Notice of Completion & Environmental Document Transmittal

For Hand Delivery/Street Address: 1400 Tenth Street, Sacramento,	I CCLI #	
Project Title: PD-S-2021-0006		
Lead Agency: City of Simi Valley	Contact Person: Alexandra Clingman	
Mailing Address: 2929 Tapo Canyon Rd.	Phone: (805) 583-6772	
City: Simi Valley		
	Zip. county.	
Project Location: County: Ventura	City/Nearest Community: Simi Valley	
Cross Streets: Cochran St. 1000 Feet W. of Caper Ln.	Zip Code: 93063	
Longitude/Latitude (degrees, minutes and seconds):°	_'" N / °'" W Total Acres: 2.87	
Assessor's Parcel No.: 615-0-180-195	Section: Twp.: Range: Base:	
Within 2 Miles: State Hwy #: 118	Waterways:	
Airports:	Railways: Southern Pacific Rail Road Schools:	
Document Type: CEQA: NOP Draft EIR Early Cons Supplement/Subsequent EIR Neg Dec (Prior SCH No.) Mit Neg Dec Other: NOD to reuse the MND		
Local Action Type: General Plan Update General Plan Amendment General Plan Element Community Plan Specific Plan Master Plan Planned Unit Development Site Plan	Rezone	
Development Type: Residential: Units Acres Employees _ Coffice: Sq.ft Acres Employees _ Industrial: Sq.ft. 37,324 Acres 2.87 Employees _ Educational: Recreational: WGD	Mining: Mineral	
Project Issues Discussed in Document:		
□ Aesthetic/Visual □ Fiscal □ Agricultural Land □ Flood Plain/Flooding □ Air Quality □ Forest Land/Fire Hazard □ Archeological/Historical □ Geologic/Seismic □ Biological Resources □ Minerals □ Coastal Zone □ Noise □ Drainage/Absorption □ Population/Housing Balan □ Economic/Jobs □ Public Services/Facilities	☐ Recreation/Parks ☐ Vegetation ☐ Schools/Universities ☐ Water Quality ☐ Septic Systems ☐ Water Supply/Groundwater ☐ Sewer Capacity ☐ Wetland/Riparian ☐ Soil Erosion/Compaction/Grading ☐ Growth Inducement ☐ Solid Waste ☐ Land Use Ce ☐ Toxic/Hazardous ☐ Cumulative Effects ☐ Traffic/Circulation ☐ Other:	
Present Land Use/Zoning/General Plan Designation: General Industrial within the West End Specific Plan Project Description: (please use a separate page if necessary)		
Please see attachment 1.		

Reviewing Agencies Checklist	
Lead Agencies may recommend State Clearinghouse distrib If you have already sent your document to the agency please	
Air Resources Board	Office of Historic Preservation
Boating & Waterways, Department of	Office of Public School Construction
California Emergency Management Agency	Parks & Recreation, Department of
California Highway Patrol	Pesticide Regulation, Department of
Caltrans District #	Public Utilities Commission
Caltrans Division of Aeronautics	Regional WQCB #
Caltrans Division of Aeronautics Caltrans Planning	Resources Agency
Central Valley Flood Protection Board	Resources Recycling and Recovery, Department of
Central Valley Flood Protection Board Coachella Valley Mtns. Conservancy	S.F. Bay Conservation & Development Comm.
Coastal Commission	San Gabriel & Lower L.A. Rivers & Mtns. Conservancy
Colorado River Board	San Joaquin River Conservancy
Conservation, Department of	Santa Monica Mtns. Conservancy
Corrections, Department of	State Lands Commission
Delta Protection Commission	SWRCB: Clean Water Grants
Education, Department of	SWRCB: Water Quality
Energy Commission	SWRCB: Water Rights
Fish & Game Region #	Tahoe Regional Planning Agency
Food & Agriculture, Department of	Toxic Substances Control, Department of
Forestry and Fire Protection, Department of	Water Resources, Department of
General Services, Department of	
Health Services, Department of	X Other: Redistribution not required.
Housing & Community Development	Other:
Native American Heritage Commission	
Local Public Review Period (to be filled in by lead agency	у)
Starting Date N/A	Ending Date N/A
Lead Agency (Complete if applicable):	
Consulting Firm: City of Simi Valley	Applicant: Brian Poliquin
Address: 2929 Tapo Canyon Rd.	Address: 29619 Agoura Rd.
City/State/Zip: Simi Valley/ CA/ 93063	City/State/Zip: Agoura Hills/CA/91301
Contact: City of Simi Valley (aclingman@simivalley.org)	Phone: 818-584-0057
Phone: 805-583-6772	•
Signature of Lead Agency Representative:	Date: 01/06/22

Authority cited: Section 21083, Public Resources Code. Reference: Section 21161, Public Resources Code.

Project Description

PD-S-2021-0006

Administrative Modification to construct a 37,324 square foot, one-story, industrial building with mezzanines.

In accordance with Section 15063 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines, an Initial Study for GPA-102/SP-S-7, AMD#27/Z-S-742/CUP-S-823 was conducted in 2019 to determine if the construction of two industrial buildings that total 31,124 square feet on a 2.87-acre parcel would have a significant impact on the environment. Based on the conclusions of the Initial Study, it was found that, with the inclusion of three mitigation measures, the project did not have the potential for a significant impact on the environment, and on August 26, 2019, the Mitigated Negative Declaration was adopted as part of the project approval. The adopted Initial Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) are attached to this report (refer to Exhibit 1). Additionally, the three mitigation measures are conditions of approval for the modified project.

The Administrative Modification is a request to reconfigure the building and associated parking and to enhance the building's design and architecture. The project area will not change, therefore, impacts to cultural resources and the existing mitigation measures will remain the same and will apply to the current project request. There are no new impacts from the modification, and no additional mitigation measures are required for the proposal. Section 15162 of the State CEQA Guidelines states that when an EIR has been certified or a negative declaration adopted for a project, no subsequent EIR or Negative Declaration shall be prepared for that project when the lead agency can make certain findings based on substantial evidence. Therefore, based on the criteria contained in Section 15162 of the State CEQA Guidelines it is found:

1. That there have been no substantial changes proposed in the project which would require major revisions to the Mitigated Negative Declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects. The proposed project is to modify the building design by increasing the total square footage from 31,124 to 37,324 (6,200 sq. ft.). The first floor will consist of 30,824 square feet, and the remaining 6,500 square feet will be utilized for the mezzanine floor area. The approved parking lot will be modified to provide nine additional parking spaces, which requires minor changes to the site plan. The changes will remain on the previously approved project area, so there are no new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects resulting from the modification. Therefore, the proposed project would not require any revisions to the MND.

- 2. That no substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which will require major revisions in the previous Mitigated Negative Declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects. The existing land uses in the surrounding vicinity and within the project area have not undergone any substantial changes since they were described and analyzed in the original project Initial Study and MND, so there is no substantial increase in the severity of any previously identified environmental effects that would require revisions in the MND. The General Plan land use designations for the area have not been changed, and the MND anticipates buildout of the project vicinity in accordance with these General Plan land use designations in its analysis of cumulative land use impacts and project circumstances. Therefore, the circumstances under which the project is undertaken have not changed substantially, and no revisions to the previously adopted MND are required.
- 3. That no new information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the Mitigated Negative Declaration was certified as complete has become available. The permit modification application does not include any new information, of substantial importance, regarding significant effects from development of the project that were not previously discussed, identified, and analyzed in the previously adopted MND. There has been no new information submitted that demonstrates that significant effects would occur that were not discussed in the MND and there has been no new information submitted to demonstrate that previously identified significant effects will be substantially more severe. The existing mitigation measures were found to be effective and feasible at the time of adoption of the MND, and there have been no substantial changes to the project or the project circumstances that would change the mitigation measures. Therefore, no new information that contradicts the analysis in the Initial Study and MND has become available since the MND was adopted by the City Council in August 2019.

Therefore, based on compliance with the City ordinances and the proposed changes to the approved project design, all other environmental effects on the project will continue to not be significant. Therefore, in accordance with Section 15162 of the CEQA Guidelines, the adopted MND (Exhibit 1) still applies to the project, and there is no substantial evidence that the project as modified could have a potentially significant effect on the environment beyond what was previously analyzed. In that the adopted Initial Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration, continue to reflect the independent judgment and analysis of the City.