Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #:	
Project Title:05-1J890- Monterey 101 Drainage Improvements Project	
_ead Agency:California Department of Transportation (Caltrans)	
Contact Name:Lara Bertaina	
Email: _lara.bertaina@dot.ca.gov	Phone Number: (805) 779-0792
Project Location:Numerous	Monterey County
City	County
Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).	
Caltrans proposes to rehabilitate existing drainage structures, restore or sign structures, two count stations, and two pumping plants, as well as it for a total of 46 locations along the US 101 corridor, between post miles in multiple work locations along US 101.	nstall three new changeable message signs,

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The project would have significant biological impacts that would be reduced to less than significant with implementation of mitigation measures. The mitigation measures are included to minimize and mitigate impacts to jurisdictional wetlands, other waters, and riparian habitat, and would ensure any suitable California red-legged frog habitat onsite that is temporarily impacted would be restored.

Mitigation is proposed at a 1-to-1 ratio (acreage) for temporary impacts, and a 3-to-1 ratio (acreage) for permanent impacts to riparian and wetland vegetation. As currently planned, mitigation for temporary and permanent impacts to riparian, wetland and stream habitat would be completed onsite, by restoring and improving existing conditions, including replacing non-native and invasive species with native riparian and wetland species.

Though no removal of coast live oak trees is anticipated, any tree removal would require replanting at a 3-to-1 ratio to prevent a net loss of oak woodland.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.
There are no known areas of controversy for the project.
Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.
- Air Resources Board - California Highway Patrol
- Fish & Game Region 4 - Department of Food & Agriculture
- Native American Heritage Commission - Office of Historic Preservation
- Public Utilities Commission - Regional WQCB Region 3
- State Water Resource Control Board
- California Transportation Commission