

Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program Ottawa Business Center Project

State Clearinghouse No. 2021120205

Prepared for:

City of Victorville
14343 Civic Drive
Victorville, CA 92392

Prepared by:

T&B Planning, Inc.
3200 El Camino Real, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92602
714-505-6360

September 2022

CEQA Requirements

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires that when a public agency completes an environmental document that includes measures to mitigate or avoid significant environmental effects, the public agency must adopt a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) for the changes to the project that it has adopted or made a condition of project approval in order to mitigate or avoid significant environmental impacts. The appropriate reporting or monitoring plan must be designed to ensure compliance during project implementation (Public Resources Code §21081.6).

The City of Victorville will coordinate the monitoring of the mitigation measures and regulatory requirements with each applicable City department or division, while various City departments/divisions would be responsible for monitoring and verifying compliance of specific mitigation measures and regulatory requirements (see the beginning on page 5). Monitoring will include: 1) verification that each mitigation measure and regulatory requirement has been implemented; 2) recordation of the actions taken to implement each mitigation measure and regulatory requirement; and 3) retention of records in the project file.

Project Objectives

The underlying purpose and goal of the Ottawa Business Center Project is to develop a modern industrial warehouse building in the City of Victorville in close proximity to the State highway system in order to increase employment opportunities and improve the City's economic competitiveness. This underlying purpose aligns with various aspects of the Southern California Association of Governments' (SCAG) *2020-2045 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS)* primarily related to accommodating goods movement industries and balancing job and housing opportunities in local areas to reduce long commutes from home to work. The SCAG identifies the Inland Empire as a housing rich area and coastal communities as job rich areas and is striving in their policies to achieve more equal balances locally. The Project would achieve its underlying purpose and goal through the following objectives.

- A. To efficiently develop a vacant and underutilized property with industrial uses to help meet the substantial and unmet regional demands for goods movement facilities consistent with the Southern California Association of Governments' 2020–2045 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCAG, 2020)).
- B. To expand economic development, facilitate job creation, and increase the tax base for the City of Victorville by establishing new industrial development adjacent to established and planned industrial areas.
- C. To attract new businesses to the City of Victorville and thereby provide a more equal jobs-housing balance in the Inland Empire area that will reduce the need for members of the local workforce to commute outside the area for employment.
- D. To make efficient use of a property in the City of Victorville by maximizing its buildout potential for employment-generating uses.

- E. To develop Class A speculative industrial buildings in the City of Victorville that are designed to meet contemporary industry standards, can accommodate a wide variety of users, and are economically competitive with similar industrial buildings in the local area and region.
- F. To develop industrial buildings in close proximity to the I-15 and SR-18 freeways that can be used as part of the southern California goods movement network.
- G. To develop a use that has architectural design and operational characteristics that are compatible with other existing and planned developments in the local area.
- H. To develop a vacant property that has access to available infrastructure, including roads and utilities.

Overview of the Project

The proposed Project specifies a development plan for the Project site that provides for the construction and operation of an industrial building with approximately 996,194 s.f. of building floor area, including 986,194 s.f. of warehouse space and 10,000 s.f. of ancillary office use. Although the future tenants of the proposed building are unknown at this time, for purposes of analysis within this EIR it is assumed that the building would include approximately 200,000 s.f. of high-cube cold storage uses, with remaining portions of the building consisting of high-cube fulfillment center (non-refrigerated) uses. The proposed building would operate as a cross-dock warehouse with 120 loading docks within the truck court/loading area on the north side of the building, 116 loading docks within the truck court/loading area on the south side of the building, with 306 total truck trailer parking spaces within the truck courts/loading areas to the north and south of the building. The truck courts/loading areas would be enclosed and screened from public viewing areas by landscaping and minimum 8-foot-tall screening walls, with 8-foot-tall wrought iron fencing used at the access points to the truck courts/loading areas. Passenger vehicle parking areas would be provided on the western and eastern sides of the building with a total of 328 on-site passenger vehicle spaces. The Project design, which ultimately would include building components and systems to be shown on construction drawings (such as light fixtures, water fixtures, and heating, ventilation, and air condition equipment), would be conditioned by the City of Victorville to achieve Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) standards. Refer to EIR Section 3.0, *Project Description*, for a detailed description of the proposed Project.

Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan

This MMRP delegates responsibilities for monitoring the implementation of the Ottawa Business Center Project mitigation measures and applicable regulatory requirements, and allows responsible City entities flexibility and discretion in determining how best to monitor implementation. Monitoring procedures will vary according to the type of mitigation measure or regulatory requirement. The timing for monitoring and reporting is described in the monitoring and reporting summary table, below. Adequate monitoring requires demonstration of monitoring procedures and implementation of mitigation measures and regulatory requirements.

In order to enhance the effectiveness of the monitoring program, the City will utilize existing systems where appropriate. These inspectors are familiar with a broad range of regulatory issues and will provide first line oversight for much of the monitoring program during construction activities.

Program Changes

If minor changes are required to this MMRP, they will be made in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and would be permitted after further review by the City. Such changes could include reassignment of monitoring and reporting responsibilities and/or minor modifications to mitigation measures that achieve the same or better end results. No change will be permitted unless the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program continues to satisfy the requirements of Public Resources Code §21081.6.

Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

THRESHOLD	MITIGATION MEASURES (MM)	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	MONITORING PARTY	IMPLEMENTATION STAGE	LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION
4.1 Air Quality					
<p><u>Threshold b:</u> Would the Project result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard?</p>	<p>MM 4.1-1 Prior to grading permit issuance, the City of Victorville shall review grading plans to ensure that a notation is included on the grading plans requiring the grading contractor to utilize off-road diesel construction equipment that complies with Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)/California Air Resources Board (CARB) Tier 4 emissions standards or equivalent. The notation also shall require that all construction equipment shall be tuned and maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications. These requirements also shall be specified in bid documents issued to prospective grading contractors. In order to demonstrate compliance, the grading contractor shall keep a copy of each unit's certified tier specification, CARB or SCAQMD operating permit (if applicable), and maintenance records on site in a location available to the City or City designee for inspection upon request.</p>	Project Applicant	City of Victorville	Prior to grading permit issuance	Less-than-Significant Impact
4.2 Biological Resources					
<p><u>Threshold a:</u> Would the Project have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?</p>	<p>MM 4.2-1 Conservation of Western Joshua Trees: Mitigation for direct impacts to western Joshua trees shall be fulfilled through conservation of western Joshua trees at a 1:1 habitat replacement of equal or better functions and values to those impacted by the Project. Mitigation can be through purchases of credits at a California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW)-approved mitigation bank for western Joshua tree or through conservation lands that meet the functions and values criteria. If mitigation is not purchased through a mitigation bank and lands are conserved separately, a cost estimate will be prepared to</p>	Project Applicant	City of Victorville Planning Department	Prior to grading permit issuance	Less-than-Significant Impact

THRESHOLD	MITIGATION MEASURES (MM)	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	MONITORING PARTY	IMPLEMENTATION STAGE	LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION
	<p>estimate the initial start-up costs, and ongoing annual costs, of management activities for the management of the conservation easement(s) area in perpetuity. The funding source will be in the form of an endowment to help the qualified natural lands management entity that is ultimately selected to hold the conservation easement(s). The endowment amount will be established following the completion of a project-specific Property Analysis Record (PAR) to calculate the costs of in perpetuity land management. The PAR will take into account all of the management activities required in the Incidental Take Permit to fulfill the requirements of the conservation easement(s), which are currently in review and development.</p> <p>Additionally, no take of western Joshua tree will occur without authorization from CDFW in the form of an Incidental Take Permit pursuant to Fish and Game Code 2081. The Project Applicant or successor in interest will adhere to measures and conditions set forth within the Incidental Take Permit.</p>				
	<p>MM 4.2-2 <u>Impacts to Burrowing Owl</u>: Prior to issuance of grading permits or any other permits allowing for the removal of vegetation on site, the City shall condition the Project to require that at least one survey must be performed between 14 and 30 days to prior to disturbance of the site, the results of which shall be provided to the City Planning Department. The conditions of approval also shall require that an additional survey shall take place within 24 hours prior to disturbance to account for burrowing owls that may colonize suitable habitat in previous survey visit, with a copy of the results being provided to the Planning Department. If burrowing owls are not detected during the pre-disturbance surveys, then no additional action is required. If burrowing owls are detected within or adjacent to the proposed disturbance area, then the owls shall be passively relocated from the site to adjacent areas of suitable habitat. A qualified biologist shall prepare a Burrowing Owl</p>	Project Applicant	City of Victorville Planning Department	Prior to grading permit issuance	

THRESHOLD	MITIGATION MEASURES (MM)	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	MONITORING PARTY	IMPLEMENTATION STAGE	LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION
	<p>Relocation and Protection Plan that shall document the relocation procedures. The Plan shall be submitted to CDFW for review and approval prior to relocating burrowing owls. Passive relocation shall be performed outside of the breeding season (October 1 to January 31), unless a qualified biologist verifies through non-invasive methods that either: 1) the birds have not begun egg-laying and incubation; or 2) that juveniles from the occupied burrows are foraging independently and are capable of independent survival. Prior to performing the relocation, the biologist shall ensure that the adjacent relocation area contains suitable burrows at a 2:1 ratio over the number of occupied burrows to be impacted. If the relocation site does not contain enough natural burrows, then artificial burrows shall be created. Until burrowing owls can be excluded from the impact area, the occupied burrows shall be avoided with adequate buffers as recommended by the biologist. During the breeding season, the avoidance buffer may be as high as 500 meters depending on the type of disturbance occurring adjacent to the occupied habitat.</p>				
<p><u>Threshold c:</u> Would the Project have substantial adverse effect on state or federally protected wetlands (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?</p>	<p>MM 4.2-4 Jurisdictional Waters Impacts: Prior to issuance of grading permits or other permits authorizing ground disturbance (e.g., vegetation clearing, clearing and grubbing, tree removal, site watering, equipment staging), the Project Applicant shall provide evidence to the City of Victorville that impacts to 0.94 acre of potential Corps and Regional Board non-wetland waters and impacts to 1.63 acres of potential CDFW jurisdiction have been mitigated through the purchase of either rehabilitation and/or re-establishment mitigation credits at a minimum 1:1 ratio at an approved mitigation bank or in-lieu fee program within the Mojave River Watershed and/or the Santa Ana River Watershed, resulting in a minimum replacement of 0.91 acre of Corps and Regional Board jurisdiction, and 1.59 acres of CDFW jurisdiction. In addition, and also prior to issuance of grading permits, the Project Applicant shall provide the City of Victorville of a copy of the Project's Clean Water</p>	<p>Project Applicant</p>	<p>City of Victorville</p>	<p>Prior to issuance of grading permits or other permits authorizing ground disturbance (e.g., vegetation clearing, clearing and grubbing, tree removal, site watering, equipment staging)</p>	<p>Less-than-Significant Impact</p>

THRESHOLD	MITIGATION MEASURES (MM)	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	MONITORING PARTY	IMPLEMENTATION STAGE	LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION
	Act Section 404 permit from the Corps, Section 401 Water Quality Certification from the Regional Board, and Fish and Game Code Section 1602 Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreement from CDFW, as applicable.				
<p><u>Threshold d:</u> Would the Project have substantial adverse effect on state or federally protected wetlands (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?</p>	<p>MM 4.2-3 Impacts to Nesting Birds: Prior to the issuance of grading permits or other permits allowing for ground-disturbing activities or the removal of vegetation on site, the City of Victorville Department of Engineering shall ensure that the following note is included on the grading plans. Project contractors shall be required to ensure compliance with this note and permit periodic inspection of the construction site by City of Victorville staff or its designee to confirm compliance. This note also shall be specified in bid documents issued to prospective construction contractors.</p> <p><i>“Vegetation clearing shall be conducted outside of the bird nesting season (February 1 through September 15) to the extent feasible. If avoidance of the nesting season is not feasible, a nesting bird survey shall be conducted by a qualified biologist within no more than 72 hours of such scheduled disturbance, to determine the presence of nests or nesting birds. If active nests are identified, the biologist shall establish appropriate buffers around the vegetation (typically 500 feet for raptors and sensitive species, 200 feet for non-raptors/non-sensitive species). All work within these buffers shall be halted until the nesting effort is finished (i.e., the juveniles are surviving independent from the nest). The biologist shall review and verify compliance with these nesting boundaries and shall verify the nesting effort has finished. Work may resume within the buffer area when no other active nests are found. Alternatively, a qualified biologist may determine that construction can be permitted within the buffer areas and would develop a</i></p>	Project Applicant	City of Victorville Department of Engineering	Prior to the issuance of grading permits or other permits allowing for ground-disturbing activities or the removal of vegetation on site	Less-than-Significant Impact

THRESHOLD	MITIGATION MEASURES (MM)	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	MONITORING PARTY	IMPLEMENTATION STAGE	LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION
	<i>monitoring plan to prevent any impacts while the nest continues to be active (eggs, chicks, etc.). Upon completion of the survey and any follow-up construction avoidance management, a report shall be prepared and submitted to City of Victorville for mitigation monitoring compliance record keeping. If vegetation removal is not completed within 72 hours of a negative survey during nesting season, the nesting survey must be repeated to confirm the absence of nesting birds</i>				
<u>Threshold e:</u> Would the Project conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?	MM 4.2-1 shall apply.	Project Applicant	City of Victorville Department of Public Works	Prior to grading permit issuance	Less-than-Significant Impact
4.3 Cultural Resources					
<u>Threshold a:</u> Would the Project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource pursuant to § 15064.5	<p>MM 4.3-1 Construction Monitoring During Grading: The following measures shall be undertaken prior to and during grading activities associated with the Project:</p> <p>a) <u>Archaeological Monitor:</u> Prior to the issuance of a grading permit, the Project Applicant shall retain an archaeological monitor to be present for the initial clearing of the property and then periodically as determined by the project archaeologist. The principal investigator (PI) shall submit a detailed letter to the City of Victorville during earthwork to inform the City of a modification to the monitoring program when field conditions require a change in monitoring status, including suspension of monitoring if it is determined that no further monitoring is needed.</p> <p>b) <u>Discovery Notification Process:</u> In the event of an archaeological discovery, either historic or prehistoric, the archaeological monitor shall direct the contractor to temporarily divert all soil disturbing activities, including but not limited to, digging, trenching, excavating, or grading</p>	Project Applicant	City of Victorville; Qualified Archaeologist	Prior to the issuance of a grading permit	Less-than-Significant Impact

THRESHOLD	MITIGATION MEASURES (MM)	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	MONITORING PARTY	IMPLEMENTATION STAGE	LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION
	<p>activities in the area of discovery and in the area reasonably suspected to overlay adjacent resources. If the discovered resource is associated with the prehistoric Native American occupation of this area, a Native American representative from a local tribe shall be contacted to review and participate in the evolution of the discovered resource. The monitor shall immediately notify the PI (unless monitor is the PI) of the discovery, and subsequently the property owner shall be notified of the discovery.</p> <p>c) <u>Determination of Significance</u>: If an archaeological discovery occurs, either historic or prehistoric, the PI shall evaluate the significance of the resource. The PI shall immediately notify the City of Victorville to discuss significance determination and also shall submit a letter indicating whether additional mitigation is required. If the resource is significant, the PI shall submit an Archaeological Data Recovery Program (ADRP) to the City of Victorville to review and approve. Impacts to significant resources shall be mitigated by the implementation of the ADRP before ground-disturbing activities in the area of discovery will be allowed to resume. If the resource is not significant, the PI shall submit a letter to the City of Victorville indicating that artifacts will be collected, curated, and documented in the final monitoring report. The letter shall also indicate that no further work is required.</p>				
	<p>MM 4.3-2 Post-Construction Requirements: Prior to issuance of building permits, the following measures shall be undertaken:</p> <p>a) <u>Draft Monitoring Report</u>: Prior to final grading inspection, the PI shall submit to the City a draft monitoring report (even if negative) prepared in accordance with the agency guidelines, which describes the results, analysis, and conclusions of all phases of the archaeological monitoring program (with appropriate graphics). For significant archaeological resources encountered during monitoring,</p>	Principal Investigator	City of Victorville	Prior to final grading inspection	

THRESHOLD	MITIGATION MEASURES (MM)	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	MONITORING PARTY	IMPLEMENTATION STAGE	LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION
	<p>the ADRP shall be included in the draft monitoring report. Recording sites with the State of California Department of Parks and Recreation (DPR) shall be the responsibility of the PI, including recording (on the appropriate forms-DPR 523 A/B) any significant or potentially significant resources encountered during the archaeological monitoring program. The PI shall submit a revised draft monitoring report to the City for approval, including any changes or clarifications requested by the City.</p> <p>b) <u>Handling of Artifacts</u>: The PI shall be responsible for ensuring that all cultural remains collected are cleaned and cataloged. The PI shall be responsible for ensuring that all artifacts are analyzed to identify function and chronology as they relate to the history of the area; that faunal material is identified as to species; and that specialty studies are completed, as appropriate. The cost for curation is the responsibility of the Project Applicant.</p> <p>c) <u>Curation of Artifacts</u>: Any artifacts recovered from the project shall be curated in an approved facility, such as the Western Science Center. Native American artifacts may be repatriated to a local tribal representative.</p> <p>d) <u>Final Monitoring Report(s)</u>: The PI shall submit the approved final monitoring report to the City and any interested parties</p>				
<p><u>Threshold b</u>: Would the Project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5?</p>	<p>MM 4.3-1 and MM 4.3-2 shall apply.</p>	<p>Project Applicant; Principal Investigator</p>	<p>City of Victorville; Qualified Archaeologist</p>	<p>Prior to the issuance of a grading permit; Prior to final grading inspection</p>	<p>Less than Significant Impact</p>

THRESHOLD	MITIGATION MEASURES (MM)	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	MONITORING PARTY	IMPLEMENTATION STAGE	LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION
4.5 Geology and Soils					
<p>Threshold f: Would the Project directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?</p>	<p>MM 4.5-1 Prior to initiation of any grading, drilling, and/or excavation activities, a preconstruction meeting will be held and attended by the paleontologist of record, representatives of the grading contractor and subcontractors, the project owner or developer, and a representative of the lead agency. The nature of potential paleontological resources shall be discussed, as well as the protocol that is to be implemented following the discovery of any fossiliferous materials.</p>	Project Applicant	City of Victorville	MM 4.5-1 Prior to initiation of any grading, drilling, and/or excavation activities	Less than Significant Impact
	<p>MM 4.5-2 During grading activities, monitoring of mass grading and excavation activities in areas identified as likely to contain paleontological resources shall be performed by a qualified paleontologist or paleontological monitor. Starting at the surface, monitoring will be conducted full-time in areas of grading or excavation in undisturbed sediments of the alluvium of the ancestral Mojave River.</p>	Project Applicant.	City of Victorville; Qualified Paleontologist	During grading activities	
	<p>MM 4.5-3 During grading activities, paleontological monitors will be equipped to salvage fossils as they are unearthed to avoid construction delays. The monitor must be empowered to temporarily halt or divert equipment to allow removal of abundant or large specimens in a timely manner. Monitoring may be reduced if the potentially fossiliferous units are not present in the subsurface, or, if present, are determined upon exposure and examination by qualified paleontological personnel to have low potential to contain fossil resources. The monitor shall notify the project paleontologist, who will then notify the concerned parties of the discovery</p>	Project Applicant.	City of Victorville; Qualified Paleontologist	During grading activities	
	<p>MM 4.5-4 During grading activities, paleontological salvage during trenching and boring activities is typically from the generated spoils and does not delay the trenching or drilling activities. Fossils are collected and placed in</p>	Project Applicant.	City of Victorville; Qualified Paleontologist	During grading activities	

THRESHOLD	MITIGATION MEASURES (MM)	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	MONITORING PARTY	IMPLEMENTATION STAGE	LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION
	<p>cardboard flats or plastic buckets and identified by field number, collector, and date collected. Notes are taken on the map location and stratigraphy of the site, which is photographed before it is vacated, and the fossils are removed to a safe place. On mass grading projects, discovered fossil sites are protected by flagging to prevent them from being overrun by earthmovers (scrapers) before salvage begins. Fossils are collected in a similar manner, with notes and photographs being taken before removing the fossils. Precise location of the site is determined with the use of handheld GPS units. If the site involves remains from a large terrestrial vertebrate, such as large bone(s) or a mammoth tusk, that is/are too large to be easily removed by a single monitor, a fossil recovery crew shall excavate around the find, encase the find within a plaster and burlap jacket, and remove it after the plaster is set. For large fossils, use of the contractor's construction equipment may be solicited to help remove the jacket to a safe location.</p> <p>MM 4.5-5 If fossils are encountered, isolated fossils are collected by hand, wrapped in paper, and placed in temporary collecting flats or five-gallon buckets. Notes are taken on the map location and stratigraphy of the site, which is photographed before it is vacated, and the fossils are removed to a safe place.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Particularly small invertebrate fossils typically represent multiple specimens of a limited number of organisms, and a scientifically suitable sample can be obtained from one to several five-gallon buckets of fossiliferous sediment. If it is possible to dry screen the sediment in the field, a concentrated sample may consist of one or two buckets of material. For vertebrate fossils, the test is usually the observed presence of small pieces of bones within the sediments. If present, as many as 20 to 40 five-gallon buckets of sediment can be collected and 	Project Applicant.	City of Victorville; Qualified Paleontologist	During grading activities	

THRESHOLD	MITIGATION MEASURES (MM)	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	MONITORING PARTY	IMPLEMENTATION STAGE	LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION
	<p>returned to a separate facility to wet-screen the sediment.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In accordance with the “Microfossil Salvage” section of the SVP guidelines (2010:7), bulk sampling and screening of fine-grained sedimentary deposits (including carbonate-rich paleosols) must be performed if the deposits are identified to possess indications of producing fossil “microvertebrates” to test the feasibility of the deposit to yield fossil bones and teeth. • In the laboratory, individual fossils are cleaned of extraneous matrix, any breaks are repaired, and the specimen, if needed, is stabilized by soaking in an archivally approved acrylic hardener (e.g., a solution of acetone and Paraloid B-72). • Recovered specimens are prepared to a point of identification and permanent preservation (not display), including screen-washing sediments to recover small invertebrates and vertebrates. Preparation of individual vertebrate fossils is often more time-consuming than for accumulations of invertebrate fossils. • Identification and curation of specimens into a professional, accredited public museum repository with a commitment to archival conservation and permanent retrievable storage (e.g., the San Bernardino County Museum) shall be conducted. The paleontological program should include a written repository agreement prior to the initiation of mitigation activities. Prior to curation, the lead agency (e.g., the City of Victorville) will be consulted on the repository/museum to receive the fossil material. 				

THRESHOLD	MITIGATION MEASURES (MM)	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	MONITORING PARTY	IMPLEMENTATION STAGE	LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION
	MM 4.5-6 A final monitoring and mitigation report of findings and significance will be prepared, including lists of all fossils recovered and necessary maps and graphics to accurately record their original location(s). The report, when submitted to, and accepted by, the appropriate lead agency, will signify satisfactory completion of the project program to mitigate impacts to any potential nonrenewable paleontological resources (i.e., fossils) that might have been lost or otherwise adversely affected without such a program in place.	Project Applicant.	City of Victorville	During grading activities	
4.6 Greenhouse Gas Emissions					
Threshold a: Would the Project generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?	MM 4.6-1 Prior to the issuance of a building permit, the site plan shall include surface parking lots to provide parking for low-emitting, fuel-efficient, and carpool/van vehicles. At minimum, the number of preferential parking spaces shall equal to the Tier 2 Nonresidential Voluntary Measures of CALGreen Section A5.106.5.1	Project Applicant	City of Victorville	Prior to the issuance of a building permit	Significant and Unavoidable Impact
	MM 4.6-2 Prior to the issuance of a building permit, the site plan shall include the minimum number of automobile electric vehicle (EV) charging stations required by the CCR Title 24. Final designs of Project buildings shall include electrical infrastructure sufficiently sized to accommodate the potential installation of additional auto and truck EV charging stations.	Project Applicant	City of Victorville	Prior to the issuance of a building permit	
	MM 4.6-3 The Project shall implement Screening Table Measures providing for a minimum 45 points per the City's CAP Screening Tables. The City shall verify incorporation of the identified Screening Table Measures or equivalent replacement measures within the Project building plans and site designs prior to the issuance of building permit(s) and/or site plans (as applicable). An example of how the Project could achieve a minimum of 45 Screening Table Points is provided in Table 4.6-8. The Project would not be required	Project Applicant	City of Victorville	Prior to the issuance of a building permit	

THRESHOLD	MITIGATION MEASURES (MM)	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	MONITORING PARTY	IMPLEMENTATION STAGE	LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION
	or limited to these specific measures as long as the Project demonstrates a minimum of 45 points would be achieved.				
4.12 Tribal Cultural Resources					
<p><u>Threshold a:</u> Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code section 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is:</p> <p>1) Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in Public Resources Code section 5020.1(k), or</p> <p>2) A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe?</p>	MM 4.3-1 and MM 4.3-2 shall apply.	Project Applicant; Principal Investigator	City of Victorville; Qualified Archaeologist	Prior to the issuance of a grading permit; Prior to final grading inspection	Less than Significant Impact