Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: Placerville Drive at Hangtown Creek Bridge Replacement Project

Lead Agency: City of Placerville

Contact Name: Melissa McConnell

Email: mmcconnell@cityofplacerville.org Phone Number: 530-642-5250

Project Location: Placerville, El Dorado County
City *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The City of Placerville (City), with funding from the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and assistance from Caltrans, proposes to replace the existing Placerville Drive Bridge (No. 25C0029) over Hangtown Creek due to the bridge being identified as functionally obsolete due to substandard deck width and therefore is eligible for rehabilitation or replacement under Highway Bridge Program (HBP) guidelines. The proposed project is located along Placerville Drive approximately 0.5-miles north of US 50, within the western portion of the City of Placerville. Constructed in 1931, the existing bridge is a single span reinforced concrete T-girder bridge on concrete abutments founded on spread footings. The bridge is approximately 45 feet long by 24 feet wide and is within the City's right-of-way.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

- The proposed project would not impact agriculture and forestry resources, mineral resources, and population and housing.
- The project would have a less-than-significant impact on air quality, energy, greenhouse gas emissions, geology and soils, hazards and hazardous materials, hydrology and water quality, land use and planning, noise, recreation, and utilities and service systems.
- Once mitigation measures are implemented, the proposed project would have a less-thansignificant impact on aesthetics, biological resources, cultural resources, public services, transportation, tribal cultural resources, and wildfire.
- No substantial evidence exists that the proposed project would have a significant negative or adverse effect on the environment.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

None

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

Caltrans/FHWA- Approval of CE under NEPA
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers-Section 404 Permit
Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board- Section 401 Water Quality Certification
California Department of Fish and Wildlife- Section 1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement
Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) - General construction activity stormwater discharge permit