

# Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: 2022010079

Project Title: Grant Line Construction Aggregate Production and Recycling Facility Project

Lead Agency: City of Elk Grove

Contact Name: Kyra Killingsworth

Email: kkillingsworth@elkgrovecity.org Phone Number: 916.478.3684

Project Location: Elk Grove Sacramento  
*City* *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

Vulcan proposes to develop the Project site into a processing facility capable of processing 1.7 million tons of construction aggregate materials, including hot-mix asphalt and ready-mix concrete, annually. To produce these materials, approximately 600,000 tons of raw aggregate would be imported annually to the facility. Aggregate materials would be transported to the site from Vulcan's aggregate mine, located approximately 11 miles northeast of the site, at 115012 Florin Road in Sacramento, California. The facility also would recycle asphalt and concrete from local demolition projects. Construction aggregate materials would be used to support a wide range of construction projects, including large highway paving projects. The facility would be designed to run 24 hours a day and 7 days a week. Production volumes anticipate constant operation during the busy construction months of the summer and early fall. Hours of operation during late fall, winter, and early spring are anticipated to be reduced.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

All potentially significant impacts would be reduced to a less-than-significant level with implementation of mitigation measures: 1. construction-related air emissions, mitigation require implementation of SMAQMD's basic construction emissions control practices; 2. operational air emissions, mitigation requires implementation of best available control technology (BACT) and best management practices (BMPs); 3. conflict or obstruct a regional air quality plan, mitigation measures require implementation of BACT and BMPs; 4. loss of western spadefoot breeding habitat, mitigation require avoidance/protection of breeding habitat; 5. loss of special-status bird species and habitat, mitigation require pre-construction surveys, avoidance of nests, and compensation for habitat loss; 6. loss of wetlands, mitigation require restoration and replacement of wetlands; 7. conflicts with local ordinances protecting trees, mitigation require avoidance, minimization, or compensation of loss of protected trees; 8. affect the significance of archaeological resources, mitigation require development and implementation of a worker environmental awareness program and procedures for discovery of resources; 9. affect the significance of tribal cultural resources, mitigation require development and implementation of a worker environmental awareness program, procedures for discovery of resources, and retainer of a Tribal monitor; 10. disturb human remains, mitigation require stopping work and notification of the County coroner and most likely descendant; 11. create a hazard to the public, mitigation requires stopping work and implementation of a health and safety plan; 12. operation noise, mitigation requires noise control measures; 13. create a transportation hazard, mitigation requires design modifications.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

Issues to be resolved by the City are identified below, including issues that will not necessarily be resolved through the EIR:

- Should the Project be approved as proposed?
- Should the Project be reduced in size to reduce some significant but mitigable impacts?
- Should Project operation hours be reduced to avoid effects related to noise or traffic?
- Should the Project site be moved to a different location?

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

STATE AGENCIES

- California Department of Fish and Wildlife
- State Water Resources Control Board
- Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board (Region 5)

REGIONAL AND LOCAL AGENCIES

- Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District
- Cosumnes Community Services District, Fire Department
- Sacramento Municipal Utility District
- Pacific Gas and Electric Company
- Elk Grove Water District
- Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District
- Sacramento Area Sewer District