Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: Draft Remedial Action Plan, Gardena Sumps

Lead Agency: Department of Toxic Substances Control

Contact Name: Nicholas Ta

Email: nicholas.ta@dtsc.ca.gov Phone Number: 714-484-5381

Project Location: Gardena (*City*) Los Angeles (*County*)

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) is considering approving a Remedial Action Plan (RAP) for the Gardena Sumps (Site). The Site is approximately 6.48 acres of mixed-use development and primarily consists of two properties, the Cooper property and the Haack property. The Cooper property is comprised of two large sumps (referred to as the “Cooper North” and “Cooper South” sumps). The Haack property contains one modified sump (referred to as the “Haack sump”) and the “Haack Rework Area,” which is a reworked and mixed sludge-soil area south of the Haack sump. The Haack Rework Area encroaches onto the extreme northern portions of the two easternmost residential lots. The proposed RAP proposes excavating the Haack Rework Area and a portion of sludge overflow along the eastern perimeter of the Cooper Sumps and consolidating the materials above the Cooper North and South Sumps. A cap consisting of a stabilization layer, foundation layer, low-hydraulic conductivity layer, and erosion resistance layer would be constructed above the sumps. A vapor control and monitoring system would be installed beneath the cap and around the sumps, and existing groundwater monitoring occurring at the Site would continue.

Identify the project’s significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The proposed project would not result in any potentially significant impacts. Mitigation measures would not be necessary beyond those actions incorporated as part of the Proposed Project to ensure that potential impacts would remain at a less-than-significant level.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

No known project controversy.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

No known responsible or trustee agencies.