

## California Department of Transportation

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT 10 DIRECTOR  
P.O. BOX 2048 | STOCKTON, CA 95201  
(209) 948-7943 | FAX (209) 948-7179 TTY 711  
[www.dot.ca.gov](http://www.dot.ca.gov)



September 30, 2022



### 10-SJ-Various-PM Various Transportation Master Plan Update SCH#2022090033

Veronica Child  
City of Tracy  
333 Civic Center Plaza  
Tracy, CA 95376

Dear Ms. Child

The California Department of Transportation appreciates the opportunity to review the proposed Citywide Transportation Master Plan Update for the City of Tracy (TMP). The Department has the following comments:

1. Caltrans and the City of Tracy have previously discussed plans to build a future Interstate 580/Pavilion Parkway interchange. However, this plan is not discussed in the TMP. The TMP shows an I-580/Iron Horse interchange while the Initial Study shows the I-580 Pavilion Parkway interchange. Please clarify the plans for this area of I-580.
2. Please be aware of the proposed State Route 239. One possible alternative proposes a connection directly to the future I-205/Lammer interchange. Though there is currently no commitment for the construction of SR 239, we suggest a brief mention in the TMP.
3. Page 108, should VMT be included on the thresholds of significance list?
4. Page 110, should identify where the additional 14.02 lane miles are on a map figure.
5. Page 111:
  - a. "...TMP adds 73,287 additional daily VMT..." This 73,287 doesn't seem to relate to any other numbers mentioned in the text or the table.
  - b. Please clarify how the Tracy TMP's elasticity of 0.25 and the short-term induced demand of 25,092 are calculated. Please illustrate these calculations possibly in an appendix.

- c. The TMP should include a list of projects in the VMT Bank and demonstrate the amount of VMT that each project is expected to reduce that sums up to the 106,214 mentioned. Page 111 should include a citation showing where in the TMP that list can be found
- d. Please explain where the unit cost per VMT comes from and the calculation that results in this \$633.11 mentioned.
- e. In Table 6-6 the Total VMT+Induced Demand is shown as 1,945,654 for Existing No Project, but the Total VMT alone for Existing No Project is shown as 45,654 and Induced Demand is shown as zero. There appears to be some sort of error in the table.

6. Appendix D: This appendix is blank; the 2042 Select Zone Plots are missing.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 209-483-2582 or Nicholas Fung at (209) 986-1552.

Sincerely,



Tom Dumas  
Chief, Office of Metropolitan Planning