



State of California – Natural Resources Agency  
DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE  
Central Region  
1234 East Shaw Avenue  
Fresno, CA 93710  
www.wildlife.ca.gov

**GAVIN NEWSOM, Governor**  
**CHARLTON H. BONHAM, Director**



September 9, 2024

Tiffany Ho, Deputy Director of Planning  
Merced County Community and Economic Development Department  
2222 'M' Street  
Merced, California 95340  
(209) 385-7654 ext. 4407  
[Tiffany.Ho@countyofmerced.com](mailto:Tiffany.Ho@countyofmerced.com)

Subject: **Silva Dairy Farms Expansion Project (Project)**  
**Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR)**  
**SCH: 2022080190**

Dear Tiffany Ho:

The California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) received a DEIR from Merced County for the Project pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and CEQA Guidelines.<sup>1</sup>

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments and recommendations regarding those activities involved in the Project that may affect California fish and wildlife. Likewise, CDFW appreciates the opportunity to provide comments regarding those aspects of the Project that CDFW, by law, may be required to carry out or approve through the exercise of its own regulatory authority under the Fish and Game Code.

### **CDFW ROLE**

CDFW is California's **Trustee Agency** for fish and wildlife resources and holds those resources in trust by statute for all the people of the State. (Fish & G. Code, §§ 711.7, subd. (a) & 1802; Pub. Resources Code, § 21070; CEQA Guidelines § 15386, subd. (a)). CDFW, in its trustee capacity, has jurisdiction over the conservation, protection, and management of fish, wildlife, native plants, and habitat necessary for biologically sustainable populations of those species. (*Id.*, § 1802). Similarly for purposes of CEQA, CDFW is charged by law to provide, as available, biological expertise during public agency environmental review efforts, focusing specifically on projects and related activities that have the potential to adversely affect fish and wildlife resources.

---

<sup>1</sup> CEQA is codified in the California Public Resources Code in section 21000 et seq. The "CEQA Guidelines" are found in Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations, commencing with section 15000.

Tiffany Ho  
Deputy Director of Planning  
September 9, 2024  
Page 2

CDFW is also submitting comments as a **Responsible Agency** under CEQA. (Pub. Resources Code, § 21069; CEQA Guidelines, § 15381). CDFW expects that it may need to exercise regulatory authority as provided by the Fish and Game Code. As proposed, for example, the Project may be subject to CDFW's lake and streambed alteration regulatory authority. (Fish & G. Code, § 1600 et seq.) Likewise, to the extent implementation of the Project as proposed may result in "take" as defined by State law of any species protected under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA) (Fish & G. Code, § 2050 et seq.), the project proponent may seek related take authorization as provided by the Fish and Game Code.

**Unlisted Species:** Species of plants and animals need not be officially listed as Endangered, Rare, or Threatened (E, R, or T) on any State or Federal list to be considered E, R, or T under CEQA. If a species can be shown to meet the criteria for E, R, or T, as specified in the CEQA Guidelines section 15380, CDFW recommends it be fully considered in the environmental analysis for the Project.

**Nesting Birds:** CDFW has jurisdiction over actions with potential to result in the disturbance or destruction of active nest sites or the unauthorized take of birds. Fish and Game Code sections that protect birds, their eggs and nests include sections 3503 (regarding unlawful take, possession, or needless destruction of the nest or eggs of any bird), 3503.5 (regarding the take, possession, or destruction of any birds-of-prey or their nests or eggs), and 3513 (regarding unlawful take of any migratory nongame bird).

## **PROJECT DESCRIPTION SUMMARY**

**Proponent:** Silva Dairy Farms

**Objective:** The Project proposes to modify and expand the existing dairy to house a total of 7,300 animals, including 4,000 milk cows, 500 dry cows, and 2,800 support stock, to officially merge the two existing separate dairy facility permits into a single permit. The proposed expansion would represent an increase of 4,347 animals from 2,953 animals at this facility. The Project would also include the construction of supporting buildings and features at the dairy facility, including five new freestall barns, two loafing barns, commodity barn, milking parlor expansion, a shop, dry manure storage, and calf hutch area. With the construction of the proposed facilities, approximately 7 acres of cropped acreage would be converted to active dairy facilities.

**Location:** The Project site is located in unincorporated Merced County in the Stevinson area on the north and south side of State Route (SR) 140 at the intersection of Edminster Road. The Project site is located within Assessor's Parcel Numbers (APNs) 055-210-020, 055-210-049, and 055-210-024.

Tiffany Ho  
Deputy Director of Planning  
September 9, 2024  
Page 3

## COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

CDFW offers the comments and recommendations below to assist Merced County in adequately identifying and/or mitigating the Project's significant, or potentially significant, direct and indirect impacts on fish and wildlife (biological) resources. Editorial comments or other suggestions may also be included to improve the DEIR prepared for the Project.

CDFW submitted a Notice of Preparation (NOP) comment letter to Merced County for the Project on September 12, 2022, with recommended mitigation measures for several special-status species that could potentially be impacted by the Project. Currently, the DEIR acknowledges that the Project area is within the geographic range of several special-status animal species and proposes specific mitigation measures to reduce impacts to less than significant. CDFW has concerns about the ability of some the proposed mitigation measures to reduce impacts to less than significant and avoid unauthorized take for several special-status animal species including the State threatened tricolored blackbird (*Agelaius tricolor*).

### Tricolored Blackbird

The DEIR notes that the Project could potentially impact tricolored blackbird (TRBL) and mitigation measure (MM) BIO-4b is provided to mitigate for potential impacts. MM BIO-4b states, "If construction outside the breeding season is not feasible, a preconstruction survey shall be conducted to determine presence / absence of TCBB within 500 feet of project activities." CDFW concurs with this measure and recommends the pre-construction survey be performed no more than 10 days prior to the start of ground-disturbing activities.

### Editorial Comments and/or Suggestions

**Project Lighting:** The southern portion of the Project site is immediately adjacent to the San Luis National Wildlife Refuge and Great Valley Grassland State Park where installation of outdoor artificial night lighting would likely disrupt the circadian rhythms of many wildlife species. Many species use photoperiod cues for communication, determining when to begin foraging, thermoregulation behavior, and migration (Longcore and Rich 2004, Miller 2006, Nightingale et al. 2006, Perry et al. 2008, Stone et al. 2009). Phototaxis, a phenomenon which results in attraction and movement towards light, can disorient, entrap, and temporarily blind wildlife species that experience it (Longcore and Rich 2004). Project activities could result in disruption of wildlife behavior, inadvertent injury, or mortality.

CDFW recommends the Project include feasible mitigation measures to decrease the impacts of artificial outdoor lighting on wildlife species. Potentially feasible mitigation

Tiffany Ho  
Deputy Director of Planning  
September 9, 2024  
Page 4

measures include motion sensitive lighting; mounting light fixtures as low as possible to minimize light trespass; use of light fittings that direct and confine the spread of light downward; and use of long-wavelength light sources. In addition, CDFW recommends that lighting not be installed in ecologically sensitive areas (e.g., streams, wetlands, and habitat used by special-status species, such as nesting/roosting sites and riparian corridors) and the use of the white/blue wavelengths of the light spectrum be avoided.

**Nesting birds:** CDFW encourages that Project ground-disturbing activities occur during the bird non-nesting season; however, if ground-disturbing or vegetation-disturbing activities must occur during the nesting season (February 1st through September 15th), the Project applicant is responsible for ensuring that implementation of the Project does not result in violation of the Migratory Bird Treaty Act or relevant Fish and Game Code sections as referenced above.

To evaluate Project-related impacts on nesting birds, CDFW recommends that a qualified biologist conduct a pre-construction survey for active nests no more than 10 days prior to the start of ground or vegetation disturbance to maximize the probability that nests that could potentially be impacted are detected. CDFW also recommends that surveys cover a sufficient area around the Project site to identify nests and determine their status. A sufficient area means any area potentially affected, either directly or indirectly, by the Project. In addition to direct impacts (i.e., nest destruction), noise, vibration, and movement of workers or equipment could also affect nests. CDFW recommends that a qualified biologist establish a behavioral baseline of all identified nests. Once Project activities begin, CDFW recommends having a qualified biologist continuously monitor nests to detect behavioral changes resulting from the Project. If behavioral changes occur, CDFW recommends halting the work causing that change and consulting with CDFW for additional avoidance and minimization measures.

If continuous monitoring of identified nests by a qualified biologist is not feasible, CDFW recommends a minimum no-disturbance buffer of 250 feet around active nests of non-listed bird species and a 500-foot no-disturbance buffer around active nests of non-listed raptors. These buffers are advised to remain in place until the breeding season has ended or until a qualified biologist has determined the birds have fledged and are no longer reliant upon the nest or on-site parental care for survival. Variance from these no-disturbance buffers is possible when there is a compelling biological or ecological reason to do so, such as when the Project site would be concealed from a nest site by topography. CDFW recommends that a qualified biologist advise and support any variance from these buffers and notify CDFW in advance of implementing a variance.

**Lake and Stream Alteration:** The DEIR notes that the Project site is bordered by the San Joaquin River to the south and that a canal runs through the Project site and feeds into the San Joaquin River. While the DEIR notes that Project-related impacts are not anticipated to these features, CDFW would like to reiterate that Project activities that

Tiffany Ho  
Deputy Director of Planning  
September 9, 2024  
Page 5

substantially change the bed, bank, and channel of any river, stream, or lake are subject to CDFW's regulatory authority pursuant Fish and Game Code section 1600 et seq. Fish and Game Code section 1602 requires an entity to notify CDFW prior to commencing any activity that may (a) substantially divert or obstruct the natural flow of any river, stream, or lake; (b) substantially change or use any material from the bed, bank, or channel of any river, stream, or lake (including the removal of riparian vegetation); (c) deposit debris, waste or other materials that could pass into any river, stream, or lake. "Any river, stream, or lake" includes those that are ephemeral or intermittent as well as those that are perennial and may include those that are highly modified such as canals and retention basins.

CDFW is required to comply with CEQA in the issuance of a Lake or Streambed Alteration Agreement (LSAA); therefore, if the CEQA document approved for the Project does not adequately describe the Project and its impacts to lakes or streams, a subsequent CEQA analysis may be necessary for LSAA issuance. For information on notification requirements, please refer to CDFW's website (<https://wildlife.ca.gov/Conservation/LSA>) or contact CDFW staff in the Central Region Lake and Streambed Alteration Program at (559) 243-4593.

**California Natural Diversity Database:** Please note that the California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDDB) is populated by voluntary submissions of species detections. As a result, species may be present in locations not depicted in the CNDDDB but where there is suitable habitat and features capable of supporting species. A lack of an occurrence record, or lack of recent occurrence records, in the CNDDDB does not mean that a species is not present. In order to adequately assess any potential Project-related impacts to biological resources, surveys conducted by a qualified biologist during the appropriate survey period(s) and using the appropriate protocol survey methodology are warranted in order to determine whether or not any special-status species are present.

## **ENVIRONMENTAL DATA**

CEQA requires that information developed in environmental impact reports and negative declarations be incorporated into a database which may be used to make subsequent or supplemental environmental determinations. (Pub. Resources Code, § 21003, subd. (e).) Accordingly, please report any special-status species and natural communities detected during Project surveys to the CNDDDB. The CNDDDB field survey form can be filled out and submitted online at the following link: <https://wildlife.ca.gov/Data/CNDDDB/Submitting-Data>. The types of information reported to CNDDDB can be found at the following link: <https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Data/CNDDDB/Plants-and-Animals>.

Tiffany Ho  
Deputy Director of Planning  
September 9, 2024  
Page 6

## FILING FEES


The Project, as proposed, would have an impact on fish and/or wildlife, and assessment of environmental document filing fees is necessary. Fees are payable upon filing of the Notice of Determination by the Lead Agency and serve to help defray the cost of environmental review by CDFW. Payment of the environmental document filing fee is required in order for the underlying project approval to be operative, vested, and final. (Cal. Code Regs, tit. 14, § 753.5; Fish & G. Code, § 711.4; Pub. Resources Code, § 21089).

## CONCLUSION

CDFW appreciates the opportunity to comment on the DEIR to assist Merced County in identifying and mitigating Project impacts on biological resources.

More information on survey and monitoring protocols for sensitive species can be found at CDFW's website (<https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Conservation/Survey-Protocols>). Questions regarding this letter or further coordination should be directed to John Riedel, Environmental Scientist, at (559) 807-1453, or [john.riedel@wildlife.ca.gov](mailto:john.riedel@wildlife.ca.gov).

Sincerely,

DocuSigned by:  
  
FA83F09FE08945A...  
Julie A. Vance  
Regional Manager

ec: State Clearinghouse  
Governor's Office of Planning and Research  
[State.Clearinghouse@opr.ca.gov](mailto:State.Clearinghouse@opr.ca.gov)

Linda Connolly  
Senior Environmental Scientist  
[linda.connolly@wildlife.ca.gov](mailto:linda.connolly@wildlife.ca.gov)

Matt Nelson  
U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service  
[matthew\\_nelson@fws.gov](mailto:matthew_nelson@fws.gov)

Tiffany Ho  
Deputy Director of Planning  
September 9, 2024  
Page 7

## REFERENCES

Longcore, T., and C. Rich. 2004. Ecological light pollution - Review. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 2:191–198.

Miller, M. 2006. Apparent effects of light pollution on singing behavior of American robins. *The Condor*, 108:130–139.

Nightingale, B., T. Longcore, and C. Simenstad. 2006. Artificial night lighting and fishes. Pages 257–276 *in* C. Rich and T. Longcore, editors. *Ecological consequences of artificial light at night*. Island Press, Washington, D.C., USA.

Perry, G., B. Buchanan, R. Fisher, M. Salmon, and S. Wise. 2008. Effects of night lighting on urban reptiles and amphibians. Chapter 16 in: *Urban Herpetology: Ecology, Conservation and Management of Amphibians and Reptiles in Urban and Suburban Environments*. J. C. Mitchell, R. E. Jung Brown and B. Bartholomew (ed.). *Herpetological Conservation*, 3:211-228.

Stone, E., G. Jones, and S. Harris. 2009. Street lighting disturbs commuting bats. *Current Biology*, 19:1123–1127.