; if they cannot supply information, they might recommend others with specific knowledge. By contacting all those listed, your organization will be better able to respond to claims of failure to consult with the appropriate tribe. If a response has not been received within two weeks of notification, the Commission requests that you follow-up with a telephone call or email to ensure that the project information has been received.

If you receive notification of change of addresses and phone numbers from tribes, please notify me. With your assistance, we can assure that our lists contain current information.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact me at my email address: Andrew.Green@nahc.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Andrew Green

Andrew Green
Cultural Resources Analyst

Attachment

Native American Heritage Commission
Native American Contact List
Riverside County
3/17/2023

Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians
Patricia Garcia-Plotkin, Director
5401 Dinah Shore Drive — Cahuilla
Palm Springs, CA, 92264
Phone: (760) 699 - 6907
Fax: (760) 699-6924
ACBCI-THPO@aguacaliente.net

Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians
Reid Milanovich, Chairperson
5401 Dinah Shore Drive — Cahuilla
Palm Springs, CA, 92264
Phone: (760) 699 - 6800
Fax: (760) 699-6919
laviles@aguacaliente.net

Augustine Band of Cahuilla Mission Indians
Amanda Vance, Chairperson
84-001 Avenue 54 — Cahuilla
Coachella, CA, 92236
Phone: (760) 398 - 4722
Fax: (760) 369-7161
hhaines@augustinetribe.com

Cabazon Band of Mission Indians
Doug Welmas, Chairperson
84-245 Indio Springs Parkway — Cahuilla
Indio, CA, 92203
Phone: (760) 342 - 2593
Fax: (760) 347-7880
jstapp@cabazonindians-nsn.gov

Cahuilla Band of Indians
Daniel Salgado, Chairperson
52701 U.S. Highway 371 — Cahuilla
Anza, CA, 92539
Phone: (951) 763 - 5549
Fax: (951) 763-2808
Chairman@cahuilla.net

Los Coyotes Band of Cahuilla and Cupeño Indians
Ray Chapparosa, Chairperson
P.O. Box 189 — Cahuilla
Warner Springs, CA, 92086-0189
Phone: (760) 782 - 0711
Fax: (760) 782-0712

Morongo Band of Mission Indians
Robert Martin, Chairperson
12700 Pumarra Road — Cahuilla, Serrano
Banning, CA, 92220
Phone: (951) 755 - 5110
Fax: (951) 755-5177
abrierty@morongo-nsn.gov

Morongo Band of Mission Indians
Ann Brierty, THPO
12700 Pumarra Road — Cahuilla, Serrano
Banning, CA, 92220
Phone: (951) 755 - 5259
Fax: (951) 572-6004
abrierty@morongo-nsn.gov

Pala Band of Mission Indians
Shasta Gaughen, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer
PMB 50, 35008 Pala Temecula Rd. — Cupeno, Luiseno
Pala, CA, 92059
Phone: (760) 891 - 3515
Fax: (760) 742-3189
sgaughen@palatribe.com

Pechanga Band of Indians
Mark Macarro, Chairperson
P.O. Box 1477 — Luiseno
Temecula, CA, 92593
Phone: (951) 770 - 6000
Fax: (951) 695-1778
epreston@pechanga-nsn.gov

This list is current only as of the date of this document. Distribution of this list does not relieve any person of statutory responsibility as defined in Section 7050.5 of the Health and Safety Code, Section 5097.94 of the Public Resource Section 5097.98 of the Public Resources Code.

This list is only applicable for contacting local Native Americans with regard to cultural resources assessment for the proposed Menifee Logistics Project, Riverside County.

Pechanga Band of Indians
Paul Macarro, Cultural Resources Coordinator
P.O. Box 1477 Luiseno
Temecula, CA, 92593
Phone: (951) 770 - 6306
Fax: (951) 506-9491
pmacarro@pechanga-nsn.gov

Quechan Tribe of the Fort Yuma Reservation
Manfred Scott, Acting Chairman
Kw'ts'an Cultural Committee
P.O. Box 1899 Quechan
Yuma, AZ, 85366
Phone: (928) 750 - 2516
scottmanfred@yahoo.com

Quechan Tribe of the Fort Yuma Reservation
Jill McCormick, Historic Preservation Officer
P.O. Box 1899 Quechan
Yuma, AZ, 85366
Phone: (760) 572 - 2423
historicpreservation@quechantribe.com

Ramona Band of Cahuilla
John Gomez, Environmental Coordinator
P. O. Box 391670 Cahuilla
Anza, CA, 92539
Phone: (951) 763 - 4105
Fax: (951) 763-4325
jgomez@ramona-nsn.gov

Ramona Band of Cahuilla
Joseph Hamilton, Chairperson
P.O. Box 391670 Cahuilla
Anza, CA, 92539
Phone: (951) 763 - 4105
Fax: (951) 763-4325
admin@ramona-nsn.gov

Rincon Band of Luiseno Indians
Bo Mazzetti, Chairperson
One Government Center Lane Luiseno
Valley Center, CA, 92082
Phone: (760) 749 - 1051
Fax: (760) 749-5144
bomazzetti@aol.com

Rincon Band of Luiseno Indians
Cheryl Madrigal, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer
One Government Center Lane Luiseno
Valley Center, CA, 92082
Phone: (760) 297 - 2635
crd@rincon-nsn.gov

Santa Rosa Band of Cahuilla Indians
Lovina Redner, Tribal Chair
P.O. Box 391820 Cahuilla
Anza, CA, 92539
Phone: (951) 659 - 2700
Fax: (951) 659-2228
lsaul@santarosa-nsn.gov

Soboba Band of Luiseno Indians
Joseph Ontiveros, Cultural Resource Department
P.O. BOX 487 Cahuilla
San Jacinto, CA, 92581 Luiseno
Phone: (951) 663 - 5279
Fax: (951) 654-4198
jontiveros@soboba-nsn.gov

Soboba Band of Luiseno Indians
Isaiah Vivanco, Chairperson
P. O. Box 487 Cahuilla
San Jacinto, CA, 92581 Luiseno
Phone: (951) 654 - 5544
Fax: (951) 654-4198
ivivanco@soboba-nsn.gov

This list is current only as of the date of this document. Distribution of this list does not relieve any person of statutory responsibility as defined in Section 7050.5 of the Health and Safety Code, Section 5097.94 of the Public Resource Section 5097.98 of the Public Resources Code.

This list is only applicable for contacting local Native Americans with regard to cultural resources assessment for the proposed Menifee Logistics Project, Riverside County.

Torres-Martinez Desert Cahuilla Indians
Cultural Committee,
P.O. Box 1160
Thermal, CA, 92274
Phone: (760) 397 - 0300
Fax: (760) 397-8146
Cultural-Committee@torresmartinez-nsn.gov

Cahuilla

Gmail **Joseph Orozco <josephorozco513@gmail.com>**

BCR Consulting/Invitation to Survey

1 message

Joseph Orozco <josephorozco513@gmail.com> Mon, Mar 13, 2023 at 4:10 PM
To: Tina Thompson Mendoza <tmendoza@pechanga-nsn.gov>
Cc: Molly Earp <mearp@pechanga-nsn.gov>, Juan Ochoa <jochoa@pechanga-nsn.gov>, eozdil@pechanga-nsn.gov

Hello,
I am sending this email as an invitation to join a 25-acre archaeological survey which we will be conducting in the City of Menifee. Please let me know if Pechanga is interested and if so we can arrange a day and time which suits you. Below are the project details.

Menifee Logistics Project (LVT2302)	Cultural resource assessment/field Survey for a development project	331060020 331060023 331060007 331060008 331060030	BCR Consulting, LLC 505 W. 8th St Claremont, Ca 91711 (909) 525-7078	Joseph Orozco Archaeological Field Director (909) 455-8531 Josephorozco513@gmail.com	Lead Agency: City of Menifee	Expected start date: March 20, 2023

Thank you,
Joseph Orozco, M.A., RPA
BCR Consulting LLC
909-455-8531
www.bcrconsulting.net

LVT2302_Fig1.pdf
1057K

Gmail **Joseph Orozco <josephorozco513@gmail.com>**

BCR Consulting/Invitation to Survey

1 message

Joseph Orozco <josephorozco513@gmail.com> Mon, Mar 13, 2023 at 4:45 PM
To: Jessica Valdez <JValdez@soboba-nsn.gov>

Hello Jessica,
I am sending this email as an invitation to join a 25-acre archaeological survey which we will be conducting in the City of Menifee. Please let me know if Soboba is interested and if so we can arrange a day and time which suits you. Below are the project details.

Menifee Logistics Project (LVT2302)	Cultural resource assessment/field Survey for a development project	331060020 331060023 331060007 331060008 331060030	BCR Consulting, LLC 505 W. 8th St Claremont, Ca 91711 (909) 525-7078	Joseph Orozco Archaeological Field Director (909) 455-8531 Josephorozco513@gmail.com	Lead Agency: City of Menifee	Expected start date: March 20, 2023

Thank you,
Joseph Orozco, M.A., RPA
BCR Consulting LLC
909-455-8531
www.bcrconsulting.net

LVT2302_Fig1.pdf
1057K

APPENDIX B

PALEONTOLOGICAL OVERVIEW

WESTERN SCIENCE CENTER

April 21st, 2023

BCR Consulting, LLC
Timothy Blood
505 W. 8th St.
Claremont, CA 91711

Dear Mr. Blood,

This letter presents the results of a record search conducted for the Menifee Logistics Project located in the city of Menifee, Riverside County, CA. The project site is located north of McLaughlin Road, south of Ethanac Road, west of Barnett Road, and east of Evans Road Township 5 South, Range 3 West, in Section 16 of the *Romoland, CA* USGS 7.5 minute quadrangle.

The geologic units underlying the project area are mapped primarily as old alluvial sand and gravel from the Pleistocene epoch (Morton, Bovard and Morton 2003). Pleistocene alluvial units are considered to be highly paleontologically sensitive. The Western Science Center does not have localities within the project area or within a 1 mile radius; however it does have localities in similarly mapped units across Southern California.

Any fossil specimen from the Menifee Logistics Project would be scientifically significant. Excavation activity associated with the development of the project area would impact the paleontologically sensitive Pleistocene alluvial units, and it is the recommendation of the Western Science Center that a paleontological resource mitigation program be put in place to monitor, salvage, and curate any recovered fossils associated with the study area.

If you have any questions, or would like further information, please feel free to contact me at bstoneburg@westerncentermuseum.org.

Sincerely,

Brittney Elizabeth Stoneburg, MSc
Collections Manager

Menifee Logistics Project

project area + 1 mile radius

Legend

- 1 Mile Radius
- Menifee Logistics Project
- Q: Quaternary alluvium and marine deposits (Pliocene to Holocene)

Southern California Railway Museum

Watson Rd

ROMOLAND

Murrieta Rd

The Home Depot

Ethanac Rd

Motte Historical Car Museum

Case Rd

Menifee Rd

Goetz Rd

Menifee Global Medical Ctr

McCall Blvd

215

07 08 09 10 11 12

17 16 15 14 13

19 20 21 22 23 24

5S 3W

Survey

Google Earth

N

1 mi

APPENDIX C

PROJECT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo 1. Project Site Overview from SE Corner (View WNW)

Photo 2. Project Site Overview from SE Corner (View NW)

Photo 3. Project Site Overview from NW Corner (View SSE)

Photo 4. Project Site Overview from NW Corner (View ESE)

Photo 5: View of modern irrigation riser (View E)

Photo 6: View of modern irrigation riser (View E)

APPENDIX D

RECORDS SEARCH BIBLIOGRAPHY

Report List

Report No.	Other IDs	Year	Author(s)	Title	Affiliation	Resources
RI-00205	NADB-R - 1080264; Submitter - 0187; Voided - MF-0200	1976	Stan C. Wilmoth	Environmental Impact Evaluation: Archaeological Survey of Case Water Systems Addition, Eastern Municipal Water District, Riverside County, California.	Archaeological Research Unit, U.C. Riverside	
RI-00527	NADB-R - 1080566; Submitter - 401; Voided - MF-0458	1979	James P. Barker	Environmental Impact Evaluation: An Archaeological Assessment of Tentative Parcel 13405, South of Perris, Riverside County, California	Archaeological Research Unit, U.C. Riverside	
RI-00592	NADB-R - 1080634; Submitter - 476; Voided - MF-0518	1979	Ken Daly	Environmental Impact Evaluation: An Archaeological Assessment of Tentative Parcel 14619, Western Riverside County, California	Archaeological Research Unit, U.C. Riveside	
RI-00759	NADB-R - 1080811; Other - 776; Voided - MF-0681	1980	Stephen Bouscaren	Cultural Resources Assessment Parcel Map 15131, Riverside County	San Bernardino County Museum Association, Redlands, CA	
RI-00760	NADB-R - 1080812; Voided - MF-0682	1980	Stephen Bouscaren	Cultural Resources Assessment Parcel Map No. 15080 Riverside County	San Bernardino County Museum Association, Redlands, CA	
RI-00933	NADB-R - 1080985; Submitter - 527; Voided - MF-0847	1980	James D. Swenson	An Archaeologicll Assessment of Tentative Parcel 15656, Sun City Area of Riverside County, California	Archaeological Research Unit, U.C. Riverisde	
RI-01237	NADB-R - 1081398; Voided - MF-1231	1980	Robert J. Wlodarski and John M. Foster	Cultural Resource Overview for The Devers Substation to Serrano Substation Transmission Route Alternatives Corridor Right-of-Way	Greenwood and Associates, Pacific Palisades, CA	33-001836, 33-001837
RI-02468	NADB-R - 1082961; Voided - MF-2700	1989	ROMANO, MELINDA	AN ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT OF APPROXIMATELY 160 ACRES OF LAND, PROPOSED BY THE GARY COOK CORPORATION, LOCATED SOUTH OF THE CITY OF PERRIS, RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	HATHEWAY AND MCKENNA	
RI-02803	NADB-R - 1083410; Voided - MF-3004	1990	DROVER, CHRISTOPHER E.	AN ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT OF TENTATIVE TRACT 25529 SUN CITY, RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	AUTHOR	
RI-02804	NADB-R - 1083411; Voided - MF-3005	1990	DROVER, CHRISTOPHER E.	AN ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT OF TENTATIVE TRACT 25530 SUN CITY, RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	Consulting Archaeologist, Tustin, CA	
RI-02805	NADB-R - 1083412; Voided - MF-3006	1990	DROVER, CHRISTOPHER E.	AN ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT OF TENTATIVE TRACT 25316 RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	AUTHOR	

Report List

Report No.	Other IDs	Year	Author(s)	Title	Affiliation	Resources
RI-03189	NADB-R - 1083751; Other - 89-90; Voided - MF-3408	1990	PEAK AND ASSOCIATES and Brian F. Mooney Associates	CULTURAL RESOURCES ASSESSMENT OF AT&T'S PROPOSED SAN BERNARDINO TO SAN DIEGO FIBER OPTIC CABLE, SAN BERNARDINO, RIVERSIDE AND SAN DIEGO COUNTIES, CALIFORNIA	PEAK AND ASSOCIATES & BRIAN F. MOONEY ASSOCIATES	
RI-03259	NADB-R - 1083850; Voided - MF-3491	1991	WHITE, ROBERT S.	AN ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT OF TENTATIVE TRACT 26482, A 5.0-ACRE PARCEL LOCATED ADJACENT TO HULL STREET IN SUN CITY, RIVERSIDE COUNTY	ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSOCIATES, LTD.	
RI-04222	NADB-R - 1085429; Voided - MF-4694	1999	CHANDLER, EVELYN N. and VALERIE M. HALLETT	PHASE I ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF 7 ACRES IN SUN CITY, RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.	TETRA TECH, INC.	
RI-04223	NADB-R - 1085430; Voided - MF-4695	1998	GRENDA, DONN R.	PHASE I CULTURAL RESOURCES INVESTIGATIONS OF MENIFEE MEMORIAL PARK, SUN CITY, CALIFORNIA.	STATISTICAL RESEARCH INC.	
RI-04375	NADB-R - 1085687; Voided - MF-4872	1999	WHITE, ROBERT S. and LAURIE S. WHITE	AN ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT OF THE EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT MENIFEE DESALTER PROJECT, SUN CITY AND MENIFEE, RIVERSIDE COUNTY.	L & L ENVIRONMENTAL, INC., Corona, CA	33-001029
RI-04404	NADB-R - 1085736; Voided - MF-4913	2000	JONES AND STOKES ASSOCIATES, INC.	FINAL CULTURAL RESOURCES INVENTORY REPORT FOR THE WILLIAMS COMMUNICATIONS, INC., FIBER OPTIC CABLE SYSTEM INSTALLATION PROJECT, RIVERSIDE TO SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA VOL I-IV.	JONES AND STOKES ASSOCIATES, INC.	33-000816, 33-000817, 33-000862, 33-001845, 33-002970, 33-003081, 33-003839, 33-004202, 33-004624, 33-004744, 33-004768, 33-007587, 33-007601, 33-008105, 33-008172, 33-009772, 33-009773, 33-009774, 33-009775, 33-009776
RI-04894	NADB-R - 1086261; Submitter - CAP-05-652.ARS	2005	HOOVER, ANNA M. and WILLIAM R. GILLEAN	A PHASE I ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY REPORT ON APNS 327-220-005 & -012 TO -016, +68 ACRES, CITY OF PERRIS, RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	L&L ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.	
RI-04903	NADB-R - 1086265; Submitter - JED-04-521	2004	HOOVER, ANNA M. and KRISTIE R. BLEVINS	AN ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY REPORT, TRACT 32228 (APN 330-23-005) AND APN 330-240-006, 39.5-ACRE PROPERTY, SUN CITY, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA	L&L ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.	
RI-04974	NADB-R - 1086336; Submitter - EMWD-05-644.ARS	2005	HOOVER, ANNA M and WILLIAM R. GILLEAN	A PHASE IA RCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY REPORT FOR THE PHASE II PERRIS DESALTER TRANSMISSION PIPELINE PROJECT, NEAR PERRIS, RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.	L&L ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.	

Report List

Report No.	Other IDs	Year	Author(s)	Title	Affiliation	Resources
RI-05241	NADB-R - 1086604	2004	DICE, MICHAEL, and MARNIE VIANNA	AN ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY AND PALEONTOLOGICAL RECORDS SEARCH ON APN #330-210-003, -008 AND #300-210-004, -005, NORTH SUN CITY, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, CA	MICHAEL BRANDMAN ASSOCIATES	
RI-05254	NADB-R - 1086617	2005	DICE, MICHAEL	PHASE I CULTURAL RESOURCE SURVEY, NEGATIVE RESULTS, TENTATIVE TRACT #33419 (APN# 331-080-006, -007, -011, -012, -024, -025, -027, -028) SUN CITY AREA, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, CA	MICHAEL BRANDMAN ASSOCIATES	
RI-05406	NADB-R - 1086769	2005	KELLER, JEAN	A PHASE I CULTURAL RESOURCE ASSESSMENT OF TENTATIVE TRACT MAP 33648, +/-14.8 ACRES OF LAND NEAR SUN CITY, RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CA	JEAN KELLER	
RI-06018	NADB-R - 1087381; Submitter - 1104	2003	Bai Tang, Michael Hogan, Mariam Dahdul, and Daniel Ballester	Historical/Archaeological Resources Survey Report: Menifee Valley North Drainage Facilities Project, In and Near the Communities of Romoland and Homeland, Riverside County, California	CRM TECH	
RI-06470	NADB-R - 1087835; Submitter - CONTRACT #1659	2005	TANG, BAI, MICHAEL HOGAN, CASEY TIBBET, and DANIEL BALLESTER	HISTORICAL/ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES SURVEY REPORT, THE EAGLE CREST PROJECT, TENTATIVE TRACT MAP 34037, NEAR THE CITY OF PERRIS, RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CA	CRM TECH	
RI-06473	NADB-R - 1087838; Submitter - CONTRACT #1605	2005	TANG, BAI, MICHAEL HOGAN, JULIANNE TOENJES, and DANIEL BALLESTER	HISTORICAL/ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES SURVEY REPORT, TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 33143, NEAR THE CITY OF PERRIS, RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CA	CRM TECH	
RI-06581	NADB-R - 1087948; Submitter - CRM TECH Contract #1891	2006	Michael Hogan	Letter Report: Addendum to Historical/Archaeological Resources Survey Report, The Eagle Crest Project, Tentative Tract Map 34037, Near the City of Perris, Riverside County, California	CRM TECH	
RI-06582	NADB-R - 1087949; Submitter - CRM TECH Contract #1659	2005	Michael Hogan	Letter Report: Addendum to Historical/Archaeological Resources Survey Report, The Eagle Crest Project, Tentative Tract Map 34037, Near the City of Perris, Riverside County, California	CRM TECH	

Report List

Report No.	Other IDs	Year	Author(s)	Title	Affiliation	Resources
RI-06888	Submitter - 06-63	2006	Lerch, Michael K. and Gray, Marlesa A.	Cultural Resources Assessment of the Valley-Ivyglen Transmission Line Project, Riverside County, California	Statistical Research, Inc.	33-015346, 33-015347, 33-015348, 33-015349, 33-015350, 33-015351, 33-015352, 33-015353, 33-015354, 33-015355, 33-015356, 33-015357, 33-015358, 33-015359, 33-015360, 33-015361, 33-015362, 33-015363, 33-015364, 33-015365, 33-015375, 33-015376, 33-015377, 33-015378, 33-015379, 33-015380, 33-015416, 33-015417, 33-015418, 33-015419, 33-015420, 33-015422, 33-015423, 33-015424, 33-015425, 33-015427
RI-07119		2007	Kyle, Carolyn E.	Cultural Resource Survey for the Murrieta Road Widening Project, Riverside County, California	Kyle Consulting	
RI-07395		2006	Dice, Michael and Lord, Kenneth J.	Phase I Cultural Resource Survey, Negative Results Tentative Tract #33419 (APN #331-080-005, -006, -007, -009, -010, -011, - 012, -018, -019, -020, -021, -024, -025, -027, -028) Sun City Area, County of Riverside, California	MBA	
RI-07633		2006	Lorenzen, Karl James	Letter Report: Terra Fiore Archaeological Assessment, City of Perris, California	Brian F. Smith and Associates	
RI-08065		2009	Wayne H. Bonner and Arabesque Said	Letter Report:Cultural Resource Records Search and Site Visit Results for Royal Street Communications California, LLC Candidate LA3148A (Sun City Bible), 26815 Murietta Road, Romoland, Riverside County, California	Michael Brandman Associates, Irvine and San Bernardino	
RI-08101	Submitter - 1364	2006	McCormick, Steven and Sherri Gust	Archaeological and Paleotolgical Resources Assessment Report For The Green Valley Project, Perris, California	Cogstone Resource Management Inc.	33-007705
RI-08176		2009	Thomas T. Taylor	Destruction of Archaeological Site CA-RIV-1078 Illegal Trespass on SCE Fee-Owned Valley-Serrano 500KV T/L ROW	Biological & Archaeological Resources Corporate Environment, Health & Safety Division, SCE	33-001078
RI-08396		2010	Joan George and Dennid McDougall	Cultural Resources Report for the Sun City Force Main and Recycled Water Project, Riverside County, California.	Applied EarthWorks, Inc.	

Report List

Report No.	Other IDs	Year	Author(s)	Title	Affiliation	Resources
RI-09093		2014	Michael Hotgan	Addendum to Phase I Cultural Resources Assessment: Tentative Tract Map No. 36658 (Off-site Improvements) City of Menifee, Riverside County, California CRM TECH Contract No. 2802	CRM TECH	
RI-09247		2014	B. Tom Tang	Second Addendum to Phase I Cultural Resources Assessment Tentative Tract Map No. 36658 (Off-site Improvements) Ciy of Menifee, Riverside County, California CRM TECH Contract No. 2867A	CRM TECH	
RI-09929		2005	Wayne H. Bonner and Marnie Aislin-Kay	Cultural Resource Records Search and Site Visit Results for Cingular Telecommunications Facility Candidate RS-0153-02 (Mardin), 26510 Murrieta Road, Sun City, Riverside County, California	Michael Brandman Associates	
RI-10297		2017	Carrie D. Wills and Sarah A. Williams	Cultural Resource Records Search and Site Visit Results for TowerCom, LLC Candidate 'Goetz', 26704 Murrieta Road, Romoland, Riverside County, California	Helix Environmental Planning	
RI-10387		2018	BRIAN F SMITH	CULTURAL RESOURCES MONITORING REPORT FOR THE GREEN VALLEY RANCH PROJECT, TRACT 36989, CITY OF PERRIS, RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	BRIAN F SMITH AND ASSOCIATES, INC	
RI-10656		2015	Don C. Perez	Cultural Resources Survey Goetz/ Ensite #23080 (283473)	EBI Consuling	
RI-10665	Other - IE25527B	2010	Wayne H. Bonner and Arabesque Said	Culltural Resource Records Search and Site Visit Results for T-Mobile USA candidate IE25527B (Re-Science), 26805 Murrieta Road, Sun City Riverside County, California	Michael Brandman Associates	

Resource List

Primary No.	Trinomial	Other IDs	Type	Age	Attribute codes	Recorded by	Reports
P-33-024206		Other - LSA-GLA1401-I-1	Site Other	Prehistoric	AP16	2015 (Phil Fulton, Terri Fulton, LSA Associates)	