Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: 2022020590

Project Title: Kaiser Permanente Roseville Medical Center Inpatient Bed Tower Project

Lead Agency: City of Roseville

Contact Name: Kinarik Shallow, Associate Planner

Email: kshallow@roseville.ca.us Phone Number: (916) 746-1309

Project Location: Roseville/Placer
City *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The proposed Project would increase the size and capacity of the previously approved 2004 Kaiser Roseville Medical Center Expansion Project (2004 Expansion Project) on the existing Kaiser Permanente Roseville Medical Center Campus (Medical Center Campus or Campus). The 2004 Expansion Project evaluated a five-story, 155,000 square-foot Surgery and Intensive Care Unit Facility and a three-level, approximately 400-space parking garage within the Campus; however, these buildings have not been constructed.

The proposed Project would increase the height and capacity of the previously approved buildings that were not constructed and add a few additional elements. Specifically, the proposed Project revises the 2004 Expansion Project to allow for an approximately 278,000 square foot, six-story Inpatient Tower building on the site of the prior approved Surgery and Intensive Care Unit Facility, expansion of the Emergency Department (which is part of the Main Hospital Building) to add 36 new treatment bays (change of use with no additional square footage); a new four-level parking garage with rooftop parking to accommodate approximately 800 stalls located on the site of the prior approved parking garage; relocation of the northwest corner loop road; a new main hospital entrance and drop off area; a new generator yard; and internal upgrades to the existing central utility plant.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Aesthetics: The proposed Project would contribute to a cumulative increase in light and glare. Given the location of the Project within a developed area of the City, the addition of new lights would be relatively limited; however, the 2035 General Plan EIR, which considered build out of the project site, determined it is not feasible to mitigate light and glare impacts completely without prohibiting the use of light in new development and no other feasible mitigation measures are available. Therefore, the Project's cumulative contribution would be considerable, and the impact would be significant and unavoidable. Air Quality: The proposed Project could result in a cumulatively considerable increase of any criteria pollutant for which the Project region is in non-attainment and the proposed Project could result in a cumulative impact related to air quality. However, with the implementation of Mitigation Measures 4.2-2(a) - 4.2-2(d), impacts would be less than significant. Cultural & Paleontological Resources: The proposed Project could result in significant impacts to project and cumulative cultural and paleontological resources. However, with the implementation of Mitigation Measure CUL-1, impacts would be less than significant. Geology and Soils: The proposed Project could result in significant impacts from soil erosion and unstable or expansive soil. However, with the implementation of Mitigation Measure GEO-1, impacts would be less than significant. Hydrology and Water Quality: The proposed Project could substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area. However, with the implementation of Mitigation Measures 4.6-2 and 4.6-3, impacts would be less than significant. Noise: The proposed Project could cause an increase in ambient noise levels or cause groundbourne vibration. However, with the implementation of Mitigation Measures 4.5-1 and 4.5-2, impacts would be less than significant. Tribal Cultural Resources: The Project could cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource. However, with the implementation of Mitigation Measure TCR-1, impacts would be less than significant.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

There are no known areas of controversy or issues identified to be resolved for this Project. Written comments in response to the NOP were received from the Native American Heritage Commission, Placer County APCD, and Central Valley RWQCB.

The Native American Heritage Commission letter states that the Project must comply with AB 52, which requires formal notification and consultation with California Native American tribes. The City sent letters to the United Auburn Indian Community, the Tsi Akim Maidu, Ione Band of Miwok Indians, Shingle Springs Band of Miwok Indians, and the Wilton Rancheria. The last day to request consultation was April 13, 2022. The United Auburn Indian Community reached out but did not request consultation, indicating there were no tribal cultural resources on the site. The United Auburn Indian Community did request that the standard unanticipated discoveries measure be included. Wilton Rancheria responded but did not request consultation and the other tribes did not respond.

The Placer County Air Pollution Control District (PCAPCD) letter provided the District's CEQA thresholds for greenhouse gas emissions, a link to the PCAPCD's CEQA Air Quality 2017 Handbook, PCAPCD mitigation measures and regulatory requirements, and a link to District's Rule 228 to address fugitive dust. These comments have been addressed and incorporated into Section 4.2, Air Quality and Section 4.3, Greenhouse Gas Emissions, of the Draft SEIR.

The Central Valley Regional Water Quality Board's letter provided information on the regulatory setting of the Project, including the basin plan and antigradation considerations. The comment letter stated the Project must comply with the Construction General Permit, Phase I and II Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Permits, the Industrial Storm Water General Permit, Clean Water Act Section 404 and 401 Permits, Waste Discharge Requirements, and National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permits, if they are applicable to the proposed Project. The proposed Project would be required to comply with all regulatory permitting requirements, including those listed within the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Board's comment letter.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

Responsible Agencies

The following agencies would potentially act as a responsible agency for the purposes of this Project:

- Placer County Air Pollution Control District
- California Department of Health Care Access and Information

The State Department of Health Care Access and Information (HCAI) reviews and issues permits for hospital additions and renovation permits. In essence, HCAI serves as a building department for permit application review for all hospital projects in California. HCAI would review all proposed Project components (with the exception of the parking garage and relocation of the loop road). HCAI would review the Inpatient Tower Building, internal improvements to the Central Utility Plant and generator yard and issue building permits for these Project components.

Trustee Agencies

The following agency was identified as a trustee agency with potential jurisdiction over the proposed Project:
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife