Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: Grand Estates

Lead Agency: City of Glendora

Contact Name: Mark Carnahan

Email: mcarnahan@cityofglendora.org Phone Number: (626) 914-8253

Project Location: City of Glendora, County of Los Angeles
City *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The project("Grand Estates")involves the proposed subdivision of a 27-acre hillside property for the development of a gated single-family residential community and open space.11acres in the southern portion of the property would be subdivided into14 lots, with one(1) for an existing residential house (950 Silent RanchDrive), 12 lots expected to be developed in the future with custom homes, and one (1) additional lot for two private streets that will have as ingle public street access from Palm Drive.The subdivision would include one 16-acre remainder parcel in the northern section of the site to beheld as open space with exception of three existing flood control structures that are to be removed and reconstructed under current standards to provide protection from storm water debris flows and flooding from the hillside areas to the north.For more information see Draft MND.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Aesthetics:MM-AES-1 requires that the homes meet performance standards to eliminate the potential lighting or glare impacts. Biological Resources: MM-BIO-1 would reduce potential direct impacts to special-status wildlife (Southern California legless lizard and coastal whiptail). MM-BIO-2, requires seasonal recommendations, pre-construction survey, avoidance buffers, and monitoring, and reduce potential direct impacts to nesting birds. MM-BIO-3, requires seasonal recommendations, pre-construction survey, avoidance buffers, and monitoring of the hoary bat. MM-BIO-4, includes preparation and implementation of a Habitat Restoration Plan, to reduce potential direct impacts to sensitive vegetation communities. MM-BIO-5, includes demarcation of disturbance limits and biological monitoring during vegetation removal. MM-BIO-6, requires on-site restoration and/or land acquisition, mitigation program funding, and/or mitigation bank credits. MM-BIO-7, requires tree replacement to reduce impacts. MM-BIO-8, requires tree protection and monitoring to reduce impacts. Cultural resources: MM-CUL-1 requires work be halted within 100 feet of the discovery until it can be evaluated by a qualified archaeologist. MM-CUL-2 would reduce potential impacts to human remains. Geology: MM-GEO-1, would ensure the project is designed and constructed in a manner that would minimize seismic hazards to the extent practicable. MM-GEO-2 would ensure the protection of the MWD Upper Feeder to the satisfaction of the MWD and impacts associated the potential of development on unstable soils. MM-GEO3 would reduce potential impacts to paleontological resources. Noise: MM-NOI-1 would reduce potential temporary construction noise impacts. Traffic: MM-TRAF-1 and MM-TRAF-2, impacts associated with roadway hazards due to geometric design features or incompatible uses would be less than significant with mitigation. Tribal Cultural Resources: MM-TCR-1 through MM-TCR-8 would implement protocols for the inadvertent discoveries of TCRs and reduce impacts to less than significnat.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

During the 30-day public review period, the public will have the opportunity to provide written comments on the information contained within the IS/MND.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB)
California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW)
Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (MWD)
Los Angeles County Flood Control District (LACFCD)
Los Angeles County Fire Department (LACFD)