To: Office of Planning and Research P.O. Box 3044, Room 113	From: (Public Agency):
Sacramento, CA 95812-3044	
County Clerk	(Address)
County of:	(Address)
Project Title:	
Project Applicant:	
Project Location - Specific:	
Project Location - City:	Project Location - County:
Description of Nature, Purpose and Beneficia	
	ject:
Exempt Status: (check one): Ministerial (Sec. 21080(b)(1); 15268 Declared Emergency (Sec. 21080(b)(4) Emergency Project (Sec. 21080(b)(4) Categorical Exemption. State type a)(3); 15269(a));
☐ Statutory Exemptions. State code no	umber:
Reasons why project is exempt:	
Lead Agency	
Contact Person:	Area Code/Telephone/Extension:
If filed by applicant: 1. Attach certified document of exemptio 2. Has a Notice of Exemption been filed	n finding. by the public agency approving the project? Yes No
Signature: 4 Uson	Date: Title:
/)	Date: Title:
Signed by Lead Agency Sign	ied by Applicant
Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21110, Public Res Reference: Sections 21108, 21152, and 21152.1, Publi	

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Section 15183 Findings:

Application: Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map #1319 Environmental Review #22-21

Lo	ocation: South of Winder Avenue, 650 feet east of G Street
As	ssessor's Parcel Number: APN: 259-130-045
Ge	eneral Plan Designation: Village Residential (VR) Zoning: Planned Development P-D #58
cor wh rev	ate CEQA Guidelines Section 15183 (Public Resources Code §21083.3), provides that projects which are insistent with the development density established by a Community Plan, General Plan, or Zoning for each an environmental impact report (EIR) has been certified "shall not require additional environmental view, except as might be necessary to examine whether there are project-specific significant effects nich are peculiar to the project or its site."
res (Le ade	the Merced Vision 2030 General Plan and its associated EIR (SCH# 2008071069) were certified in January 12. The document comprehensively examined the potential environmental impacts that may occur as a sult of build-out of the 28,576-acre Merced SUDP/SOI. For those significant environmental impacts costs of Agricultural Soils and Air Quality) for which no mitigation measures were available, the City copted a Statement of Overriding Considerations (City Council Resolution #2011-63). This document rein incorporates by reference the Merced Vision 2030 General Plan, the General Plan Program EIR CH# 2008071069), and Resolution #2011-63.
	ne following findings are made in compliance with CEQA Section 15183 – Project consistent with a ommunity Plan or Zoning.
exa	approving a project meeting the requirements of CEQA Section 15183, a public agency shall limit its amination of environmental effects to those which the agency determines, in an initial study or other alysis:
1.	Is the project consistent with the General Plan and Zoning designations for the site (including density for residential developments)? Yes X No
	If yes, please explain below. If no, the project does not qualify for this exemption.
	Comment/Finding: As indicated above, the General Plan designation for this site is Village Residential (VR) which allows 7 to 30 dwelling units per acre, with an average of 10 units per acre. The proposed tentative map would provide 11 units/acre which is consistent with the General Plan.
	The site is zoned Planned Development (P-D) #58 and has a residential land use designation. The proposed tentative map is consistent with the zoning.
2.	Are there any impacts that weren't evaluated in the General Plan EIR that are peculiar to the project or the parcel on which the project would be located? Yes No_ \underline{X}
	If yes, an initial study or detailed analysis is necessary to determine if specific impacts will need to be mitigated.
	If no, continue with CEQA Section 15183 Exemption.

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)
Section 15183 Findings
Page 2

Comment/Finding:

All potential impacts from this development were evaluated with the General Plan EIR. The site is consistent with the General Plan and zoning and has no unique features that were not evaluated with the

	consistent with the General Plan and zoning and has no unique features that were not evaluated with the General Plan EIR.
3.	Are there project specific impacts which the General Plan EIR failed to analyze as significant effects. Yes No \underline{X}
	If yes, an initial study or other detailed analysis is necessary to determine if the impacts are considered to be significant and if mitigation is required.
	If no, continue with CEQA Section 15183 Exemption.
	Comment/Finding:
	The proposed tentative map would not result in any additional impacts that were not evaluated with the General Plan EIR.
4.	Is there substantial new information which would result in more severe impacts than anticipated by the General Plan EIR?
	Yes NoX
	If yes, an initial study or other detailed analysis is necessary to determine if the impacts are considered to be significant and if mitigation is required.
	If no, continue with CEQA Section 15183 Exemption.
	Comment/Finding:
	There is no new information as a result of the proposed tentative map that would result in more severe impacts. This tentative map is consistent with the General Plan density and circulation element and the land use designation for Planned Development (P-D) #58. All potential impacts were evaluated with the General Plan EIR.
	the basis of this evaluation, in accordance with the requirements of Section 15183 of the CEQA idelines:
	1. It is found that subsequent negative declaration will need to be prepared.
	2. It is found that an addendum Negative Declaration will need to be prepared.
	3. That a subsequent EIR will need to be prepared.
	4. No further documentation is required.

Senior Planner

Date: <u>4/25/22</u> Prepared By:

Prepare a notice of exemption using CEQA section 15183 based on this analysis.