

# Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: 2022070101

Project Title: S. Stamoules Inc. Pistachio Processing Facility Project (EIR 8077)

Lead Agency: County of Fresno

Contact Name: Ejaz Ahmad

Email: eahmad@fresnocountyca.gov Phone Number: (559) 600-4204

Project Location: Fresno Fresno  
*City County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The proposed project would consist of construction a pistachio hulling, processing, and packing facility that would process pistachio crops from the property owner' s surrounding area orchards. The proposed project facilities would occupy an area of approximately 98 acres adjacent to the northwesterly side of W. Panoche Road and would include huller buildings, a processing building containing pistachio processing equipment, propane-powered dryer areas, drive-over dump pit areas, and areas with 50-foot-high storage silos, among other structures and work areas. Additionally, the processed water from the facility will be conveyed via existing subsurface piping to irrigate approximately 3,740 acres of agricultural land owned by the owner and are located approximately two to six miles to the northeast of the project site located on the northwest corner of S. Newcomb Avenue and W. Muscat Avenue approximately 9.7 mile south of the City of Firebaugh.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The following potentially significant effects were were analyzed in the EIR and were determined to be less than significant with implementation of mitigation.

Air Quality  
Agriculture and Forestry Resources  
Biological Resources  
Geology and Soils  
Cultural and Tribal Cultural Resources  
Hydrology and Water Quality

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

Issues identified as potential areas of controversy prior to the publication of the Draft EIR relate to Aesthetics, Air Quality, Biological Resources, hazards and Hazardous material, Public services and Transportation

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Region 4  
California Dept. of Transportation, District 6  
California Air Resources Board  
State water Resources Control board, Division of Drinking Water  
San Joaquin valley Air Pollution Control District  
Regional Water Quality Control Board, Region 6